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Gpr126/Adgrg6 Has Schwann Cell Autonomous and Nonautonomous Functions in Peripheral Nerve Injury and Repair
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Schwann cells (SCs) are essential for proper peripheral nerve development and repair, although the mechanisms regulating these processes are incompletely understood. We previously showed that the adhesion G protein-coupled receptor Gpr126/Adgrg6 is essential for SC development and myelination. Interestingly, the expression of Gpr126 is maintained in adult SCs, suggestive of a function in the mature nerve. We therefore investigated the role of Gpr126 in nerve repair by studying an inducible SC-specific Gpr126 knock-out mouse model. Here, we show that remyelination is severely delayed after nerve-crush injury. Moreover, we also observe noncell-autonomous defects in macrophage recruitment and axon regeneration in injured nerves following loss of Gpr126 in SCs. This work demonstrates that Gpr126 has critical SC-autonomous and SC-nonautonomous functions in remyelination and peripheral nerve repair.
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Introduction

In the peripheral nervous system (PNS), specialized glia known as Schwann cells (SCs) are best known for their role in generating the myelin sheath during development, which enables rapid action potential propagation. Yet, following nerve injury, SCs are also vital players in repair. Axons distal to an injury site undergo Wallerian degeneration, a programmed cellular response that drives axon fragmentation. SCs associated with these degrading axons also respond in a stereotyped fashion, becoming “Büngner” or repair SCs, which are essential mediators of nerve repair. Repair SCs downregulate the expression of genes associated with myelination and upregulate genes associated with immature SC stages. Further, repair SCs also upregulate neurotrophic factors and cytokines, which promote neuronal survival and macrophage recruitment, respectively (Arthur-Farraj et al., 2012). The macrophages recruited by SCs phagocytose axon and myelin debris, and SCs themselves also efficiently clear debris by a specialized form of autophagy (Gómez-Sanchez et al., 2015). Following nerve injury, axons regrow through Büngner bands—
repair SC-containing basal lamina tubes—back to their targets. Once SC–axon contact is reestablished, repair SCs differentiate and remyelinate the regenerated axons, which is necessary to restore optimal nerve function following repair.

Although there are some differences between developmental myelination and remyelination following injury, many of the same molecular programs are reinitiated during repair. For example, the transcription factors Oct-6 (Pou3f1) and Krox-20 (Egr2) are similarly expressed in both contexts, with transient Oct-6 expression following axon contact that in turn regulates Krox-20 and subsequent myelin gene expression (Scherer et al., 1994; Zorick et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2007). During development, Oct-6, Krox-20, and myelin gene expression, as well as myelination, are all downstream of Gpr126 (Adgrg6) activation in SCs (Monk et al., 2009, 2011; Mogha et al., 2013). Gpr126 is a member of the adhesion G protein-coupled receptor (aGPCR) class; aGPCRs possess, in addition to a seven-transmembrane domain that couples to heterotrimeric G-proteins, a long N-terminal region rich in functional motifs often involved in cell–cell or cell–matrix adhesion. Most aGPCRs undergo an autocleavage event that splits the receptor into two fragments, and recent studies demonstrate that this autocleavage can generate a tethered agonist ligand in many aGPCRs (Liebscher et al., 2014; Demberg et al., 2015; Stoveken et al., 2015). In the case of Gpr126, activation by the tethered agonist is required for SC myelination (Liebscher et al., 2014; Petersen et al., 2015), and we recently determined that laminin-211 binds the N-terminus of Gpr126 and modulates the availability of the tethered agonist (Petersen et al., 2015).

Despite the essential function of Gpr126 in SC development, it is unknown whether this aGPCR is similarly required for remyelination following injury. Moreover, Gpr126 is robustly expressed in mature SCs (Mogha et al., 2013), but its function in adult nerve is not fully understood. In the present study, we use tamoxifen-inducible conditional mouse models to investigate the role of Gpr126 in SCs following nerve injury. We show that while Gpr126 is dispensable for myelin maintenance up to 4 months, this aGPCR has key SC-autonomous and SC-nonautonomous functions during injury and repair. Mirroring its role in development, we show that Gpr126 is required in SCs for remyelination. Unexpectedly, we also find that Gpr126 is required in SCs for increased macrophage numbers in the distal stump as well as efficient axon regeneration following injury. Importantly, mutations in LAMA2, which encodes the α2 chain of the Gpr126-binding partner laminin-211, cause merosin-deficient congenital muscular dystrophy (MDC1A) in humans, and 80% of these patients present with a dysmyelinating neuropathy (Shorer et al., 1995; Mercuri et al., 1996; Quijano-Roy et al., 2004). Therefore, this work has important implications for repair in MDC1A patients and potentially for other peripheral nerve diseases as well.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All animal experiments were performed in compliance with Washington University’s institutional animal protocols. Gpr126<sup>−<sub>+/−</sub></sup> mice, PL2<sup>CreERT2</sup> mice, Dhh<sup>Cre</sup> mice, Rosa26<sup>LacZ</sup> mice, and genotyping assays have been previously described (Soriano, 1999; Jaegle et al., 2003; Leone et al., 2003; Mogha et al., 2013). For all experiments, mice of both sexes were analyzed and we always compared littermate sibling controls.

Tamoxifen injections. For all experiments involving iCko mice, PL2<sup>CreERT2<sub>C</sub></sup>Gpr126<sup>−/−</sub>Rosa<sup>−/−</sup> animals were injected daily with either tamoxifen (in 9:1 sunflower oil/ethanol) to induce the Cre recombinase activity or with solvent only (control: 9:1 sunflower oil/ethanol). We injected animals beginning at 8 weeks of age once per day at 2 mg/ml for 5 consecutive days. For myelin maintenance experiments, we analyzed N = 3 control-injected and tamoxifen-injected animals 4 weeks following the final injections and N = 3 control-injected and tamoxifen-injected animals 4 months following the final injections.

Nerve crush. SCs were harvested in right hind limbs were crushed 4 weeks following the final control or tamoxifen injection according to standard protocols (Akassoglou et al., 2002; Bauder and Ferguson, 2012). Briefly, mice were anesthetized by isoﬂurane before and during surgery. Fur was removed with electric trimmer and the sciatic nerve of the right hind limb was exposed by making a small cut in the skin. The exposed sciatic nerve was carefully crushed with number 5 forceps as described previously (Bauder and Ferguson, 2012), and the crush site was marked with powdered carbon. After crush, surgical wounds were sutured with nylon thread and sealed with metal clips. Mice were administered pain-reducing chow (Bio Serv) during recovery until they were killed. We used a minimum of N = 3 mice for control-injected and tamoxifen-injected groups at each time point.

Nerve and muscle harvest. Following the nerve injury, sciatic nerves were harvested at 3, 7, 21, or 35 d postinjury (dpi). For myelin-maintenance experiments, sciatic nerves were harvested at 4 weeks or 4 months after the last tamoxifen injection. We isolated a 1-cm-long segment from each sciatic nerve distal to the crush site, which was marked with powdered carbon. This 1-cm-long segment was cut in half; the more proximal 0.5 cm segment was always used for transmission electron microscopy (TEM), while the more distal 0.5 cm segment was always used for immunohistochemistry (IHC). These two halves of the nerve segment were drop-fixed in appropriate fixatives. The tissues were embedded such that the midpoint of the original 1 cm segment faced the front of the block face for sectioning so that TEM and IHC images derive from approximately the same distance (0.5 cm) from the crush site. For neuromuscular junction (NMJ) innervation experiments, extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were isolated at 12 dpi from the legs of mice whose sciatic nerves had been crushed as described above. EDL muscles from the uncushioned contralateral sides were used as controls.

IHC staining. Sciatic nerves were isolated as described above, drop-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C, and processed as described previously (Mogha et al., 2013) for all stains except for Gpr126 and SCG10. For Gpr126 staining, nerves were drop-fixed in 4% PFA for 30 min at room temperature and then processed as described previously (Giera et al., 2015). For EDL muscle, tissue was drop-fixed overnight at 4°C after sticking on a piece of a toothpick to avoid curling. After washing with PBS, muscle was kept in 30% sucrose solution and then cryosectioned longitudinally at 20 μm thickness. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Gpr126<sup>CTF</sup> (1:10; Petersen et al., 2015), rat anti-MBP (1:10; Bio-Rad Laboratories), chicken anti-IαC (1:400; Abcam), rabbit anti-c-Jun (1:400; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-s100 (1:400; Dako Cytomation), rat anti-CD68 (1:50; Abcam), rabbit anti-α-tubulin (1:400; Abcam), goat anti-β-Act (1:400; Abcam), chicken anti-lacZ (1:400; Abcam), and rabbit anti-NF-200 (1:500; Sigma–Aldrich). For NMJ stains, a-bungarotoxin (BTX)-Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen) was used. After three washes in PBS, sections were incubated with the appropriate fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (1:1000; Invitrogen) suspended in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. After further washing in PBS, slides were mounted using Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Labs) to label nuclei. Fluorescent images were obtained with a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope.

Western blotting. Sciatic nerves were isolated from mice at 3 dpi. Segments of uninjured and distal injured sciatic nerve measuring 1 cm in length were homogenized in lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Fifteen micrograms of protein were loaded and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot with the following antibodies: rabbit anti-c-Jun (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-α-tubulin (1:20,000; Abcam), and goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP (1:10,000; Life Technologies). Membranes were developed with SuperSignal West Pico (Thermo Fisher Scientific), imaged on a Chemi-Doc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and quantified with Image Lab 5.2.1 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).
Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. All assays were performed on a Viia7 (Applied Biosystems) qPCR machine with 2 × SsoFast Evagreen Supermix (Applied Biosystems). Cycling parameters were 95°C (10 min) followed by 40 cycles of 95°C (15 s), then 60°C (1 min) with a ramp speed of 1°C/s. Melting curve analysis was completed as follows: 95°C (15 s), 60°C (1 min), and a progressive increase up to 95°C (0.5°C/min).

Myelin gene expression was assayed using the following previously published primers: Sox10: 5′-CAGGGTGGCTGGCTCCGACG-3′ and 5′-GGTGTTAGGAGGGCCCGTGGA-3′; Mbp: 5′-CCAAATTCACCTCGACTTCA-3′ and 5′-TAAGTCCCGCTTCTGGTGTTG-3′ (Sokol and Mipps; Finzsch et al., 2010); Oct6: 5′-TGAGGTTGCGTGCAAGG-3′ and 5′-GGCGGATAGAGGCTGACC-3′ (Zhu et al., 2014). Chemokine expression of 84 genes was evaluated using the 96-well format of the RT2 Profiler PCR Array; Chemokines and Receptors (mouse): Qiagen for the Viia7 machine. All qPCR data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel. Relative expression was calculated using the ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). All samples were normalized to the average expression of several stably expressed housekeeping control genes: ActB, B2m, GapDH, Gusb, and Hsp90. Relative expression (RQ), or fold change (2−ΔΔCt), is shown in figures. Error bars depict RQmax and RQmin, which are the maximum and minimum limits of possible RQ values based on the SD of the ΔCt values. Three biological replicates for all genotypes and sibling controls were performed.

Statistical analyses. All data are presented as the mean + SD or ± SD. Significance is represented as *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Sample sizes are similar to those of previously published works. A minimum of three animals per genotype were used unless otherwise noted. Statistical analyses were performed using an unpaired Student’s t test with two tails or using ANOVA as indicated and in accordance with previously published works. Unequal variance and datasets analyzed by a Student’s t test met the appropriate assumptions, including normality.

Results
Inducible deletion of Gpr126 from mature SCs
Gpr126 is essential for SC development (Monk et al., 2009, 2011; Mogha et al., 2013; Petersen et al., 2015), yet we previously noted that Gpr126 is also highly expressed in mature SCs (Mogha et al., 2013), indicating additional possible roles of this GPCR in adult nerve. To investigate the role of Gpr126 in the mature PNS, we generated SC-specific, tamoxifen-inducible conditional knockout mice by crossing previously described Gpr126β/β mice (Mogha et al., 2013) with mice expressing tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase under control of the PLP promoter (Leone et al., 2003). We also used the Rosa-LacZ locus as a reporter for Cre activity. Gpr126β/β;PLP-CreERT2;Rosa26 mice, hereafter referred to as iKO mice, were injected once per day with 2 mg of tamoxifen or vehicle control (nine parts sunflower oil plus one part ethanol) at 8 weeks of age for 5 consecutive days. IHC analyses of sciatic nerve cross sections 4 weeks after injection using anti-Gpr 126 and LacZ antibodies revealed that the majority of SCs in tamoxifen-injected animals are Gpr126β/β and LacZ+ (Fig. 1B; N = 3 animals). Some SCs are Gpr126β/β (+) following tamoxifen administration, but all Gpr126β/β SCs are LacZ+ (−) (Fig. 1B), indicating that Cre was not activated in these cells.

Gpr126 deletion does not affect myelin maintenance up to 4 months.
Having established that tamoxifen administration effectively deletes Gpr126 from mature SCs, we next sought to use this model to determine whether Gpr126 is required for remyelination after injury. Given that we have recently determined that loss of Gpr126 in SCs leads to axon–glial interaction defects after ~1 year of age (Küffer et al., 2016), we wanted to test whether Gpr126 is required for myelin maintenance in the short term (e.g., at time points to be examined in nerve-injury studies). To this end, we examined sciatic nerves of tamoxifen-injected and control-
injected icKO mice 4 weeks after injection. We did not observe differences between the two groups in the levels of myelin basic protein (MBP) by IHC (Fig. 2A, B; N = 3 for each group), and LacZ staining again demonstrated robust Cre activity in tamoxifen-injected animals (Fig. 2A, B). Consistent with this observation, toluidine blue staining of semithin (200–300 nm) sections showed no obvious differences in morphology between tamoxifen-injected and control-injected icKO animals at 4 weeks (Fig. 2C, D; N = 3 for each group). We next counted the number of SC nuclei 4 weeks after injection to determine whether the SC number is affected by the loss of Gpr126 and did not observe any significant difference in the number of SC nuclei (Fig. 2G; N = 3 for each group). Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qPCR) analysis on the sciatic nerves for mature SC markers (Sox10, Oct6, and Mbp) revealed no significant change in the expression levels of these genes 4 weeks after injection (Fig. 2H). Finally, ultrastructural analyses by TEM further demonstrated that there are no differences in myelin ultrastructure or thickness in
tamoxifen-injected versus control-injected icKO mice 4 weeks following the final injection (Fig. 3A–G; N/H11005 = 3 for each group, p ≈ 0.19, ANOVA). These data support the notion that Gpr126 is not required for myelin maintenance up to 1 month, consistent with previous observations in zebrafish (Glenn and Talbot, 2013).

To determine whether deletion of Gpr126 from SCs affects longer-term myelin maintenance, we expanded our analysis to 4 months after tamoxifen injections. Similar to 4 weeks after injection, MBP levels and nerve morphology are not affected in tamoxifen-injected versus control-injected icKO mice (Fig. 3B, D, F; N/H11005 = 3 for each group, p = 0.13, ANOVA). Together, these data suggest that Gpr126 is dispensable for myelin maintenance up to 4 months; thus, we were able to perform nerve-injury studies without confounding effects on Gpr126-dependent PNS maintenance.

Figure 2. Gpr126 is dispensable for maintenance of MBP protein levels and gross myelin morphology up to 4 months. A–B”, IHC of sciatic nerve cross sections stained with MBP (red), LacZ (green), and DAPI (blue) 4 weeks following final control (A–A”) or tamoxifen (B–B”) injections. Scale bar: (in A), 50 μm. C–F, Toluidine blue stained semithin sections of control-injected (C, E) and tamoxifen-injected (D, F) animals reveal no gross differences 4 weeks (C, D) or 4 months (E, F) following the final injections. Scale bar: (in C), 20 μm. G, Number of SC nuclei is not significantly different in tamoxifen-injected animals compared with controls 4 weeks after injection. qPCR 4 weeks after tamoxifen injection reveals that expression of key SC differentiation genes (Sox10, Oct6, Mbp) is not significantly altered between the two groups. Error bars are shown as ± SD.

Remyelination is impaired in inducible Gpr126 mutants
To further dissect the function of Gpr126 in mature nerve, we next investigated its necessity in remyelination following nerve injury. To this end, we crushed the sciatic nerves of tamoxifen-injected or control-injected icKO mice 4 weeks after the last injection and analyzed the nerves at 21 dpi (N = 3 for each group). By this time point in control nerves, myelin debris has been largely cleared, axons have regrown, and redifferentiated SCs are robustly remyelinating regenerated axons (Akassoglou et al., 2002). To ensure valid comparisons between the experimental groups, we marked the crush site at the time of injury with powdered carbon, and we analyzed distal sciatic nerve segments at the same distance from the crush site (5 mm).
Toluidine blue-stained semithin sections and TEM analyses show that nerves from control-injected icKO mice are robustly remyelinated with little myelin debris persisting (Fig. 4A, C, E). In contrast, remyelination is significantly impaired in sciatic nerves from tamoxifen-injected icKO mice as assessed by toluidine blue-stained semithin sections (Fig. 4B). TEM analyses further revealed many defects at the ultrastructural level in tamoxifen-injected icKO mice at 21 dpi (Fig. 4D), including significantly more myelin debris (Fig. 4D, black arrows, E; p = 5.7 × 10⁻¹³, Student’s t test), large-caliber axons (>1 μm) in bundles (Fig. 4D, asterisks), aberrant SC cytoplasmic protrusions (Fig. 4D, white arrows), and fewer myelinated axons (Fig. 4F; p = 2.3 × 10⁻⁸, Student’s t test). G-ratio analyses revealed that the remyelinated axons observed in the tamoxifen-injected icKO animals have thinner myelin (i.e., higher g-ratios) compared with control-injected animals (Fig. 4G, H; p = 0.00006, ANOVA). Importantly, IHC staining with MBP and LacZ showed that myelin debris-like figures were associated with LacZ(+) SCs (Fig. 5B, C).
arrowheads), while myelin rings with normal morphology were not associated with LacZ(+) SCs (Fig. 5B, asterisks). This indicates that Gpr126(+) SCs are capable of remyelination, while Gpr126(-) SCs are not, supporting the model that Gpr126 is required SC-autonomously for remyelination. To more conclusively demonstrate that the LacZ(+) cells we observed in tamoxifen-injected animals are incapable of remyelination, we performed blue-gal staining on the sciatic nerves at 21 dpi before

Figure 4. Remyelination is impaired in inducible Gpr126 mutants. A, B, Toluidine blue-stained semithin sciatic nerve sections reveal impaired remyelination in tamoxifen-injected icKO animals (B) compared with control animals (A) 21 dpi. Scale bar: (in A) A, B, 20 μm. C, D, TEM micrographs of control-injected (C) or tamoxifen-injected (D) icKO sciatic nerves at 21 dpi. Axons in control nerves are well myelinated. In tamoxifen-injected icKO sciatic nerves, myelin debris is evident (D, black arrows), few axons are myelinated, large caliber axons are observed in bundles (D, asterisks), and SC cytoplasmic protrusions are observed (D, white arrows). Scale bar: (in C) C, D, 2 μm. E, F, Quantitation of nerve abnormalities in control-injected (black) versus tamoxifen-injected (gray) icKO animals at 21 dpi. E, More area is covered by myelin debris in tamoxifen-injected icKO mice compared with controls (p = 5.8 × 10⁻¹³, Student's t test). F, Fewer axons are myelinated in tamoxifen-injected compared with control-injected icKO animals (p = 2.3 × 10⁻¹⁰, Student's t test). G, H, G-ratio analyses show that when remyelination occurs in tamoxifen-injected animals, the myelin sheaths are thinner than in control-injected animals (p = 0.003, ANOVA). Error bars are shown as ±SD.
whereas we never observed blue-gal crystals in SCs that have TEM analysis. This causes blue-gal crystals to form in LacZ(+) cells, which can be visualized by TEM (Aoyama et al., 2004). This approach showed that blue-gal(+) SCs (Fig. 5D,D’, black arrows) are filled with myelin debris and have not remyelinated, whereas we never observed blue-gal crystals in SCs that have remyelinated (Fig. 5D, asterisks).

To determine whether remyelination impairments in tamoxifen-injected iKO animals are transient, we analyzed the sciatic nerves from control-injected and tamoxifen-injected iKO mice at 35 dpi (Fig. 6). We again performed blue-gal staining before TEM analysis to identify SCs with or without Cre activity. We observed that even at 35 dpi, remyelination was impaired in tamoxifen-injected animals (Fig. 6B). There were some myelinated axons present (Fig. 6B, asterisks), but these were always associated with blue-gal(−) SCs. In contrast, axons associated with blue-gal(+) SCs were unmyelinated, often with myelin debris still present (Fig. 6B, arrows). Together, these data indicate that Gpr126 is required autonomously in SCs for remyelination.

Gpr126 is not required for demyelination or c-Jun elevation in repair SCs following injury

Consistent with the essential role of Gpr126 in SC developmental myelination, we propose that this aGPCR is similarly required for remyelination following injury. Beyond this role, however, we note that cellular responses to nerve injury and subsequent regeneration are complex and highly controlled. Thus, the impaired remyelination we observe in inducible Gpr126 mutants could also in part be attributable to several, nonmutually exclusive causes. Following nerve injury, SCs transform into dedicated repair cells that degrade myelin by a selective form of autophagy termed “myelinophagy” (Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2015), macrophages are recruited to aid in myelin debris clearance, and axons must regrow through SC/basal lamina tubes found distal to the injury (Chen et al., 2007). Therefore, we sought to further investigate each stage of injury and repair to define the function(s) of Gpr126 in peripheral regeneration. First, we analyzed nerves at 3 dpi by TEM and IHC staining. At this early time point after injury, robust demyelination, repair SC generation, and myelin/axonal debris clearance should be evident (Jessen and Mirsky, 2008; Arthur-Farraj et al., 2012; Jessen et al., 2015). Neither toluidine blue-stained semithin sections nor TEM micrographs revealed overt differences between tamoxifen-injected or control-injected iKO mice (Fig. 7A–D), and both groups had similar amounts of myelin debris (Fig. 7E; N = 3, p = 0.91, Student’s t test). These data suggest that Gpr126 is not required in SCs for demyelination following injury.

Next, we examined the ability of SCs lacking Gpr126 to elevate c-Jun. After injury, this transcription factor is required in SCs for downregulation of mature SC genes, for transformation into repair SCs, and c-Jun can be used as a molecular marker of repair SCs (Arthur-Farraj et al., 2012; Jessen et al., 2015). We observed no differences in c-Jun(+) nuclei by IHC in control-injected versus tamoxifen-injected animals at 3 dpi (Fig. 8A–C; N = 3, p = 0.85, Student’s t test). Additionally, Ki67 staining revealed that proliferation was not affected in control-injected versus tamoxifen-injected iKO mice at 3 dpi (data not shown). Western blot analysis demonstrated that c-Jun was indeed elevated in iKO nerves at 3 dpi, although levels were slightly lower than those of control-injected nerves (Fig. 8D,E; N = 4, p = 0.02). Tamoxifen-injected iKO animals possess both mutant and unrecombined wild-type SCs, which could affect quantitative analysis of protein levels. Therefore, we next examined c-Jun levels in SC-specific conditional knock-out Gpr126fl/fl;DhhCre− mice in which Gpr126 is deleted at ∼E12.5 (Mogha et al., 2013). The Gpr126fl/fl;DhhCre− mice are hereafter referred to as “cKO” animals. qPCR for the transcription factor Sox10, which marks all SCs, revealed no significant differences between control and cKO animals 3 dpi (Fig. 8F). Western blot analyses showed that, without injury, c-Jun levels in some cKO mice were slightly higher than in wild-type siblings (Fig. 8G,H; N = 6, p = 0.003; see below). Importantly, however, upregulation of total c-Jun is not affected negatively in cKO animals at 3
Figure 6. Gpr126 in SCs is required for remyelination at 35 dpi. A, B, TEM images at 35 dpi of sciatic nerve cross sections from control-injected (A) or tamoxifen-injected (B) mice. Myelin debris persists and is associated only with SCs possessing blue-gal crystals (B, arrows). In contrast, SCs lacking blue-gal crystals are associated with myelin (B, asterisk). C–E, Quantifications show the percentage LacZ(+) (gray bars) or LacZ(−) (black bars) SCs with the indicated characteristics. C, More LacZ(+) SCs are associated with myelin debris compared with LacZ(−) SCs (p = 0.002, Student’s t test). D, More LacZ(+) SCs are observed at the promyelinating stage than LacZ(−) SCs (p = 0.007, Student’s t test). E, Only LacZ(−), and never LacZ(+) SCs, are observed at the myelinating stage. N = 4.

Figure 7. Demyelination is not impaired in inducible Gpr126 mutants following injury. A, B, Toluidine blue-stained semithin sciatic nerve sections reveal grossly equal demyelination in tamoxifen-injected icKO animals (B) compared with control animals (A) at 3 dpi. Scale bar: (in A) 50 μm. C, D, TEM micrographs of control-injected (C) or tamoxifen-injected (D) icKO sciatic nerves at 3 dpi. Scale bar: (in C) 2 μm. E, Quantification reveals no significant differences in the area covered by myelin debris in control-injected (black bar) versus tamoxifen-injected (gray bar) icKO animals (p = 0.914, Student’s t test). Error bars are shown as ±SD.
dpi, and indeed levels were higher in these mutants compared with wild-type siblings (Fig. 8G,H; N = 6, p = 0.001, Student’s t test). Together, these data support the notion that Gpr126 is dispensable for acquisition of some characteristics of the repair SC, namely demyelination, proliferation, and c-Jun activation.

Gpr126 is required in SCs for macrophage recruitment to the peripheral nerve following injury

Although SCs themselves can clear significant myelin debris in the distal stump of injured peripheral nerves (Perry et al., 1995; Niemi et al., 2013; Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2015), blood-derived...
macrophages, which are in part recruited by SCs, are also key players in myelin debris clearance and peripheral nerve repair following injury (Martini et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2015). To test whether loss of Gpr126 in SCs impairs macrophage numbers, we performed IHC with macrophage markers (CD68 and Iba-1) on distal sciatic nerves of control-injected and tamoxifen-injected icKO mice at different time points after injury (3, 7, and 21 dpi; Fig. 9). We counted the number of CD68(+) or Iba-1(+) cells at all time points in control-injected versus tamoxifen-injected icKO animals. Quantification revealed a significant decrease in the number of CD68(+) macrophages in tamoxifen-injected (gray bar) versus control-injected (black bar) icKO animals at 3 (Fig. 9C; p = 8.33 × 10^{-5}, Student’s t test) and 7 dpi (Fig. 9F; p = 1.99 × 10^{-5}, Student’s t test), but not at 21 dpi (Fig. 9I; p = 0.82, Student’s t test). Similarly, the number of Iba1(+) cells is significantly higher in control nerves at 3 (Fig. 9L; p = 0.0004, Student’s t test) and 7 dpi (Fig. 9O; p = 0.0015, Student’s t test), but not at 21 dpi (Fig. 9R; p = 0.59, Student’s t test). Error bars are ±SD.

These data reveal an unexpected role for SC-derived Gpr126 in proper recruitment of macrophages following nerve injury. Previous work has shown that after peripheral nerve injury, repair SCs secrete a variety of chemokines to recruit macrophages...
to the injury site (Martini et al., 2008; Brosius Lutz and Barres, 2014). Therefore, we hypothesized that Gpr126 is required for chemokine expression after injury, and that the reduced infiltration of macrophages in Gpr126 mutants might be due to decreased chemokine expression by SCs. To begin to test this hypothesis, we compared the expression of a wide range of chemokines and their receptors between control and cKO nerves (N = 3) at 3 dpi by qRT-PCR. For these experiments, we used cKO nerves because preliminary microarray analyses of icKO nerves (±tamoxifen) indicated that there was not clear separation between experimental groups by PCA plot analysis, possibly due to the “contamination” of wild-type, unrecombined SCs in these tissues. Thus, cKO mice represent the best available tool with which to begin to dissect differential cytokine expression.

Figure 10. Macrophage recruitment and chemokine expression are impaired in conditional knock-out Gpr126 mutants following injury. A–B’, D–E’, IHC for CD68 (A–B’) and Iba1 (D–E’) show macrophages (red) in wild-type (WT; A, A’, D, D’) and cKO (B, B’, E, E’) nerves at 3 dpi. Scale bar: (in A) A–E’, 50 μm. C, F, Quantification of CD68(+) cells (C) and Iba1(+) cells (F) reveals that the macrophage number is significantly decreased in cKO (gray bars) compared with WT (black bars). C, p = 0.01, Student’s t test; F, p = 0.00054, Student’s t test. G, Chemokine expression is significantly reduced in Gpr126 cKO nerves at 3 dpi relative to controls (Ccl2, p = 0.0442; Ccl3, p = 0.0375; Cxcl10, p = 0.0244; Tnf, p = 0.0144, Student’s t test). Error bars are ±SD.
between wild-type and Gpr126 mutant SCs following injury. Of the 84 genes tested, four chemokines were significantly downregulated in cKO nerves: Ccl2, Ccl3, Cxcl10, and Tnf (Fig. 9G). Importantly, Ccl2 (Toews et al., 1998; Taskinen and Röytä, 2000) and Tnf (Chernov et al., 2015) are both normally upregulated after peripheral nerve injury. Additionally, Tnf is important for the immunological activation of SCs (Qin et al., 2008; Mao et al., 2010), and Ccl2 is required for macrophage recruitment after peripheral nerve injury (Toews et al., 1998). Interestingly, of the four significant hits, Tnf is the most downregulated in Gpr126 mutant nerves, and previous studies have shown that Tnf is required for inducing the expression of Ccl2 (Chen et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2007; Ho et al., 2008; Xia et al., 2011), Ccl3 (Wang et al., 2012), and Cxcl10 (Hardaker et al., 2004; Qi et al., 2009) in other contexts. To ensure that chemokine gene expression is not altered in cKO SCs without injury, we repeated the chemokine array analysis to compare uninjured cKO sciatic nerves with wild-type controls at 8 weeks of age. Of the 84 tested genes, only Mapk1 was slightly (1.5-fold) upregulated in uninjured cKO nerves compared with controls (data not shown, N = 3, p = 0.04, Student’s t test). Additionally, we observed no difference in macrophage number in cKO sciatic nerves compared with wild-type sibling control nerves at 8 weeks of age (data not shown). Together, these data suggest that Gpr126 is required for inducing expression of Tnf to activate the expression of chemokines by repair SCs to recruit peripheral macrophages after nerve injury.

Gpr126 is required in SCs for proper axon regeneration following injury

Following myelin and axonal debris clearance in the distal portion of an injured nerve, regenerating axons grow through tracts called Büngner bands, which contain SCs and their original basal laminae (Chen et al., 2007; Jessen et al., 2015). Given that Gpr126 has two known basal lamina binding partners—collagen IV (Paavola et al., 2014) and laminin-211 (Petersen et al., 2015)—and that aGPCRs have adhesive functions as well as signaling functions (Langenhan et al., 2013), we reasoned that Büngner bands, and subsequently axon regeneration, might be impaired following loss of Gpr126 in SCs. To test this, we performed IHC on longitudinal sections at 3 dpi with an anti-superior cervical ganglion (SCG10) antibody to selectively label regenerating axons (Cho et al., 2013; Shin et al., 2014). SCG10 levels rapidly decline in distal axons following injury, accumulate in proximal axons within 1 h following injury, and are maintained during axon regeneration (Shin et al., 2014). We observed that axon regeneration is significantly impaired in tamoxifen-injected icKO mice compared with control-injected mice (Fig. 11A–D) by measuring the lengths of the longest SCG10 (+) axons (Fig. 11C; N = 3, p = 2.2 × 10−13, Student’s t test) as well as SCG10 (+) axon numbers at standard intervals from the crush site (Fig. 11D; N = 3, p values given in figure legend). This analysis suggested that Gpr126 in SCs is required for axon regeneration. However, myelin debris clearance is impaired in icKO mice (Fig. 4E), which could potentially affect axon regeneration. To control for this, we next injured sciatic nerves of cKO mice. As cKO SCs never form myelin (Mogha et al., 2013), no myelin debris can be present following injury. Similar to icKO mice, we observed significantly impaired axon regeneration by anti-SCG10 staining 3 dpi in cKO mice compared with WT littermates (Fig. 11E–H; N = 3 for cKO, N = 4 for controls, p = 0.002 for length of the longest axon, Student’s t test; p values for axon numbers at standard distances from the crush site given in figure legend).

Next, we wanted to determine whether the observed axon regeneration defects were transient; however, SCG-10 staining is only effective for regenerating axons up to ~3 dpi (Shin et al., 2014). Therefore, we instead examined NMJ innervation of the EDL muscle, which is innervated by the sciatic nerve, at 12 dpi. We stained NMJ end plates with BTX and counterstained axons
with NF-200 (Cho et al., 2013, 2015). This analysis revealed that even at 12 dpi, the number of NMJs occupied by NF-200(+) axons was significantly lower in tamoxifen-injected animals compared with control-injected animals (Fig. 12; N = 3, p = 0.05, Student’s t test), although contralateral uninjured NMJ innervation was unaffected (data not shown). We observed a similar phenotype in cKO EDL muscle compared with wild-type siblings (Fig. 12; N = 3, p = 0.003, Student’s t test), again with contralateral NMJs unaffected (data not shown). Together, these results suggest that Gpr126 is nonautonomously required in SCs for peripheral axon regeneration following nerve injury.

Discussion

In regeneration as in development, the peripheral nerve is a complex organ that requires interactions and communication between many cell types for proper function. SCs “sense” axon injury by an unknown mechanism, and as Wallerian degeneration and subsequent axon regeneration proceed, SCs respond with remarkable plasticity. Mature phenotypes are lost and the cells are transformed into dedicated repair SCs that play many roles in nerve repair and functional recovery. Repair SCs clear debris and recruit macrophages to the distal stump. Repair SC morphology drastically flattens and elongates (Arthur-Farraj et al., 2012), but the basal lamina that once encompassed the mature SC persists. Repair SCs form longitudinal columns within the basal lamina tubes, and these units are collectively referred to as Büngner bands. Regenerating axons grow through Büngner bands and require extracellular matrix cues and trophic support from repair SCs for their migration and survival (Chen et al., 2007; Brosius Lutz and Barres, 2014). Finally, SCs further demonstrate their capacity for dramatic change by once more acquiring mature phenotypes, and in the case of myelinating SCs, by remyelinating the regenerated axons.

Although the signals that regulate remyelination are not completely understood, many developmental pathways are reinitiated (Chen et al., 2007). The aGPCR Gpr126 is essential for developmental myelination (Monk et al., 2009, 2011; Mogha et al., 2013) and, intriguingly, Gpr126 mRNA and protein are maintained in mature SCs (Mogha et al., 2013; Fig. 1). Although prolonged loss of Gpr126 in SCs is associated with abnormal axon–glial interactions after ~1 year (Küffer et al., 2016), our data suggest that Gpr126 is dispensable for myelin maintenance up to 4 months after deletion (Figs. 2, 3). The lack of a myelin maintenance phenotype up to 4 months following loss of Gpr126 permitted us to perform nerve-injury studies without confounding homeostatic impairments. We noted a significant reduction in the number of remyelinated axons and persistence of myelin debris at 21 dpi (Fig. 4; B–F), and our marker and TEM analyses suggested that Gpr126(+) SCs were capable of proper remy-
elination while Gpr126(−) SCs were not (Figs. 5, 6). In addition to this SC-autonomous function in remyelination, we also observed interesting non-SC-autonomous phenotypes in icKO and cKO mutants following injury; namely, reduced macrophage recruitment and impaired axon regeneration (Figs. 9–12).

Following nerve injury, repair SCs upregulate several chemokines, including interleukins, TNF-α, leukemia inhibitory factor, and MCP-1, which serve to recruit macrophages to the distal nerve (Martini et al., 2008; Brosius Lutz and Barres, 2014). These macrophages phagocytose myelin and axonal debris, and are key for facilitating eventual nerve repair (Brück, 1997; Martini et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2015). Interestingly, here we show that Gpr126 is required in SCs to upregulate chemokine expression following nerve injury, particularly Tnf and some of its downstream targets—Ccl2, Ccl3, and Cxcl10 (Fig. 10G). Dissecting the molecular relationships between Gpr126 and these chemokines in macrophage recruitment will be an important next step as will determining how reduced macrophage numbers directly affect repair in this model. Despite their importance, however, macrophages are not completely essential for debris clearance in the distal stump, as repair SCs are also highly proficient at this task. Indeed, for the first 5 d following injury (Liu et al., 1995; Perry et al., 1995), SCs are primarily responsible for debris clearance and, in mouse mutants that lack macrophage recruitment to distal nerve due to loss of the chemokine receptor Ccr2, SCs are capable of clearing myelin debris alone (Niemi et al., 2013). It was recently shown that repair SCs clear myelin debris by a specialized form of autophagy termed “myelinophagy” (Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2015). Therefore, our observation that myelin debris persists up to 21 dpi (Fig. 4E) suggests that Gpr126 is also required in SCs for efficient myelinophagy, and future work is required to directly test this hypothesis.

We also observed impaired axon regeneration and NMJ reinnervation in SC-specific Gpr126 mutants that was not the consequence of increased myelin debris, as both icKO (myelin debris present) and cKO mutants (myelin debris absent) displayed the same phenotype (Figs. 11, 12). Given that the extracellular matrix proteins laminin-211 and collagen IV are binding partners for Gpr126 (Paavola et al., 2014; Petersen et al., 2015), it is possible that the Bünger band basal lamina tubes are disrupted without Gpr126 in such a way that axon regeneration is inhibited. Although we did not observe obvious loss of the basal lamina by TEM, abnormal basal lamina loops and outfoldings were evident in Gpr126 icKO mutants up to 21 dpi (Fig. 4D), suggesting that the stability of this structure was in some way compromised. We note that laminin proteins are known modulators of axon regeneration following nerve injury. Antibody-mediated perturbation of the α2-laminin chain reduces axon regeneration on nerve sections, while genetic loss of the γ1-laminin chain impairs axon regeneration in vivo (Agius and Cochard, 1998; Chen and Strickland, 2003). Thus, perhaps Gpr126 and laminin–211 interactions are required in regeneration in addition to development. Interestingly, loss of c-Jun in SCs also impairs axon regeneration in addition to neuronal survival (Arthur-Farraj et al., 2012). c-Jun is a transcription factor that functions as a key mediator of repair SC transformation following injury, although c-Jun levels are upregulated in Gpr126 mutant SCs after nerve crush (Fig. 8). In the future, it will be important to investigate whether c-Jun-independent/Gpr126-dependent pathways in SCs are required for nerve repair.

In SC development, a major pathway downstream of Gpr126 activation is the elevation of cAMP to initiate terminal differentiation (Monk et al., 2009, 2011; Mogha et al., 2013). Whereas a driving role for cAMP in SC differentiation has been known for decades (Mokuno et al., 1988; Monuki et al., 1989; Mirskey et al., 1990; Morgan et al., 1991; Scherer et al., 1994), the function of this second messenger in the injury response is less clear, as previous studies draw different conclusions regarding levels of cAMP in distal nerve stumps following injury. cAMP levels were reported to be increased 1–6 h after nerve-crush injury in rabbit (Appenzeller and Palmer, 1972) and decreased 2–35 d after cut or crush injury in rats (Podulso et al., 1995). There are also conflicting reports regarding the effect of exogenous cAMP elevation on nerve repair (McQuarrie et al., 1977; Gershenbaum and Roiesen, 1980), and cAMP certainly functions in peripheral axons in addition to SCs (Kilmer and Carlsten, 1984, 1987). Given that Gpr126 can couple to G-family proteins in addition to Gs (Mogha et al., 2013; Liebscher et al., 2014) and that Gpr126 has G-protein signaling–independent functions in SCs (Petersen et al., 2015), we cannot conclude that a lack of cAMP elevation underlies any of the phenotypes we observe in the SC-specific Gpr126 mutants following injury. Indeed, it is perhaps counterintuitive that such a strong differentiation signal would be required in SCs while they are maintaining a repair, nondifferentiated phenotype, although we predict that cAMP elevation is required for remyelination following re-establishment of axon–SC interactions in repair as in development. As the sensitivity and in vivo applications for cAMP sensors improve (Langenhan et al., 2015), it will be very interesting to monitor cAMP levels in individual SCs following nerve injury and during repair responses and remyelination.

In neurobiology, aGPCRs have traditionally been studied in the context of development (Langenhan et al., 2016), but here we demonstrate key functions for Gpr126 in nerve regeneration in the adult animal. We show that Gpr126 is required in SCs for axon remyelination, mirroring its essential developmental role. We also show that SC-derived Gpr126 is needed for proper macrophage recruitment, myelin debris clearance, and axon regeneration, uncovering new and unexpected roles for this aGPCR in peripheral nerve repair. As a GPCR, Gpr126 represents an attractive therapeutic target in PNS disease and injury, and given the link between Gpr126 and laminin 211, this work has important clinical implications for MDC1A patients.
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