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Latest estimates indicate that nutritional deficiencies account for 3 million child deaths each year in less-developed countries. Targeted nutritional interventions could therefore save millions of lives. However, such interventions require careful optimization to maximize benefit and avoid harm. Progress toward designing effective life-saving interventions is currently hampered by some serious gaps in our understanding of nutrient metabolism in humans. In this Personal Perspective, we highlight some of these gaps and make some proposals as to how improved research methods and technologies can be brought to bear on the problems of undernourished children in the developing world.

Introduction

Malnutrition, in its various guises, represents the greatest modifiable threat to global health and survival. This is especially so among children in the poorest nations of the world, where malnutrition and infections act in hand in hand to create a self-reinforcing downward cycle of tissue depletion and lowered resistance to disease. Many of the solutions are already known and require political will, economic advancement, and operational research to achieve a resolution. In the interim, many international agencies are focusing attention on combating micronutrient deficiencies that lead to so-called “hidden hunger,” since these are potentially amenable to short-term resolution. However, there remain a host of unsolved scientific questions that critically inhibit the development of such interventions that could potentially bring immediate health benefits and save millions of lives.

Space limitations preclude an exhaustive overview of the unknowns in the field. Instead, we present here a selective outline of some key research gaps, first emphasizing the global burden of childhood malnutrition. This discussion and a series of case studies of some unsolved nutritional issues serve as the foundation for proposing several challenges to the research community that, if overcome, we believe will lead to the development of interventions to combat nutrient deficiencies (see The challenge). We also hope that by posing here the challenges to overcoming a severe, but modifiable, problem of developing countries, we might help to create fresh momentum for the idea that advanced technologies and innovative approaches could help solve this age-old problem.

The global burden of childhood malnutrition

Childhood undernutrition is highly prevalent in low- and middle-income countries. Of all children born alive in such countries, 11% have suffered from intrauterine growth restriction (1). Wasting (a measure of acute malnutrition detected as a low weight-for-height) affects 10% of these children, or about 55 million individuals (1). Stunting (a measure of chronic malnutrition detected as a low height-for-age) is an even larger problem, affecting 31% of children in low- and middle-income countries — approximately 170 million individuals worldwide (1). Being underweight (low weight-for-age) can result from stunting, wasting, or both and is used by the UN as an indicator of progress toward its first Millennium Development Goal: to eradicate extreme poverty and hunger by 2015 (http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/). Globally, the proportion of children who are underweight declined modestly from 33% in 1990 to 27% in 2005. However, slow progress in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa forecasts that the first Millennium Development Goal will not be reached (2).

A recent analysis by the Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group (3) estimated that stunting, severe wasting, and intrauterine growth restriction together were responsible for 2.2 million deaths annually and 21% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYS), which are defined as the sum of the years of life lost due to premature mortality and the years of health and productivity lost due to disability for children younger than 5 years. Deficiencies of vitamin A and zinc were estimated to be responsible for 0.6 and 0.4 million deaths, respectively, and a combined 9% of global childhood DALYS. Iron and iodine deficiencies resulted in few child deaths, and combined were responsible for about 0.2% of global childhood DALYS. Iron deficiency as a risk factor for maternal mortality added 115,000 deaths and 0.4% of global total DALYS. Suboptimum breastfeeding was estimated to be responsible for 1.4 million child deaths each year and 10% of DALYS in children younger than 5 years. In an analysis that accounted for coexposure of these nutrition-related factors, they were together responsible for about 35% of child deaths and 11% of the total global disease burden (3). Undernourished children who survive to adulthood tend to have lower human capital, lower school achievement, reduced economic productivity, shorter adult height, and, for women, offspring with lower birth weight (4). To make things worse, children who suffered undernutrition in utero or in the first years of life, and who later gain weight rapidly — a common condi-
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The challenges

Challenge 1: To identify better and more comprehensive biomarkers of nutrient status in humans, as well as economic and accurate ways of measuring them.

Challenge 2: To expand the knowledge base with respect to host-pathogen competition for essential nutrients.

Challenge 3: To introduce additional rigor and sophistication into studies of the relationships between nutrient status and immune function.

Challenge 4: To emphasize large-scale prospective studies of nutritional contributors to infectious disease susceptibility, rather than post-hoc association studies.

Challenge 5: To characterize the significance of the human gut microbiome in modulating nutritional status, with a view to developing novel biomarkers of nutrient status and interventions based on manipulating the microbiota.

Challenge 6: To build the capacity to more rapidly translate basic discoveries related to the interrelationships between nutrient processing and metabolism, and variations in our human (and microbial) genomes, transcriptomes, proteomes, and metabolomes, into preventative and therapeutic interventions for children in the poorest nations of the world.

The complexities of nutritional metabolism

There are approximately 40 known essential nutrients. Each has generated a huge research literature, and when the potential interplay between nutrients is taken into consideration, the problem of defining their myriad effects on human physiology becomes apparent. In more recent years, attention has also focused on a large range of food-derived phytochemicals with diverse physiological roles whose health-protective effects have only recently started to emerge. These are just the biochemical complexities. There are also interactions between host genotype, diet, behavior, and social factors that ultimately govern diet-related disease patterns.

Zinc provides one example of some of the challenges involved in trying to understand diet-disease interactions. In humans, uptake of zinc from the diet and its subsequent distribution are regulated by a network of 24 known zinc transporter proteins (7). Zinc finger transcription factors play a key role in regulating our transcriptome, and knowledge of the fundamental role of zinc in gene replication and expression is advancing rapidly. Nonetheless, no precise mechanism has been identified by which zinc deficiency, or toxicity, might determine disease end-points of relevance to a child living in sub-Saharan Africa. Some randomized control trials in developing countries have shown that zinc supplementation can reduce morbidity and mortality resulting from diarrhea, pneumonia, and malaria, and, in some groups, can enhance growth (8). However, recent very large randomized placebo control trials in Nepal and Pemba, each with over 40,000 children enrolled, reported no benefits of zinc supplementation on either morbidity (as assessed in the Nepal trial) or mortality (as assessed in both trials) (9, 10). Evidence about the effects of zinc supplementation is therefore equivocal, and because it is based on empirical studies there remain numerous questions, including: What is the mechanism(s) of action? What are the optimal dose levels, frequency, and mode? What is the optimal chemical form of administration? What are the appropriate age groups for treatment? And what diseases are amenable to such treatment? Moreover, claims that zinc deficiency plays a critical role in depressed immune function, altered cognitive development, and teratogenesis still have not been conclusively substantiated.

Problems in assessing nutritional status

The design of preventative nutritional interventions optimally requires a reliable assessment of the micronutrient deficiencies likely to be suffered in a given area or population. For certain nutrients there are well-defined, clinically detectable sequelae of deficiency (e.g., goiter for iodine deficiency, xerophthalmia for vitamin A deficiency, and anemia for iron deficiency). These sequelae can be used as crude indicators of geographic hot spots of deficiency in resident populations. However, they only detect the extremes of deficiency, representing the tip of the iceberg of the full health burden, and for many nutrients no such clinical indicators exist.

As an aid to understanding the nature and consequences of nutritional deficiencies, Golden proposed a novel system for classifying nutrients as Type I and Type II, according to the body’s physiological responses to their deprivation (Figure 2) (11). In response to Type I nutrient deficiency, children’s bodies continue to grow and use up the stored nutrient, eventually leading to tissue depletion, metabolic dysfunction, and consequent ill health. The illness has characteristic signs and symptoms, so the deficient nutrient can be identified and remedied. Examples of some Type I nutrients are iron, ascorbic acid, and vitamin A. With type II nutrient deficiency, the response is for the body to stop growing and repairing tissue, in order to conserve the nutrient, or even to break down its own tissues to make the nutrient available. Other Type II nutrients are lost in the process. No characteristic signs or symptoms differentiate any Type II nutrient deficiency from another, and detecting deficiency is complex. It is therefore almost impossible to determine which nutrient is causing the growth failure. Examples of Type II nutrients are potassium, magnesium, zinc, and protein.

The conundrum of distinguishing cause from effect in studies of diet and disease

Interactions between nutritional status and infectious diseases are bidirectional: certain nutrient deficiencies can promote susceptibility to infection and accelerate disease pathology, whereas infections lead to deficiencies through suppression of appetite.
and cytokine-induced inhibition of nutrient absorption and tissue redistribution. The latter effects, characteristic of the acute phase response (APR), are generally assumed to be an active, evolutionarily selected part of the orchestrated host defense mechanisms designed to deprive microorganisms of nutritional substrate. For example, the APR results in massive changes in iron metabolism, with inhibition of intestinal absorption and iron release from hepatocytes and macrophages, resulting in a profound tissue redistribution. Systemic levels of zinc, selenium, retinol, riboflavin, pyridoxine, and ascorbate are also depressed by over 50%, and copper levels rise (associated with a rise in ceruloplasmin). These changes greatly confound the interpretation of associations between nutritional status and disease outcomes.

To date, most studies in developing countries have assessed nutritional status in individuals with existing disease and are thus very difficult, if not impossible, to interpret. In countries of the developed world, this problem of establishing direction of causality has been properly addressed in numerous studies of chronic disease outcomes by using large population groups in whom dietary, lifestyle, and biochemical assessments made at baseline are compared between subsequent disease cases and disease-free controls using a nested case-control design. There is an urgent need to apply such designs in less developed nations to identify the critical antecedent nutritional risk factors in relation to the major killer diseases: malaria, pneumonia, diarrheal illnesses, tuberculosis (TB), HIV, and life-threatening protein-energy malnutrition.

The intersection between host nutrition and the immune system

Undernourished children are profoundly more likely to die from a wide range of infections than their better-nourished counterparts (Figure 3) (12). Despite the widespread recognition of the implications of nutritional deficiencies on immune function, nearly all human studies have focused more on quantifying nutritional status than on dissecting the putatively associated immune responses. This reflects, in part, the difficulty of doing detailed functional immune assays in remote environments. For example, the literature contains innumerable studies of serum immunoglobulin levels and/or delayed hypersensitivity skin testing. In contrast, studies of the effects of nutritional deficiency on innate and adaptive immune responses to infectious agents are rare, and the possibility of nutritional supplementation improving responses to malaria, HIV, and TB remains elusive. We already know that the incidence of TB in the Western world decreased with improved nutrition and sanitation, but we do not know whether such improvement

Figure 1

Inequity in science budgets in relation to the global burden of childhood undernutrition. Although more than $70 billion are spent on health research and development by the public and private sectors each year, only an estimated 10% of this is used for research into 90% of the global burden of disease. For example, the amount of money spent on research into nutritional topics is far exceeded by the extent of the problem, which is responsible for 10%–20% of all lost DALYs globally. In these maps, countries are resized according to the prevalence of the indicator illustrated. Reproduced with permission from Worldmapper (http://www.worldmapper.org).

Figure 2

Differing pathophysiological responses to nutrient depletion. Nutritional deficiencies can be categorized as Type I or Type II according to the physiological responses of the body to dietary deficiency. In Type I deficiencies, growth continues in children, eventually resulting in tissues becoming depleted in the nutrient, leading to metabolic dysfunction and consequent ill health. As the illness has characteristic signs and symptoms, the deficient nutrient can be identified and remedied. With Type II nutrient deficiency, the response is for the body to stop growing and repairing tissue to conserve the nutrient, or even to break down its own tissues to make the nutrient available. Other Type II nutrients are lost in the process. No characteristic signs or symptoms differentiate one Type II nutrient deficiency from another, and detecting deficiency is complex. It is therefore almost impossible to determine which nutrient is causing the growth failure.
was secondary to changes in transmission or to improved nutrition, and indeed, whether these might have been interlinked (see “Case study 3”). There are numerous other knowledge gaps. For example, we need to know the influence of nutritional status on recall (anamnestic) as well as primary immune responses. We need to define in a more vigorous and rigorous way the relationships between maternal nutrition, immunity, and transplacental transmission of immunoglobulins. We need to understand the biological mechanisms contributing to early-life nutritional programming of immune function and survival. We need to know whether it is possible to modulate HIV transmission through nutritional means. And we need to know if we can augment the efficacy of future vaccines by accompanying nutritive measures.

**Host-pathogen competition for nutrients**

Micronutrient deficiencies enhance susceptibility to infection, so supplementation is frequently seen as beneficial in promoting resistance against infection. However, pathogenic microbes also require micronutrients for their growth. Therefore, nutritional interventions need critical evaluation to ensure that they do not benefit pathogens, thus causing disease exacerbation, activation of latent infections, and subsequently increased transmission rates (Figure 4).

Humans provide pathogens with habitats rich in essential nutrients. Consequently, a labile equilibrium is formed between host and pathogen (13). Mechanisms to sequester nutritive resources from pathogens are elementary parts of the mammalian host defense system, and in response, microbes have evolved diverse mechanisms for accessing nutrients from host sources. Although likely to be a rather universal phenomenon, details of host-pathogen competition are only known for a few micronutrients and a limited number of infections, and even for these exceptional cases, understanding is far from complete.

Probably the best-characterized trace element in this respect is iron, an essential factor for both host and pathogen (13). Microbes have high-affinity, multicomponent iron-uptake systems to compete with the host and inhabit intracellular habitats to access host iron reservoirs. Growth of many pathogenic bacteria, including species of *Yersinia*, *Salmonella*, *Mycobacterium*, *Chlamydia*, and *Legionella*, depends on host-derived iron (14). Consequently, iron overload in humans enhances susceptibility to these infections, as well as to malaria and AIDS, although in all cases the reasons for this effect are not well understood. This phenomenon has important implications for prophylactic iron supplementation. Clinically, anemia is considered a symptom requiring correction, and population-wide supplementation has been recommended based on some studies with beneficial outcome (15, 16). However, other studies revealed detrimental increases in the prevalence and severity of diarrhea, malaria, yersiniosis, salmonellosis, and TB (17, 18). Anemia can also be considered as an antimicrobial
response, and it has been proposed that a degree of anemia might represent an antiinfective adaptation (19). During inflammation, hepcidin, the hormone-like peptide and global regulator of iron homeostasis, causes anemia by decreasing intestinal iron uptake and promoting its sequestration inside macrophages (20). Other mechanisms also function to sequester iron from pathogens. For instance, lactoferrin helps to reduce biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and controls growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in iron-overloaded hosts (21, 22). Lipocalin-2 produced by epithelial cells and neutrophils binds bacterial-derived siderophores to recapture iron (23). IFN-γ downregulates expression of the transferrin receptor to limit intracellular iron (24). In summary, iron metabolism and bioavailability are tightly regulated, and increasing the free iron pool might correct anemia but at the same time promote pathogen growth.

Pathogenic microbes often exploit the host for small organic molecules required for living, or as precursors for key biosynthetic pathways. There remains much to be learned about these interactions. Some microorganisms have lost certain biosynthetic genes, becoming auxotrophic and fully dependent on their (human) hosts. *Chlamydia* illustrates this point. It is sometimes a tryptophan auxotroph, relying on the host for this amino acid. As a host counter-strategy, IFN-γ induces macrophages to express indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which catabolizes l-tryptophan to N-formylkynurenine, hence limiting its bioavailability and driving *Chlamydia* into latency (25). Intriguingly, genetic variants in the tryptophan biosynthetic pathway determine habitat specificity and distinguish genital and ocular strains of *Chlamydia* (26). Arginine is a nonessential amino acid that serves as a precursor for reactive nitrogen intermediates produced by macrophages. Arginine has therefore been recommended as an “immunonutrient” (27). However, bacteria such as biofilm-forming *Staphylococcus aureus*, intracellular *Listeria monocytogenes*, and the intestinal parasite *Giardia lamblia*, all of which can directly consume arginine using efficient transport systems, might be able to take advantage of supplementary arginine (28, 29). Moreover, arginine-derived NO is consumed in microaerobic respiration by *Neisseria meningitidis* and *P. aeruginosa* strains using NO reductases (30, 31). Similar issues are likely to apply to many micronutrients including other amino acids, zinc, copper, fatty acids, and cholesterol.

In conclusion, a deeper understanding is needed of the molecular basis of micronutrient effects on the innate and adaptive immune systems of both model organisms and humans as well as their exploitability by pathogens. This information should form the foundation for hypothesis-based clinical trials that examine the impact of micronutrient/trace element supplementation on the host-pathogen relationship.

**Understanding the contributions of our microbiome to nutrition**

Most of our interactions with microbes are mutually beneficial, not pathogenic. We are born “germ-free” — a mixture of human somatic and germ cells. Beginning at birth, microbes are added to these starting materials. The process of colonization of our body surfaces is complex, dynamic, and driven by mechanisms that remain obscure. Nonetheless, recent work has emphasized how acquisition of our microbiota is likely to reflect a confluence of “legacy effects” (the microbes we encounter following birth), and body “habitat effects” (how human genotypes, immune systems, diets, and other factors define the chemical climate of those parts of our body that become home to our indigenous microbial communities) (32). By the time humans reach adulthood, microbial cells (primarily bacteria) outnumber human cells by as much as an order of magnitude. Most of these bacteria reside in the distal gut, where their density approaches one trillion organisms per milliliter of luminal contents. A few notable facts have emerged from 16S rRNA-based surveys of the distal gut microbiota of individuals living in Western societies (33–35). There are marked interpersonal differences in community composition at the species level. Although representatives of 10 of the 70 known bacterial divisions were identified in this body habitat, more than 90% of all phylogenetic types (phyotypes) belonged to members of just two of these divisions — the Bacteroidetes and the Firmicutes.

All of the genes embedded in the genomes of our microbial partners together comprise our microbiome and may exceed the number of genes in the *Homo sapiens* genome by several orders of magnitude (36). It follows that individual physiologic phenotypes need to be considered as a coevolved blend of human and microbial traits, and that understanding the underpinnings of human biologic diversity means that we need to make a commitment to characterizing the shared, as well as individual, features of our microbiomes. The Human Microbiome Project (HMP) is a response to this quest for self knowledge. Its launch coincided with a changing focus in the field of microbiology — from studying the properties and professions (niches) of single phylotypes under conditions that exist in the laboratory to studying the operations of whole communities in their native habitats. This more ecologic emphasis is now possible, in large part due to the introduction of a new generation of massively parallel DNA sequencers. The result is what has been called a new field of science, metagenomics (36). Metagenomics embraces cultiva-
tion-independent, genome-anchored characterizations of intact microbial communities so that their assembly, composition, and operation can be defined at the level of their genomes, transcriptomes, proteomes, and metabolomes. Metagenomics should provide answers to a number of key questions such as, Do the interpersonal differences in human microbiota defined by 16S rRNA gene sequencing accurately portray the degree of diversity in the microbiome? How are microbiomes shaped by host genotypes, environmental exposures, including exposure to our mothers and other family members, and lifestyles, including diet? And do interpersonal differences in gut microbiome structure and function affect predisposition to malnutrition?

Some unsolved nutritional questions

The above discussion highlights some of the many areas in which we believe that international nutrition research could benefit from the infusion of fresh ideas and experimental rigor. To illustrate these points, we describe four case studies that provide examples of past deficiencies and future opportunities.

Case study 1: Universal vitamin A supplementation — success, but with qualifications. The story of universal vitamin A supplementation for children provides some good lessons of how, even in one of nutrition’s success stories, there could have been a more rigorous path to moving from observation to intervention could perhaps have been more rapidly addressed by an integrated, interdisciplinary basic and clinical research program that focused on how retinoids mediate host resistance to infection. Such an approach could have provided earlier insights into plausible mechanisms behind the large observed impact on mortality. This might have led to targeted interventions, in which specific retinoids with defined effects on host defense mechanisms might have been taken to clinical studies.

Although universal vitamin A supplementation is now accepted policy, the need for scientific clarity concerning its basic mode of action has never been greater. There is fresh controversy concerning optimal timing and dose levels, with recent suggestions that higher doses be given earlier in infancy (46) lacking support from experimental trials (47, 48). Moreover, there is evidence that vitamin A administration in the peripartum period has complex effects and, when taken daily, might actually enhance mother-to-child transmission of HIV (49, 50). There are also suggestions that vitamin A might have negative interactions with some routine childhood vaccines, leading to excess later mortality, especially in girls (51).

Case study 2: Iron — more harm than good in some children? Recommendations for universal iron supplementation in areas with high rates of anemia, promoted for the best of motives by many international agencies, have also highlighted the depths of our collective ignorance about the benefits and possible harm of iron. Iron is the most commonly prescribed therapeutic agent, yet, as described above, it has potential toxic effects and may promote growth of pathogenic microbes. Can we safely combat anemia, and the other developmental deficits caused by iron deficiency, through universal iron supplementation programs? This question has been thrown into sharp relief by the premature termination by a data safety monitoring board of a very large trial of iron supplementation in children in Pemba Island after they detected a statistically significant increase in serious adverse events (hospitalization and deaths) in the groups receiving iron (18). Since Pemba has holoendemic malaria, and since a similar trial conducted in Nepal found no detrimental effect of iron, it has been concluded that the result arose from a negative interaction between iron and malaria. Earlier data would support this view, but it is by no means secure. Where do we go from here? A subsample analysis from Pemba suggested that the harmful effects were confined to children who were relatively iron replete, and that supplementation of the most deficient children would be safe.

The Pemba trial raises numerous questions at both the basic science and operational levels. What is the explanation of the adverse outcomes? Is it really because iron has promoted malaria-related morbidity? If so, does it promote malaria or malaria-related septicaemia? Does this occur because supplementation involves non-physiologic bolus doses of highly absorbable iron, overwhelming the children’s iron-handling apparatus and resulting in non–transferrin-bound iron (NTBI) that is available to resident pathogens? If so, can we design better formulations for the safer delivery of iron? At the operational level, if we need to screen populations in order to target supplementation, we need new portable and reliable methods for assessing iron status.

Case study 3: Nutrition and TB — more unknown than known. TB is one of the most important human infectious diseases, with 1.7 million deaths and 8 million new cases annually. TB is generally accepted as being closely linked to nutritional status, yet there is a lamentable lack of a solid evidence base on which to incor-
porate nutritional interventions into clinical practice or community preventative strategies. Several reports have suggested protein energy malnutrition as a risk factor (52–54). Weight loss, cachexia, and anemia caused by inflammatory responses to the infection contribute further to the poor nutritional status of patients with TB. Serum zinc concentrations are below those of healthy controls, whereas copper concentrations are higher (55, 56). However, these changes are characteristic of the APR, and the studies fall foul of the reverse causality trap that can only be circumvented by prospective nested case-control studies. Recent work has also revealed a potentially important connection between vitamin D and innate immunity in individuals with TB. Vitamin D promotes expression of the anti-mycobacterial peptide, cathelicidin (57). Therefore, lower serum concentrations of vitamin D might contribute to diminished immunity against TB and provide a target for prophylactic as well as therapeutic correction by dietary vitamin D supplementation. We have found any other authoritative information.

Our final case study illustrates how host nutritional status can affect the basic biology of viruses. Beck and colleagues have demonstrated that host deficiencies in the antioxidant nutrients selenium and vitamin E allow mildly pathogenic strains of coxsackie viruses and influenza viruses to mutate into virulent strains (reviewed in ref. 58). The enhanced pathogenicity is genomically encoded and hence maintained when passed through nutrient-replete animals. These findings raise numerous related questions. With many pandemic viruses (e.g., HIV, influenza, Avian flu) originating in the poorest regions of developing countries, the question arises as to whether nutrient deficiencies have created conditions for the origination of novel pathogenic strains. There is an urgent need to assess these processes in humans and to extend the original work on viral mutations to other microorganisms. Emergence of exceptionally virulent drug-resistant strains of TB are assumed to be driven by a combination of poorly implemented drug regimens and coinfection with HIV, which lowers immunosurveillance of emerging strains, but host malnutrition might play a contributory role and merits investigation.

The way forward
The usual arguments used to persuade leading scientists to engage with issues affecting the developing world revolve around the philanthropic principle. This also drives the strategic deployment of funds by many private, governmental, and international agencies that are committed to sponsoring scientific programs designed to address global health issues. We contend that as global disease patterns increasingly merge, and because the basic science underpinning many nutrient-disease interactions can best be studied in “deplete” populations, there is an additional motive of self-interest in engaging with the problems of the poorest peoples of the world.

The more fully we understand the mechanisms linking diet, health, and disease, the more effective will be our ability to design optimal nutrient interventions. At this time of remarkable and explosive expansion in our ability to characterize our individual human genomes, transcriptomes, proteomes, and metabolomes as well as the impact of our environmental exposures and rapidly changing lifestyles on their operations, we cannot justify empirical guesses as to which nutrient interventions might benefit underprivileged children and adults whose lives are in jeopardy. The individual challenges set out in The challenges represent only a few among many areas in which international nutrition science could be strengthened. A theme linking these challenges is that they require a deeper incorporation of the concepts and experimental techniques of multiple scientific disciplines into the field of nutrition. We hope that this brief and self-critical survey of our field will stimulate interaction with investigators outside our field who are supremely qualified to contribute these techniques and expertise to studies of human nutrition.
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