

2016

VISIT-TS: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorder

M. Jonathan Vachon

Washington University in St. Louis

Catherine Woodstock Striley

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Mollie R. Gordon

Baylor College of Medicine

Miriam L. Schroeder

Emily C. Bihun

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Vachon, M. Jonathan; Striley, Catherine Woodstock; Gordon, Mollie R.; Schroeder, Miriam L.; Bihun, Emily C.; Koller, Jonathan M.; and Black, Kevin J., "VISIT-TS: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorder." *F1000 Research*.5, 1518. (2016).
http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/5514

Authors

M. Jonathan Vachon, Catherine Woodstock Striley, Mollie R. Gordon, Miriam L. Schroeder, Emily C. Bihun, Jonathan M. Koller, and Kevin J. Black



METHOD ARTICLE

REVISED VISIT-TS: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorders [version 2; referees: 3 approved]

Previously titled: VISIT-TS version 2: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorders

M. Jonathan Vachon¹, Catherine W. Striley², Mollie R. Gordon³, Miriam L. Schroeder⁴, Emily C. Bihun⁵, Jonathan M. Koller⁵, Kevin J. Black⁶

¹College of Arts and Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, University City, USA

²Department of Epidemiology, Colleges of Medicine and Public Health & Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

³Menninger Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, USA

⁴Private Practice, St. Louis, USA

⁵Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

⁶Departments of Psychiatry, Neurology, Radiology, and Neuroscience, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA

v2 First published: 27 Jun 2016, 5:1518 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7196.1)
 Latest published: 07 Oct 2016, 5:1518 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7196.2)

Abstract

Population-based assessment of Tourette syndrome (TS) and other tic disorders produces a paradox. On one hand, ideally diagnosis of tic disorders requires expert observation. In fact, diagnostic criteria for TS explicitly require expert assessment of tics for a definite diagnosis. On the other hand, large-scale population surveys with expert assessment of every subject are impracticable. True, several published studies have successfully used expert assessment to find tic prevalence in a representative population (e.g. all students in a school district). However, extending these studies to larger populations is daunting.

We created a multimedia tool to demonstrate tics to a lay audience, discuss their defining and common attributes, and address features that differentiate tics from other movements and vocalizations. A first version was modified to improve clarity and to include a more diverse group in terms of age and ethnicity. The result is a tool intended for epidemiological research. It may also provide additional benefits, such as more representative minority recruitment for other TS studies and increased community awareness of TS.



This article is included in the **Tics** channel.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

	Invited Referees		
	1	2	3
REVISED			
version 2 published 07 Oct 2016		report	report
version 1 published 27 Jun 2016			
	report		

- 1 Kerstin J. Plessen**, University of Copenhagen Denmark, Mental Health Services - Capital Region of Denmark Denmark, **Julie Hagstrøm**, Mental Health Services - Capital Region of Denmark Denmark
- 2 David R. Shprecher**, Banner Sun Health Research Institute USA, University of Utah USA
- 3 Shawn Smyth**, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine USA, Sinai Hospital USA, **James R. Brašić**, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine USA

Discuss this article

Comments (1)

Corresponding author: Kevin J. Black (kevin@wustl.edu)

How to cite this article: Vachon MJ, Striley CW, Gordon MR *et al.* **VISIT-TS: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorders [version 2; referees: 3 approved]** *F1000Research* 2016, **5**:1518 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7196.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7196.2))

Copyright: © 2016 Vachon MJ *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: Funded by research grants from the Tourette Syndrome Association to CWS and by the National Institutes of Health (K24 MH087913, to KJB).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: VISIT-TS is published under a [CC BY-NC-ND license](#), *i.e.* freely available for noncommercial uses. Funds from any future commercial application of VISIT-TS are intended to benefit research on or clinical care for tic disorders.

First published: 27 Jun 2016, **5**:1518 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7196.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7196.1))

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

This revised manuscript simplifies the title and includes minor changes in response to reviewers' suggestions.

Our primary intent was to help lay people decide whether they (or their child) had any tics, not to distinguish which tics they had. We had chosen to present and ask about different tics separately in order to increase sensitivity, but the comments by Drs. Plessen and Hagstrøm suggest that this choice may have unnecessarily lengthened the video, and was not clearly explained in the text. We now acknowledge this point in the last two sentences of Discussion. We also add the omitted original reference for the YGTSS.

Dr. Malaty commented on the probably limited specificity of VISIT TS, since respondents may mistake other normal or abnormal movements for tics. We agree with these comments and acknowledge this point in the last paragraph of Discussion.

See referee reports

Introduction

Some important questions in Tourette Syndrome (TS) require large-scale epidemiological studies. To give one example, studies have not yet had the power to definitively establish whether TS is equally common in people of African versus European descent. In the U.S., although diagnosis and treatment are about twice as common in European Americans (CDC, 2009), three prior studies in the U.S., though limited in various ways, all found tics to be more common in minorities (Costello *et al.*, 1996; Lapouse & Monk, 1964; [Table 4]; personal communication Costello EJ to KJB, 1999; personal communication Peterson BS to KJB, 2008; Peterson *et al.*, 2001). The results may differ so dramatically because of true genetic or epigenetic differences between racial groups (Robertson *et al.*, 2009), or because social determinants of health care create barriers to diagnosis or treatment that create an artificial difference in apparent prevalence (American Psychiatric Association, 2013, under Tic Disorders/Culture-Related Diagnostic Issues; CDC, 2009; Olfson *et al.*, 2011). Settling this question will require large-scale prevalence studies that recruit an adequate, representative sample of minority populations.

Cubo (2012) reviews several factors that complicate epidemiological research on TS. One is that such studies generally must rely on assessments by lay interviewers. Although that approach has been very useful for psychiatric epidemiology in general, the validity may reasonably be questioned in the case of TS. There can be difficulties in conveying adequate descriptions of movements by words alone; probable miscategorization or failure to recognize some abnormal movements by both subjects and lay interviewers; the broad differential diagnosis of tics, including other movement disorders and normal movements; and misinterpretation of typical tics due to their intermittent nature, suppressibility and fluctuating severity over time or in response to the environment.

We were especially concerned that some respondents with tics, or whose children had tics, might not correctly interpret written descriptions of tics but would recognize the tics if they saw them. Supporting the potential importance of this concern, epidemiological studies that included expert examination (Comings *et al.*, 1990; Cubo *et al.*, 2011; Hornsey *et al.*, 2001; Jin *et al.*, 2005; Khalifa

& von Knorring, 2003; Khalifa & von Knorring, 2005; Kurlan *et al.*, 1994; Lanzi *et al.*, 2004; Mason *et al.*, 1998; Wang & Kuo, 2003) generally report a several-fold higher prevalence of tic disorders than do other epidemiological studies (CDC, 2009; Scahill *et al.*, 2014).

To address these issues, we developed a multimedia screening interview to enhance population-based ascertainment of tic disorders by lay interviewers ("VISIT-TS", Gordon *et al.*, 2010). A video presented and discussed typical tics, addressed a few difficulties in differential diagnosis, and then presented questions to gather the information required for diagnosis by DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). After initial testing and application (unpublished report, Striley CW, Black KJ, Kelso N, and Vagelakos L), we revised the instrument. Here we describe the approach we took and the result: VISIT-TS v. 2.

Methods

We first reviewed previous methods including the Yale Child Study Center questionnaire (Findley *et al.*, 1999; Jagger *et al.*, 1982), the Kiddie SADS semi-structured interview (K-SADS-PL) (Kaufman *et al.*, 1997) and the interviews used by Apter *et al.* (1993); Gillberg & Rasmussen (1982, Appendix); Hornsey *et al.* (2001) and Mason *et al.* (1998) who used the Apter questions and the National Hospital Interview Schedule for GTS (Rickards & Robertson, 2003; Robertson & Eapen, 1996); Appendix I in Khalifa & von Knorring (2003); and Table 1 in Linazasoro *et al.* (2006). We also reviewed the Diagnostic Confidence Index (Robertson *et al.*, 1999), the YGTSS (Leckman *et al.*, 1989; Storch *et al.*, 2005), and the parent and child self-report forms used by the Tourette Syndrome Association International Consortium for Genetics (1999). An expert in psychiatric epidemiology (CWS) developed the questions that would be posed, in consultation with a movement-disorders-trained neuropsychiatrist (KJB). The interview was designed to address both current (past month) and lifetime symptoms and included information needed for TSSG, DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5 criteria for TS.

We wrote a script addressing the following aims: demonstrate tics, discuss their defining and common attributes, and address features that differentiate tics from other movements and vocalizations. We then selected video clips from patients and research volunteers who gave written permission to re-use their video separately from patient care or the research study they had participated in. We also obtained permission from people with tics to re-use selected video clips that they had already made publicly available on YouTube. The final video was produced by Ty Travis (San Tan Valley, Arizona, USA). We dubbed the final product VISIT-TS, for "Video-Integrated Screening Instrument for Tics and Tourette Syndrome" (Gordon *et al.*, 2010).

The first version of VISIT-TS was used in an initial reliability and validity study that provided experience and initial feedback from interviewees and staff (unpublished report, Striley CW, Black KJ, Kelso N, and Vagelakos L). It was also shared with about a dozen other movement disorders experts and we reviewed their feedback. In response to this initial experience and feedback, we made many changes, including new video clips, thereby reducing the amount of time the narrator is shown and showing more diversity in ethnicity

and age. We added and improved graphics, including written text while examples of tics appear in the background. To avoid confusion, we removed videos showing examples of non-tic movements, and we eliminated medical terms unfamiliar to the general public, such as chorea. Finally, we restored an unintentionally omitted question on lower facial tics. Here we describe the revised product, version 2 of VISIT-TS.

Results

The revised VISIT-TS multimedia tool includes almost 100 video clips defining and demonstrating tics including simple and complex motor tics as well as simple and complex vocal tics, edited to a length of 5 minutes. Following the clips, 16 questions are presented in written and spoken form, one at a time, accompanied in most cases by brief video of the phenomenon being ascertained (see [Appendix 1](#); question 4 of the video, at about 6:09, is a good brief demonstration). The questions take another 5 minutes. The video clips demonstrate adults and children in similar numbers, both sexes (male:female \approx 5:4), and include some ethnic diversity (about 1 in 8 clips are Hispanic or non-white). VISIT-TS v. 2 is freely available for noncommercial use at <https://zenodo.org/collection/user-kjb> or at <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.55604>.

Discussion

This approach is based on the premise that survey respondents will respond more accurately about tics in themselves (or their children) after the interviewer shows them a brief video about tics than they would if only asked about history by questionnaire or by cross-sectional lay observation. Because tics can come and go, can be suppressed, and often resemble intentional movement or vocalizations, diagnosis of tic disorders can be challenging ([Black et al., 2016](#); [Cubo, 2012](#)).

Some data are available to judge the sensitivity of lay diagnostic instruments for tic disorders. In two studies, about half of the children who had previously been diagnosed with TS were missed by research screening: 1 of 2 in [Landgren et al., 1996](#) and 8 of 15 in [Snider et al., 2002](#). Conversely, routine clinical assessment for tics is also insensitive; [Kadesjo & Gillberg \(2000\)](#) report that a tic diagnosis had been considered during child psychiatric treatment in only 1 of 18 children with TS. [Khalifa & von Knorring \(2003\)](#) examined the sensitivity of their questionnaire but only by comparing questionnaire responses from parents to those from teachers. It appears [Wang & Kuo \(2003\)](#) collected physician examination data on questionnaire-negative children, but those data were not reported. [Linazasoro et al. \(2006\)](#) do not specify whether any of the tics diagnosed by a physician observing a classroom of students for 20 minutes were missed by parent or teacher questionnaires. [Stefanoff et al. \(2008\)](#) diagnosed a tic disorder in 6% of children whose parents and teachers noticed no tics; this is more remarkable given that the diagnosis rate in screen-positive children was only 18%. [Cubo et al. \(2011\)](#) found sensitivities of 36%–73% for questionnaires completed by teachers, observers or parents. In a recent study, a semistandardized diagnostic interview (the DISC) captured only about half the cases of TS, and there was little agreement between DISC results and expert clinician diagnosis ([Lewin et al., 2014](#)).

The most detailed data on the sensitivity of questionnaires for tic diagnosis come from the study of [Mason et al. \(1998\)](#). They gave questionnaires containing the 4 tic screening questions of [Apter et al. \(1993\)](#) to students, parents and teachers. They also screened for tics with direct classroom observation by Dr. Mason, a psychologist trained in tic detection at the Queen Square, London, TS center; she watched each classroom for an hour, 2 minutes per student. To confirm the diagnosis, Mason then directly examined all 16 consenting screen-positive students in a traditional clinical setting. Importantly, Mason also examined 8 students randomly chosen from screen negatives, i.e. those who had no tics reported by themselves, parents, or teachers, and no tics observed in the classroom. Remarkably, 3 of the 8 had at least one tic when examined directly, counted only if it had been present for at least a year by history! This very high rate of missed chronic tic disorders (37.5%) suggests that traditional questionnaires and interviews are insufficiently sensitive. VISIT-TS was designed to improve sensitivity by making sure subjects and parents have seen typical tics on video before answering questions about them.

[Linazasoro & colleagues \(2006\)](#) used a method somewhat similar to the VISIT-TS approach, i.e., they showed a videotape of tics as part of an initial lecture to parents and teachers, followed by a survey that included a short written description of tics. Independently, “all children were directly observed in the classroom by an expert clinician in the field of tics who diagnosed tics based exclusively on the characteristics of the movements”, with a limit of 20 minutes’ observation per classroom (p. 2107). However, the authors note limitations of their work including the fact that children were observed collectively, for a relatively brief period of time, and while engaged in school work, when tics may have been suppressed. The questionnaires actually identified more children (98) than the expert (57), suggesting either that parents and teachers overdiagnosed some movements as tics, or that they were describing tics present in the past but no longer present, or that the classroom observation was not an adequately sensitive clinical comparison. A videotape demonstrating tics was released ([Tourette Syndrome Association, 1990](#)), but it was intended for a professional audience rather than for epidemiological studies.

The VISIT-TS also has limitations. The DSM and TSSG criteria explicitly require application by properly trained experts, so VISIT-TS is primarily intended as a screening tool rather than as a substitute for clinical expertise. The sensitivity of VISIT-TS has not been reported. Furthermore, its specificity may be limited; the video includes only minimal information that could help distinguish tics from chorea, dystonia, stereotypies (*sensu stricto*) or other abnormal movements, and after all such differential diagnosis generally requires clinical expertise. Nevertheless, a 5- to 10-minute video-illustrated questionnaire is probably a reasonable compromise for epidemiological or other tic studies that require screening large population samples for tic disorders. Finally, the video inquires separately about tics in different body locations, in hopes of improving sensitivity. However, since our primary intent was to identify presence or absence of tics, not to distinguish tics by body part affected, further experience with VISIT-TS may allow shortening the video further by reducing the number of questions.

Data availability

The video can be found here: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.55604> (Vachon *et al.*, 2016).

Author contributions

MJV assembled video clips and wrote the first draft and KJB edited it. CWS and KJB drafted the questions. MJV, MRG and ECB identified video clips. CWS, MRG, JMK and KJB created the first version of the video. MJV, CWS, MRG, MLS and KJB contributed to the spoken script for the video. MJV and JMK edited video clips. All authors approved the final draft.

Competing interests

VISIT-TS is published under a [CC BY-NC-ND license](#), *i.e.* freely available for noncommercial uses. Funds from any

future commercial application of VISIT-TS are intended to benefit research on or clinical care for tic disorders.

Grant information

Funded by research grants from the Tourette Syndrome Association to CWS and by the National Institutes of Health (K24 MH087913, to KJB).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge all those who allowed their or their children's video clips to be included in VISIT-TS. Thanks to Ben Holt, Johanna Hartlein, Alison Stempel and Daniel Murray for work on this or the previous version, and to Ty Travis for video production.

Supplementary material

Appendix 1

Questions asked at the end of the VISIT-TS v. 2

1. Have you ever had eye movement tics?
2. Have you ever had repeated blinking or winking, like the tics in the video?
3. Have you ever had eyebrow tics?
4. Have you ever had mouth, tongue or jaw tics?
5. Does any part of your upper body, such as your head, shoulders, or arms jerk, turn or move unexpectedly or differently like in the video?
6. Has the middle or lower part of your body ever moved differently, like your stomach muscles contracting, your legs kicking or feet moving, over and over?
7. Have you sometimes repeated sounds over and over, like clicking, clucking, humming, grunting or smacking?
8. Have you sniffed or cleared your throat over and over, even when you didn't have allergies or a cold and your nose wasn't runny or itchy?
9. Have you had any repeated movements that are always done in the same way and involve more than one muscle group—like eyes *and* mouth, or shoulder plus arm? These tics may seem like they are being done on purpose or intentionally at times, but usually they are not.
10. Have you done things over and over like:
 - a. Adjusting your clothes?
 - b. Making obscene gestures?
 - c. Patting yourself?
 - d. Twisting your hair?
 - e. Scratching your foot inside your shoe?
11. Have you sometimes repeated words over and over, or yelled out phrases over and over?
12. The video showed several behaviors, including making noises and movements, that people did over and over. Do you feel you have any tic-like behavior that has not already been mentioned? If yes, please describe it.

if Yes to any previous question, continue:

13. Did your "YES" answer, or answers, refer to something that started before your 18th birthday, that is when you were age 0 through 17 years old?

14. Did your “YES” answer, or answers, refer to something that happened many times a day most days for some period of time?
15. Did your “YES” answer, or answers, refer to something that kept happening over a period of a year or more?

if Yes to question 15, continue:

16. You said you had a year go by during which you had tics most of the time. During that year, did you ever have a time when all the tics were gone for 3 months straight?

References

- American Psychiatric Association: **Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders**. (4th ed., Text Revision). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association. 2000.
[Reference Source](#)
- American Psychiatric Association: **Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders**. (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association. 2013.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Apter A, Pauls DL, Bleich A, *et al.*: **An epidemiologic study of Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome in Israel**. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1993; **50**(9): 734–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Black KJ, Black ER, Greene DJ, *et al.*: **Provisional Tic Disorder: What to tell parents when their child first starts ticcing [version 1; referees: 3 approved]**. *F1000Res*. 2016; **5**: 696.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): **Prevalence of diagnosed Tourette Syndrome in persons aged 6–17 Years - United States, 2007**. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2009; **58**(21): 581–585.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Comings DE, Himes JA, Comings BG: **An epidemiologic study of Tourette's syndrome in a single school district**. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1990; **51**(11): 463–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Costello EJ, Angold A, Burns BJ, *et al.*: **The Great Smoky Mountains Study of Youth. Goals, design, methods, and the prevalence of DSM-III-R disorders**. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1996; **53**(12): 1129–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cubo E: **Review of prevalence studies of tic disorders: methodological caveats**. *Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y)*. 2012; **2**: pii: tre-02-61-349-1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cubo E, Sáez Velasco S, Delgado Benito V, *et al.*: **Validation of screening instruments for neuroepidemiological surveys of tic disorders**. *Mov Disord*. 2011; **26**(3): 520–526.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Findley DB, King RA, Leckman JF: **Yale Child Study Center Tourette's syndrome-obsessive-compulsive disorder specialty clinic symptom questionnaire. Appendix 1**. In Leckman JF, Cohen DJ: *Tourette's Syndrome—Tics, Obsessions, and Compulsions: Developmental Psychopathology and Clinical Care*. New York: Wiley, 1999; 415–454.
- Gillberg C, Rasmussen P: **Perceptual, motor and attentional deficits in seven-year-old children: background factors**. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1982; **24**(6): 752–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gordon M, Striley C, Koller JM, *et al.*: **VISIT-TS: Video-Integrated Screening Instrument for Tics and Tourette Syndrome**. Annual meeting, American Neuropsychiatric Association, Tampa, FL, 17–20, March 2010. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. **22**(2): 246.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hornsey H, Banerjee S, Zeitlin H, *et al.*: **The prevalence of Tourette syndrome in 13–14-year-olds in mainstream schools**. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2001; **42**(8): 1035–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jagger J, Prusoff BA, Cohen DJ, *et al.*: **The epidemiology of Tourette's syndrome: a pilot study**. *Schizophr Bull*. 1982; **8**(2): 267–278.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jin R, Zheng RY, Huang WW, *et al.*: **Epidemiological survey of Tourette syndrome in children and adolescents in Wenzhou of P.R. China**. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2005; **20**(11): 925–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kadesjö B, Gillberg C: **Tourette's disorder: epidemiology and comorbidity in primary school children**. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2000; **39**(5): 548–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, *et al.*: **Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data**. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997; **36**(7): 980–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Khalifa N, von Knorring AL: **Prevalence of tic disorders and Tourette syndrome in a Swedish school population**. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2003; **45**(5): 315–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Khalifa N, von Knorring AL: **Tourette syndrome and other tic disorders in a total population of children: clinical assessment and background**. *Acta Paediatr*. 2005; **94**(11): 1608–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kurlan R, Whitmore D, Irvine C, *et al.*: **Tourette's syndrome in a special education population: a pilot study involving a single school district**. *Neurology*. 1994; **44**(4): 699–702.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lanzi G, Zambrino CA, Termine C, *et al.*: **Prevalence of tic disorders among primary school students in the city of Pavia, Italy**. *Arch Dis Child*. 2004; **89**(1): 45–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Landgren M, Pettersson R, Kjellman B, *et al.*: **ADHD, DAMP and other neurodevelopmental/psychiatric disorders in 6-year-old children: epidemiology and co-morbidity**. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1996; **38**(10): 891–906.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lapouse R, Monk MA: **Behavior deviations in a representative sample of children: variation by sex, age, race, social class and family size**. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 1964; **34**: 436–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Leckman JF, Riddle MA, Hardin MT, *et al.*: **The Yale Global Tic Severity Scale: initial testing of a clinician-rated scale of tic severity**. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1989; **28**(4): 566–573.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lewin AB, Mink JW, Bitsko RH, *et al.*: **Utility of the diagnostic interview schedule for children for assessing Tourette syndrome in children**. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*. 2014; **24**(5): 275–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Linazasoro G, Van Blercom N, de Zárata CO: **Prevalence of tic disorder in two schools in the Basque country: Results and methodological caveats**. *Mov Disord*. 2006; **21**(12): 2106–2109.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mason A, Banerjee S, Eapen V, *et al.*: **The prevalence of Tourette syndrome in a mainstream school population**. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1998; **40**(5): 292–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Olsson M, Crystal S, Gerhard T, *et al.*: **Patterns and correlates of tic disorder diagnoses in privately and publicly insured youth**. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2011; **50**(2): 119–131.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Peterson BS, Pine DS, Cohen P, *et al.*: **Prospective, longitudinal study of tic, obsessive-compulsive, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders in an epidemiological sample**. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2001; **40**: 685–695.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rickards H, Robertson M: **A controlled study of psychopathology and associated symptoms in Tourette syndrome**. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2003; **4**(2): 64–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Robertson MM, Banerjee S, Kurlan R, *et al.*: **The Tourette syndrome diagnostic confidence index: development and clinical associations.** *Neurology*. 1999; **53**(9): 2108–12.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Robertson MM, Eapen V: **The National Hospital Interview Schedule for the assessment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome.** *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 1996; **6**(4): 203–226.

[Reference Source](#)

Robertson MM, Eapen V, Cavanna AE: **The international prevalence, epidemiology, and clinical phenomenology of Tourette syndrome: a cross-cultural perspective.** *J Psychosom Res*. 2009; **67**(6): 475–83.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Scahill L, Specht M, Page C: **The Prevalence of Tic Disorders and Clinical Characteristics in Children.** *J Obsessive Compuls Relat Disord*. 2014; **3**(4): 394–400.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Snider LA, Seligman LD, Ketchen BR, *et al.*: **Tics and problem behaviors in schoolchildren: prevalence, characterization, and associations.** *Pediatrics*. 2002; **110**(2 Pt 1): 331–6.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Stefanoff P, Wolanczyk T, Gawrys A, *et al.*: **Prevalence of tic disorders among schoolchildren in Warsaw, Poland.** *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2008; **17**(3): 171–8.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Storch EA, Murphy TK, Geffken GR, *et al.*: **Reliability and validity of the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale.** *Psychol Assess*. 2005; **17**(4): 486–91.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

The Tourette Syndrome Association International Consortium for Genetics: **A complete genome screen in sib pairs affected by Gilles de la Tourette syndrome.** *Am J Hum Genet*. 1999; **65**(5): 1428–36.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Tourette Syndrome Association: **Tourette Syndrome: A Guide to Diagnosis.** *VHS videotape, 28 minutes.* Tourette Syndrome Association, Bayside, NY, © 1990.

Vachon MJ, Striley CW, Gordon MR, *et al.*: **VISIT-TS version 2 video (release 20160516).** *Zenodo*. 2016.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Wang HS, Kuo MF: **Tourette's syndrome in Taiwan: an epidemiological study of tic disorders in an elementary school at Taipei County.** *Brain Dev*. 2003; **25**(Suppl 1): S29–31.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)


Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:   

Version 2

Referee Report 07 November 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.10427.r16869

 **Shawn Smyth^{1,2}, James R. Brašić³**

¹ Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

² Sinai Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA

³ The Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Vachon and colleagues present a structured assessment designed to assist trained lay interviewers in assessing Tourette syndrome and other tic disorders in larger population studies. This tool is a well thought out instrument that will likely help fill a void in the area of the epidemiology of tic disorders. The use of trained lay interviewers to conduct structured psychiatric interviews has been used for population studies of children, adolescents, and adults with possible mental disorders. However, merely viewing a video may not be adequate to train people to distinguish movement disorders. Interrater reliability can verify the skills of a trained rater to identify the movements. However, the publication of this article can be justified by the dearth of trained individuals to screen large populations. This article may provide a tool to advance population studies around the world. We think only minor revisions might be needed.

The title is confusing as it employs an acronym which should either be defined within the title, or the title could be changed to not include the name of the instrument. Readers may be unfamiliar with the tool. Since the instrument has a long name, the title of the article could simply describe the procedure, e.g., “A strategy for conducting population studies of Tourette syndrome utilizing trained lay raters.”

The abstract represents a suitable summary of the work and is adequate in its description of the study tool and design. Unlike articles presenting instruments along with psychometric properties (Goetz *et al.*, 2008), this article presents a tool without any properties, results of use, or other such data. Thus, the abstract can be enhanced by describing the limitations of this tool (i.e. lack of reliability and validity data) while still making it clear that the instrument is being offered to the research and lay communities given the lack of adequate tools for population studies on tic disorders.

Regarding the article content, please define the acronyms. In particular, under Methods in the last line of the first paragraph, “TSSG” may be unfamiliar to readers. However, the design and methods are adequately explained and are appropriate for a publication which addresses the struggles of doing epidemiological studies on tic disorders.

It is less typical for a study to review the development of a tool without some preliminary data when applying the tool to the population. As F1000Research features quick and transparent publications which can then be openly reviewed and digested by the scientific community, we think it would be an optimal

environment to include the unpublished preliminary reliability and validity data of the VISIT-TS (first version). This would be adequate to assist the readers of this journal to make some assessment of the suitability of this procedure for scientific research. Alternatively, the information about the unpublished reliability and validity studies conducted by the authors can be presented as a separate pilot study.

The discussion could be improved by focusing a little more on the actual VISIT-TS and what is known about it, and less on the limitations of our current research methods and tools. Some of the latter information would be better incorporated into the introduction, which already reviews the current state of epidemiological research in tic disorders and makes a case for why the VISIT-TS is needed. Readers would also be very interested in the authors' ideas regarding possible future research directions or studies that could be performed with this multimedia tool, such as:

- A group of lay individuals could be trained to use this strategy. Then the trained raters could be presented a series of new videotapes of people with and without tics. The findings could be compared and contrasted with the findings of expert raters.
- Populations from the community could be given tests about tics before and after viewing the video to assess whether the video is an effective tool to educate children, parents, teachers, and members of the general community how to identify tics.
- Evaluation of the reliability and validity of this procedure could be performed in multiple future studies with different populations.

Both the included video and list of questions are very helpful for the readers' understanding regarding how this tool is administered and what the experience is like for the participants.

References

1. Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, Stebbins GT, Fahn S, Martinez-Martin P, Poewe W, Sampaio C, Stern MB, Dodel R, Dubois B, Holloway R, Jankovic J, Kulisevsky J, Lang AE, Lees A, Leurgans S, LeWitt PA, Nyenhuis D, Olanow CW, Rascol O, Schrag A, Teresi JA, van Hilten JJ, LaPelle N: Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Mov Disord*. 2008; **23** (15): 2129-70 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 31 October 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.10427.r16867



David R. Shprecher^{1,2}

¹ Banner Sun Health Research Institute, Sun City, AZ, USA

² Department of Neurology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

The authors carefully reviewed the epidemiology of tics and Tourette syndrome and explained the need for more sensitive, broadly applicable screening tools. To address this need, they have developed a 10-minute video that shows nearly 100 tic examples and then asks a brief screening questionnaire.

The introductory section could benefit from some reference to the Avon study, which is considered landmark, as it was the only prospective, population-based study on prevalence of chronic tic disorders in a birth cohort (Scharf JM *et al.*, 2012).

The video has already been improved with input from the first round of reviewers. In order to avoid overwhelming or confusing the layperson, non-tic hyperkinetic disorders are appropriately left out. The emphasis was appropriately on improving sensitivity, so that potential tic disorders can be identified and further screened by a researcher or clinician. However, there still was one instance where the video appears to have sacrificed sensitivity and waded into murky waters. This is where examples of repetitive behaviors that are not tics (because they are compulsions) were given. Repetitive hand washing was a great example. However repeating a movement a certain number of times “because it feels right” may not be an appropriate example. Compulsions are performed to relieve anxiety, however compulsive tics are performed in order to relieve an urge (which can include evening out or repetition.) There is still equipoise about how to classify compulsive tics, therefore; in order to maintain sensitivity of the instrument, this clip might best be modified to use a more classic example of a compulsion (i.e., checking that the lights are off or the door is locked, even though you’ve just checked.)

There are a couple of concerns about the diagnostic utility of the questionnaire at the end of the video. Question #10 on the screening interview at the end of the video (“Have you ever done things over and over like:”) gave 2 apt examples (“obscene gestures” and “patting yourself”) but there rest are habits that are probably not specific enough for tic disorders. These include “twisting your hair,” “scratching your foot inside your shoe” or “adjusting your clothes.” Unless there is data to support these behaviors as being specific to tic disorders, the examples in this question might be appropriately replaced with behaviors that are more specific to tic disorders. Examples might include, “repeating words or phrases,” “flicking your fingers” and “poking or hitting yourself.”

Another concern is that the questionnaire appears to be designed according to DSM-IV criteria, likely because the project was started before the DSM-V criteria for TS were finalized in 2013 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013 <http://dsm.psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596.dsm01>). DSM-V removed the requirement that one year of tics be present consecutively during childhood (a total of one year must be present, but it does not need to be continuous, i.e. it can be separated over time). DSM-V also removed a 3-month tic free period as exclusion for the diagnosis of TS. This video appears to specifically ask about the 3-month tic free period. If it is going to be used to screen according to current DSM-V criteria, then this question should be removed.

The discussion aptly points out how poorly specific a brief interview with children can be for diagnosis of tics (with 37.5% being missed even after 2 hours of observation by an expert.) The discussion could be improved in two ways. First, it could point out an additional potential value of this video beyond screening the public for tics. Along these lines, it could be useful in training researchers and even their staff to conduct broad scale assessments of tics. For example, the Monroe County study at the University of Rochester (Kurlan R *et al.*, 2001), trained technicians using 25 different video examples of tics before they went on to conduct a total of 1596 interviews of school children. They found a much higher prevalence of chronic tics, TS, and tics NOS than other epidemiological studies using less sensitive methods have (18.5 % of children had at least some form of tic, and 7% were found to have TS). This is much higher than other epidemiological research, but used a more sensitive (yet less specific instrument). A second improvement to the discussion would be, following the limitations section, a conclusion that proposes next steps. For example, a validation study could be proposed. Additionally, further improvements to the

instrument could be considered (in the future) to create educational tools aimed at improving diagnostic sensitivity of clinicians (and researchers) and raising awareness about tics in the general population (particularly minorities that are hard to reach, and may be under-diagnosed.)

References

1. Scharf JM, Miller LL, Mathews CA, Ben-Shlomo Y: Prevalence of Tourette syndrome and chronic tics in the population-based Avon longitudinal study of parents and children cohort. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2012; **51** (2): 192-201. e5 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. American Psychiatric Association: Neurodevelopmental Disorders. 2013. [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Kurlan R, McDermott MP, Deeley C, Como PG, Brower C, Eapen S, Andresen EM, Miller B: Prevalence of tics in schoolchildren and association with placement in special education. *Neurology*. 2001; **57** (8): 1383-8 [PubMed Abstract](#)

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: In the past 12 months; I have received speaking and/or consulting fees from Teva, Lundbeck, and the Tourette Association of America (TAA). I have received Center of Excellence program funding from the TAA and research support from the Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium, Adamas, Axovant, Intec, Neurocrine, Teva, US World Meds, Kyowa, Michael J Fox Foundation, and the NIH. The TAA provides education to a broad audience, and advocacy for individuals impacted by Tourette syndrome. Neurocrine and Teva are developing tic-suppressing treatments for Tourette syndrome.

Author Response (*Member of the F1000 Faculty and F1000Research Advisory Board Member*) 31 Oct 2016

Kevin J Black, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in St Louis, USA

I am very appreciative of Dr. Shprecher's thoughtful comments.

- We envisioned VISIT-TS being used primarily for screening, a setting in which sensitivity was more of a concern than specificity, so we were trying to be inclusive. Still, in retrospect, I think overall I agree with the suggestions on compulsions and other repetitive behaviors.
- The comment about DSM-IV-TR is correct, but we chose to leave in the 3 questions about timing ("many times a day most days," "kept happening over a period of a year or more," and no 3-month period without tics). We argue that the 3-month question can simply be ignored for DSM-5, and my colleagues and I (at least) are still interested in DSM-IV-TR diagnoses. Nevertheless, to best fit DSM-5, which removed the "many times a day ... nearly every day" requirement, we probably should add a question like, "Was your first tic at least one year before your most recent tic?"
- Similarly, we may consider changing the age of onset question to ask for a(n approximate) age rather than a dichotomous yes or no regarding before age 18. My read of the science is that the age limit should probably be around age 21-25, and the TSSG criteria required age 21 rather than 18 [1].
- The comments on the introduction and discussion are also helpful.

Again, thanks.

[1] The Tourette Syndrome Classification Study Group. Definitions and classification of tic disorders. *Arch Neurol*. 1993 Oct. 50(10):1013-6. [Medline].

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Referee Report 12 July 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.7752.r14613



Kerstin J. Plessen^{1,2}, Julie Hagstrøm²

¹ Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

² Child and Adolescent Mental Health Centre, Mental Health Services - Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

In the article "VISIT-TS version 2: A multimedia tool for population studies on tic disorders" by Vachon, MJ, Striley, CW, Gordon, MR, Schroeder, ML., Bihun, EC, Koller, JM, and Black, KJ, a new method of classifying tics by lay persons is proposed as an aid in larger scale population studies.

The authors provide a clear account for the need for improving existing methods of detecting and differentiating tics and present an interesting solution in the form of their new multimedia tool. It is a positive aspect that participants with tics include different age groups, races, and both sexes. However, some of the video clips present one separate tic, whereas several tics are present in the video.

The rationale for developing the screening tool is its integration in large-scale epidemiological studies. Even though a few epidemiological studies focusing on tics and Tourette have been carried through, future methods will probably offer possibilities for screening cohorts for several co-occurring conditions. The authors may thus consider the possibility of further pruning the video (almost 10 minutes) and comparing two versions (e.g. the long one and a shorter version focusing on the different types of tics, which are more or less presented in the first few minutes of the video) for their validity against expert ratings. The shorter the time used for a screening, the more it will be used in big cohort studies. This could be integrated into future perspectives at the end of the manuscript.

It would also be interesting to carry out similar tests of the sensitivity as mentioned in the article by comparing the VISTS-TS to clinician ratings.

Storch et al. (2005) is used as a reference for the YGTSS – the authors might consider adding the reference of Leckman's (1989) original work.

We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response (*Member of the F1000 Faculty and F1000Research Advisory Board Member*) 12 Jul 2016

Kevin J Black, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in St Louis, USA

We appreciate the thoughtful suggestions from Drs. Plessen and Hagstrøm.

Our primary intent was to help lay people decide whether they (or their child) had any tics, not to distinguish which tics they had. I see from your response that we did not make that point clear. I think there are benefits to presenting and asking about different tics separately, even for our more limited goal, but your perspective also suggests an opportunity to shorten or otherwise improve on the video.

You wrote, "some of the video clips present one separate tic, whereas several tics are present in the video." I believe you are saying that some video clips may show two tics while the narration is discussing only one of them. If I understood that correctly, it's a good point that we had not considered. We may be able to address that in a future version of the video.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Discuss this Article

Version 1

Reader Comment 10 Aug 2016

Irene Malaty, University of Florida, USA

The authors have attempted to address a longstanding problem in TS epidemiologic research, which is the challenge in capturing tic prevalence when recognition and trends in seeking healthcare are variable. Previous "real world" observational ascertainment techniques have often utilized limited face time in single environments. The authors have produced a video educational tool to help involve community members who have consistent time observing (or living with) potential tic behaviors across time and environments. This could increase the reach of tic screening and possibly increase sensitivity for detecting tic behaviors. The video has as its strengths simple language and short clips. I particularly like the inclusion of short clips that reinforce particular tics being discussed when cataloguing body regions and tic types in the second half of the video. No tool is perfect, and a novice evaluator may not obtain from this video the ability to distinguish actual causes of observed behaviors. For instance, one might misclassify idiopathic blepharospasm as a tic. A person with chorea would also be scored for having movement of the arms or legs, which would not be tics. Similarly, psychogenic movements could be classified as tics. On the other hand, even experts may need further questioning to distinguish such diagnoses from tics. In summary, specificity of using lay assessments may be questionable. This may or may not be acceptable in a screening tool.

It would be interesting to learn how this tool may be implemented in practical terms. Process for consenting participants will need to be considered. Would schools be target sites for dissemination? How would it be distributed in a way that would sample a wider audience than prior tools have?

This may indeed be a great educational tool for screening larger populations, and even for helping clinicians collect information from patients. Validating this tool against currently accepted means of

diagnosing Tourette (involving expert assessments) in a subset of participants will help confirm its utility. I enjoyed watching the video and thank the authors for sharing this interesting project.

Competing Interests: I am on the medical advisory board of Tourette Association of America (TAA) and direct a TAA center of excellence. I have participated in Tourette research funded by Auspex and Neurocrine. None of these present conflicts with my comments.
