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SUMMARY

Neurons that release more than one transmitter exist throughout the CNS. Yet, how these neurons deploy multiple transmitters and shape the function of specific circuits is not well understood. VGlut3-expressing amacrine cells (VG3-ACs) provide glutamatergic input to ganglion cells activated by contrast and motion. Using optogenetics, we find that VG3-ACs provide selective glycinergic input to a retinal ganglion cell type suppressed by contrast and motion (SbC-RGCs). Firing of SbC-RGCs is suppressed at light ON and OFF over a broad range of stimulus sizes. Anatomical circuit reconstructions reveal that VG3-ACs form inhibitory synapses preferentially on processes that link ON and OFF arbors of SbC-RGC dendrites. Removal of VG3-ACs from mature circuits reduces inhibition and attenuates spike suppression of SbC-RGCs in a contrast- and size-selective manner, illustrating the modularity of retinal circuits. VG3-ACs thus use dual transmitters in a target-specific manner and shape suppressive contrast responses in the retina by glycinergic transmission.

INTRODUCTION

Classically, each neuron was thought to use a single transmitter to send uniform signals across all its synapses (i.e., Dale’s principle) (Strata and Harvey, 1999). In recent years, however, it has become clear that the output of neurons can be more diverse (Hnasko and Edwards, 2012; Vaaga et al., 2014). Neurons that release neuromodulatory peptides or monoamines often release a fast transmitter as well, emitting parallel signals that act over different spatial and temporal scales (Contini and Raviola, 2003; Nusbaum et al., 2001; Tritsch et al., 2012). In addition, some neurons release two fast transmitters. The identification of dual-transmitter neurons has been facilitated by optogenetics, and co-release of excitatory (Gras et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2011), inhibitory (Apostolides and Trussell, 2013; Jonas et al., 1998; Wojcik et al., 2006), and excitatory and inhibitory fast transmitters (Noh et al., 2010; O’Malley et al., 1992; Root et al., 2014; Saunders et al., 2015) have all been reported. How neurons use dual transmitters to support specific circuit functions, however, is not well understood.

Some dual transmitters share a vesicular transporter (Jonas et al., 1998; Tritesch et al., 2012; Wojcik et al., 2006) or are packaged into the same vesicles by synergistic action of two transporters (Gras et al., 2008; Hnasko and Edwards, 2012). These transmitters are co-released at all synapses of the respective neurons, which send the same complex signal to all their targets. By contrast, recent studies revealed spatial separation of vesicle pools containing monoamines and fast neurotransmitters in some axons (Chuhma et al., 2014; Gagnon and Parent, 2014; Onoa et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015). This in principle enables the respective neurons to send different messages to different targets. Whether neurons can selectively deploy two fast transmitters, particularly excitatory and inhibitory ones, to send opposite signals to specific targets and, if so, how these signals shape the function of postsynaptic partners are unclear.

Amacrine cells (ACs) are a diverse class of retinal interneurons. One of the 30–50 distinct AC types in mice expresses the vesicular glutamate transporter 3 (VGlut3). VGlut3-expressing ACs (VG3-ACs) are conserved from rodents to primates (Haverkamp and Wässle, 2004; Johnson et al., 2004) and prefer light decrements (OFF) to increments (ON) (Grimes et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014) and small stimuli to large ones (i.e., size selectivity) (Kim et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014). Recent anatomic and functional studies showed that VG3-ACs provide selective glutamatergic input to several types of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), output neurons of the eye, which share response properties with VG3-ACs (Kim et al., 2015; Krishnaswamy et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014). Elsewhere in the nervous system, VGlut3 is associated with dual transmitter phenotypes (Gagnon and Parent, 2014; Gras et al., 2008; Noh et al., 2010). VG3-ACs express an uptake transporter for glycine and accumulate glycine in their cytosol but appear to lack the transporter for its vesicular packaging (Haverkamp and Wässle, 2004; Johnson et al., 2004). Thus, whether VG3-ACs release glycine, which RGC types are
targets of this putative inhibitory transmission and how their output is shaped by VG3-AC input is unknown.

Unlike other RGCs, Suppressed-by-Contrast RGCs (SbC-RGCs) encode contrast through depressions in tonic firing (Levick, 1967; Rodieck, 1967). SbC-RGCs are conserved from rodents to primates (de Monasterio, 1978; Tien et al., 2015) and are suppressed by ON and OFF stimuli, both large and small (Jacoby et al., 2015; Tien et al., 2015). Their responses propagate through the retino-geniculo-cortical pathway (Niell and Stryker, 2010; Piscopo et al., 2013). The circuit mechanisms giving rise to the unique responses of SbC-RGCs are incompletely understood but involve strong inhibitory synaptic inputs at light ON and OFF (Sivyer et al., 2010; Tien et al., 2015). A recent study revealed that ON inhibition is mediated by Crh1-ACs and likely AII-ACs (Jacoby et al., 2015). The source of OFF inhibition remains unknown.

Using optogenetics, we discover that VG3-ACs provide selective glycinergic input to SbC-RGCs. Anatomic circuit reconstructions reveal that VG3-ACs form inhibitory synapses preferentially on the ascending and descending processes that link the bistratified dendrites of SbC-RGCs. Genetic deletion of VG3-ACs in mature circuits reduces OFF inhibition to SbC-RGCs particularly in response to small stimuli and attenuates suppressive spike responses with similar contrast bias and size selectivity. VG3-ACs thus are dual-transmitter neurons that deploy excitatory and inhibitory transmitters in a target-specific manner, using glycinergic transmission to shape suppressive contrast responses in the retina.

RESULTS

To identify sources of inhibitory input to SbC-RCCs, we crossed mice expressing channelrhodopsin-2 fused to yellow fluorescent protein (ChR2-YFP, ChR2 mice) in a Cre-dependent manner (Madisen et al., 2012) to different driver lines, including VG3-Cre (Grimes et al., 2011). Based on two-photon guided recordings in VG3-Cre ChR2 double transgenic mice (VG3-ChR2 mice), we chose optogenetic stimulus parameters that match depolarizations of VG3-ACs to their photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Figure S1). Optogenetic stimulation with these parameters elicited large postsynaptic currents in all (7/7) SbC-RGCs tested. These currents reverse at –68.7 ± 4.2 mV (Figures 1A–1D), close to the expected reversal potential for chloride conductances under our recording conditions, suggesting that VG3-ACs, which previously have been shown to provide excitatory input to several RGC types (Kim et al., 2015; Krishnaswamy et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014), provide inhibitory input to SbC-RGCs. The delay of ChR2-mediated inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) is much shorter than that of photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Figure S2). Indeed, ChR2-mediated IPSCs in SbC-RGCs begin to rise <2 ms after the voltage of VG3-ACs and peak before the voltage response (Figures 1E and 1F). The

Figure 1. VG3-ACs Provide Direct Glycinergic Input to SbC-RGCs

(A) Schematic of the retina. Cone photoreceptors distribute signals to ON and OFF bipolar cells, which converge onto VG3-ACs. Neurites of VG3-ACs overlap with link processes between ON and OFF arbors of SbC-RGC dendrites.

(B) Representative SbC-RGC recorded in a VG3-ChR2 retina. The image is a z axis projection of a two-photon image stack. Whereas the Alexa 568 signal (green) was projected through the complete stack, projection of the YFP fluorescence (magenta) was restricted to the inner nuclear layer to highlight somata of VG3-ACs.

(C and D) Representative traces (C) and summary data (D, mean ± SEM, n = 5) of currents recorded at different holding potentials in SbC-RGCs during optogenetic stimulation of VG3-ACs.

(E) VG3-AC voltage (blue) and SbC-RGCs IPSC (black) responses to a bright step of blue light (3.15 ± 3 × 10^−4 W mm^-2, 426–446 nm, shaded area). Lines (shaded areas) indicate normalized mean ±SEM responses, facilitating comparisons of response timing. The inset shows responses at the stimulus onset on an expanded timescale.

(F) Summary data of the time after stimulus onset before 10% and 90% of the peak amplitudes are reached (VG3-AC voltage, blue; SbC-RGC IPSC, black). Dots show data from individual cells (VG3-AC voltage, n = 4; SbC-RGC IPSC, n = 6) and circles (error bars) indicate mean ±SEM of the respective population (p < 0.03 for 10%; p > 0.1 for 90%).

(G and H) Representative IPSC traces (G) and summary data of inhibitory conductances (H) of SbC-RGC elicited by optogenetic stimulation of VG3-ACs in absence or presence of strychnine. Dots represent data from individual cells (control, n = 4; strychnine, n = 3, p < 0.001) and circles (error bars) indicate mean ±SEM of the respective population.

See also Figures S1 and S2.
short delay of IPSCs and their persistence in the presence of AMPA and NMDA receptor blockers (Figure S2) rule out di-synaptic pathways driven by glutamate release from VG3-ACs as their source. ChR2-mediated IPSCs are abolished by application of strychnine (Figures 1G and 1H). Together, these results show that VG3-ACs provide direct glycinergic input to SbC-RGCs.

SbC-RGCs are bistratified neurons whose ON and OFF dendrites are linked by numerous ascending and descending processes (Sivy and Varney, 2010; Tien et al., 2015). To determine the sites of inhibitory input from VG3-ACs, we biolistically labeled SbC-RGCs with cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) and YFP fused to neuroligin 2 (YFP-NL2), a selective marker of inhibitory synapses on RGC dendrites (Soto et al., 2011), in mice that express tdTomato in VG3-ACs. The density of inhibitory synapses was highest on link processes between ON and OFF arbors (Figures 2A and 2B). A majority of these synapses were apposed by boutons of VG3-ACs, compared to a lower fraction of such appositions on ON and OFF dendrites (Figures 2C and 2D). Thus, VG3-ACs appear to provide glycinergic input to SbC-RGCs preferentially through synapses on link processes, both a characteristic and conserved feature of SbC-RGC dendrites.

To elucidate the contribution of VG3-ACs to inhibition of SbC-RGCs during visual processing, we selectively removed VG3-ACs from mature circuits. Toward this end, we injected VG3-DTR and control mice intraperitoneally with diphtheria toxin starting at postnatal day 30 (see Experimental Procedures (Krishnaswamy et al., 2015)). VGluT3 staining showed that the density of VG3-ACs was reduced by >90% 1 week after injections in VG3-DTR mice, but remained unchanged in littermate controls (Figures 3A and 3B) (Kim et al., 2015). The density of other amacrine cells was unaffected in VG3-DTR mice (Figure S3), confirming the specificity of this manipulation. Comparing IPSCs elicited by contrast steps presented in spots of different size between VG3-DTR and control mice, we found that OFF but not ON inhibition to SbC-RGCs was reduced in a size-selective manner by removal of VG3-ACs (Figures 3C–3F).

Responses of VG3-ACs match the size selectivity of this deficit (Kim et al., 2015). VG3-ACs respond more strongly to OFF than ON stimuli (Kim et al., 2015). The preservation of ON inhibition in VG3-DTR mice suggests either that VG3-AC responses to ON stimuli fail to elicit glycine release or that other ON-responsive amacrine cells compensate for lost input from VG3-ACs (Jacoby et al., 2015).

To determine how inhibitory input from VG3-ACs shapes spike responses of SbC-RGCs, we obtained current-clamp recordings in VG3-DTR and control mice. Consistent with the reduced inhibitory input and reduced suppression of tonic excitation (Figure S4), spike suppression of SbC-RGCs by OFF stimuli was attenuated in a size-selective manner by removal of VG3-ACs (Figures 4C and 4D). By contrast, suppression by ON stimuli was enhanced (Figures 4A and 4B). Voltage-clamp recordings revealed that this enhanced suppression is a result of a decrease in the ON-signed excitatory input to SbC-RGCs (Jacoby et al., 2015; Tien et al., 2015) in VG3-DTR compared to control mice (Figures 4E and 4F), suggesting presynaptic actions of VG3-ACs in this circuit.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we discover that VG3-ACs, which previously had been shown to provide glutamatergic input to four RGC types (Kim et al., 2015; Krishnaswamy et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014), provide glycinergic input to SbC-RGCs (Figure 1). Concurrent with our finding, another study came to the same conclusion (Lee et al., 2016). In addition, we reconstruct circuits anatomically (Figure 2) and find that VG3-ACs form inhibitory synapses preferentially on ascending and descending processes that link the bistratified dendrites of SbC-RGCs. Finally, using cell-type-specific deletion in mature circuits, we show that VG3-ACs shape suppressive...
responses of SbC-RGCs in a contrast- and size-selective manner (Figures 3 and 4).

What mechanisms underlie the target specificity of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission from VG3-ACs? Glutamate and glycine could be co-released at the same synapses with specificity arising from postsynaptic receptor expression and/or localization, or they could be released selectively at synapses with different targets. In striatum, glutamate and acetylcholine are packaged into the same vesicles by synergistic action of VGluT3 and the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACHT) (Gras et al., 2008); GABA and glycine share a vesicular transporter (VIAAT) and are co-released in the spinal cord (Jonas et al., 1998; Wojcik et al., 2006); and GABA was recently shown to use the vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT) to co-release with dopamine in striatum (Tritsch et al., 2012). In each of these cases, both transmitters elicit signals in each postsynaptic target cell. In other neurons, including starburst amacrine cells (Lee et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2011; Yonehara et al., 2011), dual transmitters are released from separate vesicle pools, which can segregate into different axon terminals (Chuaha et al., 2014; Gagnon and Parent, 2014; Onoa et al., 2010). The distribution of VGluT3 is homogenous along VG3-AC neurites, and VIAAT, the vesicular transporter for glycine, appears not to be expressed by VG3-ACs (Haverkamp and Wässle, 2004; Johnson et al., 2004). Whether the selectivity of excitation and inhibitory neurotransmission between VG3-ACs and RGC targets arises pre- or postsynaptic, and whether release of glycine involves mechanisms other than vesicle fusion (Rosenberg et al., 2013), thus remains to be determined.

The observation that VG3-ACs use glutamate to excite neurons activated by contrast and motion and glycine to inhibit neurons suppressed by contrast and motion illustrates how modular output signals can enhance the circuit function of a neuron. Similar modular organization is found in the input to SbC-RGCs. Inhibition from Chr1-ACs, All-ACs, and VG3-ACs and at least one more OFF-responsive amacrine cell combines to suppress tonic firing of SbC-RGCs (Jacoby et al., 2015; Tien et al., 2015). Each convergent input contributes a distinct component of inhibition based on its preferential responses to ON or OFF, and small or large stimuli. Convergence modularity is also a feature of inhibitory input to direction selective ganglion cells (Hoggarth et al., 2015) and may be a general organizing principle of inhibitory circuits in the retina.

The numerous ascending and descending processes between ON and OFF dendrites are a characteristic feature of SbC-RGCs (Sivyer and Vaney, 2010; Tien et al., 2015). Here, we find that these link processes are the primary site of synaptic input from VG3-ACs (Figure 2), whose neurites stratify between ON and OFF arbors of SbC-RGCs. SbC-RGC link processes and the lamination of VG3-ACs are conserved from rodents to primates (Haverkamp and Wässle, 2004; Sivyer and Vaney, 2010; Tien et al., 2015), suggesting that their connectivity patterns are as well. In this unusual anatomical arrangement, VG3-ACs use their inhibitory transmitter in a target-specific manner to shape suppressive contrast responses of SbC-RGCs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice**

We used BAC transgenic mice in which Cre recombinase is expressed under control of regulatory sequences of the Slc17a8 gene, which encodes VGluT3 (VG3-Cre mice), to genetically target VG3-ACs (Grimes et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015). Ai32 and Ai9 mice, which express channelrhodopsin-2 fused to yellow fluorescent protein (ChH2-YFP) and tdTomato in a Cre-dependent manner (Madisen et al., 2012; Madisen et al., 2010) were crossed to VG3-Cre
mice for optogenetic stimulation (VG3-ChR2 mice) and VG3-AC visualization in anatomical experiments (VG3-ttdT mice), respectively. Mice in which the diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) is expressed upon Cre-mediated recombination (Buch et al., 2005) were used to remove VG3-ACs after circuit development (VG3-DTR mice). Diphtheria toxin (1 µg/50 g body weight) was injected intraperitoneally in VG3-DTR mice (n = 7) for optogenetic stimulation (10–15 µl; Figure S1) that matches photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Kim et al., 2015). Stimulus timing was controlled by a Uniblitz shutter (Vincent Associates). We performed targeted recordings from VG3-ACs to choose an optogenetic stimulus intensity (3.15 mW mm⁻²; Figure S1) that matches photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Kim et al., 2015). Stimulus timing was controlled by a Uniblitz shutter (Vincent Associates).

To characterize photoreceptor-mediated responses, light stimuli were presented through a 20 × 0.95 numerical aperture (NA) water immersion objective on the RGC side of the retina. Light from a mercury bulb (Olympus) was band-pass filtered (426–446 nm, Chroma) and attenuated by neutral density filters (Chroma). We performed targeted recordings from VG3-ACs to choose an optogenetic stimulus intensity (3.15 × 10⁻⁴ W mm⁻²; Figure S1) that matches photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Kim et al., 2015). Stimulus timing was controlled by a Uniblitz shutter (Vincent Associates).

Figure 4. Genetic Removal of VG3-ACs Alters Spike Suppression and Excitatory Input of SbC-RGCs in a Contrast- and Size-Selective Manner
(A and C) Representative spike responses of SbC-RGCs to light increments (A, ON) and decrements (C, OFF) in small (150 µm diameter, top) and large (600 µm diameter, bottom) circles recorded in control (left, black) and VG3-DTR (right, purple) retinas.
(B and D) Summary plots (mean ± SEM) comparing the duration of spike suppression of SbC-RGCs of control (n = 8, black) and VG3-DTR (n = 6, purple) retinas elicited by ON (B) and OFF (D) stimuli of different sizes. Spike suppression by small ON stimuli is enhanced (p < 0.001 for control versus VG3-DTR at 150 µm) and spike suppression small OFF stimuli is reduced (p < 0.001 for control versus VG3-DTR at 150 µm) by removal of VG3-ACs. By contrast, responses to large ON and OFF stimuli are unchanged (p > 0.6 and p > 0.2 for control versus VG3-DTR for 600 µm ON and OFF stimuli, respectively).
(E) Representative excitatory postsynaptic current traces elicited by small (150 µm diameter, top) and large (600 µm diameter, bottom) ON stimuli. Excitatory input is reduced significantly for small ON stimuli (p < 0.02 for control versus VG3-DTR at 150 µm). For liquid junction potentials. For voltage-clamp recordings, series resistance of 4–7 MΩ was measured from VG3-ACs to choose an optogenetic stimulus intensity (3.15 mW mm⁻²; Figure S1) that matches photoreceptor-mediated light responses (Kim et al., 2015). Stimulus timing was controlled by a Uniblitz shutter (Vincent Associates).

See also Figure S4.

Electrophysiology
Patch-clamp recordings of SbC-RGCs were obtained in retinal flat-mount preparations. SbC-RGCs were identified based on contrast responses in anatomical experiments (VG3-ttdT mice), respectively. Mice in which the diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) is expressed upon Cre-mediated recombination (Buch et al., 2005) were used to remove VG3-ACs after circuit development (VG3-DTR mice). Diphtheria toxin (1 µg/50 g body weight) was injected intraperitoneally in VG3-DTR mice (n = 7, black) and VG3-DTR (n = 7, purple) retinas activated by ON stimuli of different sizes. Excitatory input is reduced significantly for small ON stimuli (p < 0.02 for control versus VG3-DTR at 150 µm).
varying size was square-wave-modulated at 0.25 Hz (average intensity; 1,500 rhodopsin isomerizations rod⁻¹ s⁻¹; Michelson contrast: 100%). The order in which spots of different size were presented was randomly chosen for each cell. IPSC amplitudes were measured as baseline subtracted averages during 200-ms time windows. The duration of spike suppression was defined as the time following a stimulus during which the firing rate was below 50% of the average firing rate (Jacoby et al., 2015).

**Biolistics and Imaging**
Gold particles (1.6 μm diameter, Bio-Rad) were coated with plasmids encoding cytosolic eCFP and neurologin-2 fused at its N terminus to yellow fluorescent protein (YFP-NL2). Particles were delivered to RGCs from a helium-pressure driven gun (Bio-Rad) at approximately 40 psi (Kim et al., 2015). After shooting, retinal flat-mount preparations in ACSF:Hepes containing (in mM) 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 1.3 MgCl₂, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 11 glucose, and 20 Hepes (pH adjusted to 7.37 with NaOH), were incubated in a humid oxygenated chamber at 33–35°C for 14–18 hr. The tissue was then fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in ACSF:Hepes and rinsed PBS (2 x 10 min) before mounting and imaging. Confocal image stacks of biolistically labeled SbC-RGCs in VG3-AC neurites were acquired on a 3D laser scanning microscope (Olympus) using a 60x 1.35 NA oil immersion objective. Synaptic connectivity was analyzed in image stacks with voxel size 0.103 μm (x/y axis) – 0.3 μm (z axis). Using local thresholding SbC-RGC dendrites, YFP-NL2 puncta and VG3-AC neurites were masked separately in Amira (FEI Company). Inhibitory synapses on SbC-RGCs formed by VG3-ACs were defined as YFP-NL2 clusters with a center of mass within 0.5 μm from a VG3-AC neurite. We confirmed that varying this distance from 0.25 to 1 μm did not qualitatively change the results. Given the size of synaptic puncta, this range implies overlap or direct apposition of signals from YFP-NL2 and tdTomato in VG3-AC neurites. To compare the fraction of YFP-NL2 apposed by VG3-AC neurites to that caused by random signal overlap, the same analysis was repeated for each cell in image stacks in which the VG3-AC channel was rotated by 90° (i.e., switching x and y axes).

**Statistics**
Paired and unpaired t tests were used to assess the statistical significance of observed differences.
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