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St. Louis July 31 1846

Dr. Beaumont

Sirs

I inclose you the May and July numbers of the St. Louis Medical & Surgical Journal, containing my paper on Mary Hugans case, read before the court, and that of T. J. White, &c. Please go me the favor of examining these papers, & give me your opinion of the correctness of my statements, the veracity of Mary Hugans affidavit, and the credibility of T. J. White, and other assertions. If your recollection extends to the conduct of Mr. White or others in the case in 1840, please state your impressions thereof, and also, if the request be deemed proper, give me your impressions of Mr. White's general conduct as a professional gentleman, and his conduct towards yourself in this, and other transactions, that would illustrate his malicious sense of conduct, particularly adding your convictions of his agency in the late suit against you of Mr. Adcock, or any other matter illustrative of or bearing on his published slander.

With sentiments of regard & esteem,

I am truly I respectfully yours,

Thomas Rayburn
St. Louis August 12th 1846

Sir,

Mr. Beaumont

Dear Sir,

In addition to information requested in my letter dated July 31st, do me the favor of stating whether you did not call with Dr. Adkison, light and the late Mr. Smith in 1840, on the Rev. Mr. Lighty did he not apologize for his course in Mrs. Hughes case, and admit that his assistant acted properly in the case.

Repeating the trouble I am giving you I remain truly and respectfully yours,

James De

Thomas Rayburn
Dr. Raynham 1846

Dear Sir,

I have the honor to address you with a view to the following matters:

1. Regarding the present state of the Agricultural Society.
2. The need for a meeting to discuss the future plans.
3. The importance of modern farming techniques.

I believe that these topics are of utmost importance for the progress of our community.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

P.S. Enclosed is a proposal for a new grant to support the society.
Saint Louis, Aug 10th, 1846.

Dr. Thomas Rayburn,

Dean Sir,

In reply to yours of the 31st ult.,

writing as much as possible, still feeling of participating interest in prejudice, I may be supposed to have entered upon the broad, abstractive into which I have been thrown, in the controversy with Lamborgan and others.

I am constrained, by a strict sense of duty to yourself, to warn you to community in general, and to the Medical faculty in particular, to say, that in my view, your

testimony upon the Barnes case, as published in the St. Louis Medical and Surgical Journal, and read before the Court, on the trial of this case, is not perfectly correct, candid and compatible with pathology. It is, as well in the general knowledge, as in the particular opinion, that it has been founded upon a considerable fact, and most of them, and, admirably adapted to the circumstances of the case, and the proceedings therein, (embraced confusion of perfect professionalism, in fact, and personal bearing of yourself), as the Repl. and of the befoul and judge of Dr. Adeney, as the attending physician and surgeon, and also of the Dean, which Orkney, and Abnormalities of the Author of Remarks on your Report and Examinations before the Court, as accurately published, in the Medical Journal for Oct. 1846, and the actual and actualization and actualization of the Court, that upon your examinations and remarks insaid Report, upon the character, conduct, disposition and conduct of those, which you have lately, and self-appointed, and absolute authority, any further notice from you, for any other purpose, or principal part of the body, and correct and establish the easy credibility, and erroneous impressions of communities, and for exposure and exhibit, the character, the disreputable and unworthy men of this vicinity. The evidence so graphically drawn, was not merely

accept, not to be felt, and recognised, the gentleman fitted to closely, to be a witness, to lastly, cast off in disguise, to gallop, and try to shift the blame, and

very natural. To admire. The city of perfection, and
appeal to the stimulating sympathies and seek, by the support of pious zeal, and work of charity, to the best advantage of the distressed and the suffering. If the professional to sustain himself in this rising condition is also very natural, and virtually a pleasant character and, acknowledged. The gentlemen's picture just shown for a group. But the prime object of all the groups and individuals, with the power of the sympathy of the people, with its power, other, self-sufficing in the background, were actually ignorant and interested in the order, but an own fact. Bede-were present, being having turned "state evidence" against him. And the other great, principle of gaining constitutional effects of the lesion, to support the charges, and now leave the person to defend himself as well as the man, from the talking and ministerial desire of public that passion and evil temptation, in expectation of the foregoing suggestions, I have only to say, that I was instructed by Dr. Adrion to attend a young woman, at the time of the most complete dependence of her disease, a few days after the, as her attending physician, had permitted the victim, and in this region, the right, of the vaginal region, that I then and there examined, it thoroughly detected another, my savior, or vestage of cancer; but finding an upper, small abscess, which protrudes, through the bladder, into the abdomen, discharging offiment and prominent matter, clearly demonstrating to any present at the time, its real and definite nature, which again, you were accurately described, and illustrated in your report of the case. For the Medical society? I do the case with Dr. Adrion, and Dr. Adrion, and Dr. Adrion, and Dr. Adrion, and Dr. Adrion. His description of the symptoms and nature of the dissolution, and corresponding with my own vision at the time, I fully approved. Of the course of treatment of the case, Adrion had occasion to advise an enlargement of the course, and the patient, at the time, that any dissatisfaction was felt, or culpable, towards Dr. Adrion. By and by, Dr. Adrion, in his attendance, Dr. Adrion appeared industrious, and attentive, and his treatment was skillful and correct. He continued his usual attendance the man. I believe, and I saw the nor heard any adverse of the case, nor adverse, and the patient at the Ambulance, and reports had been plat.
in circulation, and Dr. White, Dr. John, Dr. Lodge, Dr. Prentiss, had attended themselves upon S. A.'s patient.

The case came up to practice, gratuitously, given the opinion of the Williamson, and coroner's treatment of the complaint—alarming the patient, and causing a fume and report in the neighborhood about Dr. Adams having performed a hernia and cut an intestine, and made proposals and offers to meet the surgeon from his charge. After this edict, no opposition had shaped itself, and Dr. Adams had continued his attendance and treatment of the case. I heard no more of the subject, excepting occasionally from Dr. Lodge, remarks and on the conclusion of a hernia, and cutting a gall-bladder.

About two years after the time the report was read before the Medical Board, about this time, Dr. Adams called at my office and told me that a claim against him for oralpractice, damage, and the loss of his health, had been made upon him. He had no doubt the claim had been intended to be this to Dr. White, from whom a motive of hatred and ill will towards him that the fear of this ought to give him trouble, and that if they did the Court was in such a position as to acknowledge and testify for the defense in the prompt advance of which was activity and cheerfulness, and asked that if the Court would see to the interests of its proceedings for I did not believe that it would even proceed to prosecute such an absurd and vindictive design. From this time, I heard no more for some eight or twelve months, perhaps, when the sheriff issued process upon one to answer to the demand of Dr. Sugar for damages to the amount of $10,000 for surgical and oralpractice in conjunction with Dr. Adams, the request of which I could not consent to the demand of Dr. Sugar, for damages to the amount of $10,000 for surgical and oralpractice in conjunction with Dr. Adams, the request of which I could not consent to the demand of Dr. Sugar, for damages to the amount of $10,000 for surgical and oralpractice in conjunction with Dr. Adams, the request of which I could not consent to the demand of Dr. Sugar, for damages to the amount of $10,000 for surgical and oralpractice in conjunction with Dr. Adams, the request of which I could not consent to

I was not supposed to have been originally associated with Dr. Adams in the suit, but until frequently included in the docket in the declaration to defend the defense of my testimony before the Court. Dr. White was known to me, especially as an active in exciting the community and involving the attention of Medical men of this city to D. and C., Dr. Lodge, and Dr. Adams, pending
The commencement of the Trial, some of whom, to the discredit of the Profession, were too ready to lend their selves to such pitiful purposes and designs. As regards my own conduct and character, from what I have been heard and known of her, relative to the case in question, I could not consistently with fixed moral principles, and prudent discretion, place any reliance upon her statements or affidavits, whether gratuitously offered, or obtained under the compulsion of any oath. Nor have I any more confidence in the sworn and written evidence of her putting forward in this case. With best wishes for your success and prosperity, I am Sir, 

Yours respectfully,

[Signature]

My Dear Sir,

[Signature]
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