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Functional Analysis of the Role of *Toxoplasma gondii* Nucleoside Triphosphate Hydrolases I and II in Acute Mouse Virulence and Immune Suppression
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Bioluminescent reporter assays have been widely used to study the effect of *Toxoplasma gondii* on host gene expression. In the present study, we extend these studies by engineering novel reporter cell lines containing a gamma-activated sequence (GAS) element driving firefly luciferase (FLUC). In RAW264.7 macrophages, *T. gondii* type I strain (GT1) infection blocked interferon gamma (IFN-γ)-induced FLUC activity to a significantly greater extent than infection by type II (ME49) and type III (CTG) strains. Quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis of progeny from a prior genetic cross identified a genomic region on chromosome XII that correlated with the observed strain-dependent phenotype. This QTL region contains two isoforms of the *T. gondii* enzyme nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase (NTPase) that were the prime candidates for mediating the observed strain-specific effect. Using reverse genetic analysis we show that deletion of NTPase I from a type I strain (RH) background restored the higher luciferase levels seen in the type II (ME49) strain. Rather than an effect on IFN-γ-dependent transcription, our data suggest that NTPase I was responsible for the strain-dependent difference in FLUC activity due to hydrolysis of ATP. We further show that NTPases I and II were not essential for tachyzoite growth *in vitro* or virulence in mice. Our study reveals that although *T. gondii* NTPases are not essential for immune evasion, they can affect ATP-dependent reporters. Importantly, this limitation was overcome using an ATP-independent *Gaussia* luciferase, which provides a more appropriate reporter for use with *T. gondii* infection studies.
ence using a similar GAS-driven FLUC reporter in RAW264.7 macrophages and HeLa cells. We identified the genomic locus responsible for the observed phenotype by QTL analysis of a previous genetic cross and generated disruptive mutants of the two most likely candidate genes of that locus: NTPase I and NTPase II. However, rather than being due to a transcriptional difference, our studies show that the FLUC assay is prone to artifacts due to the strong ATP hydrolysis activity of NTPases. This problem was circumvented by using a Gassia luciferase (GLUC) that does not rely on ATP, thus confirming that all three strain types of *T. gondii* block IFN-γ-mediated transcription via STAT1.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Parasite and host cell strains.** *Toxoplasma gondii* strains were maintained as tachyzoites by serial passage on human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cell monolayers in regular growth media based on Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 2 mM l-glutamine, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), and gentamicin (20 μg/ml) at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Parasites used in experiments were harvested after natural egress and separated from host cell debris by a 3-μm-pore-size nucleopore filter. *T. gondii* GT1 (ATCC 50853; type I), ME49 (ATCC 50611; type II), and CTG (ATCC 50842; type III) were used as representative type strains. A collection of progeny from a previous genetic cross between I and II) and CTG (ATCC 50842; type III) were used as representative type strains. A collection of progeny from a previous genetic cross between I (GT1N156) × II (ME49PUDB) strains (18) was used for QTL mapping. RH (type I) strain expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) (RHΔhkgprt/GFP; ATCC 50940) was used for the construction of CRISPR/Cas9 (19) guided NTPase I and II gene disruptant mutants. All parasite strains and cell lines were routinely diagnosed negative for *Mycoplasma* contamination using the e-Myco plus mycoplasma PCR detection kit (Boca Scientific, Boca Raton, FL).

**Reporter cell line construction.** All plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Tables S1 to S3 in the supplemental material. RAW264.7 macrophages and HeLa cells were transduced with lentiviral particles containing four tandem GAS elements (5'-AGTTCTTATTACTCTAATACTC-3') fused to a murine cytomegalovirus (mCMV) promoter driving a FLUC (pGreenFire1-GAS-EF1-puro; System Biosciences, San Francisco, CA). Permanent cell lines were established under puromycin selection and single colonies were selected for activation by IFN-γ. Gibson assembly (Gibson assembly cloning kit; NEB, Ipswich, MA) was used to construct the GLUC expression vector. In short, five tandem GAS elements were fused to a mCMV promoter that is driving GLUC. A neomycin resistance cassette was added for stable cell transfection (pGLUC5-GAS-mCMV-neoR). After transfection into RAW264.7 macrophages with Lipofectamine LTX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), stable colonies were selected under G418 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and tested for activation of GLUC by IFN-γ.

**FLUC and GLUC reporter assays.** Reporter cells were plated into black 96-well plates with clear bottoms (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in regular growth media. Confluent monolayers were infected in triplicate with parasites at various multiplicities of infection (MOIs). At 2 h after infection, the cells were activated with 100 U of murine and human IFN-γ/ml, respectively, for 18 h. Cells containing FLUC were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with either cell culture lysis reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) or dithiothreitol (DTT)-free standard lysis buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate [pH 7.8], 1 mM EDTA, 7 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol) (20). Cells containing GLUC were lysed with luciferase cell lysis buffer (NEB). The luminescence intensity was measured after the addition of 100 μl of firefly luciferase assay substrate (Promega) after the addition of 50 μl of *Gassia* luciferase assay buffer (NEB), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Luminescence was detected on a Cytoation 3 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode plate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT), and data were normalized to the uninfected, stimulated sample. For strain comparisons, we used the mean luminescence value generated at an MOL, at which the infection rate was ~50% as determined by a quantitative invasion assay (21) that was performed in parallel. Samples were averaged over two to three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates.

**QTL analysis.** For QTL association mapping, the ability of strains to interfere with the FLUC reporter system in RAW264.7 macrophages was expressed as a ratio of the uninfected, activated control. Each strain was measured at a range of five different MOIs in triplicate using a 96-well plate format. At 2 h after infection, the cells were activated with 100 U of IFN-γ/ml overnight for 18 h, after which the cells were lysed with lysis buffer (Promega), and the luciferase activity was measured. Log(UP) values were plotted along the genome-wide coordinates of the 1,603 single nucleotide polymorphism markers described previously (18), with genome-wide significance thresholds determined from 1,000 permutations. For fine mapping the region in the identified QTL, we isolated and characterized new progeny from a previous genetic cross (18). These new progeny clones were typed with markers on chromosome XII using previously published markers (22) and at new genomic regions that were PCR amplified and sequenced with newly designed primers (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Single nucleotide polymorphisms within these new markers were used to genotype the progeny with respect to the parental alleles.

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated generation of NTPase disruptant mutants.** For disruption of the NTPase locus, two CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids containing specific guide RNA sequences (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) matching either NTPase I or NTPase II were constructed by Q5 polymerase PCR mutagenesis (NEB), as described previously (19). After transfection of the plasmids, together with ampiclon containing either hypoxanthine, xanthine, guanosine, phosphoribosyl transferase (HXG-PRT), or dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) by electroporation, transgenic parasites were selected in mycophenolic acid (25 μg/ml) and xanthine (50 μg/ml) and 3 mM pyrimethamine, respectively. Single-cell clones were obtained by limiting dilution, and gene disruption was verified by diagnostic PCR using primers listed in Table S3 in the supplemental material. PCRs were performed using *Taq* DNA polymerase (Sigma). Products were analyzed by electrophoresis in agarose gels with ethidium bromide.

**Western blot analysis.** PCR-positive clones were further analyzed by Western blotting to confirm the absence of NTPase protein. In brief, *T. gondii* tachyzoite lysates were resolved on SDS-PAGE gels and subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose. Blotted proteins were blocked in LICOR blocking buffer (LICOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) and detected with rabbit anti-NTPase (23) and mouse anti-GRA7 (24) primary antibodies incubated in 5% milk in PBS for 1 h. Secondary antibodies (LICOR Biosciences) conjugated to IRDye 680CW (donkey anti-mouse IgG) and IRDye 800CW (donkey anti-rabbit IgG) were used to visualize signals on an Odyssey infrared imager (LICOR Biosciences).

**Plaque and mouse virulence assay.** Mice were kept in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-approved facility. The Institutional Care Committee at Washington University School of Medicine approved all experiments. Groups of five female CD1 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 200 parasites. The survival of the animals was monitored over 30 days. The animal experiment was repeated once, and the cumulative mortality of both experiments (n = 10 mice per group) was plotted as Kaplan-Meyer curves in Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), as previously described (7). To test for parasite viability, parasites were inoculated onto HFF cell monolayers grown in six-well plates for 7 days without movement. Subsequently, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Plates were then scanned using an Epson scanner.

**Immunofluorescence assay.** To assess the inhibition of the STAT1 pathway by the wild type and by NTPase disruptant mutants, HFF cells were infected for 16 h and subsequently activated with 100 U of IFN-γ/ml for 5 h. The cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature, and blocked in 10% PBS for 20 min. Subsequently, samples were incubated with anti-IRF1 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), washed in PBS, and...
incubated with secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594 dye (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After staining, the slides were mounted with Prolong Gold plus DAPI (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Life Technologies) and examined with a Zeiss Axioskop 2 MOT Plus microscope equipped for epifluorescence and using a 63 × PlanApochromat lens (numerical aperture [N.A.] of 1.40; Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY). Images were acquired with an AxioCam MRm camera (Carl Zeiss) using Axiovision and processed with Gimp 2.8. The mean red fluorescence of IRF1 in host cell nuclei was determined by the use of the ROI manager in ImageJ. A region of interest (ROI) was selected over DAPI-stained host cell nuclei and the unstained background. The corrected integrated density (CTCF) representing nuclear red fluorescence was calculated by using the following equation: CTCF = integrated density – (area of nucleus × mean fluorescence of background readings). Prism v6 (GraphPad) was used for plotting the results of three biological replicates, each with 15 technical replicates per condition.

**RESULTS**

**Strain-dependent interference with GAS-FLUC assay.** Clonal type strains of *T. gondii* (i.e., types I, II, and III) have previously been reported to equally interfere with the STAT1 signaling pathway and thereby block IFN-γ-dependent gene expression (15, 17). The exact mechanism of this block is still unknown despite several attempts to identify a *T. gondii* effector over the past 2 decades. To investigate the mechanism of STAT1 inhibition by *T. gondii*, we adopted a FLUC reporter system for use in HeLa cells and RAW267.4 macrophages. At a low MOI, we were unable to detect a significant strain-dependent difference in HeLa cells, a finding consistent with previous reports (17). However, at higher MOIs, the strain GT1 blocked the reporter system more efficiently than either ME49 or CTG (Fig. 1A). More dramatically, a strong strain-dependent effect was detected even at lower infection rates in RAW267.4 macrophages (Fig. 1B). These results led us to suspect that previous studies had failed to observed a strain-dependent effect either due to use of different reporter cell lines, lower MOIs, or a combination of effects.

**QTL analysis maps to a single locus on chromosome XII.** To investigate the observed strain-dependent effect further and to test whether the phenotype could be mapped to a genomic region, we took advantage of a previously established cross between GT1 and ME49 strains (18). We determined the ratio of IFN-γ-dependent FLUC activity from infected versus noninfected cells for each of 36 recombinant progeny (Fig. 2A). The parental GT1 strain strongly interfered with the FLUC signal, whereas the parental ME49 strain was only weakly inhibitory (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, almost all clones clustered close to one of the parental lineages, suggesting that a single genomic locus was responsible for the observed phenotype. We analyzed the interference of FLUC activation as a quantitative trait and mapped the locus to a region of ~688 kb on the right side of chromosome XII (Fig. 2B). Initially, this region was bounded by recombination between markers 181 and 193, as typified by progeny SF50 and SF34 (Fig. 2B). In order to narrow down the region, we identified two new progeny from this cross (i.e., 2C7C3 and SF59) that were genotyped using a previous set of markers, as well as new genetic markers defined by direct sequencing of regions within this locus (Fig. 2C). Collectively, the crossovers defined by these progeny identify a region of ~263 kb (TGME49_chrXII: 6559896.0.6822749) on chromosome XII containing 36 genes (http://ToxoDB.org) that was associated with the observed phenotypic effect (Fig. 2C). Robust multiarray averaging (RMA) comparison for expression differences between GT1 and ME49 (25) across this region identified nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase (NTPase) as differentially expressed with higher levels being detected in the type I GT1 strain. Closer inspection revealed that this difference in expression occurred because of differences in gene copy number. Specially, NTPase I is only present in type I strains, while NTPase II is found in type I and other strains such as ME49 (10) (Fig. 3A). Additionally, the type I locus also contains a pseudogene that is not expressed (Fig. 3A).

**Generation of NTPase single- and double-disruptant mutants.** To verify that the NTPase locus was responsible for the mapped phenotypic difference, we used CRISPR/Cas9 technology (19) to generate single- and double-gene disruptive mutants in the type I RH strain. Initially, we used a DHFR cassette that confers...
resistance to pyrimethamine to isolate a gene disruptant mutant lacking either NTPase I (∆ntpase I; RHΔhxgprt/ntpaseI::DHFR) or NTPase II (∆ntpase II; RHΔhxgprt/ntpaseII::DHFR) (Fig. 3A).

Subsequently, a double-disruptant mutant was generated using an HXGPRT resistance cassette inserted into the NTPase I gene in the ∆ntpase II background (∆ntpase II ∆ntpase I; RHΔhxgprt/ntpaseI::DHFR/ntpaseII::HXGPRT). All mutants were confirmed by diagnostic PCR (Fig. 3B). To confirm the absence of protein products in the single or double gene knockouts, we used a polyclonal rabbit antibody that has been raised previously against the full-length NTPase II protein (23). Western blot data indicate that in the RH strain NTPase I is more abundant than NTPase II (Fig. 3C). We independently generated a second version (v2) double-knockout clone using HXGPRT resistance cassette as an insert (∆ntpase II ∆ntpase I v2; RHΔhxgprt/ntpaseI::HXGPRT/ntpaseII::HXGPRT) (Fig. 3A).

Knockouts of NTPase II and I are not essential for growth or virulence in CD1 mice and do not affect STAT1 transcription. Since NTPase I is present in type I strains (10), it has been speculated that NTPase I is a primary virulence factor (11). Notably, we were not able to identify any growth defect in either of the single or double knockouts in vitro (Fig. 4A). To test whether the absence of NTPase I or NTPase II, as well as the disruption of both genes, would have a virulence defect in vivo, we infected CD1 mice. No significant difference in survival was identified between single and double knockouts compared to wild-type RH (Fig. 4B). To test whether NTPase I and NTPase II interfere with the STAT1 pathway, we used IRF1 as IFN-γ-inducible protein marker. Normally, IRF1 is upregulated by STAT1-mediated transcription, and it accumulates in the nucleus after treatment with IFN-γ; this pathway is blocked by prior infection with T. gondii (15). Unexpectedly, we could not identify any difference in the ability to block the IRF1 induction between wild-type RH compared to single and double NTPase disruptant mutants (Fig. 4C). This finding suggested that any potential effects of NTPases on the FLUC assay were not due to disruption of STAT1-mediated transcription but rather to some interference in the assay.

NTPases I and II were responsible for the ATP-dependent artifact in firefly luciferase assay. To examine the role of NTPase enzymes in luciferase assays, we compared wild-type and mutant lines in the reporter cell assays. We observed a strong negative influence of NTPase I on the FLUC activity in both HeLa cells and RAW264.7 macrophages (Fig. 5A and B). Notably, the NTPase I knockout in the type I strain mirrors the less inhibitory phenotype of type II (ME49) and III (CTG) strains, which naturally lack NTPase I (Fig. 5A and B). In contrast, deletion of NTPase II showed only a mild change in inhibition of the FLUC assay (Fig. 5A and B). Furthermore, the inhibitor effect of NTPases on the FLUC assay was partially rescued by adding 20 mM ATP during host cell lysis and by the absence of dithiol compounds, respectively (Fig. 5C). These findings suggest that the inhibition of FLUC activity is due to consumption of ATP by the NTPases.

To overcome the limitation of FLUC assays, we implemented the ATP-independent GLUC as an alternative reporter. Using this ATP-independent system, the original strain-dependent difference in luciferase activity was no longer apparent. Moreover, when we compared the NTPase disruptant mutants, they showed no difference from wild-type T. gondii parasites (Fig. 5D).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we aimed to identify T. gondii effectors responsible for blocking the IFN-γ immune response in host cells. Previously, it has been shown that T. gondii inhibits STAT1-mediated transcription in IFN-γ-activated cells and that different clonal parasite strain types do so with similar efficiency (15, 17). We developed multiple GAS-FLUC reporter lines in human and mouse cells and contrary to previous studies uncovered a strain-dependent inhibition of IFN-γ signaling. Initially, we attributed this difference to different reporter cell lines or to the higher MOIs used in our studies. Based on observing a strong strain-specific difference in the inhibition of FLUC activity in RAW264.7 macrophages, we reasoned that this trait was amenable to QTL analysis. We took advantage of a previous genetic cross (18) and analyzed recombinant progeny based on their ability to inhibit IFN-γ-driven GAS-FLUC activity in infected macrophages.

Using QTL analysis, we mapped the strain-dependent phenotype to a single locus containing the NTPase I and NTPase II genes, which have previously been implicated in virulence (10, 11). After
FIG 3 Generation and verification of NTPase I and II single- and double-disruptant mutants. (A) Diagram of single NTPase II (Δntpase II; RHΔhxgprt) and NTPase I (Δntpase I; RHΔhxgprt) mutants and two versions of double-knockout mutants (Δntpase II Δntpase I; RHΔhxgprt/ΔntpaseII::DHFR/ΔntpaseI::HXGPRT; Δntpase II Δntpase I v.2; RHΔhxgprt/ΔntpaseII::HXGPRT/ΔntpaseI::HXGPRT) generated by CRISPR/Cas9 guided gene disruption. The pseudogene (PG) lacks a suitable start codon and therefore is not transcribed (30). However, it shares complete sequence homology in part with either NTPase II or I for which reason the guide RNA II targets both NTPase II and the pseudogene resulting in a double cut. The type I RH strain (Δhxgprt) was the background for all the mutants described. For the locations and sequences of guides, see Table S3 in the supplemental material. (B) Diagnostic PCR of disruptant mutants with primer pair locations as indicated in panel A. For primer locations and sequences, see Table S3 in the supplemental material. (C) Western blot analysis of protein loss in NTPase disruptant mutants. GRA7 served as loading control.
we identified the QTL on chromosome XII, we narrowed the locus to a region containing 36 genes. Based on detecting a higher level of gene expression in the type I GT1 lineage, we reasoned that the NTPase I and NTPase II genes might indeed be involved in this phenotype. NTPase I is found only in virulent type I strains (10), and previous studies have suggested it is refractory to deletion (11), suggesting that it might play a role in acute virulence. NTPases I and II are located in dense granules and constitute 2 to 8% of the total tachyzoite protein content (12, 13, 26). It has previously been reported that NTPases might be involved in tachyzoite egress or purine salvage (27). However, it is unclear how the NTPases might affect STAT1 signaling, since both enzymes are not thought to be released into the host cell cytosol but rather occupy the parasitophorous vacuole (23).

Despite previous predictions, deletion of the genes encoding either or both of the NTPase enzymes had no effect on growth or virulence of T. gondii. Using the highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9 system (19), we were easily able to delete each of the NTPase genes, as well as generate the double mutant using several different strategies. Although these findings do not rule out another role in different life cycle stages or different hosts, it is apparent they are not essential under normal growth conditions or in the murine model. However, we did confirm that the presence of NTPase I was associated with greater inhibition of the FLUC assay, thus

FIG 4 Growth, virulence, and interference with STAT1 pathway. (A) Plaque assay on HFF monolayers examining growth of wild-type and NTPase knockout parasites. (B) Virulence of NTPase knockout strains in CD-1 mice infected intraperitoneally with 200 tachyzoites per mouse. Groups of five mice per strain were infected, and the survival was monitored. The experiment was repeated once, and the results of both experiments were plotted together. (C) A fluorescence assay performed to examine the interference of wild-type T. gondii with IRF1 protein expression as a readout for STAT1-activated transcription compared to NTPase knockout strains showed no NTPase-dependent effect. HFF monolayers were infected for 2 h and subsequently activated with 100 U of IFN-γ/ml for 5 h. Quantification of the IRF1 fluorescence of infected cells versus controls. Data points represent the mean red fluorescence in a ROI overlaying the host cell nucleus. IRF1, red; DAPI, blue; T. gondii-GFP, green. Scale bar, 10 μm. ***, $P < 0.0001$ (Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s correction).
supporting the original strain-dependent difference we observed. Consistent with our QTL mapping result, deletion of the NTPase I gene in the type I RH strain restored levels of FLUC to levels similar to the ME49 strain, which contains only NTPase II. However, the inhibitory effect observed in the FLUC assay was not due to blockage of STAT1-induced transcription, as shown by the induction of IRF1 in an immunofluorescence assay. Transcription of IFN-γ-inducible genes such as IRF1 is initiated after phosphorylated STAT1 homodimers bind to gamma-activated sequence (GAS) elements in their promoter regions (28). Since IFN-γ-independent transcription is globally blocked in T. gondii-infected cells (15,29), we would have expected this block to be absent in NTPase knockout strains if these genes were responsible. However, when we examined the ability of NTPase mutants to inhibit STAT1-induced gene expression, we found that the loss of both enzymes had no effect. This result led us to suspect that the inhibition of FLUC activity was due to the potent activity of NTPase I in consuming ATP, which is necessary for initiation of the light reaction in several luciferase systems, such as FLUC and click beetle luciferase.

Taken together, the combination of QTL mapping and reverse genetic approaches using CRISPR/Cas9 confirmed that type I strains inhibit FLUC to a greater extent and that the NTPase genes were responsible for this trait. However, the failure of NTPase mutants to alter IRF1 induction suggested that the original FLUC assay was not a faithful readout for STAT1 activity. Instead, our findings strongly suggest that ATP hydrolysis by T. gondii NTPases was responsible for the observed interference with the FLUC assay. This conclusion is supported by the presence of NTPase I, which is more strongly expressed and has a higher ATP hydrolysis rate, in type I strains that show greater potential to inhibit the FLUC assay. Additional support is provided by the fact that NTPase activity was enhanced by dithiol compounds such as DTT (13), a component of our FLUC assay, and addition of ATP.

FIG 5 Influence of NTPases I and II on firefly and Gaussia luciferase reporter lines. IFN-γ-inducible tandem GAS element-driven FLUC reporter lines were infected with different MOIs for 3 h and subsequently stimulated with 100 U of IFN-γ/ml for 18 h. NTPase I and double-knockout mutants were significantly less capable at suppressing FLUC activity in both RAW264.7 macrophages (A) and HeLa cells (B) than the wild-type RH strain. Asterisks (*) indicate a *P value of <0.05 between the wild type and NTPase I and double-knockout mutants. (C) The addition of ATP during cell lysis or the absence of DTT in the lysis buffer partially reversed the interference with the firefly luciferase reporter. Asterisks (*) indicate a *P value of <0.05 between cell lysis with or without DTT. (D) An IFN-γ-inducible tandem GAS element-driven ATP-independent GLUC RAW264.7 macrophage reporter line showed no NTPase-dependent effect. The data shown are averages ± SEM of at least three independent experiments, each performed with three technical replicates (A, C, and D), and averages ± SD of two independent experiments with three technical replicates (B).
during cell lysis or DTT-free lysis buffer partially reversed the inhibition of FLUC activity by *T. gondii*.

Notably, the enzymatic effect of NTPases was independent of the species or cell type background of the reporter assay, as reflected by very similar results in both murine RAW264.7 macrophages and human HeLa cells. Hence, the artifact described here is likely to confound other ATP-dependent assays involving *T. gondii* infection of host cells. Although the use of *T. gondii* strains null for both NTPhase I and NTPhase II could be used to avoid interference of the non-ATP-dependent luciferase such as *Gaussia* luciferase avoids this problem. Therefore, the *Gaussia* luciferase assay provides the preferred platform for future studies on gene expression inhibitor screening and growth assays involving *T. gondii*.
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