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Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) strains are a common cause of diarrhea. Extraordinary antigenic diversity has prompted a search for conserved antigens to complement canonical approaches to ETEC vaccine development. EtpA, an immunogenic extracellular ETEC adhesin relatively conserved in the ETEC pathovar, has previously been shown to be a protective antigen following intranasal immunization. These studies were undertaken to explore alternative routes of EtpA vaccination that would permit use of a double mutant (R192G L211A) heat-labile toxin (dmLT) adjuvant. Here, oral vaccination with EtpA advantaged with dmLT afforded significant protection against small intestinal colonization, and the degree of protection correlated with fecal IgG, IgA, or total fecal antibody responses to EtpA. Sublingual vaccination yielded compartmentalized mucosal immune responses with significant increases in anti-EtpA fecal IgG and IgA, and mice vaccinated via this route were also protected against colonization. In contrast, while intradermal (i.d.) vaccination achieved high levels of both serum and fecal antibodies against both EtpA and dmLT, mice vaccinated via the i.d. route were not protected against subsequent colonization and the avidity of serum IgG and IgA EtpA-specific antibodies was significantly lower after i.d. immunization compared to other routes. Finally, we demonstrate that antiserum from vaccinated mice significantly impairs binding of LT to cognate GM1 receptors and shows near complete neutralization of toxin delivery by ETEC *in vitro*. Collectively, these data provide further evidence that EtpA could complement future vaccine strategies but also suggest that additional effort will be required to optimize its use as a protective immunogen.

Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) strains are among the most common causes of diarrheal illness in developing countries, where young children are most susceptible (1, 2). In addition, these pathogens are also a common cause of diarrhea in immunologically naive travelers (3) who venture to areas of endemicity where sanitation and clean water remain limited.

Given the significant impact of ETEC on global health, a vaccine to prevent these infections is a significant priority (4). However, despite decades of investigative efforts following the discovery of toxin-producing *E. coli* in patients with clinical illnesses indistinguishable from cholera (5), a vaccine that affords broad-based protection has yet to be developed.

All of the ETEC-specific virulence genes described to date are encoded on plasmids. These include the heat-labile and/or heat-stable enterotoxins that define this pathovar and the colonization factors (CFs). Most vaccines to date have primarily targeted these colonization factors, which include a broad array of fimbrial as well as afimbrial surface antigens thought to be essential for intestinal colonization and/or heat-labile toxin.

Unfortunately, the heterogeneity of CF antigenic structures presents a challenge to development of a broadly protective vaccine. While some antigens are more conserved and widely distributed geographically and across different phylogenetic backgrounds (6), the inherent plasticity of *E. coli* genomes (7,8) and the fact that many ETEC strains do not make one of the 26 different CFs described to date (9,10) have prompted a search for additional antigens that might also be considered to complement existing vaccine development paradigms (11).

Among antigens under investigation as a putative vaccine candidate is EtpA. This plasmid-encoded secreted glycoprotein belongs to the two-partner secretion family of molecules that includes filamentous hemagglutinin (FHA), a component of acellular pertussis vaccines (12). Studies of EtpA to date have demonstrated that it plays a unique role in facilitating ETEC adhesion and toxin delivery to target intestinal epithelial cells (13). Moreover, experiments with mice have shown that EtpA promotes colonization of the small intestine and can serve as a protective antigen (13,14).

Recent molecular characterization of strains from a variety of sources has also suggested that EtpA is sufficiently conserved to warrant further consideration as a potential vaccine antigen (7,15,16). Early proof-of-principal studies with EtpA were conducted using an intranasal route of vaccination with Protollin (14,17–19) or heat-labile toxin (LT) (20). However, because LT is not safe for intranasal vaccination in humans (21,22), and because an LT toxoid will likely be a key component of next-generation vaccines, here we investigated the immunogenicity and protective efficacy.
right until the mouse regained consciousness. For intradermal vaccination, an alcohol swab was used to wet and separate abdominal fur, after which 50 μl of the vaccine preparation or control solution was delivered intradermally using a 1/2-ml insulin syringe fitted with a 29-gauge needle. To confirm intradermal placement of the antigen, we performed test i.d. injections of tattoo ink in parallel sets of live CD-1 mice. Sections of skin were subsequently processed for hematoxylin and eosin staining and examination by light microscopy.

Orogastric vaccination employed 25 μg of dMLT in adjuvant-only controls and as the adjuvant with doses of either 200 or 400 μg of rEtpA. Sublingual vaccination used 5 μg of dMLT/mouse ± 10 μg of EtpA. Intradermal vaccination was done in two experiments with doses of either 100 ng of dMLT ± 250 ng of rEtpA or 1 μg of dMLT ± 2.5 μg of rEtpA.

Sample collection. Mouse fecal samples were collected 2 weeks after each boost. Six fecal pellets from each mouse were resuspended in 1.5 ml of fecal resuspension buffer (containing 10 mM Tris base, 100 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, and 5 mM sodium azide, pH 7.4) and stored at 4°C overnight. Mouse serum samples were collected either from abdominal aorta or from terminal cardiac bleeds using 25-gauge-tuberculin syringes.

**Materials and Methods**

Adjuvant and immunogen preparation. The double mutant (R192G L211A) heat-labile toxin (dMLT) (23) used in these studies was manufactured by the Bioproduction Facility at Walter Reed Army Institute for Research, Silver Spring, MD (BPR-1037-00, lot no. 1735) and was stored lyophilized at −20°C prior to use. dMLT was reconstituted to 1 mg/ml in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) immediately before use and then diluted as needed with PBS. Recombinant polyhistidine-tagged EtpA glycoprotein (rEtpA) was purified as previously described using metal affinity chromatography from culture supernatants of an ST strain of ETEC (H10407). rEtpA was purified as previously described using metal affinity chromatography from culture supernatants of an ST strain of ETEC (H10407). 

Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source or reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pJL017</td>
<td>etpBA cloned into pBAD/myc-His A, with rEtpA</td>
<td>Amp'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJL030</td>
<td>etpC gene cloned into pACYC184; Cm'</td>
<td>Cm', kanamycin resistant; Str', streptomycin resistant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of EtpA when delivered by other routes using a double mutant (R192G L211A) heat-labile toxin (dMLT) as the adjuvant.
FIG 1. Immunogenicity and inhibition of intestinal colonization following oral immunization with EtpA adjuvanted with dmLT. (a to f) Kinetic ELISA data demonstrating fecal antibody responses to dmLT (a to c) and EtpA (d to f) following oral immunization with dmLT adjuvant alone (25 μg), 25 μg dmLT plus 200 μg rEtpA, or 25 μg dmLT plus 400 μg rEtpA. The antigen and antibody isotype tested are shown in the upper left-hand corner of each graph. Serum responses (IgG) at a dilution of 1:100 are shown for (g) dmLT and (h) EtpA, and panels i and j correspond to serum IgA responses. (k) Intestinal colonization in mice (grey symbols represent individual mice) following challenge with enterotoxigenic E. coli. Dashed horizontal lines in each figure panel represent geometric means. Comparisons to the PBS control group were made by Mann-Whitney nonparametric testing (*, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001).
mouse IgG HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, and responses were determined by kinetic ELISA as described above. Avidity indexes were then calculated as the ratio of the $V_{\text{max}}$ (milliunits per minute) in the untreated wells to that in the untreated wells.

Toxin neutralization assays. To examine the ability of antisera to prevent binding of heat-labile toxin to its receptor, plates were coated with GM1 gangliosides at a 1 μg/ml final concentration in PBS overnight at 4°C. Serum from vaccinated or control mice was diluted 1:128 in PBS and then incubated 1:1 with LT at a final concentration of 1 μg/ml at 4°C. Serum from vaccinated or control mice was diluted 1:128 in PBS and then incubated with target gangliosides for 1 h at 37°C. Plates were then allowed to bind to target gangliosides for 1 h at 37°C. Plates were then washed with PBS, LT preincubated with serum was then allowed to bind to donor gangliosides for 1 h at 37°C. Bound LT was then washed and blocked with 1% BSA for 1 h at 37°C. Bound LT was determined by anti-LT-B rabbit polyclonal antiserum diluted 1:1,000 in PBS containing 1% BSA at 37°C for 1 h and washed, followed by addition of goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate diluted 1:5,000 in 1% BSA at 37°C for 30 min. After the final washing, plates were developed with TMB substrate and data collected kinetically as described above.

To examine the ability of antisera from immunized animals to prevent effective toxin delivery by ETEC, a 96-well tissue culture plate was first seeded with Caco-2 cells and the culture was grown at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$ until the cells formed a confluent monolayer. One microliter of a mid-logarithmic-phase Luria broth culture of H10407 was then added with 10 μl of sera from individual mice and incubated with target Caco-2 monolayers at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$. After 2.5 h, plates were washed with prequenched tissue culture medium, then incubated for an additional 2 h at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$, and finally washed 4 times with PBS. Cyclic AMP (cAMP) activation in target epithelial cells was then determined by ELISA (DetectX direct cyclic AMP kit; Arbor Assays, Ann Arbor, MI).

**RESULTS**

Immunogenicity and protective efficacy following oral vaccination with EtpA. Because dmLT has been given safely to human volunteers via the oral route (28), we first examined whether EtpA could afford protection when coadministered with dmLT as the adjuvant. Following oral immunization, mice mounted robust fecal antibody responses to the dmLT adjuvant, whether administered alone or in combination with EtpA (Fig. 1a to c). Likewise, we observed significant amounts of anti-EtpA fecal antibodies compared to either dmLT-only or PBS controls (Fig. 1d to f). Oral vaccination also resulted in demonstrable increases in serum IgG and IgA antibodies against dmLT (Fig. 1g and i) and against EtpA (Fig. 1h and j).

Following oral vaccination, mice in the EtpA vaccine administration group had significantly lower levels of intestinal colonization than either PBS control mice or dmLT adjuvant-only controls (Fig. 1k). While mice immunized with the highest dose of EtpA exhibited the lowest geometric mean number of CFU of ETEC in the small intestine, the difference between EtpA low- and high-dose groups was not statistically significant.

**Correlation between protection and fecal antibody production.** Theoretically, vaccines against enteric pathogens should engender mucosal antibodies that neutralize the ability of the pathogen to colonize the host and/or to deliver its effector molecules. Because EtpA functions as an adhesin, we examined the correlation between the levels of fecal antibody levels achieved in individual mice following vaccination and intestinal colonization. Levels of intestinal IgG (Fig. 2a) and IgA (Fig. 2b) were both related to reductions in intestinal colonization, while the strongest correlation ($P = 0.005$) was observed with total fecal antibody levels (Fig. 2c).

**Sublingual administration of EtpA with dmLT.** Previous studies have shown that sublingual administration of antigens can induce both systemic and mucosal antibody responses with doses that are appreciably smaller than those required for oral administration (29). Similar to oral administration, sublingual vaccination with the combination of dmLT and EtpA also stimulated production of fecal IgG (Fig. 3a) and fecal IgA (Fig. 3b) as well as serum IgG (Fig. 3c) and serum IgA (Fig. 3d) antibodies to the dmLT adjuvant. Although sublingual vaccination resulted in modest increases in responses to EtpA in feces (Fig. 3e and f), there was no significant increase in serum antibodies (Fig. 3g and h), consistent with compartmentalization of the mucosal immune response. While vaccination of mice sublingually with EtpA adjuvanted with dmLT did afford some protection against colonization relative to unvaccinated mice (Fig. 3i), we did not observe a significant correlation between fecal antibody levels and the level of intestinal colonization (Fig. 3j).

**Intradermal administration of EtpA with dmLT.** The dose of
vaccine required to achieve an immunologic response is typically several orders of magnitude smaller with i.d. vaccination than that required for other routes, offering significant dose sparing. Moreover, the emergence of needle-free technologies (30, 31) could make this route feasible for deployment to developing countries, where cost and ease of administration are important considerations. Therefore, we also examined intradermal vaccination with EtpA in mice. After verification of intradermal placement of potential immunogens (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), we were able to achieve high titers of both serum and fecal antibodies to both the adjuvant (Fig. 4a to d) and the EtpA immunogen (Fig. 4e to h) following intradermal administration. Curiously, however, we saw no significant protection against colonization when mice were vaccinated via the i.d. route (Fig. 4g), suggesting that the route of administration could have a substantial impact on vaccine efficacy.

Because intradermal vaccination resulted in high titers of antibody that did not protect against intestinal colonization, we questioned whether the quality of antibody from mice vaccinated intradermally differed from that of mice vaccinated orally. Antibody avidity, which examines the functional affinity of antibody-antigen interactions, has been used as marker of B cell maturation and a surrogate of protective immunity to a number of important pathogens. Importantly, antibody avidity has been shown to correlate with the presence of antigen-specific memory B cells following *Vibrio cholerae* infection (27), potentially permitting efficient measurement of responses that might predict protection against a number of important diarrheal pathogens. Interestingly, the se-
rum IgG antibody avidity in the intradermally vaccinated mice was considerably lower than that in the mice vaccinated orally with EtpA (P < 0.002) (Fig. 5a), as was the EtpA-specific IgA avidity index (AI) (Fig. 5b). Collectively, these data suggest that further assessment of immunization routes, timing of administration, and doses of antigen and adjuvant will likely be important considerations in optimizing the protective efficacy of more recently described antigens, including EtpA.

**Toxin neutralization by sera from vaccinated mice.** Because immunization using dmLT as the adjuvant resulted in significant production of anti-LT antibody, we also examined whether the antibodies were functionally relevant. As demonstrated in Fig. 6a, sera from mice immunized using dmLT prevented effective binding of wild-type heat-labile toxin to target GM1 gangliosides in vitro relative to sera from PBS control mice. Importantly, we found that antisera from either mice immunized with dmLT adjuvant only or from mice immunized with recombinant EtpA and dmLT yielded marked reduction in effective toxin delivery by the
ETEC strain H10407, as determined by activation of cAMP in target Caco-2 epithelial cell monolayers (Fig. 6b). These data provide further evidence vaccines combining novel adhesin and toxoid approaches could offer a viable strategy to protect against ETEC.

**DISCUSSION**

Construction of ETEC vaccines has been hampered in part by the extraordinary genetic plasticity of *E. coli* confounding efforts to define the ideal group of antigens that will achieve broad-based protection. Vaccines currently under study attempt to confront this challenge by using multiple live attenuated strains (32) or whole-cell killed strains that each present different CF antigens and are combined with mutant forms or subunits of heat-labile toxin (28). Interestingly, recent studies of more than 800 isolates from ETEC diarrhea cases in Bangladesh demonstrated that only approximately half of the strains expressed colonization factors (CFs) that could be identified by immunomassays (33), and new CF antigens continue to be identified by genomic sequencing (34).

The potential complexity of vaccines based exclusively on classical ETEC targets has driven investigation of other antigens common to the ETEC pathovar. Theoretically, these antigens, not currently part of ETEC vaccine platforms, could be incorporated into future iterations of vaccines to expand antigenic valency and perhaps act in concert with canonical ETEC vaccine targets to enhance efficacy. One potential alternative target is the two-partner adhesin EtpA, which might be able to deliver EtpA by other means and elicit protective responses following immunization with novel secreted ETEC antigens, including EtpA.

Perhaps not surprisingly, however, protective efficacy varied with the route of administration (40, 41), and despite substantial antibody responses following i.d. immunization, we did not achieve protection. One possible explanation for these seemingly discordant results is that the levels of quality of antibodies generated in different vaccination protocols could differ substantially. Antibody avidity is thought to represent the overall strength of binding by a polyvalent collection of antibodies to a variety of antigenic determinants and to reflect development of germinal center B cell antibody affinity maturation (42). A number of clinical studies have correlated antibody avidity with vaccine protective efficacy (43–45), and conversely low antibody avidity and poor affinity maturation have previously been associated with vaccine failures (46–50).

The current studies seem to support the idea that antibody avidity could be an important parameter in evaluating antigen-specific memory responses and vaccine performance following immunization with novel and classical ETEC antigens (51).

The present data suggest that additional studies will need to take place to optimize both the route of administration, the doses of EtpA required to achieve protection, and conditions for coformulation with other antigens. Nevertheless, our studies suggest that it is possible to generate protective immune responses with the recombinant adhesin. The current studies are an extension of...
earlier work with *Shigella* subunit proteins, and no attempt was made here to optimize immune responses to EtpA. Clearly, the doses of antigen delivered orally in these experiments would be impractical for effective immunization on a large scale. However, these studies suggest that enhanced delivery through an oral vaccine strain could be of benefit (52) and that EtpA could be used to complement existing canonical approaches to development of live attenuated ETEC vaccines (32). Not unexpectedly (53, 54), some routes of immunization resulted in highly compartmentalized responses. While serum antibody responses to EtpA were low following sublingual administration of antigen, we did observe measurable increases in fecal antibody (IgG and IgA) and sublingual immunization was protective. Application of emerging methods that enhance sublingual or buccal antigen delivery (55) could accelerate development of subunit vaccines that incorporate ETEC novel antigens.

Interestingly, emerging data do suggest that intradermal vaccination with mutant LT and ETEC fimbrial tip adhesins could protect against ETEC diarrhea in a human experimental challenge model (56), and i.d. immunization with secreted *Shigella* effector proteins adjuvanted with dmA could be protective against experimental challenge in mice (38). Therefore, the i.d. route could conceivably be used as a platform for development of hybrid subunit vaccines against important enteric pathogens. Nevertheless, further effort will be required to optimize this approach and refine antigen formulations with sufficient valency to achieve broad protection against ETEC and *Shigella*.

Collectively, these early data with EtpA support the concept that this antigen could complement ongoing approaches to vaccine development and expand the valency of ETEC vaccines. Additional efforts will need to focus on first defining and subsequently optimizing parameters that define protection mediated by this and other novel immunogens.
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