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Three-dimensional “deep-etch” electron microscopy (DEEM) resolves a longstanding controversy concerning poxvirus morphogenesis. By avoiding fixative-induced membrane distortions that confounded earlier studies, DEEM shows that the primary poxvirus envelope is a single membrane bilayer coated on its external surface by a continuous honeycomb lattice. Freeze fracture of quick-frozen poxvirus-infected cells further shows that there is only one fracture plane through this primary envelope, confirming that it consists of a single lipid bilayer. DEEM also illustrates that the honeycomb coating on this envelope is completely replaced by a different paracrystalline coat as the poxvirus matures. Correlative thin section images of infected cells freeze substituted after quick-freezing, plus DEEM imaging of Tokuyasu-type cryo-thin sections of infected cells (a new application introduced here) all indicate that the honeycomb network on immature poxvirus virions is sufficiently continuous and organized, and tightly associated with the envelope throughout development, to explain how its single lipid bilayer could remain stable in the cytoplasm even before it closes into a complete sphere.

Introduction

One of the triumphs of early EM was the discovery that poxviruses package their genetic material into membranous spheres that form deep in the cytoplasm of infected cells, rather than by budding from the cells as do most other enveloped viruses (Wyckoff, 1951; 1953; Melnick et al., 1952). More marvelous still was the ensuing discovery that the spherical poxvirus envelopes form progressively by expansion of crescent-shaped precursors that display the same curvature as the final spheres, but start off incomplete and open to the cytoplasm (Bang, 1950; Gaylord and Melnick, 1953; Bernhard et al., 1954; Morgan et al., 1954, 1955). This very unusual form of membrane biogenesis has turned out to be quite novel, although it is seen in modified form in many of the large icosahedral DNA viruses that also form in the cytoplasm of infected cells (Smith, 1958; Breese and Deboer, 1966; Yule and Lee, 1973; Nunes et al., 1975; Mathieson and Lee, 1981; Meints et al., 1984, 1986; Brookes et al., 1998; Cobbold et al., 2000; Iyer et al., 2001; Van Eten et al., 2002; Stasiak et al., 2003). Certainly, no host-cell membranes have ever shown this pattern of biogenesis.

Even more intriguing is the fact that according to most electron microscopists, including all the original observers of poxvirus formation and many microscopists still working today, the composition of the growing crescents and the final spheres is a single membrane bilayer (Dales and Siminovitch, 1961; Dales, 1963; Patrizi and Middelkamp, 1968; Dales and Mosbach, 1968; Pogo and Dales, 1969, 1971; Harford et al., 1972; Tripier et al., 1973; Morgan, 1976). This defies the common wisdom that all biological membranes form closed compartments, and must do so because a single membrane would expose a ragged, hydrophobic edge to the cytoplasm, which presumably would be intrinsically unstable.

Faced with this apparent dilemma, several studies of poxvirus morphogenesis sought data and EM images that would show that the poxvirus crescents and spheres are actually composed of two closely opposed membrane bilayers, as would result from complete collapse of the lumen of a closed compartment (Sodeik et al., 1993; Krijnse-Locker et al., 1996; Ericsson et al., 1997; Salmons et al., 1997; Griffiths, Roos et al., 2001; Sodeik and Krijnse-Locker, 2002). Unfortunately, the EM images obtained to date that argue for this simpler two-membrane model have not been entirely convincing. Moreover, the deep-etch EM (DEEM) images presented in this report confirm the original conclusion that the initial poxvirus envelope is indeed a single lipid bilayer. These are derived primarily from “quick-freeze, deep-etch” replicas, but also from thin sections of infected cells that were also quick frozen rather than chemically fixed as is usually done.
The challenge thus remains of how to explain how such an individual membrane can be stable in the cell and how it can grow (Hollinshead et al., 1999; Husain and Moss, 2003). These questions are provisionally answered here by the additional finding that the poxvirus envelope is uniformly and tightly coated by a meshwork of protein that forms a confluent honeycomb lattice on its convex surface: a lattice with a fixed and constant radius of curvature. It has long been known that this envelope has on its external surface what have been termed “spikes” (Stern et al., 1977; Dales et al., 1978; Mohandas and Dales, 1995; Risko et al., 2002). However, not until en face images of this envelope could be obtained by DEEM was it possible to fully appreciate that these so-called spikes are in fact the edge views of a fully confluent, continuously interconnected honeycomb lattice. Thus, we can now appreciate how this special protein coat could effectively “template” and stabilize the single membrane of the nascent poxvirus.

Results

Cross fractures through viruses at all stages of development

Fig. 1 diagrams the stages of viral morphogenesis that will be depicted in the images presented here, and displays the names currently used for each stage. Freeze fractures or cryosections through viral factories in cells infected overnight (Fig. 2, A–C) reveal all stages of virion development, from short arcs or “crescents” that represent the earliest stages of IV development, to closed spheres that represent the completion of IV development, to more condensed brick-shaped profiles that represent the mature intracellular virions (IMVs). Fig. 2 (A and B) compares freeze etchings of unfixed MVA-infected avian cells versus aldehyde-fixed WR-infected mammalian cells. (No structural differences of any sort can be discerned between viruses grown in these two cell lines, or between these two strains of virus.) In contrast, Fig. 2 C presents a survey view of a Tokuyasu-type cryosection made from a pellet of aldehyde-fixed WR-infected mammalian cells, where the cryosection has been freeze dried and replicated rather than viewed in the usual way (Tokuyasu, 1973). This yields images of virogenesis that look almost indistinguishable from standard DEEM images (Fig. 2, compare A with C). The only noticeable difference is that the added steps needed to prepare for cryosectioning make the cytoplasm look even more coarse and “microtrabecular” than does aldehyde fixation alone (Heuser, 2002). (To appreciate the effect of aldehyde fixation per se, B can be compared with A in Fig. 2.)

In any case, all such views illustrate that cross fractures (or Tokuyasu cross cuts) of the outer envelope of immature vaccinia virions yield an unusually thick lamina compared with other cross fractured membranes. The IV envelope measures 15–20-nm thick rather than the usual 7–10 nm of a standard intracellular membrane, due to the fact that it bears discernable mass its external or convex surface (Fig. 3, A–C). However, this added mass does not appear to represent a second membrane, because it looks too discontinuous or “studded”. By comparison, Fig. 3 (D and E) illustrates that intracellular organelles known to be double membraned like mitochondria (Fig. 3 D) and even the host cell envelopes that secondarily engulf vaccinia virions as they mature (Fig. 3 E) invariably do display their double-membrane nature after freeze fracture. (Both panels D and E of Fig. 3 include cross fractured IVs in the same field, to further demonstrate this critical difference.) Likewise, comparable thin sections of quick-frozen and freeze-substituted cells (Fig. 4) confirm that the outer envelope of the vaccinia virion can be resolved into a ~7-nm electron-lucent central layer sandwiched between two electron-dense layers, the outer one looking much thicker and spiky looking on IVs and looking irregular on IMVs. Again, this envelope looks very different from cytoplasmic double membranes like mitochondria, as illustrated in the bottom, magnified panels of Fig. 4.

Cross fractures of viral cores

Cross fractures also yield images of the cores in all the developmental forms of vaccinia virions, which vary widely in consistency, from only a little denser than the surrounding viroplasm in IVs, to very dense and compacted in IMVs, with all degrees of condensation in between (Fig. 2, A–C). Most notable is the distinct discontinuity observed between the uniformly granular interior of the IV and the distinctly laminated interior of the IMV, where a second complete layer can be discerned inside the outer envelope. This second layer is particularly apparent in Tokuyasu cryosections (Fig. 2 C), simply because an ultramicrotome with a diamond knife is more
successful at “cross cutting” IMVs than is the standard freeze-fracture microtome. Nevertheless, this second layer is still clearly visible in clean freeze fractures of IMVs in totally unfixed cells (Fig. 5, top). Thin sections of freeze-substituted cells confirm that this inner lamina is the so-called “palisade” layer of the IMV, generally considered to be the surface of the virion “core” proper (Fig. 4; Easterbrook, 1966; Muller and Williamson, 1987; Dubochet et al., 1994). Thin sections of quick-frozen IMVs such as Fig. 4 also match closely all earlier images of chemically fixed virions (Dales and Siminovitch, 1961; Dales, 1963; Morgan, 1976; Muller and Williamson, 1987), showing in suitable orientations two slightly denser “lateral bodies” in the viral “periplasm,” in between the palisade layer of the core and the outer envelope of the virion. Although these lateral bodies are only barely discernible in the freeze-fracture images, on the basis of a slight difference in physical consistency (Fig. 5, top), still their existence can be convincingly inferred from the overall shapes of unfixed IMVs, which in suitable orientations appear embossed on their surfaces by these lateral bodies (Fig. 6, bottom). Not depicted are corroborative DEEM images we have obtained of purified vaccinia virus cores generated by detergent extraction of isolated IMVs, in which case the lateral bodies are retained on the surface of the cores and thus are fully exposed and immediately apparent. They are clearly not an artifact, as one group once claimed (Dubochet et al., 1994).

Surface views of intracellular viruses’ “honeycomb coats”

Unique to the deep-etch technique is its ability to display the surface features of intracellular membranes and membrane coatings. Such features are essentially invisible in traditional thin sections and cannot even be retrieved EM tomography, due to the chronic problem of the “missing wedge” in all tomographic datasets (Heuser, 2001). In the case of vaccinia virus, this unique capability of DEEM displays to advantage the standard features seen on the surfaces of IMVs (Fig. 6, B and C). These have been recognized for decades (Dales, 1962; Noyes, 1962a,b; Harris and Westwood, 1964; Nermut, 1972; Muller and Williamson, 1987; Ikoma et al., 1992). However, DEEM reveals in addition a wholly new and unexpected feature of the surface of the IV. Namely, it is not covered by a discontinuous
layer of spikes, as appeared in earlier thin sections (Stern et al., 1977; Dales et al., 1978; Mohandas and Dales, 1995; Risco et al., 2002), but is in fact covered by a confluent honeycomb lattice. This lattice is visible even in the low magnification survey views of Fig. 2 (A–C), but is seen to better advantage at higher magnification as shown in Fig. 7.

No doubt, this lattice appeared spiky in earlier thin sections because it is so tightly applied to the exterior of the virus, and because at certain viewing angles its facets align and reinforce in an EM image. The only previous hints that this coating could possibly be a honeycomb lattice came from a couple of glancing thin section images of it published earlier (Mohandas and Dales, 1995; Risco et al., 2002), plus an obscure freeze-etching paper published by Hung et al. (1980), who caught a glimpse of what they described in the legend to their Fig. 1 as “some tiny crystalline structures....with a hexamer-like subunit arrangement.” We can now appreciate that these authors were correct in interpreting these tiny hexamers as being glimpses of something “on the presumptive surface of a virus particle” (Hung et al., 1980). Current DEEM now shows clearly the full expanse of this impressive honeycomb lattice, which is seen equally well on unfixed IVs of MVA (Fig. 2 A and Fig. 7), as it is on aldehyde-fixed freeze fractures of WR (Fig. 2 B) or Tokuyasu-type cryosections of WR (Fig. 2 C). As usual, it is barely visible in thin sections of freeze-substituted material because it is only 10-nm thick, and thus is obscured by the 100–200-nm total thickness of the plastic section (Fig. 4).

Close examination of the vaccinia viruses’ surface honeycomb reveals that it is remarkably similar in construction to the honeycomb lattice that surrounds clathrin-coated vesicles, except that it is much more compact and multifaceted (Fig. 7). The number of facets in the vaccinia virion lattice is at least an order of magnitude greater than in clathrin-coated pits (the facets being just 40% the size of those in the clathrin lattice and...
the virion being more than two times the diameter of a coated vesicle or four times its surface area). Additionally, the vaccinia virion lattice is somewhat less regular than the clathrin lattice and less obedient of classical geodesic construction principles (e.g., the standard alteration of five- and six-sided facets, etc.; Buckminster-Fuller and Applewhite, 1975). That is, many more lattice-discontinuities are seen in the vaccinia virion honeycomb than in the clathrin lattice. The bottom panels in Fig. 7 illustrate that its honeycomb displays many more pentagon/hexagon dislocations that were ever discerned in growing clathrin-coated pits (Heuser, 1980, 1989; Steer and Heuser, 1991). Because all such honeycombs are built out of trimeric elements located at each vertex of the lattice, we can conclude that there must be more variability in the lateral interactions of the vaccinia virion trimers than in the interactions of clathrin triskelia. This presumably reflects the simple fact that the vaccinia virion lattice is so compact compared with clathrin, so the lateral interactions of the trimers in its lattice must be much more limited in extent than the complicated interactions that exist amongst the very extended 645-kD “triskelia” of the clathrin lattice (Heuser and Kirchhausen, 1985; Kirchhausen et al., 1986; Heuser et al., 1987; Heuser and Keen, 1988; Nathke et al., 1992; Prasad et al., 1994).

Despite these dislocations, the nascent poxvirus honeycomb coat must be sufficiently confluent and interlocked to be relatively rigid, because even the smallest pieces of it (on the surface of the shortest arcs of developing IVs; compare Fig. 3, top) already display a remarkably fixed radius of curvature \( R = 0.14 \pm 0.02 \, \mu m \), which closely matches that of the complete IV sphere. (IVs are remarkably uniform in diameter at \( D = 0.3 \pm 0.03 \, \mu m \).) Apparently, this degree of curvature is built into the honeycomb network as it associates with the virion membrane. (In a subsequent report, we will show that overexpression of the pure coat protein in cells, in the absence of membrane association, forms instead totally flat honeycombs with no lattice discontinuities or pentagons.) Other indications of the relative rigidity of the vaccinia virion lattice is that IVs do not swell when infected cells are swollen (or even burst) by exposure to distilled water (not depicted); nor do they collapse when cells are grossly shrunken by the 2M sucrose used to prepare Tokuyasu-cryosections (Fig. 2 C). (This fixed-curvature aspect of the poxvirus lattice again differs significantly from the honeycomb lattices of clathrin seen in cells. Due to the relatively extended nature of their component triskelia and the high degree of overlap of these triskelia, clathrin lattices display a wide range of curvatures and are capable of forming endocytic vesicles with a wide variety of sizes and shapes (Heuser, 1980, 1989; Heuser et al., 1987; Steer and Heuser, 1991; Nathke et al., 1992; Prasad et al., 1994).

Figure 4. Semithin plastic sections of cells infected overnight with MVA, then quick-frozen from life and freeze substituted. Top (3-D): Survey view of a field of IVs with one selected and color coded with membrane in yellow and core in blue. Second (3-D): Survey view of a field of IMVs with one selected and color coded with membrane in yellow and core in two shades of blue, separated by its palisade layer in red. (Top triptych, not 3-D) Higher magnification of portions of IVs showing their single surrounding membrane (yellow) with hints of spikes on their external surfaces. (Middle triptych) Equally high magnifications of portions of IMVs showing the characteristic separation between their outer limiting membrane (yellow) and their palisade layer (red). (Bottom triptych) Portions of three freeze-substituted mitochondria at the same high magnification showing the characteristic thickness of their two membranes and the characteristic separation between them. Bar: (top 3-D views) 0.3 \, \mu m; (triplyts) 0.1 \, \mu m.
Surface views of the IMV

The honeycomb surface of IVs apparently completely disappears by the time the poxvirus matures into an IMV. Instead, intracellular IMVs appear more roughly textured or corrugated on their surfaces (Fig. 6 A). However, IMVs are extremely difficult to image in situ by DEEM, simply because the surrounding cytoplasm of the cell clings tenaciously to them and thus obscures their surfaces. This makes it all the more remarkable that the surfaces of IVs inside the cell are so easy to see, and their honeycombs appear so clean. Apparently, this is because the honeycomb repels cytoplasm from its surface. Fig. 6 B illustrates that comparably clean images of IMV surfaces can only be obtained by freeze-drying IMVs that have been released from CEVs during a natural cycle of infection. These latter virions display a characteristic corrugated appearance, due to a close-packing on their surfaces of short “railroad tracks” composed of parallel rows of particles. On the vaccinia virions studied here, these railroad tracks run only short distances and intersect with each other in a haphazard pattern. They have only occasionally been glimpsed before (Medzon and Bauer, 1970; Nermut, 1972, Muller and Williamson, 1987). However, on certain other poxviruses such railroad tracks form beautiful helical arrays, as on Orf and Pseudocowpox viruses (Nagington and Horne, 1962; Horne and Wildy, 1963; Nagington et al., 1964, 1967; Mitchiner, 1969; Spehner et al., 2004.) Fig. 6 C illustrates for comparison an IMV released from a vaccinia-infected cell by the standard protocol of cell-rupture and trypsinization, after which
the organization of these railroad tracks becomes so haphazard and disorganized that they are barely recognizable. In this condition, the IMV has traditionally been described as having “mulberries” on its surface, not railroad tracks (Dales, 1962; Harris and Westwood, 1964; Muller and Williamson, 1987; Ikoma et al., 1992).

Freeze fracture of the envelopes of intracellular virions

The opportunity to image poxvirus surfaces greatly facilitates the interpretation of the underlying membrane “fracture faces” they yield. Such faces result from splitting the lipid bilayer roughly through its center during the freeze-fracturing procedure. With immature poxviruses, this invariably yields two roughly complementary sets of faces, convex and concave (Fig. 8 A). Convex faces are invariably found in regions that are obviously carved out of the surface-honeycomb of IVs (Fig. 8 B). In three-dimensional (3-D) views, it is clear that they represent 10–15-nm downward steps (presumably through the full thickness of the honeycomb and half of the lipid bilayer, as diagrammed in Fig. 8 A). In contrast, concave fracture faces look like isolated fragments of split membrane that are raised slightly above the surrounding cytoplasm (Fig. 8 C). Presumably, they sit upon the remaining segments of their external honeycomb (not visible because this honeycomb is now directly underneath the concave fracture face, again as diagrammed in Fig. 8 A).

Both IVs and IMVs fracture in the aforementioned manner, with only minor differences in the texture of the membrane faces thus exposed, as can be seen by comparing Fig. 8 (B and C) with the freeze fractures of IMVs shown in Fig. 9. It is worth pointing out here that the convex and concave fracture faces of vaccinia virions never look truly complementary, as they ought to if they are indeed the opposite halves of a single membranous structure. However, this disparity has always been seen in freeze fractures of biological membranes, and has long been interpreted as representing some degree of “plastic deformation” of internal membrane components during fracturing (despite the fact that fracturing is typically done at $>-100^\circ$C; Steere, 1957; Zingsheim, 1972; Fisher and Branton, 1974). Indeed, it has even been seen in the small handful of previous freeze-fracture studies of vaccinia virions that have been published (Medzon and Bauer, 1970; Easterbrook and Rozee, 1971; Hung et al., 1980; Risco et al., 2002). In the case of vaccinia virions, this incongruity is typically manifest as long bars, 0.1 μm.
threads that stretch out in random orientations on the concave fracture faces (Fig. 8 C), and dense granularity over the entire expanse of the convex faces (Fig. 8 B), neither of which is properly represented by complementary features on the opposite fracture face.

Not seen in any single instance, however, are any additional planes of fracture through the outer envelopes of either IVs or IMVs. In fact, no additional planes of fracture were ever described in any earlier freeze-fracture study of poxviruses (Medzon and Bauer, 1970; Easterbrook and Rozee, 1971; Hasegawa et al., 1974; Hung et al., 1980), although one study claimed to glimpse them but without adequate documentation (Risco et al., 2002). Such additional planes of fracture or “steppes” would definitely be expected if either IVs or IMVs contained of more than one membrane. Also not seen in any single instance were any direct physical connections between developing IV crescents and any adjacent host-cell membranes (Figs. 2–4). Indeed, DEEM confirms that poxvirus factories are remarkably devoid of other cellular membranes, as has long been appreciated from all earlier EM studies (Dales and Simi-
Deeper cross fractures into IMVs

Bearing importantly on the one membrane/two membrane conundrum being addressed here are the profound structural changes occur in the interior of the IV as it matures. These include a condensation of its core into a dense, relatively “brick”-shaped structure that is surrounded by a ~10-nm-thick “clear zone”. In traditional thin sections, this clear zone is discernable because it is bordered by narrow bands of electron-density (Fig. 4, red highlighting). This has led some investigators to conclude that, in fact, this is the virion’s second “unit membrane”, appearing in a new guise in the IMV (Risco et al., 2002; Sodeik and Krijnse-Locker, 2002). Even the most recent EM tomographic study of vaccinia virions reached this conclusion (Cyrklaff et al., 2005). To explain the mysterious appearing act of this membrane inside the already closed IV envelope, its proponents have had to argue that it was there all the time: namely, as the second membrane of the collapsed cisternae, but that it was so closely opposed to the membrane with the external spikes on IVs that it couldn’t be properly discerned until condensation of viral core drew it inward (Sodeik et al., 1993; Krijnse-Locker et al., 1996; Pedersen et al., 2000; Griffiths, Wepf et al., 2001; Sodeik and Krijnse-Locker, 2002).

If this were so, then we should certainly have been able to demonstrate here a second fracture plane within the IMV (Fig. 9), even though we failed to find any second fracture plane in the IV (Fig. 8). Being now physically separate from the outer envelope of the virus, this putative inner membrane should have been easier to fracture and easier to see. Moreover, we should have expected to see some sort of pattern of intramembrane particles on its fracture faces, if it had existed. This is because several earlier EM studies have shown that the clear zone around the core of IMVs is punctuated by a very distinctive array of “spicules”, which has lead to its having been named the palisade layer (Easterbrook, 1966; Muller and Williamson, 1987; Dubochet et al., 1994). Such a palisade would surely be expected to yield some sort of regular pattern of intramembrane particles on the fracture face of the membrane to which it attached (again, if such a membrane existed).

However, in no case did we obtain any fracture face of this palisade layer; all it ever yielded were cross fractures of the sort shown in Fig. 5 (top). This was true regardless of whether we fractured complete IMVs in situ or in vitro. Thus, we have no reason to believe that the palisade layer or clear zone of the IMV contains any lipid membrane at all. This fits with the fact called “intramembrane particles” (which presumably represent transmembrane proteins). Clearly visible on each convex fracture is a step up that marks the transition between the fracture face and the true surface of the IV, where its honeycomb lattice is apparent. (C) Gallery of IV concave fractures. As with the convex fractures above, we have never seen any hint of any jump into what might be a second, closely opposed membrane in the IV envelope. Unlike the convex views, concave fracture faces display only a few intramembrane particles, but mostly randomly oriented fibrils or “stubs” of fibrils, typical of the “plastic distortion” that accompanies freeze fracture even at temperatures below ~100°C. Bars, 0.1 μm.
that the appearance of the “membrane” under the palisade layer is unaltered by detergent extraction (Dubochet et al., 1994). Moreover, it fits with our finding that free viral cores delivered into newly infected cells during viral fusion retain their palisade layer and still look like they possess a bilayer when viewed in thin sections, even in freeze-substituted cells; but they still do not freeze fracture as they would if they contained any membrane. Even when thus free in the cytoplasm and no longer surrounded by the IMV envelope, vaccinia cores still invariably cross fracture, and never display any fracture faces (Fig. 5, bottom).

**Discussion**

The most salient conclusion of this new approach for imaging poxvirus morphogenesis is that at all stages of development, its envelope appears to consist of a single lipid bilayer reinforced on its convex surface by a thick, confluent protein coat. Initially, this coat takes the form of a continuous honeycomb-lattice tightly applied to the convex surface of the virus. During maturation from the IV to the IMV form, this honeycomb coat disappears and is replaced by an even thicker but less obviously regular protein coat. At no time during development does the envelope of the virus appear, or behave during freeze fracture, as if it possesses a second membrane. Other examples of biological structures that are known to possess double membranes, like mitochondria, plant thalakoids, rod outer segment disks, and even Gram-negative bacteria, invariably display both of their membranes during freeze fracture, because the fracture-plane steps occasionally and randomly between one and the other membrane (Bullivant and Ames, 1966; Clark and Branton, 1968; Fisher and Branton, 1974; Roof and Heuser, 1982; Roof et al., 1982). Likewise, in the most intimately opposed of all membrane arrays, those found in the myelin sheaths of nerves, oblique freeze fractures invariably step through each layer without skipping any, or if they do skip they leave behind double-thick steps (Black et al., 1988; Cullen, 1988; Hasegawa et al., 1988; Rosenbluth, 1988, 1990; Meller, 1990, 1998; Shirasaki and Rosenbluth, 1991; Fujimoto et al., 1996; Maxwell et al., 1999). Not so with vaccinia virus!

Proponents of the two-membrane collapsed-cisternae model of poxvirus formation would thus have to argue that one of the two membranes, and always the same one, refuses to fracture. This would not only be unprecedented for a biological membrane, but would defy two additional observations presented here: namely, (1) that in freeze-substituted material, still only one membrane is visible (Fig. 4); and (2) in none of the

![Figure 9. Freeze-fractured IMVs inside infected cells, illustrating that the fracturing properties of IMVs are basically the same as those of IVs in Fig. 8. Convex fractures are above and concave fractures below. Deep etching is to the left and minimal etching is to the right. Reduced etching has no effect on the appearance of the fracture faces, but obviously eliminates the glimpses of true surface normally seen around the circumferences of convex fractures. Still, these glimpses look just like the surfaces of the whole IMV seen in Fig. 6 A.) Again, IMVs present only one fracture plane in every instance; hence, they too must be surrounded by only one membrane. Bar, 0.1 μm.](image)
technical approaches used here were any connections of the developing crescents to membrane “loops” or to other closed cellular compartments ever observed (Fig. 3, top left). Instead, developing crescents invariably end abruptly, like old railroad spurs that run off into the grass and stop (Ferlinghetti, 1955).

The only way to explain how such a single railroad track unit membrane could exist free in the cytoplasm is to imagine that it is stabilized by a confluent protein coat, and that is exactly what has been found here. The external spikes long seen on the developing viral crescents and the completed spherical IVs, and long thought to be composed primarily of the protein p65 (D13L; Baldick and Moss, 1987; Miner and Hruby, 1989; Zhang and Moss, 1992; Sodeik et al., 1994; Vanslyke and Hruby, 1994), turn out to be the thin-section representation of a continuous honeycomb lattice, only seeming to be discontinuous and spike-like due to vagaries in the superimposition of its lattice lines in different planes of sectioning and viewing in the EM. Thus, the prescient conclusion of Dales et al. (1978), the first investigators to observe the external spikes on IVs (Stern et al., 1977; Mohandas and Dales, 1995) are entirely justified and explained by the present observations. They concluded that the spike layer stabilized the crescent membrane and imposed a curvature upon it, and they stressed that when the spikes were prevented from associating with the developing IVs by the drug Rifampicin, the IV membrane became floppy and tended to close up upon itself. This latter observation has been confirmed many times, and has been highlighted by many demonstrations of just how promptly crescent formation resumes upon washout of Rifampicin—again, with the crescents invariably displaying the spike layer as soon as they begin to reform (Moss et al., 1969; Grimley et al., 1970; Nagayama et al., 1970; Pennington et al., 1970; Follett and Pennington, 1971; Grimley and Moss, 1971; Moss et al., 1971; Pennington and Follett, 1971). In a subsequent report, we will use the imaging techniques introduced here to confirm that the external lattice is indeed D13L, that D13L is indeed a trimer, and that D13L is indeed capable of polymerizing into a continuous, self-supporting honeycomb (unpublished data).

The observations reported here recapitulate in many ways the history of the discovery of the clathrin lattice around endocytic vesicles (Pearse, 1975; Heuser, 1980). The clathrin coat was first perceived by thin section EM, where transverse cross sections made it look like a set of “peg-like projections” (Roth and Porter, 1964). The occasional glancing section of a coated pit suggested that these projections might be cross-sectional views of a honeycomb lattice (Bowers, 1964). Then DEEM finally provided clear end face views that confirmed it was a honeycomb lattice and confirmed that it curved via the insertion of pentagons (Crick and Watson, 1956; Caspar and Klug, 1962), and that it displayed pentagon/heptagon lattice defects in regions of irregularity (Heuser, 1980). Likewise with the coat on poxviruses, it was first perceived in thin section EM as a set of spike-like projections (Dales, 1963), gave hints in glancing thin sections that it could be a honeycomb (Mohandas and Dales, 1995) and finally has been shown by DEEM to indeed be a complete honeycomb lattice that closely coats the primary envelope of the poxvirus throughout its period of generation. Moreover, the IV coat displays pentagons in regions of maximal curvature and an abundance of pentagon/heptagon lattice defects in other regions. Fundamental differences between these two lattices include the fact that the clathrin lattice is built of highly extended molecules that contact each other over relatively large distances, thus making a very flexible and open meshwork capable of assuming a wide range of curvature, and of even capable of changing curvature after assembly (Heuser, 1989), whereas the poxvirus coat is presumably built of small globular units (trimers of the 65-kD D13L protein) that form a compact lattice that appears rigid and of fixed curvature, thereby “templating” the constant size of the virion.

Finally, the major conclusion reached in this study, namely that the developing IV envelope is a ragged-edged unitary bilayer membrane, raises the challenge for future research to determine how the building blocks of the IV envelope, many of which are apparently manufactured in the ER, can manage to get transferred to such a freestanding membrane. Among the many different mechanisms that might be envisioned, the following possibilities are worth consideration: (a) piecemeal assembly from side-to-side association of individual proteolipid complexes, which might float around free in the cytoplasm and bind to the inner surface the D13L lattice as it grows; (b) growth from “T”-shaped membrane junctions that viral crescents might form at their free edges with closed cellular compartments like the ER (Fig. 10); or (c) sequestration of viral lipids and coat components in one particular domain of host cell ER, which at some point converts from a closed compartment to an open crescent by the rupture of some opposite, noncoated portion of its surface.

We favor the second possibility because there seems to be an interesting precedent for such a mechanism in the biogenesis of lipid droplets. Lipid droplets are currently thought to be surrounded by monolayers of phospholipids whose hydrophobic acyl chains face inward toward the cores of triglyceride. If so, they are topologically equivalent to single-membrane bilayers lying free in the cytoplasm, but with a lot of extraneous fat trapped between the leaflets of the bilayer (and with no free edges). Current thinking is that lipid droplets form and grow by generating T-junctions with the ER (Fujimoto et al., 1996;
Materials and methods

Cells and viruses

BS-C-1 or BS-C-40 cells (immortalized African green monkey kidney cells) were grown on small 3 x 3 mm glass coverslips in DME with Earle’s BSS and 10% FCS (HyClone Inc.) and infected with the WR strain of vaccinia virus, which lacked any confusing fracture faces (either because they do not form during sectioning with a diamond knife, or because they do not survive the freeze thawing.)

Cryopreservation of infected cells

At appropriate times, the MVA-infected QT6 cells on coverslips were briefly rinsed in serum-free, Heps-buffered Ringer solution (1–5 min) and promptly quick frozen by abrupt application (slammimg) of the coverslip onto a liquid helium-cooled copper block with our standard Cryopress (Heuser et al., 1979; Heuser, 1989). This could only be done with MVA, because quick-freezing is likely to preserve virus infectivity, and deep etching can only be done in an open P1 facility. For comparison, WR-infected BSC40 cells on coverslips initially maintained in a P3 facility were chemically fixed with 3% glutaraldehyde in an isotonic Hepes-buffered Ringer solution for 2 h, after which they could be transferred to our P1 quick-freeze facility and frozen as above.

Replicating and imaging deep-etched or freeze-dried samples

Coverslips were stored in liquid nitrogen (LN2) until mounting in a Balzers FEEM-III cryoTEM operated at 100 kV and imaged at two different degrees of tilt (±10°) with our standard TEM, equipped with swinging buckets containing special inserts to hold the Eppendorf tubes for cells and virions above. This yielded remarkably clean cuts through infected cells which looked roughly equivalent to freeze-fractured cells, but which lacked any confusing fracture faces (either because they do not form during sectioning with a diamond knife, or because they do not survive the freeze thawing.)

Comparison with thin sections of freeze-substituted or cryosectioned material

To obtain images more immediately comparable to earlier electron micrographs of vaccinia viruses, certain quick-frozen cell cultures were worked up for traditional thin sectioning rather than platinum replication. This involved standard freeze substitution at −85°C in dry acetone containing 5% OCS (Feder and Sidman, 1958), followed by embedding in Araldite epoxy resin, which after +60°C polymerization was thin sectioned at 0.1–0.2 μm thick (to provide added depth), then imaged in 3-D as described above for replicas.

Finally, an additional cross-correlation was provided by generating Tokuyasu-type cryosections of vaccinia virus-infected cells, and then imaging these by DEEM rather than the usual way. This involved first generating standard cryosections of infected cells; e.g., the cells were pre-fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde at 4°C for 5 min before quick-freezing. This Ringer’s solution was mildly acidified because this dramatically enhances poxvirus fusion.
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