CORRECTION

Correction: Association of Functional Polymorphisms from Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and Serotonin-Related Genes with Depressive Symptoms after a Medical Stressor in Older Adults

The PLOS ONE Staff

Fig 1 and Fig 4 appear at a low resolution. Please see the corrected Fig 1 and Fig 4 here. The publisher apologizes for these errors.
Fig 1. Observed Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) scores over time for participants with hip fracture and healthy comparisons. The number of participants with hip fracture and healthy comparisons are listed below the figure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126451.g001
*BDNF* Met/Met carriers have a significantly poorer **Functional Recovery Score (FRS)** compared to *BDNF* Val+ carriers.

**FRS Group Means**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Met/Met</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val+</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BDNF* Met/Met carriers had higher MADRS depression scores compared to *BDNF* Val+ carriers (p = .037). Hip fracture participants with higher MADRS depression scores had significantly poorer FRS scores (p = .005).

**Indirect Effects**

Significant indirect effects were found, indicating MADRS depressive scores mediate the association between *BDNF* genotype and functional recovery.

**Via MADRS Depression Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Point Estimate (SE)</th>
<th>90% bootstrapped confidence intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Val+ : Met/Met ➔ Functional Recovery</td>
<td>1.73 (0.93)</td>
<td>Lower 0.57, Upper 3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 4. *Mediation model using Hayes‘ (2013) multicategorical independent variable method.* Mediation test of the relationship between brain-derived neurotrophic factor (*BDNF*) Val66Met polymorphism and the
Functional Recovery Score (FRS) at week 12 as a result of the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) depression scores. Results are shown for the $BDNF_{Val+}:Met/Met$ contrast. The $Val/Val:Val/Met$ contrast was not significant.
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