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Recent research has indicated that developmental changes in the personality traits of neuroticism and impulsivity correlate with changes in problem drinking during emerging and young adulthood (Littlefield, Sher, & Wood, in press).

However, it remains unclear what potential mechanisms, or mediators, could account for this correlated change.

Drinking motives (i.e., drinking to cope and drinking for enhancement) have been posited as mediators of the relation between personality and alcohol involvement (Kuntsche et al., 2005; 2006).

Recent work indicates the change in drinking motives track with changes in alcohol involvement from adolescence to young adulthood (e.g., Cooper et al., 2008), though the relation between changes in drinking motives and personality has not been established.

The current study examined changes in drinking to cope and drinking for enhancement as potential mediators of the relation between personality change and the "maturing out" of problematic alcohol involvement.

The study assessed changes in personality (neuroticism and impulsivity) during college years, and longitudinally examined the relations between the changes in personality and changes in alcohol involvement.

The study also included assessments of drinking motives (i.e., coping and enhancement) to determine whether changes in these motives mediate the relation between changes in personality and alcohol involvement.

**Introduction**

- Recent research has indicated that developmental changes in the personality traits of neuroticism and impulsivity correlate with changes in problem drinking during emerging and young adulthood (Littlefield, Sher, & Wood, in press).
- However, it remains unclear what potential mechanisms, or mediators, could account for this correlated change.
- Drinking motives (i.e., drinking to cope and drinking for enhancement) have been posited as mediators of the relation between personality and alcohol involvement (Kuntsche et al., 2005; 2006).
- Recent work indicates the change in drinking motives track with changes in alcohol involvement from adolescence to young adulthood (e.g., Cooper et al., 2008), though the relation between changes in drinking motives and personality has not been established.

**Present Study**

- The current study examined changes in drinking to cope and drinking for enhancement as potential mediators of the relation between changes in personality (i.e., impulsivity and neuroticism) and changes in problematic alcohol involvement.

**Method**

- **N = 489 first-year college students (Age = 18.2) at freshman year of college (53% women; 52% paternal history of alcoholism)**
- Six follow-up assessments at ages 19, 20, 21, 25, 29, and 35
- **Personality**
  - Assessed at ages 18, 25, and 35
  - Neuroticism: Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (1975)
  - Impulsivity: Items from the Tridimensional Personality Questionnaire (1995) and Eysenck Personality Inventory (1968)
- **Motive**
  - Assessed at ages 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 29, and 35
  - Coping and enhancement motives were assessed using items adapted from the Short Michigan Alcoholism Test (SMART; Selzer, 1975) and the Family History—Research Diagnostic Criteria (FH-RC; Endicott et al., 1978)
  - Enhancement included one item (i.e., "I drink to get high")
- **Problematic Alcohol Involvement**
  - Assessed at ages 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 29, and 35
  - A sum of 27 items consisting of both negative consequences associated with drinking and symptoms related to alcohol dependence used criteria from the MAST
  - Family history of alcoholism (FH) assessed at baseline with the Short Michigan Alcoholism Test (SMART; Selzer, 1975) and the Family History—Research Diagnostic Criteria (FH-RC; Endicott et al., 1978)
  - Participants diagnosed with a positive or negative FH

**Parallel Process LGM**

**Neuroticism, Motives, & Alcohol Problems**

- Neuro. I. \( \rightarrow \) Neuro. S.
- Coping I. \( \rightarrow \) Coping S.
- Alch. I. \( \rightarrow \) Alch. S.
- \( \beta_1 \), \( \beta_2 \), \( \beta_3 \), \( \beta_4 \), \( \beta_5 \)
- \( \alpha_i \), \( \alpha_s \)
- \( \tau_i \), \( \tau_s \)
- \( \alpha_i \), \( \alpha_s \)

**Impulsivity, Motives, & Alcohol Problems**

- Imp. I. \( \rightarrow \) Imp. S.
- Coping I. \( \rightarrow \) Coping S.
- Alch. I. \( \rightarrow \) Alch. S.
- \( \beta_1 \), \( \beta_2 \), \( \beta_3 \), \( \beta_4 \), \( \beta_5 \)
- \( \alpha_i \), \( \alpha_s \)
- \( \tau_i \), \( \tau_s \)
- \( \alpha_i \), \( \alpha_s \)

**Analysis**

- Parallel process latent growth modeling (LGM) was utilized to examine the potential mediating variables of changes in drinking motives intervening in the relation between the antecedent variables of changes in personality and the outcome variable of changes in alcohol involvement (see Parallel Process LGM).
- Mediation analyses were conducted separately for neuroticism and impulsivity.
- In order to assess specificity, the respective motive growth factors were controlled for the alternative motive growth factors.
- Family History and Sex were included as a control variables in all analyses.

**Results**

- **Neuroticism**
  - Coping motive slope was a significant mediator between neuroticism slope and alcohol involvement slope (Indirect Effect = .12, 95% CI = .01, .27).
  - Conversely, enhancement motive slope was not a significant mediator between neuroticism slope and alcohol involvement slope (Indirect Effect = -.03, 95% CI = -.10, .02).

- **Impulsivity**
  - Coping motive slope was not a statistically significant mediator between impulsivity slope and alcohol involvement slope (Indirect Effect = -.09, 95% CI = .00, .23)
  - However, changes in impulsivity significantly predicted changes in coping motives and the direct effect of changes in impulsivity on changes in alcohol involvement was significantly reduced.
  - In contrast, enhancement motive slope was not a significant mediator between impulsivity slope and alcohol involvement slope (Indirect Effect = .01, 95% CI = -.06, .03).

**Discussion**

- Parallel process latent growth modeling indicated that change in coping (but not enhancement) motives mediated the relation between changes in neuroticism and alcohol involvement as well as the relation between changes in impulsivity and alcohol involvement.
- Individuals who decreased in neuroticism and/or impulsivity were more likely to decrease in coping motives, and in turn more likely to make decreases in problematic alcohol involvement.
- Findings suggest that change in coping motives is an important mechanism in the relation between personality change and the "maturing out" of problematic alcohol involvement.