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Abstract. Loss of T-cadherin expression has been reported in a number of human cancers. We previously reported that T-cadherin re-expression suppressed cell growth and motility in glioma. Here, we report that the T-cadherin expression was significantly decreased in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) compared to adjacent normal liver. In addition, T-cadherin expression in HCC with metastasis was significantly lower than in HCC without metastasis. To determine the mechanism underlying the reduced T-cadherin expression in HCC, we examined T-cadherin promoter methylation. We found that methylation of the T-cadherin promoter was present in 40% of HCC, but absent in all adjacent liver tissues. In the HCC with T-cadherin promoter methylation, the T-cadherin expression was significantly decreased compared to HCC without methylation. To provide a functional link between T-cadherin promoter methylation and T-cadherin growth regulation, we used the HepG2 hepatoma cell line that exhibits T-cadherin promoter methylation. Treatment of HepG2 cells with the demethylating agent 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine resulted in increased T-cadherin expression and reduced cell proliferation. These results demonstrate that the T-cadherin down-regulation by promoter methylation is associated with the development and progression of HCC, and suggest that T-cadherin is an important tumor suppressor in liver cancer.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma HCC following hepatitis B and C is a common malignant disease in Asia and Africa. HCC has become the second most prevalent cause of cancer-related deaths in mainland China, with about 137,500 deaths per year (1). Although hepatic resection remains the most effective treatment (2,3), the high rate of postoperative recurrence and tumor metastasis inevitably leads to poor clinical outcome. To develop potential effective treatments for HCC, recent studies have focused on understanding the molecular pathogenesis of HCC formation and progression. HCC is closely linked to persistent HBV infection and the intake of α-flatoxin B-contaminated food (4,5). HCC is hypothesized to represent a multi-stage process resulting from the accumulation of genetic changes (6), including p53 (7,8) and Rb inactivation (9) as well as TGF-β (10) and Ras (11) activation.

HCC is frequently characterized by portal vein invasion and metastasis (1). One of the critical steps in carcinoma invasion and metastasis is the detachment of intercellular junctions of tumor cells, suggesting that cell surface molecules important for cell attachment might regulate this process in HCC. In this regard, the cadherins are transmembrane glycoproteins which mediate calcium-dependent cell-to-cell adhesion, and play important roles in cell adhesion and cell signal transduction in a number of cell types (12,13). Studies have shown that the classic cadherin molecules, E-cadherin and N-cadherin, are involved in the molecular pathogenesis of breast, lung, gastric and liver cancers (14-18). Loss of E-cadherin expression has been frequently reported in a diverse number of malignant tumors and the reintroduction of E-cadherin into highly invasive tumor cell lines results in suppression of both invasion and growth (19). In HCC, loss of E-cadherin expression correlates with hypermethylation and has been suggested to play an important role in HCC progression (20). Moreover, E-cadherin promoter methylation in HCC is correlated with microvascular invasion and recurrence (21,22).

Unlike other cadherins, T-cadherin (for truncated-cadherin) is anchored to the membrane by a glycosylphos-
phosphatidylinositol anchor and lacks the conventional trans-
membrane and cytoplasmic domains (23-25). Previous studies
have shown that T-cadherin mediates calcium-dependent cell
adhesion and colocalizes with small trimeric G-proteins and
SRC family kinases in lipid rafts, where it may be involved in
modulating signal transduction pathways (26-28). Our previ-
ous study demonstrated that T-cadherin overexpression
suppressed cell growth and motility by inducing a p21-
dependent G2 arrest in glioma cells (29). T-cadherin gene
maps to human chromosome 16q24, and loss of T-cadherin
expression has been reported in sporadic breast and lung
cancers (30-32) pancreatic cancer tumors and cell lines (33),
ovarian cancer (34), cutaneous squamous (35) and HCC (36).
In the current study, we sought to determine the functional
role of T-cadherin in HCC to define the relationship between
T-cadherin expression, promoter methylation and tumor
behavior.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens. Forty human HCC specimens
and their corresponding adjacent normal liver tissues were
collected from the surgical resection samples at Hepatic
Surgical Center, Tongji Hospital, Huazhong University of
Science and Technology from 2004 to 2005. All tumor
specimens were pathologically diagnosed as HCC. Twenty
specimens diagnosed as poorly-differentiated HCC and
another 20 as moderately- or well-differentiated HCC were
chosen for comparison. Eight out of 40 specimens were
associated with intrahepatic portal vein invasion, whereas the
other 32 specimens lacked intrahepatic portal vein invasion.
Among the 40 patients, 32 patients were men and 8 women,
aged from 30-72 years, with an average of 49.4 years. All
specimens were stored at -80˚C until analysis.

Immunohistochemical staining. Anti-T-cadherin (H126)
polyclonal antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech-
nology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The sections from paraffin-
embedded specimens were prepared at 4-micrometer
thickness. Antigen retrieval was performed in boiling citrate
buffer for 15 min. Peroxide blocking was preformed with
0.3% peroxide in absolute methanol. After the slides were
incubated with anti-T-cadherin antibody at a 1:100 dilution
overnight and washed twice with PBS, they were then
incubated with secondary antibody (Dako, USA) at
room temperature for 30 min. After washing, sections were
incubated with immunoglobulins conjugated with horse-
radish peroxidase. Finally, T-cadherin-expressing
3,3'-diaminobenzidine. Tissue sections were counterstained
with hematoxylin or methyl green. T-cadherin-expressing
was observed around the entire cell membranes. The per-
centage of positive cells were calculated by divided by the
total number of hepatocytes in least 10 randomly chosen
fields for each case. T-cadherin expression was graded on a scale from + to +++.
The percentage of the positive cells ≤25% were graded as
‘+’, including those with absent staining. Tumors with >25%
but <50% T-cadherin-immunoreactive cells were scored as
‘++’, while tumors with >50% were graded as ‘+++’.

DNA extraction and MSP. Briefly, extracting genomic DNA
from tumor tissues, their corresponding liver tissues and
the HepG2 cell line was performed by the digestion with
proteinase K using a Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Gentra,
USA). Following digestion, 1 μg of genomic DNA was
treated with the Chemicon CpG WIZ™ DNA Modification
Kit (Chemicon, USA), which converts all unmethylated
cytosines to uracil, leaving methylated cytosines unchanged
(37). The modified DNA was diluted in TE buffer. Two sets
of primers were used to amplify each region of interest: PCR
amplification was performed with bisulfite-treated DNA as
template using specific primer sequences for the methylated
(i.e., unmodified by bisulfite treatment) and unmethylated
(i.e., bisulfite modified to UpG) forms of the gene. aberrant
promoter methylation of T-cadherin was determined by the
method of MSP as reported previously (38). Primer sequences
of T-cadherin for the unmethylated reaction were: T-cadherin
UMS (sense), 5'-TTTGGGGGTTTTTTTTGT-3' and T-
cadherin UMAS (antisense), 5'-AATTTTTCTTCTC
CACACA-3', which amplify a 242-base pair product. Primer
sequences of T-cadherin for the methylated reaction were:
T-cadherin MS (sense), 5'-TCTGGGTTTTCTGTTTTT
GC-3', and T-cadherin MAS (antisense), 5'-GACGTTT
TTCTACACAGCG-3', which amplify a 243-base pair
product (32). Results were confirmed by repeating bisulfite
treatment and MSP assays for all samples.

RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from the HCC cell line
HepG2, HCC tissues and their adjacent liver tissues with
TRizol (Invitrogen, USA) following the manufacturer’s
instructions. RT reaction was performed on 2 μg of total RNA
with the SuperScript II First-Strand Synthesis using an
oligo(dT) primer system (Life Technologies, Inc.). Primer
sequences and conditions for RT-PCR product were
previously described (forward primer, 5'-TTCACGAGAA
AGTGTTCCATAT-3' and reverse primer, 5'-GTGCAT
GGACGAACAGA-3') (33,39). The housekeeping gene
GAPDH was used as an internal control of the RT reaction
(forward primer, 5'-AGAAGGCTGGGGCTCATTTG-3'
and reverse primer, 5'-TTCATACACGCG-3', which amplify
a 243-base pair product (32). PCR was carried out for 32 cycles. The PCR
amplification consisted of 1 cycle of 94˚C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94˚C
for 30 sec, 50˚C for 1 min, and 72˚C for 1 min; and 1 cycle
of 72˚C for 10 min. PCR products were analyzed on 2% agarose gels.

Western blotting. HepG2 cells and 5-aaza-2-deoxycytidine-
treated cells were lysed with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)
sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl,
0.02% sodium azide, 100 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluo-
ride, 50 mM DTT, 1 μg/ml aprotinin and 1% Triton X-100).
Liver tissues were homogenized using a homogenizer in
RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1% NP40, 0.1%
SDS, 100 μg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.5% sodium
deoxycholate, 0.02% sodium azide, 1 μg/ml aprotinin and
150 Mm NaCl) on ice. The supernatants were collected after
centrifugation at 14,000 g at 4˚C for 10 min. After protein
centrifugation was determined, and whole-tissue lysates
were mixed with an equal amount 5X SDS loading buffer
(125 mM Tris-HCl, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 100 mM DTT
Samples were heated at 100˚C for ~5-10 min before loading and were separated on pre-casted 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Fluka, USA). Proteins were electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen, USA) in transfer buffer. Non-specific binding to the membrane was blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% non-fat milk in TBS buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween-20). Membranes were then incubated for overnight at 4˚C with anti-T-cadherin antibody at a 1:1,000 dilution. The membranes were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody at 1:5,000 dilution for 1 h at room temperature in 1% non-fat milk dissolved in TBS. Membranes were then washed with TBS buffer, and signals visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence system (Pierce, USA).

Cell culture and cell growth. HepG2 liver cancer cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin-streptomycin. To establish HepG2 cell growth curves, 10^4 cells were seeded on 18 6-cm diameter plates, and the medium was changed every three days. The plates were divided into two groups, each with 9 plates. One group was cultured with DMEM only, while the other group was cultured with DMEM plus the demethylation agent at 2 μM. Medium was changed every 2-3 days. Cell numbers were counted on days 3, 6 and 9 after seeding. The optimum concentration of demethylation agent was determined by culturing HepG2 cells with different concentrations of 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 20 and 40 μmol/ml, respectively. Two μM was chosen as optimum concentration because it was the highest concentration at which the cells survived well and no drug-related cell death was observed in the culture. After cell counting, the cells of the two groups were subject to Western blot.

Data analysis. Statistical differences between groups were examined using Fisher’s exact test. P-values of 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

T-cadherin expression is down-regulated in HCC comparing to its corresponding liver tissue. By immunohistochemical staining, T-cadherin expression was located along the plasma cell membrane of hepatocytes in adjacent normal liver tissues (Fig. 1B), however, varying degrees of loss of T-cadherin expression were observed in HCC cells (Fig. 1A). There was a significantly difference in T-cadherin expression between HCC and the corresponding liver tissue (P<0.01) (Fig. 1C).

Down-regulation of T-cadherin is closely associated with the malignant biological characteristics of HCC. To evaluate the correlation between the T-cadherin expression and the biological characteristics of HCC, T-cadherin expression was studied in 20 poorly-differentiated HCC and another 20 moderately- and well-differentiated HCC. The T-cadherin expression loss in the poorly-differentiated HCC were comparable to those in the moderately- and well-differentiated HCC (24.7% vs. 35.1%), there was no significant difference in T-cadherin expression (P=0.194) (Fig. 2A). However, in 8 specimens from the patients associated with intrahepatic
portal vein invasion, the T-cadherin expression was significantly lower than in the other 32 specimens from patients without intrahepatic portal vein invasion (13.1% vs. 34.1%, P=0.01) (Fig. 2B).

Aberrant methylation contributes to the down-regulation of T-cadherin expression. To explore whether aberrant promoter methylation was responsible for the down-regulation of T-cadherin expression in HCC tissues, T-cadherin promoter
methylation status and the correlation between the promoter methylation status and T-cadherin expression were investigated in 20 HCC specimens and their non-malignant counterparts. Promoter methylation was present in the 8 HCC specimens and absent in all adjacent liver tissues (Fig. 3A). T-cadherin methylation status and the clinicopathological characteristics of the 20 HCC patients are shown in Table I. RT-PCR analysis confirmed that T-cadherin mRNA was significantly decreased or absent in all 8 HCC compared to their adjacent liver tissues (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, Western blot study demonstrated that T-cadherin protein expression in 8 HCC samples with T-cadherin promoter methylation was significantly decreased compared to their adjacent liver tissues (Fig. 3C). Semiquantitative analysis of immunohistochemical staining indicated that the average T-cadherin expression rate of 8 HCC with the promoter methylation was significantly lower than that of the other 12 HCC without promoter methylation (15.5% vs. 30.8%, P=0.04) (Fig. 4), suggesting that the promoter methylation contributes to the regulation of T-cadherin expression in HCC. Furthermore, among the 8 HCC with promoter methylation, 5 HCC tumors (5/8) were associated with portal vein tumor thrombus, indicating that the T-cadherin down-regulation by promoter methylation is associated with the development of portal vein tumor thrombus in HCC. However, among the 20 HCC, there were 17 HCC with decreased T-cadherin mRNA and protein expression compared to their adjacent liver tissues, only 8 HCC were found with T-cadherin promoter methylation. These data demonstrate that the promoter methylation is involved in the down-regulation of T-cadherin in HCC, but that other mechanisms are involved in T-cadherin regulation. Demethylation results in increased T-cadherin expression and reduced HepG2 cell growth. We first showed that the human hepatoma cell line HepG2 exhibit T-cadherin promoter methylation (Fig. 3A). To study whether demethylation

Table I. T-cadherin methylation status and clinicopathological findings in 20 HCC patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Tumor size (cm)</th>
<th>Portal vein thrombus</th>
<th>Cirrhosis</th>
<th>Differentiation</th>
<th>HBV or HCV infection</th>
<th>Methylation status</th>
<th>T-cadherin expression rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HCC %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.5x3x2.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11x6x8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13x12x9.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4x2.5x2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8x7x4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5x3x3.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10x2x8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5x4.5x2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6x6x3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.2x3x2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7x7x3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.5x1x1.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10x9x8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4x3x2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4x4x2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12x10x9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8x7.4x6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10x7.5x8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3x1.5x1.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14x8x9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Semiquantitative analysis of T-cadherin protein expression in HCC with and without T-cadherin promoter methylation. P-value corresponds to the comparison of T-cadherin positive expression in the two groups.
tumor suppressor genes, such as p53 on 17p, p16 on 9p, and RB on 13q. Mutations and overexpression of several oncogenes including ras, TGF-ß and c-myc have been identified in HCC patients (21-26). In light of previous studies implicating cadherin family members, such as E-cadherin and N-cadherin, in the molecular pathogenesis of liver cancers (17,20), we examined the expression and methylation of a novel member of the cadherin family, T-cadherin. T-cadherin is a truncated-cadherin that plays an important role not only in cell-cell adhesion but also in maintaining the normal cellular phenotype (23). Recent studies revealed that expression of T-cadherin in breast cancer cells (41) and glioma cell lines (29) inhibited the invasive potential and cell growth of tumor cells in vitro. T-cadherin maps to chromosome 16q24, and loss of chromosome 16q is one of most prevalent genetic alterations in HCC (42); however, T-cadherin expression in HCC and the relationship between T-cadherin expression and HCC progression have not previously been investigated in human HCC.

In our study, we demonstrated that T-cadherin expression was significantly decreased in HCC compared to their adjacent liver tissues. We also showed that decreased T-cadherin expression correlated with HCC portal vein invasion, suggesting that loss of T-cadherin was also involved in the progression of HCC.

Aberrant methylation of T-cadherin promoter is one of the major mechanisms for the inactivation or down-regulation of T-cadherin expression in a number of tumor types, including breast cancer (43), lung cancer (44,45) and colorectal cancer (46). Our studies indicated that in HCC, aberrant methylation of T-cadherin promoter was present in 8 of 20 HCC, and these 8 HCC tumors with promoter methylation had reduced T-cadherin mRNA and protein expression. Compared to the T-cadherin expression in other 12 HCC tumors without methylation, T-cadherin expression in 8 HCC tumors with T-cadherin promoter methylation was significantly decreased (P<0.05), suggesting that promoter methylation contributes to the decreased T-cadherin expression observed in HCC. Among the 8 HCC tumors with the promoter methylation, 5 were associated with portal vein invasion; while in the other 12 HCC tumors without promoter methylation, only three HCC were with portal vein invasion.

To determine whether T-cadherin promoter methylation contributes to the increased growth of HCC tumors, HepG2 cells were used to study whether treatment with a demethylation agent can restore T-cadherin expression. We found that the demethylation agent 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine was able to restore T-cadherin expression in HepG2 cells and reduce HepG2 cell growth.

Collectively, our data demonstrate that loss of T-cadherin expression resulting from the promoter methylation plays a role in the molecular pathogenesis of HCC, and suggest that T-cadherin promoter methylation contributes to the reduction in T-cadherin expression in these tumors. Future studies on T-cadherin regulation may result in the development of novel targets for HCC therapies.
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