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Training Instructions:

- This document is to be used to train individuals in the position(s) indicated. If any items remain in this document that are no longer applicable, the Trainer should mark them as “Not Applicable (NA)” and ask that this document be updated. Supplemental materials may need to be developed. The quiz will be provided to the Trainer for administration.

- The trainer should have knowledge of all areas covered in this document and be able to obtain additional resources if necessary. The trainer should have a Master’s Degree or above, be a Certified IRB Professional (CIP) with IRB review, human subjects research, and administrative experience, having a minimum of 4 years cumulative experience in human subjects research and/or IRB review.

- Materials can be covered concurrently. And, to help with understanding, it would be best if information is not covered in isolation. For example, it might be helpful to learn about the regulations as one reviews already approved protocols and learns what the workflow is within the office.

- The material in the Level 1 should be covered before advancing to Level 2. If this is being used for re-training purposes, based on the individual’s knowledge, experience and comfort level material may not be covered or not covered as thoroughly (as long as both the employee and trainer are comfortable with the employee’s grasp of the topic/information).

- For each item listed, both the employee and trainer must sign off. This ensures that there must be a dialogue between the employee and trainer. The same is true for assessments. If there is a disagreement, or the trainer feels that the employee needs improvement, a plan for improvement must be agreed to by both the employee and trainer and carried out within the specified timeframe.

- The trainer is ultimately responsible for having all material covered and completes the Assessment portions of this document with the employee. The trainer may ask others to assist in the process by covering material in this document with the employee, if/when that occurs the person who meets with the employee initials in the area marked “met with” and the trainer verifies with the employee that he/she has not only covered the material but he/she understands the material and needs no further instruction.

- If training is delegated, it should be done by the Trainer to those selected should be established in their position and either be trained on how to present the information to the new employee or already be performing job functions in accordance with current IRB internal procedures. Trainers are powerful, select them wisely.

- Trainees should be told that material in this document will need to be read many times over the course of their career in human subjects research and protections.
OVERVIEW INFORMATION FOR
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES
OVERVIEW

FIRST MORNING OF EMPLOYMENT

OVERVIEW - TO BE COMPLETED THE FIRST MORNING OF EMPLOYMENT - NEW EMPLOYEES - WITH THE MANAGER OF OPERATIONS

- Learns the University telephone exchanges: 935 (Danforth); 286, 362, 454, 747 (Medical School)
- Learns the University website URLs: www. (used by Danforth); http:// (used by medical school)
- Familiarizes oneself with the computer sign on and standard office software installed that will need to be used for the job function:
  - Microsoft Office,
  - Outlook for e-mails,
  - Internet Explorer and Mozilla Firefox, etc.
- If any programs are unfamiliar or new employee is rusty, schedule a training session for given software or go to the CIT website and print down the appropriate Quick Reference Guide. CIT website: http://citservices.wusm.wustl.edu/Pages/Welcome.aspx.
- CIT HelpDesk for IRB is Central IT on the Medical School campus at 362-7798.
- Name your computer. Open a Word document, go to the Office Button on the top left of your document, go to Word Options at the bottom right of the pop-up screen, type in your name and initials under Personalize Your Copy of Microsoft.
- Signs the IRB Assurance Form. (Copies are kept with New Member Training materials.)
- Get acquainted with office:
  - Office tour to locate supplies
  - Ordering supplies
  - Orientation to use of the copier/scanners
  - Demonstration as to use of copier/scanners from Manager, Operations.
  - Manager Operations goes over Safety Plan,
  - Manager Operations goes over how to access suite and building after hours.
- Conference Room use
  - Lunch
  - Committee meetings
  - Other meetings
  - Scheduling on IRB conference room calendar
  - Locking the doors
  - Where key is kept.
  - Clean-up
- Schedule a session with Manager, Operations to go over general tools available to the office,
- Access and use of office calendar
- Access and use of the Conference Room calendar
- Access and use of shared contact mailing lists
- Location and use of IRB committee membership lists
- Any other IRB inboxes needed

- Review Use of Employee Self-Serve for time reporting and payroll ([https://research.wustl.edu/Pages/ResearchGateway.aspx](https://research.wustl.edu/Pages/ResearchGateway.aspx))

- Go over the IRB Absence Request Procedure and Absence Request Form

- Kitchen Etiquette:
  - Use of the refrigerator, ice machine, microwave
  - Cleaning up after oneself; no dirty dishes in the sink
  - Office leftovers
  - Marking your food
  - Making and cleaning up the coffee and coffee pot
  - Eating in the Conference Room –when this is possible; cleaning up after oneself
  - Kitchen clean-up list
  - Birthday treats list

- Front Door
  - No receptionist
  - Answering when Deb Aumer is not available
  - Ask for WU ID

- IRB Suite Access
  - Use of an access code or WU ID to enter suite
  - Pass code for the alarm system
  - Turning off your lights at night
  - Opening the office if you are the first to arrive
    - Turn on the lights
    - Wake up the copiers by touching the screens
    - Make a pot of coffee
  - Closing the office when you are the last person to leave
    - Turn off all the lights.
    - Check all the doors to make certain they are locked
    - Throw out any old coffee and turn off the coffee maker
    - Copies go “to sleep” on their own
    - Leave through the front door; set the alarm and leave through the doors

- Maintenance Problems
  - Report any maintenance problems to the Manager, Operations
**EMAIL AND PHONE - TO BE COMPLETED THE FIRST MORNING OF EMPLOYMENT-NEW EMPLOYEES - WITH THE MANAGER OF OPERATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Met With</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Go over e-mail account and usage. Set up E-mail signature line with: Signature (optional); name; title; office address; direct phone line; fax number (see example below);

*Sarah*
Sarah Fowler-Dixon, PhD
Education Specialist
Washington University in St. Louis
Human Research Protection Office (IRB)
22 N. Euclid Ave., Ste. 233
St. Louis, MO 63108
314-633-7456
314-367-3041 (fax)

The materials in this email are private and may contain Protected Healthcare Information. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that any unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender via telephone or return mail.

Go over telephone use/etiquette/voicemail. Set up office voicemail and e-mail out of office e-mail notification using standard office language:

**Primary phone message:** “Hi, you have reached [name] in the Human Research Protection Office. I am either on the phone or away from my desk. Please leave your name and number and I will return your call as soon as possible. Thank you.”

**Alternate message:** “Hi, you have reached [name] in the Human Research Protection Office. I am currently out of the office and will return on [Monday, November 30]. Please leave your name and number and I will return your call as soon as possible. If you need immediate assistance, please contact** [Deb Aumer at 633-7440]. Thank you.”

** [Your manager or designated person in the office during your absence; confirm with your manager and back-up person.]

Directions to change phone message:
Press “Message” on your phone
Enter password (passwords are reset to 1111); followed by #
8 – to change mailbox options
2 – to record or select personal greeting
1 – to record greeting
1 – for Primary or 2 – Alternate

**Out of office e-mail:** “Hi, I am currently out of the office until [December 28]. If you need immediate assistance, please contact **[Name of Person at 633-XXX or e-mail address]. Thank you.

** [Your manager or designated person in the office during your absence; confirm with your manager and back-up person.]
### OVERVIEW

**FIRST WEEK OF EMPLOYMENT**

**OVERVIEW - TO BE COMPLETED THE FIRST WEEK OF EMPLOYMENT-NEW EMPLOYEES – WITH THE MANAGER OF OPERATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Met With</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Attends Human Resources (HR) new employee orientation session. IRB Manager, Operations will assist in scheduling a session.  
  Date of Session Scheduled: _______________________

- Reads/Reviews Employee Handbook, in particular policies pertaining to Equal Opportunity, Harassment, Abusive Conduct, Drugs and Alcohol, Family Medical Leave Act, and inclement weather policy. Employee handbook can be found on the HR website at: [http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/](http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/). Signs the Employee Handbook Acknowledgement Form that is placed in the employees HR file.

- Parking information:
  - Obtain a parking location and/or U Pass information ([http://parking.wustl.edu](http://parking.wustl.edu)) with the assistance of the Manager, Operations.
  - Learn about parking options on-campus and off-campus in the Central West End
    - If parking on-campus, complete the necessary paperwork to do a payroll deduction.
  - Learn about the WE CAR use
  - Identify the Office Parking Liaison who sends notices of road and parking closures
    - Should have parking paperwork for on-campus parking.
  - Go over the IRB Parking Policy for parking in the lot under the building.


- Obtain Identification badge from the security desk outside Becker Medical Library. Hours of operation are Monday-Friday 9:00 am to 3:30 pm. From the Manager, Operations obtain the Washington University School of Medicine Identification/Access Control Request (Badge) Form

- Find out your Employee Identification Number (EMPLID): _______________________

- Completes Environmental Health & Safety (EH &S) clerical/administrative training.

- Reviews University Benefit information. New Benefit eligible employees must enroll within the first thirty-one (31) days of employment eligibility. This is reviewed at HR orientation and can also be found on the HR website at: [http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/](http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/) under Benefits.

- Reviews Human Resources Professional Development opportunities: Learning and Development ([http://hr.wustl.edu/career_development/Pages/CourseCatalog.aspx](http://hr.wustl.edu/career_development/Pages/CourseCatalog.aspx)), Tuition Assistance ([http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/medadmin/hr/hrweb.nsf/WV/9362D0A1E6CC728986257218006CF0247OpenDocument](http://medschoolhr.wustl.edu/medadmin/hr/hrweb.nsf/WV/9362D0A1E6CC728986257218006CF0247OpenDocument)), Computer Training ([http://becker.wustl.edu/classes/index.html](http://becker.wustl.edu/classes/index.html)).
• Confirms TB Test – follow up with Employee Health

• Greeted by Manager/trainer who will show him/her the assigned workspace, introduce new employee to other staff members, and provide new employee with this Orientation/Competency checklist. Includes conversation on terms of employment (employment program/orientation period/salary), work schedule & breaks/overtime, time and leave reporting/paydays, maintenance of accruals, requesting time off, job duties and performance expectations, performance appraisals.

• Schedule of meetings with Manager/trainer established to discuss progress and orientation/competency checklist items
  • Month one: Daily one-on-one meetings are established. Attendance at regular staff and team meetings mandatory.
  • Month two: Bi-weekly one-on-one meetings are established. Attendance at regular staff and team meetings mandatory.
  • Month three: Weekly one-on-one meetings are established. Attendance at regular staff and team meetings mandatory.
  • Month four and beyond: One-on-one meetings are scheduled as needed. Attendance at regular staff and team meetings mandatory.

• Meets with HRPO Executive Director to discuss function of the department, IRB organizational chart; IRB’s role within the WU research enterprise; departmental mission, vision and goals; expected office decorum and professionalism. Included is a discussion of how the IRB is perceived.

• With your manager, go over the workflow charts.

• Familiarizes oneself with the office shared folders and locates the IRB Reference Library (a file containing articles pertaining to human subjects research topics).

• Review material provided on the HRPO website, http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu and Vice Chancellor for Research website, http://research.wustl.edu. Know what information is provided on each website and familiarize yourself with the various research offices’ names and functions.

• Reads and completes the University Code of Conduct found at: http://universitycompliance.wustl.edu/codeofconduct/Pages/default.aspx

• Completes mandatory HIPAA training assigned by HIPAA Liaison. (UserID, password, and location of training provided by Liaison.)

• Contacts IT at 314-935-5707 to obtain WUSTL Key. (need EMPLID to develop WUSTL Key)

• Schedule a one hour session with a myIRB administrator to go over myIRB administrative functions for your position, where to find and/or store information, and system questions. Date session scheduled ______________________
## Overview MYIRB Electronic Submission Training

### First Month of Employment

**Overview** - To be completed the first month of employment— with MYIRB Administrator

- Attend myIRB training sessions. Register through the IRB website under myIRB.
  - New Project Training session date attended ________________
  - REF/Legacy Training session date attended ________________
- In the trainee’s draft folder, prepare a mock submission in myIRB to help familiarize you with the system. DO NOT SUBMIT.

### Overview and MYIRB Competency Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overview Competency Assessment - Trainer completes with Employee</th>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Able to navigate the myIRB system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiar with office procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adheres to IRB office procedures, e.g. absence requests, copier use, office hours, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to use any required additional software needed for position, e.g. Microsoft Word, Outlook, Internet Explorer, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to understand and integrate new information, whether guidance, policy or office procedures into current work flow, when needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is aware of and adheres to WU Human Resource policies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-IRB Offerings
Completed throughout the fiscal year

**HUMAN RESEARCH PROTECTION PROGRAM TRAINING – NON-IRB OFFERINGS**

**OBJECTIVES**

Are to get a feel for the entire WU research enterprise and to learn how others outside the office communicate with one another.

Attends two to three free WU sessions, offered by offices other than IRB, that relate to some aspect of the submission, review, or conduct of human subjects research, e.g. Grand Rounds, Brown Bag, PERCSS (RCR) session, Departmental specific offering (Biotech 21, Genomics, CIDER, ClinPortal and caTissue, etc). Some of these sessions are advertised on Research News at http://researchnews.wustl.edu, some events are advertised on the WU Medicine homepage at http://medicine.wustl.edu, some in the Record that comes electronically, some on the Vice Chancellor for Research page at http://research.wustl.edu under Resources, others can be found within departmental advertising. You can do a search for calendars of events on the WU School of Medicine or Danforth campus websites.

| Date and Name of Session: |
| Date and Name of Session: |
| Date and Name of Session: |

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INITIALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Trainer</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEVEL 1 TRAINING
HUMAN SUBJECTS ORIENTATION
Level 1 should be completed in the first 6 – 9 months of training
Level 1 Human Subjects Foundation
First 2 weeks of employment

**Human Subjects Foundation**
This information should be read by the trainee so that everyone has seen the foundation material. Initials and date indicate that the materials have been read. Can be completed concurrently with IRB Reviewer Orientation. However, this section should be completed in the first 2 weeks of employment.


Learns the HHS Expedited and Exempt Categories.

On the OHRP website, read information pertaining to Federal Regulations, both HHS and FDA, [http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/index.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/index.html)

- 45 CFR 46
- 21 CFR 50
- 21 CFR 56
- 21 CFR 312/314
- 21 CFR 812/814

- Read the IRB Policies and Procedures found under Policies on the IRB homepage at [http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu](http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu)

- Read all IRB published guidelines found on the Guidelines page at [http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu](http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu)

- Read the following research policies on the Vice Chancellor for Research website at [http://research.wustl.edu/PoliciesGuidelines/Pages/WUSTL.PoliciesGuidelines.aspx](http://research.wustl.edu/PoliciesGuidelines/Pages/WUSTL.PoliciesGuidelines.aspx)
  - Clinical Trial Registration: Letter from the Vice Chancellor for Research to Faculty; Clinical Trial Registration FAQ
  - Code of Conduct
  - Environmental Health & Safety, Policies and Procedures
  - HIPAA: Policy numbers 11 minimum necessary; 13 uses or disclosures of protected health information without verbal or written authorization; 15 use of disclosure of protected health information in research; 17 security measures required to comply with privacy policies
  - Human Embryonic Stem Cell Research Guidelines
  - Human Research Education Policy
  - Human Research Participant Protection, Institutional Statement of Commitment
  - Intellectual Property Policy
  - Investigational Drug/Device Accountability Policy & Sample Logs


- Meet with Manager/Trainer to go over hierarchy of regulations, state statues, federal guidance, and institutional guidance. When does one trump the other?
**Level 1 Assessment 1 Quiz**

**Level 1 Assessment 1** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Basic understanding of the following documents and their contents is tested:

- HHS Expedited and Exempt Categories.
- 45 CFR 46
- 21 CFR 50
- 21 CFR 56
- 21 CFR 312/314
- 21 CFR 812/814
- IRB Policies and Procedures
- IRB published guidelines found on the Guidelines
- Vice Chancellor for Research policies
  - Clinical Trial Registration: Letter from the Vice Chancellor for Research to Faculty; Clinical Trial Registration FAQ
  - Code of Conduct
  - Environmental Health & Safety, Policies and Procedures
  - HIPAA: Policy numbers 11 minimum necessary; 13 uses or disclosures of protected health information without verbal or written authorization; 15 use of disclosure of protected health information in research; 17 security measures required to comply with privacy policies
  - Human Embryonic Stem Cell Research Guidelines
  - Human Research Education Policy
  - Human Research Participant Protection, Institutional Statement of Commitment
  - Intellectual Property Policy
  - Investigational Drug/Device Accountability Policy & Sample Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT – based on a passing score of 70%</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**IRB Analyst Training Manual**
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Level 1 – CRITERIA FOR REVIEW: RISKS, MONITORING

In some areas there is reference information that must first be read by the trainee before a study can be screened or reviewed to see how this criterion is applied. This can be done as a class or in a group setting with standard mock studies. This can also be done in an intensive 3 week training program. When screening or reviewing a study, the trainee should check off the areas covered. The number of studies that need to be screened and reviewed depends on the issues in each study and the comfort level of the trainee. Once each area has been screen/reviewed the respective criterion will be complete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks are Minimized</th>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See myIRB Section VI (Participants) Section VII (Project Description) and Section VIII (Risks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks are Reasonable</th>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read the Belmont Report found on the OHRP website at: <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/index.html</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the OHRP website, review “Reviewing and Reporting Unanticipated Problems Involving Risks to others and Adverse Events; Withdrawal of Subjects from Research” at <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/investigators/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/investigators/index.html</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See myIRB Section VIII (Risks) and Section IX (Benefits)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The research plan makes adequate provision for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of participants</th>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the OHRP website, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a>, review the guidelines found under For Investigators groups documents that will be of particular interest to research investigators, such as how to handle subject withdrawal from a protocol, how to assess unanticipated problems and adverse events that may occur during the conduct of research, and the general responsibilities of research investigators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the IRB website, under Risk &amp; Data Monitoring Guidance, review the Data Monitoring guideline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See myIRB Section VIII (Risks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IRB Analyst Training Manual
Level 1 Assessment 2 Quiz

**Level 1 Assessment 2** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Understanding of the following elements of approvability are tested

- Risks are Minimized
- Risks are Reasonable
- Provision for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of participants

**ASSESSMENT** - based on a passing score of 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Level 1 – CRITERIA FOR REVIEW: AND PARTICIPANT SELECTION, RECRUITMENT AND CONSENT**

In some areas there is reference information that must first be read by the trainee before a study can be screened or reviewed to see how this criterion is applied. This can be done as a class or in a group setting with standard mock studies. This can also be done in an intensive 3 week training program. When screening or reviewing a study, the trainee should check off the areas covered. The number of studies that need to be screened and reviewed depends on the issues in each study and the comfort level of the trainee. Once each area has been screen/reviewed the respective criterion will be complete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies Screened that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Participant Selection is Equitable**

- On the OHRP website, [http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html) review the guidelines found under the **Vulnerable Populations** includes guidance addressing vulnerable groups such as children, prisoners, and subjects for whom a certificate of confidentiality may offer appropriate additional protections
- See myIRB Section VI (Participants) and Section VII.D (Recruitment and Consent)

**Recruitment methods are fair, appropriate, and designed to allow to ensure equitable selection of subjects**

- Review OHRP guidance Research Participants – Employees in the Workplace

With your manager, discuss the following Recruitment issues:

- What does the IRB need to see
- What should be in an advertisement; what is an acceptable ad
- Use of SS# to recruit or follow-up
- Use of Facebook and such
- Use of commercial groups to recruit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment vs. engagement in the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Payment arrangements are fair, honest, and appropriately designed to allow for the equitable selection of participants and to fulfill the regulatory requirements for consent

|---------------------------------------------------------------|

The Consent Process provides sufficient protections to participants such that additional oversight is not required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the IRB website review the Subject Pools guidance for Olin School of Business and Psychology Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the IRB website review the Legal Age to Consent (outside of Missouri) guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See myIRB Sections VI (Participants) and Section VII.D (Recruitment and Consent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For HHS-supported multicenter clinical trials, the IRB should receive and review a copy of the HHS-approved sample informed consent document(s) and the complete HHS-approved protocol, if they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Only pertains to NIH studies where a sample consent is provided.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With the assistance of your manager, locate a study that has a sample consent form to review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review the CTEP, DCTD and NCI Investigator’s Handbook for Cancer research regarding this topic found under 7.3 Informed Consent on page 45 at <a href="http://ctep.cancer.gov/investigatorResources/docs/InvestigatorHandbook.pdf">http://ctep.cancer.gov/investigatorResources/docs/InvestigatorHandbook.pdf</a> which states “Individual institutions may make minor changes to model informed consent forms. However, the informed consent document’s originator must approve any changes in risks or alternative procedures.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read and familiarize yourself the WU myIRB consent template found within the myIRB system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Informed consent will be sought from each prospective subject or the subject’s legally authorized representative (LAR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On the IRB website, review the Consent Guidance and Missouri Statutes included in that guidance document.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the IRB website, review the, taxable income and waiver of consent guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the OHRP website, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a>, review the Informed Consent groups documents that address autonomy and consent issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See myIRB Sections VII.D (Recruitment and Consent), Section IV.2-19 (Waiver of Consent and Waiver of Elements of Consent), Section VII.D16 (Waiver of Documentation of Consent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is it appropriate to allow the inclusion of adult subjects who do not have the ability to consent for themselves?

| On the IRB website review Guidelines listed under Vulnerable Populations: Cognitive Impairment |
• On the IRB website, review the Assent guideline
  • See myIRB Sections VI.25 – VI.31 (Decisionally impaired participants) and VII.D (Recruitment and Consent)

Informed Consent will be appropriately documented.
  • Review the OHRP Informed Consent Checklist at: [http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/consentckls.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/consentckls.html)
  • See myIRB Sections VII.D (Recruitment and Consent), IV. 3 (Waiver of Informed Consent or Waiver of Authorization), VII.D16 (Waiver of Documentation of Consent)

With your manager discuss the following Consent issues:
  • Non English speaking populations and consent
  • Short form consent and its use
  • Alteration or elimination of consent elements
  • Mandatory vs. optional elements of consent, when
  • Debriefing documents, when appropriate
  • Appropriate consent language
  • Collection of SS# when payments are made to research participants

### Level 1 Assessment 3 Quiz

**LEVEL 1 ASSESSMENT 3** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Understanding of the following elements of approvability are tested
  • Participant selection is equitable
  • Recruitment methods are fair, appropriate, and designed to allow to ensure equitable selection of subjects
  • Payment arrangements are fair, honest, and appropriately designed
  • Consent Process provides sufficient protections to participants such that additional oversight is not required
  • Information provided in the WU consent document is consistent with the DHHS approved sample consent document
  • Informed consent will be sought from each prospective subject or the subject’s legally authorized representative (LAR)
  • Is it appropriate to allow the inclusion of adult subjects who do not have the ability to consent for themselves?
  • Informed Consent will be appropriately documented.

**ASSESSMENT** - based on a passing score of 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Level 1 – CRITERIA FOR REVIEW: PRIVACY, CONFIDENTIALITY, VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

In some areas there is reference information that must first be read by the trainee before a study can be screened or reviewed to see how this criterion is applied. This can be done as a class or in a group setting with standard mock studies. This can also be done in an intensive 3 week training program. When screening or reviewing a study, the trainee should check off the areas covered. The number of studies that need to be screened and reviewed depends on the issues in each study and the comfort level of the trainee. Once each area has been screen/reviewed the respective criterion will be complete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies Screened that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### There are adequate provisions to protect the privacy interests of participants.
- Review the IRB guidance on Guidelines for reviewing studies involving genetic research.
- See myIRB Sections X (Privacy and Confidentiality), Section VII.C (Genetic Research) and Section XII (Future Research).

### There are adequate provisions to maintain the confidentiality of data.
- Read HI-TECH addition to the HIPAA Regulations found on the HHS website at: [http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/administrative/enforcementrule/hitechenforcementifr.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/administrative/enforcementrule/hitechenforcementifr.html)
- Computer Security
  - Who is responsible
  - What is needed to satisfy IRB review
  - Who to contact with questions – WU HIPAA Security Officer
  - Which databases/systems are considered secure and WU approved for use: RedCap, secure USB drives issued by HIPAA security liaisons; secure FTP maintained by the School of Business, SharePoint sites, BJC, SLCH, and WU e-mails, sites behind WU firewalls, SurveyMonkey (although information may be identifiable if obtained through SurveyMonkey).
  - Non-secure devices include Android phones; iPads; The Cloud; Google
  - See myIRB Sections X (Privacy and Confidentiality), Section VII.C (Genetic Research) and Section XII (Future Research).

### When some or all of the participants are likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence, such as children, prisoners, or pregnant women, additional safeguards have been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of these participants.
- See myIRB Sections VI (Participants) and VII.D (Recruitment and Consent).

### With your manager, discuss the types of Populations seen at WU:
- Healthy volunteers
- Those with the condition under study
- Not healthy but not with the condition under study
- Students
- Employees
- Decisionally impaired
- Terminally ill
- Critically ill
- Minors
- Emancipated Minors
- Wards of the State
Level 1 Assessment 4 Quiz

Understanding of the following elements of approvability are tested
- Provisions to protect the privacy interests of participants
- Adequate provisions to maintain the confidentiality of data
- When some or all of the participants are likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence, such as children, prisoners, or pregnant women, additional safeguards have been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of these participants

Level 1 – FDA: IND, IDE AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HHS AND FDA REGULATIONS

In some areas there is reference information that must first be read by the trainee before a study can be screened or reviewed to see how this criterion is applied. This can be done as a class or in a group setting with standard mock studies. This can also be done in an intensive 3 week training program. When screening or reviewing a study, the trainee should check off the areas covered. The number of studies that need to be screened and reviewed depends on the issues in each study and the comfort level of the trainee. Once each area has been screen/reviewed the respective criterion will be complete.

IND Determination
- See myIRB Section VII.B 1 – 8


- Investigational New Drug number required vs. not
- Review the FDA Combined products guidance documents: http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm122047.htm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read materials that address a given area</td>
<td>List studies Screened that address each given area</td>
<td>Date Re-read material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IDE Determination

- See myIRB Section VII.B 20-26


  - Definitions and Acronyms; Approval Process; Responsibilities; Application; Reports; IRB: Informed Consent; Financial Disclosure; Early/Expanded Access; Enforcement of Good Clinical Practice Regulations; Import/Export of Investigational devices; FAQ about IDE; IDE related topics; IDE guidance
- Significant vs. Non-significant Risk Devices vs. Exempt Devices
- General Device Advice FDA page is: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080202.htm

Difference between HHS and FDA regulations

- Re-read 45 CFR 46 with all its subparts
- Re-read 21 CFR 50 with its subparts
- Review the chart that outlines the differences between FDA and HHS regulations found on the FDA website at http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/SpecialTopics/RunningClinicalTrials/educationalmaterials/ucm112910.htm
- Discuss the difference and the application of each regulation your train/manager.

---

**Level 1 Assessment 5 Quiz**

**LEVEL 1 ASSESSMENT 5** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Understanding of the following elements of approvability are tested

- IND determinations
- 21 CFR 312 and 21 CFR 314
- IDE determinations
- 21 CFR 812 and 21 CFR 814

**ASSESSMENT** - based on a passing score of 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## Level 1 – OTHER REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS: CONFLICT OF INTEREST, MULTI-SITE RESEARCH, GRANT, RESEARCH DESIGN AND ASSURANCE

### In some areas there is reference information that must first be read by the trainee before a study can be screened or reviewed to see how this criterion is applied. This can be done as a class or in a group setting with standard mock studies. This can also be done in an intensive 3 week training program. When screening or reviewing a study, the trainee should check off the areas covered. The number of studies that need to be screened and reviewed depends on the issues in each study and the comfort level of the trainee. Once each area has been screen/reviewed the respective criterion will be complete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conflict of Interest</th>
<th>Read materials that address a given area</th>
<th>List studies Screened that address each given area</th>
<th>Date Re-read material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Read the Conflicts of Interest: Conflict of Interest Policy; Conflict of Interest and Clinical Research Policy on the Vice Chancellor for Research website at <a href="http://research.wustl.edu/PoliciesGuidelines/Pages/WUSTLPoliciesGuidelines.aspx">http://research.wustl.edu/PoliciesGuidelines/Pages/WUSTLPoliciesGuidelines.aspx</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Review the Personal Conflict of Interest guideline on the IRB website under <a href="http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu/reviewers/reviewing_guidance.aspx">http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu/reviewers/reviewing_guidance.aspx</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On the OHRP website under Investigators review the Financial Conflict of Interest Policy from HHS at <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study has an adequate plan to manage information and communication for multi-site research when WU is the lead site or provides study-wide services.

- On the OHRP website, review IRBs and Assurances at: [http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/assurances/index.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/assurances/index.html)
- On the IRB website under Community Engaged Research (CEnR) Program, under WU Researchers, review Obtaining and Assurance and Requesting WU as the IRB of Record.
- See myIRB Section VIIA 10-17 (Basic Project Information)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If the study is funded by NIH or another federal agency, and WU is the prime awardee site, is the grant consistent with the protocol?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With your manager go over the following to determine what it is, when it is used, and what IRB/IRB does with the document, if anything.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Grant –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In addition to the above questions, review the various grant mechanisms on the NIH website at: <a href="http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/funding_program.htm">http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/funding_program.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Of those listed on a grant who is considered engaged in human subjects research and needs to be listed on IRB submissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Subcontract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fee for Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Research Design has Scientific or Scholarly Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• On the OHRP website, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a> review the guidance listed under Protocol Review groups information addressing the categories and criteria for approval of human subjects research under the HHS regulations, including guidance on exempt and expedited review determinations and continuing review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On the OHRP website, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a> review the guidance listed under Checklists &amp; Decision Trees groups decision charts and checklists that have been developed for the IRB community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On the OHRP website, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html</a> review the guidance listed under Biological Materials &amp; Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
groups OHRP’s guidance addressing issues such as research using human subjects data and biological samples, and application of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) in research.

- Read the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association [www.wma.net](http://www.wma.net/en/20activities/10ethics/10helsinki/))
- On the IRB website, review the Local Research Review (outside of the US)
- Read basic information about study designs: [http://hsl.lib.umn.edu/biomed/help/understanding-research-study-designs; http://galton.uchicago.edu/~thisted/courses/315/lectures/0297.pdf](http://hsl.lib.umn.edu/biomed/help/understanding-research-study-designs; http://galton.uchicago.edu/~thisted/courses/315/lectures/0297.pdf)
- See myIRB Section I.7, I.8 (Project Summary and Research Question), Section VII.E (Methods), IX.2 (Benefits to Society) and XI. (Data Analysis)

### Assurances

- On the OHRP website, [http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/index.html), review the guidelines pertaining to Institutional Issues groups documents that will be of particular concern to institutions, such as management of an IRB and conduct of IRB meetings, determination of institutional-level engagement in human subjects research, and institutional reporting requirements.
- With your manager, discuss Engagement in a research study
  - OHRP guidance on engagement
  - Federalwide Assurance
  - Individual Investigator Agreement
  - IRB authorization Agreement
  - Individual Volunteer Agreement
  - WU-SLU umbrella agreement
  - Human subjects education needed
  - HIPAA training/implications
  - Letter of agreement vs. Code access agreement vs. e-mail of agreement
  - Data use agreement – what is this and when does this change engagement in the study?
Level 1 Assessment 6 Quiz

**Level 1 Assessment 6** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Understanding of the following elements of approvability are tested
- Conflict of interest
- Adequate plan to manage information and communication for multi-site research when WU is the lead site or provides study-wide services
- Grants and other funding mechanisms
- Research Design has Scientific or Scholarly Validity
- Assurances

ASSESSMENT - based on a passing score of 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Level 1 Reviewer Forms**

**Reviewer Forms** – Trainee should go over the Reviewer Forms to familiarize him/herself with the forms and what is included in each.

After completing the Criteria for Review, go over the Reviewer Forms to see how they would be used in conjunction with the Criteria and with studies under review. Discuss any questions with the trainer.
- New Protocol Submission
- Continuing Review Submission
- Modifications
- Unanticipated Problem
- Pregnant women
- Neonates (non-viable or of uncertain viability)
- Children
- Prisoners
- Deception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>TRAINEEVERIFIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Met With Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Level 2 Procedure Overview

**PROCEDURE OVERVIEW** – This is to orient you to the specific procedures you will use as an analyst.

- The trainee will review the procedure outline located here: G:\Policies and Procedures\Procedures
  Date completed:
- The trainee will meet with the trainer to discuss and answer questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>TRAINER VERIFIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Met With</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Level 2 myIRB Electronic Application Guide and Screening

**SCREENING AND myIRB APPLICATION GUIDE TRAINING** – This is to orient you to the screening process and use of the myIRB Application Guide. The expected timeline for reaching placement into Rotation (Step 3) on all submissions is 6 months.

- The trainer will review purpose and goals of the myIRB application guide with the trainee.
- The trainer and trainee will step through the screening process of a recently approved, pre-selected study or a mock study specifically designed for training purposes.
- The trainee will read through 20 recently approved studies to get a feel for the screening process and workflow from receipt until approval. Once complete meet with trainer to discuss questions. Date Completed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>TRAINER VERIFIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Met With</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Level 2 Contingency Training

CONTINGENCY TRAINING - This is to orient you to the screening process and use of the myIRB Application Guide as tools to determine study contingencies sent in preparing a study to be scheduled for full board review.

- **New Studies:**
  - Step 1 - The trainee will be assigned studies for screening in conjunction with the trainer. Volume will be determined by the trainer. The trainer and the trainee will screen the study separately then review together before sending out contingencies. Responses will be reviewed with the trainer to determine if any additional information is requested. Once complete the study will be routed for scheduling.
  - Step 2 - The trainee will be placed in the rotation for new studies. Prior to sending questions to the researcher the trainee and trainer will discuss the study and review questions to make sure all issues have been identified. Responses will be reviewed with the trainer as needed based on complexity.

- **Modifications**
  - Step 1 - The trainer and trainee will screen a modification together reviewing the key points to consider when screening modifications.
  - Step 2 - The trainee will screen the modification and then meet with the trainer to review questions prior to sending to research team. If there are not questions, the trainee will meet with trainee to review the modification to prior to sending for scheduling.

- **Continuing Reviews and Modification/CRs**
  - Step 1 - The trainer and trainee will screen a continuing review together looking at the key points to consider during the screening process.
  - Step 2 - The trainee will screen the continuing review and then meet with the trainer to review questions prior to sending to the research team. If there are no questions, the trainee will meet with the trainee to review the continuing review to prior to sending for scheduling.

- **Reportable Events**
  - Step 1 - The trainer and trainee will screen a reportable event together looking at the key points to consider during the screening process.
  - Step 2 - The trainee will screen the reportable event and then meet with the trainer to review questions prior to sending to research team. If there are no questions, the trainee will meet with trainee to review the reportable event prior to sending for scheduling.

Level 2 Assessment 1 Mock Protocol

A MOCK OR PRE-SELECTED PROTOCOL IS USED TO ASSESS THE ANALYST’S ABILITIES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Levels 2 Placed into Rotation

**SCREENING, MYIRB APPLICATION GUIDE, AND CONTINGENCY TRAINING** – Once Step 3 is reached the trainee will be placed into rotation

- **New Studies:** Step 3 - The trainee will remain in the rotation and trainer will be available for questions as needed.
- **Modifications:** Step 3 - The trainee will screen modifications and trainer is available for questions as needed.
- **Continuing Reviews and Modification/CRs:** Step 3 - The trainee will screen continuing reviews and trainer is available for questions as needed.
- **Reportable Events:** Step 3 - The trainee will screen reportable events and trainer is available for questions as needed.

## Level 2 Assessment 2 Placed into Rotation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA NEEDING IMPROVEMENT (SPECIFY)</th>
<th>PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
<th>NOT SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Trainer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Level 2 Meeting Dynamics

**MEETING DYNAMICS** – This is to orient the trainee to various committee personality as well as set-up and tear-down of the committee meetings

- Attend a New Member Training session
- Observe 2 – 3 committee meetings just to see how the members interact with one another
  - Note what the IRB Chair for the meeting does or does not do.
  - Note what the Administrative Representative does or does not do.
- Discuss meeting dynamics with your Manager/trainer, Coordinator for that meeting, and possibly the Executive Chair.
- Review Meeting Set-up and Tear-down with your Manager/trainer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETING OBSERVED</th>
<th>DISCUSSED WITH MANAGER/TRAINER OR OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Level 2 Minutes Training

**Minutes Training** – This training will involve familiarization with the procedures for creating, taking and disseminating minutes, along with one-on-one experience writing minutes for actual studies being reviewed. This trainee can be the third notetaker, comparing notes to those being taken for the meeting. The trainee will be placed into the rotation for committee coverage no sooner than 6 months after the start of employment.

- Review procedures for minutes that includes:
  - How to create pre-minutes
  - Taking minutes during the meeting
  - Creating minutes after the meeting and sending to the researcher
  - Sending final minutes to the committee

- Attends full board meetings for one month to take notes and listen to discussion
  - Dates of meeting attended:

- Goes over any issues/questions that arise at the meeting with the trainer
  - Dates of meeting for which questions arose:

- Reviews the minutes from the meetings observed. Directs any questions to the trainer.
  - Dates for which minutes were reviewed:

- Develops unofficial minutes to the meetings which are reviewed by the trainer
  - Dates of meeting for which unofficial minutes developed:

- Develops official minutes to the meetings attended.
  - Dates of meetings for which official minutes developed:

## Level 2 Assessment 3 Minutes Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Needing Improvement (Specify)</th>
<th>Plan for Improvement</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Not Satisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Level 2 Lead Analyst Training

**Lead Analyst Training** — This is to orient you to the specific duties of the lead analyst for committee meetings.

- The trainer will review the responsibilities of the lead analyst with the trainee. These responsibilities can be found within the Pre-Meeting Prep procedure.

## Level 2 Assessment 4 Lead Analyst duties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Needing Improvement (Specify)</th>
<th>Plan for Improvement</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Not Satisfactory</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Trainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEVEL 3 INTERMEDIATE TRAINING

TO BE COMPLETED AFTER THE FIRST 9 MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT UP TO 48 MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT.
### Level 3 Community Engaged Research, International Studies, Psychology

| Community Engaged Research Studies, include St. Louis Community/ University Health Research Partnership grant background/information, IRB process for handling CEnR studies, FWA/IIA/IAA issues, human subjects education training issues, engagement of sites, methodology used, various types of CEnR studies such as HealthStreet, WU PAARC. IRB numbers: ___________________________ |
| Review and discuss an **International Study** including consent, translated consents, qualified translators, local context review, ethics committee/government approvals, Embargos, international FWAs. IRB number: ___________________________ |
| **Psychology protocol**, include consent document, debriefing documents, protocol/submission, Experimetrix, psychology pool information, terminology, coercion/undue influence and how handled, recruitment methods. IRB number: ___________________________ |

### Level 3 Assessment 1 Mock Protocol

A **Mock or pre-selected protocol is used to assess the Analyst’s abilities.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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Level 3 Industry Sponsored, NCI Cooperative Group, CIRB Reviewed

Review and discuss an Industry sponsored protocol, include investigator’s brochure, device pamphlet, consent document, protocol, MedWatch reporting document, terminology, FDA regulation and what that means, reporting requirements, IRB number: ____________________________

Review and discuss a NCI cooperative group protocol include sample NIH consent document, protocol, reporting requirements, toxicity requirements for determining adverse/serious adverse events, notifications, how cooperative groups function, funding mechanisms. IRB number: ____________________________

CIRB reviewed studies, what this means, what is looked for, what is CIRB and how it can be used. https://www.ncicirb.org/

Level 3 Assessment 2 Mock Protocol

A MOCK OR PRE-SELECTED PROTOCOL IS USED TO ASSESS THE ANALYST’S ABILITIES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Trainer</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Level 3 Humanitarian Use Device, Emergency Use, Single Patient Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review and discuss a <strong>Humanitarian Use Device study</strong>, what are they, why are they reviewed by the IRB, what should be submitted for review, what types of consent are acceptable, when does a HUD become an investigational device and need an investigational device exemption, how to make modifications to a HUD. Discuss off-label use of the HUD. HUD information is available from the FDA at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/DevelopingProductsforRareDiseasesConditions/DesignatingHumanitarianUseDevicesHUDS/UCM283504.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/DevelopingProductsforRareDiseasesConditions/DesignatingHumanitarianUseDevicesHUDS/UCM283504.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB number: ____________________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and discuss the <strong>Emergency Use</strong> of an investigational drug or device. Review IRB procedures. FDA information can be found at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126491.htm">http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126491.htm</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and discuss <strong>Single Patient Treatment with an Investigational Drug or Device</strong>. FDA information can be found at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDevice/InvestigationalDeviceExemptionIDE/ucm051345.htm">http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/HowtoMarketYourDevice/InvestigationalDeviceExemptionIDE/ucm051345.htm</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level 3 Assessment 3 Mock Protocol**

A MOCK OR PRE-SELECTED PROTOCOL IS USED TO ASSESS THE ANALYST’S ABILITIES.
Level 3 Genomics or GWAS, Non-Human Subjects Activities and Not Engaged


Review Non-Human Subjects and Not Engaged activities that come into the IRB.
- Read the OHRP Guidance on Engagement: http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/engage08.html
- Read the NIH Decision Trees: http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/policy/checklists/decisioncharts.html
- Case studies/case reports. On the HRPO website under Guidance at http://Hrpohome.wustl.edu/study_team/guidelines/casestudyguideline.rtf
- Training Grants
- Overall approvals
- Quality assurance projects
- Program evaluations

Level 3 Assessment 4 Mock Protocol

A MOCK OR PRE-SELECTED PROTOCOL IS USED TO ASSESS THE ANALYST’S ABILITIES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE RE-READ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Trainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Level 3 Distinguish the Difference,
Department of Education and Department of Defense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distinguishing the Difference. You should already be familiar with the terms below. If not, take time to review each term. Once you have learned the definition and use for each term, it is time to learn how they are different from one another and to learn which the clinical terms are and which the research terms are. As these terms are often interchanged but have different meanings, the goal is to tell them apart, know when a submitter is really referring to the research term and what that research term entails. A discussion regarding each should take place with the Manager/Trainer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Source Document vs. IRB submission material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clinical chart/record/document vs. Research chart/record/document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clinical consent vs. Research consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- HIPAA training vs. Human Subjects Research Training vs. Responsible Conduct of Research Training vs. Environmental Health and Safety Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clinical terminology vs. Regulatory/research terminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investigator’s point of view vs. IRB point of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Research participant vs. third party vs. no third party vs proxy consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exempt 2 vs. Expedited 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exempt 4 vs. Expedited 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PI collects data/specimens vs. wants access to data specimens and was collaborator vs. wants access and was not collaborator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waiver of consent vs. Waiver of authorization vs. partial waiver of authorization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Non human vs. human subjects research vs. exempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Modification vs new study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expedited review vs referral to full board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New study is needed vs a modification to an existing study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- QA/QI vs Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) 34 CFR Parts 76 (Participation of Students Enrolled in Private Schools); 97 (Protection of Human Subjects); 98 (Student Rights in Research, Experimental Programs and Testing); 99 (Family Educational Rights and Privacy),

Department of Defense (DoD) regulations relevant to human subjects research:
Level 3 Assessment 7 Quiz

**LEVEL 3 ASSESSMENT 4** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

- Understanding of the following and being able to distinguish between the two often confused items:
  - Source Document vs. IRB submission material
  - Clinical chart/record/document vs. Research chart/record/document
  - Clinical consent vs. Research consent
  - HIPAA training vs. Human Subjects Research Training vs. Responsible Conduct of Research Training vs. Environmental Health and Safety Training
  - Clinical terminology vs. Regulatory/research terminology
  - Investigator’s point of view vs. IRB point of view
  - Research participant vs. third party vs. no third party vs proxy consent
  - Exempt 2 vs. Expedited 7
  - Exempt 4 vs. Expedited 5
  - PI collects data/specimens vs. wants access to data specimens and was collaborator vs. wants access and was not collaborator
  - Waiver of consent vs. Waiver of authorization vs. partial waiver of authorization
  - Non human vs. human subjects research vs. exempt
  - Modification vs new study
  - Expedited review vs. referral to full board
  - New study is needed vs a modification to an existing study
  - QA/QI vs Research

- 34 CFR Part 76
- Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)
- Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment (PPRA)
- DoD and OUSD (P &R) Specific and Unique Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT - based on a passing score of 70%</th>
<th>NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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# Level 3 Social Media, HIPAA Issues, How WU HRPP Works Together

## Uses of Social Media for research purposes
- Read the Washington University Social Media Guidelines issued by Medial Public Affairs at: [http://medschool.wustl.edu/policies/social_media_guidelines](http://medschool.wustl.edu/policies/social_media_guidelines)

## HIPAA Issues
- Business Associate Agreement – who handles this?
- Data Use agreement
- What is the covered entity at WU?
- When does HIPAA apply to a study?
- Can parts of a study be HIPAA governed and others not? E.g. Departments that live in and out of covered entity: Social Work, Institute for Public Health, Surgery
- How to know that the hardware/software proposed in a study for data collection is HIPAA compliant, if applicable.

## What are the various research offices at WU and how do they work together?

---

## Level 3 Assessment 8 Quiz

**Level 3 Assessment 5** - Satisfactory completion requires 70% or better on a quiz

Understanding of the following as it pertains to human subjects research
- WU Social Media guideline
- HIPAA Issues
- How the WU Human Research Protection Program (HRPP) works together.

ASSESSMENT – based on a passing score of 70%
### Level 3 On-Call: questions by phone

**ANSWERING GENERAL QUESTIONS BY PHONE (ON-CALL)** - INITIALS AND DATE INDICATE THAT DISCUSSION HAS TAKEN PLACE.

- Step 1 - Phone calls taken with Manager/Trainer (within first month of hire)
- Step 2 - Phone calls taken with direction from Manager/Trainer based on comfort level/knowledge of employee (should begin no later than second month of hire)
- Step 3 - Phone calls taken independently. Employee may refer to Manager/Trainer if he/she has questions or needs assistance. (should begin no later than third month of hire)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Trainer</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Level 3 SWAT: office hours

**ANSWERING QUESTIONS FOR GENERAL QUESTIONS – OFFICE HOURS AND SWAT** - INITIALS AND DATE INDICATE THAT DISCUSSION HAS TAKEN PLACE.

- Read all HRPO/IRB Guidance Documents on the website and/or all At-A-Glance documents, if available.
- Step 1: Face-to-face meeting with investigators done in conjunction with Manager/Trainer (within first month of hire)
- Step 2: Face-to-face meeting with investigators with direction from Manager/Trainer based on comfort level/knowledge of employee (should begin no later than second month of hire)
- Step 3: Face-to-face meetings done independently. Employee may refer to Manager/Trainer if he/she has questions or needs assistance. (should begin no later than third month of hire)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Trainer</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Level 3 Assessment Answering Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA NEEDING IMPROVEMENT (SPECIFY)</th>
<th>PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
<th>NOT SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>SATISFACTORY</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Trainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level 3 Re-Read Material in Levels 1 and 3. Mark the date the materials were re-read in the space provided in each applicable section.
Additional Reference Materials (if desired, these may have to be purchased at the employee’s expense):


- Clinical Research Resources: Training and Guidance for Regulatory Compliance series, [www.clinicalresources.com](http://www.clinicalresources.com)

