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Targeting an IKBKE cytokine network impairs triple-negative breast cancer growth
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Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs) are a heterogeneous set of cancers that are defined by the absence of hormone receptor expression and HER2 amplification. Here, we found that inducible IκB kinase–related (IKK-related) kinase IKBKE expression and JAK/STAT pathway activation compose a cytokine signaling network in the immune-activated subset of TNBC. We found that treatment of cultured IKBKE-driven breast cancer cells with CYT387, a potent inhibitor of TBK1/IKBKE and JAK signaling, impairs proliferation, while inhibition of JAK alone does not. CYT387 treatment inhibited activation of both NF-κB and STAT and disrupted expression of the protumorigenic cytokines CCL5 and IL-6 in these IKBKE-driven breast cancer cells. Moreover, in 3D culture models, the addition of CCL5 and IL-6 to the media not only promoted tumor spheroid dispersal but also stimulated proliferation and migration of endothelial cells. Interruption of cytokine signaling by CYT387 in vivo impaired the growth of an IKBKE-driven TNBC cell line and patient-derived xenografts (PDXs). A combination of CYT387 therapy with a MEK inhibitor was particularly effective, abrogating tumor growth and angiogenesis in an aggressive PDX model of TNBC. Together, these findings reveal that IKBKE-associated cytokine signaling promotes tumorigenicity of immune-driven TNBC and identify a potential therapeutic strategy using clinically available compounds.

Introduction

Advances in targeted therapy for patients with breast cancers that express estrogen/progesterone receptors and/or HER2 have improved patient outcomes and survival. Limited treatment options exist, however, for the 15% to 20% of patients with triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs). Although TNBCs may respond to anthracycline-based chemotherapy or cisplatin, tumors frequently relapse, resulting in decreased disease-free and overall survival compared with other breast cancer subtypes (1).

The diversity of somatic mutations, gene amplifications, and deletions observed in TNBC has hampered efforts to elucidate a common drug target in this breast cancer subtype (2). Recent evidence suggests that a significant fraction of TNBCs exhibit immune cell infiltration, with features of stem cells and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (3–5). Indeed, a refined classification of TNBC based on gene expression profiling recently identified an immunomodulatory (IM) subtype that corresponds with this category of tumors (6). However, the specific genetic drivers of this and other TNBC subtypes remain poorly defined.
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Table 1. IKBKE copy number in ZR-751- and IKBKE-expressing TNBC cell lines from the Broad/Novartis Cell Line Encyclopedia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>IKBKE CN (log$_{2}$(CN/2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZR751</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-231</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-468</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC70</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC193</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1167</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CN, copy number.

Results
Identification of an IKBKE-driven TNBC subtype. IKBKE is amplified in approximately 30% of human breast tumors, and luminal breast cancer cell lines that harbor IKBKE copy gain are dependent upon its expression (16). IKBKE overexpression has also been observed in breast cell lines and cancers without IKBKE amplification, such as the TNBC cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 (17). To gain further insight into IKBKE regulation and function in breast cancer, we analyzed gene expression data from primary breast cancers profiled in the The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data set (2). Whereas IKBKE expression was linked with IKBKE amplification in luminal tumors, a substantial additional fraction of breast cancers overexpressed IKBKE in the absence of gene amplification (Figure 1A). Since IKBKE is also induced by multiple different cytokines (28), we examined correlation between the levels of several different cytokine gene expression signatures and IKBKE mRNA expression across these samples (26, 27). Among these signatures, IL-1 induction correlated most strongly with high IKBKE levels in a subtype of TNBC, followed by TNFA (P < 0.001 for both, normalized mutual information (NMI) statistic) (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure 1, A and B). Hierarchical clustering with previously reported gene expression subtypes (6) and B lymphocyte markers (28) further revealed that IKBKE expression and IL-1 activation most closely associated with the IM subtype of TNBC and with lymphocytic infiltration (P < 0.001 and P < 0.02, respectively, NMI statistic) (Supplemental Figure 1C). IKBKE mRNA levels correlated with mutant TP53 status across all TCGA tumors, but this did not reach statistical significance within the TNBC subset (Supplemental Figure 2A). Response to neoadjuvant cisplatin therapy failed to correlate with IKBKE expression status in another cohort of patients with TNBC (Supplemental Figure 2A).

To explore this observation further, we next identified cell lines that express elevated IKBKE levels using gene expression data from the Broad/Novartis Cell Line Encyclopedia (29). Similar to ZR751, a luminal breast cancer cell line that harbors IKBKE copy number gain (16), and in contrast to HER2+ BT474 cells or non-transformed MCF-10A cells, we identified several TNBC cell lines that expressed high levels of IKBKE protein (Figure 1B). Whereas ZR751 cells exhibited copy number gain at the IKBKE locus as expected, multiple IKBKE-expressing TNBC cell lines failed to show evidence of genomic IKBKE amplification (Table 1). These findings recapitulated what we had observed in primary tumors and confirmed that IKBKE is not only amplified in luminal breast cancers but also aberrantly overexpressed in a subset of TNBC.

IKBKE-amplified ZR751 cells depend on IKBKE expression for their proliferation and survival (16). Using 2 independent IKBKE-specific shRNAs, we found that TNBC MDA-MB-468 cells were at least as sensitive to suppression of IKBKE as ZR751 cells (Figure 1C). Indeed, whereas specific depletion of IKBKE failed to affect the proliferation of nontransformed MCF10A cells, we confirmed that suppression of IKBKE expression inhibited the proliferation of multiple IKBKE-amplified (ZR751 and MCF7) and IKBKE-overexpressing TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) (Figure 1D). These findings revealed that IKBKE is not only overexpressed but also contributes to the proliferation and survival of this subset of TNBC.

IKBKE expression in TNBC is associated with STAT3 activation and cytokine production. IKBKE promotes NF-κB (7) and STAT signaling (12, 13) both directly and indirectly via autocrine cytokine production (14). Indeed, we confirmed that IKBKE overexpression in HEK-293T (293T) cells not only induced NF-κB pathway activation, as measured by S93 phosphorylated NF-κB p105 levels, but also STAT3 activation, as reflected by increased Y705 phosphorylated STAT3 (pSTAT3) levels (Figure 2A). Activation of these signaling pathways by IKBKE was associated with induction of CCL5 expression in a kinase-dependent manner (Figure 2B). When we measured IKBKE levels and activated STAT3 (as measured by Y705 pSTAT3 levels) across breast cancer cell lines, we observed correlation preferentially in TNBC cell lines (Figure 2C). These findings suggested that engagement of IKBKE signaling in TNBC occurred within the context of a broader cytokine signaling network. Since elevated IKBKE expression in TNBC tumors correlated with IL-1 and other markers of inflammation (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figures 1, A–C), we assessed the role of IL-1β in engaging IKBKE signaling in this context. Indeed, treatment of multiple TNBC cell lines with IL-1β led to a substantial further increase in IKBKE protein levels (Figure 2D) and enhanced the secretion of CCL5 (Figure 2E). Depletion of IKBKE alone in MDA-MB-468 cells failed to prevent IL-1β-induced CCL5 production but modestly reduced IL-6 levels (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). These observations support the view that functional redundancy exists between multiple components of this network, including TBK1, which together with IKBKE promotes CCL5 and IL-6 production (30).

Sensitivity of IKBKE-driven TNBC cells to CYT387 treatment. We next compared the effects of selective inhibition of JAK/STAT signaling on TNBC cell proliferation and survival by treatment with the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib (31) or the multitargeted JAK/TBK1/IKBKE inhibitor CYT387 (30, 32, 33). Treatment of MDA-MB-468 cells with ruxolitinib or CYT387 over a range of doses inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation (Figure 3A). Despite comparable inhibition of JAK signaling, treatment of these cells with CYT387 but not ruxolitinib impaired the viability of multiple different TNBC cell lines (Figure 3, B and C).
IL-1β and found that CYT387 treatment inhibited proliferation and caused cells to aggregate (Supplemental Figure 4B). These findings demonstrate that CYT387 treatment uniquely impairs not only cell viability in 2D culture but also growth factor- and cytokine-driven TNBC cell proliferation and dispersal in 3D culture.

Next, we treated a panel of 15 breast cancer cell lines with CYT387 over a range of concentrations and found that TNBCs that exhibited high levels of IKBKE and pSTAT3 exhibited the greatest sensitivity, We further examined the effects of CYT387 treatment on MDA-MB-468 cells in a 3D culture tumor spheroid dispersal assay that captures features of the tumor microenvironment and also models aspects of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (34). EGF-induced proliferation of MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells in this assay was completely suppressed by CYT387 treatment at concentrations as low as 800 nM (Supplemental Figure 4A). We also cultured several other TNBC cell lines in 3D suspension together with...
suggestive of a relationship between IKBKE activation and CYT387 treatment (Figure 2A and Figure 3D). Corroborating these findings, immortalized human mammary epithelial cells that expressed IKBKE (16) were more sensitive to CYT387 treatment than isogenic cells expressing a control vector, whereas ruxolitinib exposure had no effect on these cells (Figure 3E). Taken together, these observations reveal that CYT387, unlike ruxolitinib, selectively impairs TNBC cell viability in a manner that correlates with IKBKE expression.

Activity of CYT387 in TNBC directly involves inhibition of IKBKE signaling. To assess the direct consequences of CYT387 treatment, beyond CYT387’s TBK1-specific effects (30), we transiently transfected 293T cells with IKBKE and measured downstream signaling pathways in the absence or presence of this inhibitor. Compared with expression of an EGFP control vector, exogenous overexpression of IKBKE primarily activated multiple STAT family members as well as p38α, and these effects were inhibited by CYT387 treatment (Supplemental Figure 5A). Both CYT387 and ruxolitinib inhibited IKBKE-induced Y705 pSTAT3 levels, consistent with suppression of autocrine cytokine signaling through JAK kinases (Figure 4A). IKBKE-induced pSTAT5 was also inhibited by CYT387 and ruxolitinib treatment (Supplemental Figure 5B). In contrast, when we measured phosphorylated p38α levels following treatment of IKBKE-expressing 293T cells or MDA-MB-468 cells with CYT387 or ruxolitinib, we were unable to observe significant changes in this marker, which suggests a lack of a direct relationship between p38α and IKBKE or JAK activity (Supplemental Figure 5, C and D).

We next examined the effects of CYT387 or ruxolitinib treatment on IKBKE-induced NF-kB signaling. IKBKE-induced p105 phosphorylation in 293T cells was inhibited by CYT387 treatment but not ruxolitinib treatment (Figure 4B). We confirmed that p105 was phosphorylated at a baseline low level in both MDA-MB-468 cells and MDA-MB231 cells and that CYT387 treatment also selectively inhibited phosphorylated p105 (p-p105) levels in these TNBC cell lines compared with ruxolitinib treatment (Figure 4C). IL-1β stimulation further induced S933 p105 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-468 cells, which was also selectively inhibited by CYT387 treatment, in contrast to ruxolitinib treatment, and resulted in p105 stabilization (Figure 4D). CYT387 treatment also suppressed IKBKE expression in MDA-MB-468 cells, in contrast to that of IKBβ or IKBα (Figure 4E). We further confirmed that CYT387 treatment inhibited p-p105, pSTAT3, and IKBKE levels in multiple other IKBKE-driven TNBC cell lines (Supplemental Figure 5, E and F). Thus, in contrast to ruxolitinib treatment, CYT387 treatment inhibits multiple components of the inflammatory signaling network that sustain proliferation and survival of this TNBC subtype.
CYT387 treatment disrupts IKBKE-induced protumorigenic cytokine expression. Given the unique ability of CYT387 to target this signaling network, we next tested its impact on autocrine cytokine expression. We collected media from 293T cells 24 hours following transient transfection with EGFP or IKBKE and analyzed levels of 36 different cytokines and chemokines using a cytokine antibody array. Enforced expression of IKBKE potently induced CCL5 levels in the media, consistent with what was observed at the mRNA level (Figure 2B), and was the dominant secreted factor at this time point (Figure 5A). IKBKE-dependent CCL5 production was completely abrogated by CYT387 treatment but was negligibly affected by ruxolitinib treatment (Figure 5A). To confirm these observations, we used ELISA to measure CCL5 levels in addition to those of IL-6 and found that IKBKE-induced CCL5 and IL-6 were strongly inhibited by CYT387 treatment, whereas they were only partially suppressed by ruxolitinib treat-
Figure 4. Inhibition of JAK and IKBKE signaling by CYT387. (A) Immunoblot of IKBKE, Y705 pSTAT3, total STAT3, and β-actin following transient transfection of 293T cells with IKBKE and treatment with 5 μM ruxolitinib or CYT387. Lysates were obtained 24 hours after transfection and 12-hour inhibitor treatment. (B) Immunoblot of S933 p-p105, p105, and β-actin following IKBKE overexpression in 293T cells and 5 μM ruxolitinib or CYT387 treatment. (C) Immunoblot of S933 p-p105, p105, and β-actin in MDA-MB-468 or MDA-MB-231 cells with endogenous IKBKE overexpression following 1-hour treatment with 5 μM ruxolitinib or CYT387. (D) Immunoblot of S933 p-p105, p105, p50, and β-actin in MDA-MB-468 cells pretreated with DMSO, 5 μM ruxolitinib, or 5 μM CYT387 for 1 hour and stimulated with IL-1β for the indicated times. (E) Immunoblot of IKBKE, IKKa, and IKKB in MDA-MB-468 cells 24 hours following treatment with DMSO, ruxolitinib, or CYT387 at the indicated concentrations, each compared with β-actin as a loading control. (F) MDA-MB-468 cells were stably infected with IKBKE-Y88C and selected in 2.5 μM CYT387 for 3 weeks. Immunoblot shows IKBKE, S933 p-p105, p105, and β-actin in these cells with control EGFP-expressing MDA-MB-468 cells following DMSO or CYT387 treatment. (G) Cell viability measured by CTC on day 3 or day 10 following treatment of MDA-MB-468-EGFP or IKBKE-Y88C cells with DMSO or CYT387. Values were normalized to DMSO as a control and represent mean and SEM of triplicate samples. Crystal violet–stained wells are shown below.
ment (Figure 5B). These findings reveal that CYT387 treatment not only inhibits both STAT3- and IKBKE-induced p105 phosphorylation but also uniquely ablates the production of CCL5 and IL-6 following IKBKE overexpression.

We next assessed whether CYT387 inhibition of this network also impaired the production of CCL5 and IL-6 in TNBC cell lines. Treatment of MDA-MB468, MDA-MB231, HCC1187, or HCC70 cells with CYT387 in general prevented IL-1β-induced CCL5 and IL-6 (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure 6A). To examine the consequences downstream of CCL5 and IL-6 production on TNBC proliferation, we first tested whether the addition of exogenous CCL5 and/or IL-6 rescued the viability of CYT387-treated MDA-MB-468 cells in 2D culture. We observed a modest but significant rescue following treatment with either cytokine or the combination of both (P < 0.001) (Supplemental Figure 6B). In contrast, in 3D culture, CCL5 and IL-6 not only promoted MDA-MB-468 cell migration and proliferation as effectively as EGFR but they also completely rescued the inhibition of spheroid dispersal by CYT387 (Figure 5D). Taken together, these observations demonstrate that IKBKE-driven CCL5 and IL-6 directly contribute to TNBC migration and proliferation of tumor spheroids, which is disrupted by CYT387 treatment.

TBK1/IKBKE-regulated cytokines also influence the tumor microenvironment and angiogenesis in particular (36). Therefore, we used another 3D cell type, optimized to study the effects of IKBKE-induced CCL5/IL-6 on HUVEC behavior in collagen (Figure 5E and ref. 37). First, we overexpressed IKBKE-WT in 293T cells, seeded them in the opposing chamber, and found that expression of IKBKE-WT induced HUVEC migration, in contrast to EGFP and IKBKE-KD controls (Supplemental Figure 6C). Next, we directly supplemented media with CCL5 and IL-6 and observed that these cytokines induced both endothelial cell migration and proliferation (Figure 5F and Supplemental Figure 6D). Because of the proliferation, we tested whether cotreatment of CCL5/IL-6 with the MEK inhibitor GSK1120212 prevented this phenotype, and indeed HUVEC migration was abrogated (Figure 5F). Taken together, IKBKE-regulated CCL5 and IL-6 induce the proliferation and migration of TNBC and endothelial cells, consistent with both autocrine and paracrine tumor-promoting activities.

Inhibition of IKBKE by CYT387 contributes to its therapeutic potential in vivo. To determine efficacy of CYT387-based treatment in vivo, we first tested its therapeutic impact on MDA-MB-468 tumor xenograft growth and the relationship with IKBKE inhibition. After tumors were established in immunodeficient mice at an average volume of 50 mm³, CYT387 was administered via daily oral gavage at a dose of 100 mg/kg (33). Compared with a vehicle control, CYT387 treatment at this dose effectively inhibited pSTAT3 expression in tumors (Figure 6A) and strongly suppressed tumor progression (Figure 6B). In consonance with our observations in vitro, CYT387 treatment did not affect the growth of MDA-MB-468 IKBKE-Y88C xenografts (Figure 6B).

We next explored single-agent CYT387 activity in a system that more closely recapitulates human tumor physiology using patient-derived breast cancer xenografts (PDXs). First, we examined therapy in two different Washington University human-in-mouse (WHIM) lines (WHIM4 and WHIM21) that were derived from patients with TNBC that overexpressed IKBKE (ref. 38 and Supplemental Figure 7A). Similar to what we observed following treatment of MDA-MB-468 xenografts, CYT387 treatment impaired the growth of established PDX WHIM4 tumors and WHIM21 tumors, the latter a particularly aggressive model that recurred rapidly following neoadjuvant doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide and paclitaxel chemotherapy (ref. 38 and Figure 6C). Inhibition of WHIM21 PDX growth was associated with disruption of human IKBKE, CCL5, and IL-6 expression, confirming effective interruption of autocrine cytokine signaling in these tumors (Figure 6D). Taken together, these findings reveal that inhibition of TBK1/IKBKE and JAK signaling by CYT387 suppresses protumorigenic cytokine expression and exhibits therapeutic potential for IKBKE-driven TNBC.

Synergistic response to combined CYT387 and GSK1120212 therapy. MEK inhibition in TNBC not only results in feedback activation of receptor tyrosine kinases but also induces cytokine expression, suggesting the possibility of synergy with CYT387 treatment (39). In addition the requirement of MEK signaling for CCL5/IL-6–induced proliferation/migration of endothelial cells (Figure 5F) indicated the potential for dual impairment of angiogenesis. We therefore treated established WHIM21 tumors with CYT387 (50 mg/kg/d), GSK1120212 (2.5 mg/kg/d), or combination CYT387/GSK1120212 therapy by oral gavage. The drug combination was well tolerated, and, in contrast to either of the single agents, markedly impaired tumor progression (Figure 7A). Indeed, several of the largest established tumors also showed evidence of tumor regression (Supplemental Figure 7B). We confirmed that dual CYT387 and GSK1120212 treatment effectively inhibited both phosphorylated ERK (pERK) and pSTAT3 levels in treated WHIM21 tumors, confirming suppression of multiple pathways by this drug combination in vivo (Figure 7B).

To assess the dose-dependent effect of this impressive activity, we further reduced CYT387 to 10 mg/kg daily and compared results with vehicle or high-dose ruxolitinib treatment (Supplemental Figure 6A). Treatment of WHIM21 tumors with just a 2-week course of low-dose CYT387/GSK1120212 led to marked and persistent inhibition of tumor progression for 4 weeks, in contrast to continuous vehicle or ruxolitinib treatment at 100 mg/kg daily over the entire time period (Supplemental Figure 8A). Response to this low-dose CYT387 regimen was also examined in WHIM12 PDX tumors, derived from a patient with TNBC with low IKBKE levels (Supplemental Figure 6A). WHIM12 tumors responded to CYT387/GSK1120212 treatment though not as dramatically as WHIM21 tumors, with some tumors progressing despite therapy (Supplemental Figure 8B).

In addition to their small size, we also noted that WHIM21 tumors treated with the combination of CYT387 and GSK1120212 appeared particularly pale compared with vehicle- or single-agent–treated tumors (Figure 7C and Supplemental Figure 7C). We therefore performed a detailed histologic examination of treated tumors, including measures of angiogenesis. Whereas single-agent treatment with GSK1120212 showed preferential impairment of proliferation, as measured by Ki67 staining, and CYT387 modestly reduced microvascular density, the combination resulted in a striking inhibition of angiogene-
Figure 5. Inhibition of this network by CYT387 suppresses protumorigenic cytokines. (A) Cytokine antibody array incubated with media from 293T cells transfected with EGFP or IKBKE for 24 hours and pretreated with DMSO, 5 μM CYT387, or 5 μM ruxolitinib for 12 hours. Circles represent the location of CCL5, the predominant cytokine induced by IKBKE and inhibited by CYT387, compared with ruxolitinib. (B) ELISA measurement of CCL5 or IL-6 levels in 293T cells expressing IKBKE and treated with DMSO, 5 μM ruxolitinib, or 5 μM CYT387. Mean and SD of duplicate samples shown. (C) ELISA measuring CCL5 or IL-6 levels in MDA-MB-468 cells or MDA-MB-231 cells stimulated with IL-1β for 24 hours following pretreatment with DMSO or 5 μM CYT387 for 1 hour. Mean and SD of duplicate samples shown. (D) Phase-contrast images (original magnification, ×20) of MDA-MB-468 spheroids in microfluidic 3D culture at baseline and 24 and 48 hours following addition of CCL5/IL-6, EGF, or the combination of both, together with DMSO as a control (left). Treatment with 1 μM CYT387 inhibited EGF-induced MDA-MB-468 spheroid dispersal, but this was rescued by the addition of CCL5 and IL-6 (right). (E) Schematic of angiogenesis microfluidic device. HUVECs were seeded in central channel and subjected to cytokine/chemokine diffusion as indicated. (F) Compared with control media, diffusion of CCL5/IL-6–attracted HUVECs into collagen (original magnification, ×20) over the course of 24 hours. Cotreatment with the MEK inhibitor (MEKi), GSK1120212, at 10 nM strongly inhibited this effect. Mean and SD of cell migration per number from 3 independent devices shown.
naling and lymphocytic infiltration. Despite engagement of the JAK/STAT pathway (24), treatment with the potent and selective JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib was insufficient to impair viability of these TNBCs. Instead, another clinical stage JAK inhibitor, CYT387, impaired the proliferation of TNBC cells in vitro and prevented tumor spheroid dispersal in 3D culture. The efficacy of CYT387 was directly related to its additional ability to inhibit IKBKE activity and the production of protumorigenic cytokines, since exogenous CCL5 and/or IL-6, or expression of a CYT387 inhibitor–resistant allele of IKBKE, rescued these effects. These observations suggest a promising therapeutic option for a subset of patients with IKBKE-driven TNBC.

Integrative genomic studies identified a key role for aberrant IKBKE activation in breast cancer by virtue of its amplification in a subset of luminal tumors (16). IKBKE is unique among IKK family members in that cytokines such as IL-1 that promote NF-κB signaling (25) and STAT3 activation (41), which induces its expression. The finding that high level IKBKE expression in the IM subtype of TNBC was linked more closely to engagement of inflammatory response and profound tumor necrosis (Figure 7D and Supplemental Figure 9). Thus, antitumor activity of this drug combination was not only direct but was also related to the synergistic effects of cytokine and MEK inhibition on angiogenesis. Taken together, combined CYT387 and GSK1120212 treatment impairs tumor progression and angiogenesis and represents a promising novel therapy for this IKBKE-driven subtype of TNBC.

Discussion

TNBC has been defined by the lack of ER and HER2 expression, but several lines of evidence suggest that TNBCs are a heterogeneous set of breast cancers (40). Here, we identify a specific TNBC subset characterized by aberrant expression of the IKK-related kinase IKBKE and production of protumorigenic cytokines CCL5 and IL-6. These tumors show substantial overlap with the IM subtype of TNBC, recently identified by gene expression profiling studies (6). In contrast to luminal tumors, which exhibit IKBKE amplification (16), these triple-negative tumors exhibit inducible IKBKE expression associated with markers of IL-1 signaling and lymphocytic infiltration. Despite engagement of the JAK/STAT pathway (24), treatment with the potent and selective JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib was insufficient to impair viability of these TNBCs. Instead, another clinical stage JAK inhibitor, CYT387, impaired the proliferation of TNBC cells in vitro and prevented tumor spheroid dispersal in 3D culture. The efficacy of CYT387 was directly related to its additional ability to inhibit IKBKE activity and the production of protumorigenic cytokines, since exogenous CCL5 and/or IL-6, or expression of a CYT387 inhibitor–resistant allele of IKBKE, rescued these effects. These observations suggest a promising therapeutic option for a subset of patients with IKBKE-driven TNBC.

Integrative genomic studies identified a key role for aberrant IKBKE activation in breast cancer by virtue of its amplification in a subset of luminal tumors (16). IKBKE is unique among IKK family members in that cytokines such as IL-1 that promote NF-κB signaling (25) and STAT3 activation (41), which induces its expression. The finding that high level IKBKE expression in the IM subtype of TNBC was linked more closely to engagement of inflammatory response and profound tumor necrosis (Figure 7D and Supplemental Figure 9). Thus, antitumor activity of this drug combination was not only direct but was also related to the synergistic effects of cytokine and MEK inhibition on angiogenesis. Taken together, combined CYT387 and GSK1120212 treatment impairs tumor progression and angiogenesis and represents a promising novel therapy for this IKBKE-driven subtype of TNBC.
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Signal effects on NF-κB target gene expression (43) and AKT activation (44, 45) and, like IL-6, directly engages JAK/STAT signaling (46). Thus, NF-κB and STAT3 not only induce the production of CCL5 and IL-6, but they also engage these same pathways and activate IKBKE expression itself (41) to amplify and sustain their expression as components of an inflammatory circuit (30). Induction of CCL5, which promotes cell survival and metastasis, has also been observed in breast cancer following coculture with mesenchymal stem cells (44). These findings suggest that paracrine effects due to interactions within tumor microenvironment likely facilitate engagement of this signaling pathway. Since we observed an important role of IL-1 signaling in driving this phenotype, it will be interesting to examine whether signaling than to genomic amplification reveals an alternative route to oncogenic IKBKE activation in TNBC, similar to what was recently described in a subset of lung cancers (41). While IKBKE drives the expression of these cytokines, engagement of other kinases, including TBK1, likely also contributes to inflammatory signaling in this subtype, since multitargeted IKBKE, TBK1, and JAK signaling was required to disrupt this circuit. Since other non-TNBC breast cancers also overexpress IKBKE and also activate TBK1 signaling (42), such tumors could also respond to TBK1/IKBKE and JAK inhibition by CYT387.

Our studies also identified key downstream roles for CCL5 and IL-6 as IKBKE-driven mediators of cell proliferation, survival, and migration of breast cancer cells. CCL5 induces plei-

Figure 7. Activity of CYT387/GSK1120212 combination therapy in an aggressive TNBC PDX model. (A) Spider plot depicting the percentage of change in tumor volume of individual WHIM21 tumors treated with vehicle (n = 8), 50 mg/kg/d CYT387 (n = 3), 2.5 mg/kg/d GSK1120212 (n = 4), or CYT387 and GSK1120212 (n = 9). (B) Immunoblot of pERK1/2, ERK1/2, Y705 pSTAT3, STAT3, and β-actin levels in tumors from vehicle-treated mice or 2 different mice treated short term with vehicle, CYT387 (CYT), GSK1120212 (GSK), or the combination of CYT387 and GSK1120212 (CYT+GSK). (C) Representative WHIM21 tumors dissected from vehicle or CYT387/GSK1120212-treated mice after 30 days. (D) Quantification of proliferation (Ki67), microvascular density (CD31 or ERG), and tumor necrosis of tumors dissected after 30 days of the indicated treatments. Data represent mean and SD values quantified from >5 distinct tumor regions from vehicle- (n = 3), CYT387- (n = 2), GSK1120212- (n = 2), or CYT387/GSK1120212-treated (n = 2) mice. COMBO, CYT387 and GSK1120212.
the source of this cytokine in primary breast tumors is derived from mesenchymal stem cells, tumor-associated macrophages, and/or other cell types in the tumor microenvironment.

IKBKE-induced CCL5 and IL-6 expression also stimulated HUVEC proliferation, consistent with a previous report showing that conditioned media from TBK1-transfected cells promotes vascular cell proliferation (36). Our findings confirm and extend these data, revealing a particular role for MEK signaling downstream of these cytokines in mediating endothelial cell proliferation and identifying synergistic inhibition of angiogenesis by CYT387 and MEK inhibition in vivo. Cytokines such as CCL5 may also promote TNBC growth by influencing the local immune microenvironment, since it also influences recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells to tumors and promotes local immunosuppression (47). Analysis of such cells is challenging in PDX models, given the altered immune background of nude mice, but will be important to evaluate in future studies. Thus, CYT387 therapy may be particularly effective in vivo due to the additional disruption of these tumor-stromal interactions.

Clinical trials of selective JAK1/2 inhibitors such as ruxolitinib have been initiated in patients with breast cancer (48). While JAK/STAT signaling is clearly active in this subset of TNBC, our data suggest that JAK inhibition alone may not be sufficient to disrupt this cytokine circuit. Furthermore, although certain markers, such as CD44+CD24– positivity or the IM gene in TNBC, our data suggest that JAK inhibition alone may not be particularly effective in vivo due to the additional disruption of these tumor-stromal interactions.

Methods

Gene expression profiling. Analyses were performed using TCGA data (2) and applied single-sample gene set enrichment analysis of an IL-1 signature as described previously (26, 52). For details, see the Supplemental Methods.

Cell culture. Breast cancer cell lines and 293T cells were cultured using standard conditions. MDA-MB-468 cells were maintained in the absence of CO2. MDA-MB-468 tumor spheroids were generated and assayed in 3D culture as described previously (34). Detailed methods are described in the Supplemental Methods.

Immunoblotting and ELISA. Immunoblotting was performed according to standard protocols. Proteome Profiler and Cytokine Antibody Arrays were from R&D Systems. The Proteome Profiler Human Cytokine Array Kit, Panel A (catalog no. ARY005), the Human CCL5/Rantes Quantikine ELISA Kit (catalog no. DRN00B), and the Human IL-6 ELISA Kit (catalog no. D6050) were also purchased from R&D Systems. Details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

ORF and shRNA expression. 293T cells were transiently transfected with the indicated ORF expression constructs using FuGENE 6 (Promega). Using stable lentiviral transduction as previously described (52), shRNA (shIKBKE-1, shIKBKE-2, shGFP) was successfully expressed and its effects on the various breast cancer cell lines were analyzed using stable lentiviral transduction as described previously (52). For detailed methods and shRNA sequences see the Supplemental Methods.

Quantitative real-time PCR. mRNA was purified and qRT-PCR was performed according to a standard protocol using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). Data were normalized to 36B4. For detailed methods and primer sequences see the Supplemental Methods.

Animal studies. Patient-derived human breast xenografts were cultured as described previously (38, 53). pSTAT3 immunohistochemistry and pSTAT3/pERK immunoblotting were performed following short-term treatment with CYT387. Tumor measurement was conducted in a blinded fashion over time. Details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Statistics. Statistical analysis was carried out using an IBM software package, SPSS V.22.0. Cell viability data are presented as mean ± SEM. Histology data are presented as mean ± SD of independent results. Overall differences among the 4 groups (vehicle, GSK1120212, CYT387, and CYT387 plus GSK112012) for all variables were determined by ANOVA. Differences between groups were examined using the nonparametric independent-samples t test to determine the statistical significance. Two-sided P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Study approval. Human breast cancer tissues for the present studies were obtained via core needle, skin punch biopsy, or surgical resection following informed consent and processed in compliance with NIH regulations and with approval from the Institutional Review Board at Washington University in St. Louis. All mouse experiments were conducted in accord with a Washington University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-approved protocol.
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