Developmental hypomyelination in Wolfram syndrome: New insights from neuroimaging and gene expression analyses

Amjad Samara  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Rachel Rahn  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Olga Neyman  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Ki Yun Park  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Ahmad Samara  
*An-Najah National University*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs)

**Please let us know how this document benefits you.**

**Recommended Citation**
Samara, Amjad; Rahn, Rachel; Neyman, Olga; Park, Ki Yun; Samara, Ahmad; Marshall, Bess; Dougherty, Joseph; and Hershey, Tamara, "Developmental hypomyelination in Wolfram syndrome: New insights from neuroimaging and gene expression analyses." Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases. 14, 1. 279 (2019).  
https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/9109

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.
Authors
Amjad Samara, Rachel Rahn, Olga Neyman, Ki Yun Park, Ahmad Samara, Bess Marshall, Joseph Dougherty, and Tamara Hershey
Developmental hypomyelination in Wolfram syndrome: new insights from neuroimaging and gene expression analyses

Amjad Samara 1, Rachel Rahn 1,2,3, Olga Neyman 1, Ki Yun Park 1, Ahmad Samara 4, Bess Marshall 5, Joseph Dougherty 1,3 and Tamara Hershey 1,2,6*

Abstract

Wolfram syndrome is a rare multisystem disorder caused by mutations in WFS1 or CISD2 genes leading to brain structural abnormalities and neurological symptoms. These abnormalities appear in early stages of the disease. The pathogenesis of Wolfram syndrome involves abnormalities in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondrial dynamics, which are common features in several other neurodegenerative disorders. Mutations in WFS1 are responsible for the majority of Wolfram syndrome cases. WFS1 encodes for an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) protein, wolframin. It is proposed that wolframin deficiency triggers the unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway resulting in an increased ER stress-mediated neuronal loss. Recent neuroimaging studies showed marked alteration in early brain development, primarily characterized by abnormal white matter myelination. Interestingly, ER stress and the UPR pathway are implicated in the pathogenesis of some inherited myelin disorders like Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease, and Vanishing White Matter disease. In addition, exploratory gene-expression network-based analyses suggest that WFS1 expression occurs preferentially in oligodendrocytes during early brain development. Therefore, we propose that Wolfram syndrome could belong to a category of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by ER stress-mediated myelination impairment. Further studies of myelination and oligodendrocyte function in Wolfram syndrome could provide new insights into the underlying mechanisms of the Wolfram syndrome-associated brain changes and identify potential connections between neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegeneration.
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Background

Wolfram syndrome (OMIM #222300; previously known as DIDMOAD) is a rare (1 in 500,000 to 1,000,000), autosomal recessive disease initially described as a combination of early-onset diabetes mellitus, progressive optic nerve atrophy, diabetes insipidus and sensorineural hearing loss [1]. About two-thirds of the patients diagnosed with Wolfram syndrome will ultimately develop all four of the clinical conditions. Other features of Wolfram syndrome include bladder and bowel dysfunction, temperature dysregulation, gait abnormalities, and loss of the senses of smell and taste. Wolfram syndrome symptoms have a negative impact on individuals’ daily function and quality of life [1, 2]. Symptoms of Wolfram syndrome usually start in the first two decades of life and progress over years [3]. Currently, there is no treatment to stop the progression of the disease, and many symptoms can be life-threatening [1, 3].

Two genetically distinct variants have been identified, type-1 and type-2 Wolfram syndrome, which
result from mutations in the \textit{WFS1} and \textit{CISD2}, respectively \cite{4,5}. Type-1 Wolfram syndrome is much more common \cite{4,6}. Type-2 Wolfram syndrome presents with the four primary features of type 1 Wolfram syndrome, with the additional features of gastrointestinal ulcers, platelet dysfunction, and absence of diabetes insipidus \cite{6}. Interestingly, mutations in the \textit{WFS1} are not implicated only in the pathogenesis of classic Wolfram syndrome, but are also involved in the development of other \textit{WFS1}-related disorders such as DFNA6/14/38 (OMIM #600965) non-syndromic low-frequency sensorineural hearing loss, non-syndromic autosomal-dominant diabetes, and Wolfram-like syndrome (OMIM #614296) \cite{7–9}. Syndromes that have mutations in \textit{WFS1} but do not meet the diagnostic criteria of Wolfram syndrome (diabetes mellitus and optic atrophy) are referred to as \textit{WFS1}-related disorders. \textit{WFS1} encodes for a putative endoplasmic reticulum (ER) protein called wolframin \cite{10}. Since its discovery, growing evidence suggests that wolframin plays a crucial role in the regulation of ER stress and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis and that its deficiency triggers proapoptotic pathways leading to cellular loss \cite{11–13}.

Wolfram syndrome can be associated with significant neurological and psychiatric complications. Patients with Wolfram syndrome experience a wide range of neurological complications including cerebellar ataxia (most common), gait and balance abnormalities, and as the disease progresses, difficulty swallowing, nystagmus, memory loss, speech difficulties, seizures, and personality changes \cite{14, 15}. Neurological manifestations were thought to appear at later stages of the disease, but recent evidence indicates some of these neurological abnormalities are present even at young ages \cite{16, 17}. Besides these neurological complications, several psychiatric manifestations, including anxiety and depression, can also occur early in the course of Wolfram syndrome \cite{16, 18, 19}. The molecular pathophysiology underlying the neurological and psychiatric manifestations of Wolfram syndrome is not well understood. In general, the field is hampered by a lack of a viable conceptual framework and thus is missing hypothesis-driven experimentation focused on the central nervous system impact of \textit{WFS1} mutations \cite{20–22}.

In this review, we attempt to summarize our current understanding of the Wolfram syndrome-related structural and functional brain alterations and to provide insights from new neuroimaging and \textit{WFS1} expression analyses across age and cell types. We highlight similarities and differences compared to other neurodevelopmental and white matter diseases of childhood. Together, this information suggests that Wolfram syndrome could belong to a category of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by ER stress-mediated myelination impairment. However, studies investigating the roles of \textit{WFS1} in myelinating oligodendrocytes are limited, and further histopathological and molecular genetic studies are necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

**Molecular mechanisms in Wolfram syndrome**

**\textit{WFS1-CISD2} genes and Wolframin protein**

The pathogenesis of Wolfram syndrome is attributed to genetic mutations in two genetic loci on chromosome 4 (\textit{WFS1} and \textit{CISD2}, also known as \textit{ZCD2} gene or \textit{WFS2} gene) \cite{4, 5}. \textit{WFS1} encodes an endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated transmembrane glycoprotein called wolframin. Wolframin seems to play a role in the regulation of cellular and ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis, and to contribute to quality control systems for protein folding and regulation of the ER stress response \cite{11–13}. Loss of function mutations of wolframin triggers a cascade of ER and mitochondrial dysfunction that ultimately leads to apoptosis and cellular death. On the other hand, \textit{CISD2} encodes for an ER intermembrane small protein (ERIS), a protein expressed on the mitochondria-associated ER membranes (MAMs). Mutations in \textit{CISD2} alter Ca\textsuperscript{2+} flux between ER and mitochondria, disrupting organelle function, and leading to autophagy and cell death like that seen in several other neurodegenerative diseases.

Different mutations in \textit{WFS1} likely result in different disease phenotypes, but genotype-phenotype relationships are not yet fully understood \cite{3, 23}. Moreover, a novel \textit{CISD2} mutation was discovered recently in a patient with the Wolfram syndrome type 1 classical phenotype, suggesting that type 1 and type 2 Wolfram syndrome could be viewed as a continuous clinical spectrum with overlapping phenotypes, providing a clue that the protein products of \textit{WFS1} and \textit{CISD2} may reside in the same molecular pathway \cite{24}. A summary of the molecular pathways involved in Wolfram syndrome is shown in Fig. 1.

**Role of \textit{WFS1}/\textit{CISD2} in ER stress and unfolded protein response (UPR)**

Some authors have considered Wolfram syndrome to be a monogenic prototype of human ER disease and the best human disease model for investigating biomarkers and therapeutics associated with ER health \cite{25}. Disturbances in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis and the buildup of misfolded proteins in the ER leads to a condition called ER stress, which has been implicated in the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases \cite{26, 27}. ER stress triggers an adaptive intracellular pathway, called the unfolded protein response (UPR), which attempts to restore ER homeostasis, by attenuation of general protein translation and increase of the ER capacity of protein folding \cite{28}. However, in cases of chronic and unresolved ER stress, the UPR initiates proapoptotic pathways.
Alterations in mitochondrial function result from disturbances in mitochondrial trafficking and fusion, as well as pervasive alteration in mitochondrial dynamics like Ca²⁺ homeostasis, as well as regulation of the ER stress and the UPR, and mutations in WFS1 have been shown to induce ER stress-mediated neuronal loss [10, 22, 25, 30]. On the other hand, CISD2 seems to play a less critical role in ER stress pathways, and some scientists suggest that type 2 Wolfram syndrome is actually a mitochondrial disease rather than an ER stress-mediated condition [31].

Mitochondrial dysfunction in Wolfram syndrome

It has long been appreciated that several neurological and psychiatric manifestations in Wolfram syndrome resemble those observed in mitochondrial disorders [32]. Therefore, some authors have suggested that mitochondrial dysfunction is the primary underlying cause of neuronal cell loss in Wolfram syndrome [31, 33, 34]. Specifically, Cagalinec et al. showed that wolframin deficiency results in delayed neuronal development due to pervasive alteration in mitochondrial dynamics like inhibited mitochondrial trafficking and fusion, as well as increased mitophagy – i.e., auto-degradation of the mitochondrion [34]. The authors also demonstrated that the alterations in mitochondrial function result from disturbances in cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentrations or could be a direct result of increased ER stress. The communication between ER and mitochondria is essential for cellular Ca²⁺ homeostasis and disruptions of this communication has been implicated in other neurodegenerative conditions [35]. These observations are not surprising since ERIS and multiple UPR effector proteins are indeed located in the mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs). Taken together, these findings strongly suggest a potential interaction between ER homeostasis and mitochondrial dynamics [35–37].

Brain histopathology in Wolfram syndrome

Neuropathological studies provide a critical step towards identifying brain regions and structures involved in Wolfram syndrome. A handful of postmortem brain histopathological case studies have been reported (Table 1) [38–41]. However, the reported cases vary in age, the cause of death, and in the scope of methods and tissues examined. Consistently, the most affected brain regions in Wolfram syndrome are the sensory pathways, the brainstem, the cerebellum and the hypothalamus (Fig. 2) [38–41]. In the visual system, the optic nerves appear grossly atrophic and microscopic examination reveals loss of retinal ganglion neurons and myelinated axons throughout the visual pathways with relative preservation of the visual cortex [38–41]. Within the auditory pathway, studies have found loss of the organ of Corti (the functional unit of the inner ear) in the basal turns of the cochlea, fibers in the cochlear nerve, and neurons in the cochlear nuclei and inferior colliculus [39, 40]. Within the olfactory pathway, atrophy of the olfactory bulb and tract has also been reported [39]. The brainstem and cerebellum are grossly smaller in Wolfram syndrome. Microscopic examination finds moderate neuronal loss and gliosis in almost all brainstem nuclei (pontine nuclei, raphe nuclei, inferior olivary nuclei, medial vestibular nucleus, medullary and pontine reticular formation, vagus dorsal nuclei, ambiguous nuclei) [39–41]. In the cerebellum, microscopic evidence of neuronal loss in the dentate nuclei and reduction of Purkinje cells is variably reported and has been an inconsistent finding in these case studies [39–41]. The hypothalamus exhibits significant gliosis and severe loss of magnocellular neurons in the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei (a group of neurons that project to the posterior pituitary and are responsible for the release of oxytocin and vasopressin) [39–41]. Other brain structures are affected to a lesser extent. For example, the thalamus has been reported to have mild neuronal loss and gliosis in the anterior and dorsomedial nuclei [39]. Other less frequently reported findings include mild axonal damage in the calcarine cortex, mild motor neuron loss and gliosis in the spinal cord, and loss of pigment, neuronal loss, and gliosis in the substantia nigra [38, 39].

From all these case studies, it might be said that there are two distinct histopathological abnormalities associated with Wolfram syndrome: neuronal loss and gliosis in subcortical and limited cortical gray matter, and patchy demyelination and axonal degeneration in several white matter tracts, e.g., optic radiation, pontocerebellar and corticopontine tracts, hippocampal fimbriae, and the
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### Table 1 Summary of the histopathological findings in Wolfram syndrome patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Clinical Findings</th>
<th>Histopathological findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carson et al. 1977</td>
<td>15 yo M</td>
<td>DM, DI, OA, osteopetrosis, and obstructive uropathy.</td>
<td>- Atrophy of the pons, optic nerves, chiasm, and tracts, pale SN, and small posterior pituitary. - Axonal destruction and loss of myelin in optic nerves, chiasm, and tracts, CST and transverse pontine fibers. Loss of neurons in the PVN and SON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson et al. 1977</td>
<td>21 yo F</td>
<td>CHD and renal anomalies, vision loss, DM, DI, and osteoporosis</td>
<td>- Atrophy of optic nerves, chiasm, and tracts, pallor of the OR, pale SN, absent posterior pituitary, atrophy of pons and cerebellum. - Severe axonal destruction and demyelination in optic nerves, loss of neurons in the PVN and SON, gliosis/atrophy of the superior olivary nucleus, scattered regions of demyelination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilson et al. 2009</td>
<td>24 yo M</td>
<td>DM, DI, vision loss, Hashimoto thyroiditis, nystagmus, SNHL, slurred dysarthria, gait abnormality</td>
<td>- Marked neuronal loss in the PVN and SON, pontine base, inferior olivary nucleus, retinal ganglion, myelinated axons in the optic nerves, optic chiasm, lateral geniculate nucleus, and loss of organ of Corti in the basal turn of the cochlea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genis et al. 1997</td>
<td>37 yo F</td>
<td>DM, anosmia, vision and high-frequency hearing loss, cerebellar dysfunction, clonus, memory loss, dysarthria, postural tremor, and urinary abnormalities</td>
<td>- Atrophy of olfactory bulbs, tracts, optic nerves, chiasm, loss of neurons in LGN, superior colliculus, cochlear nerve and nuclei, inferior colliculus, and OPCT atrophy, demyelination of the pyramidal tracts, neuronal loss of the PVN and SON, and motor neurons in the spinal cord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon et al. 1999</td>
<td>38 yo F</td>
<td>DM, DI, vision loss, SNHL, hyporeflexia, urinary abnormalities, paresthesias, memory impairment, anosmia, strabismus, nystagmus</td>
<td>- Degeneration of optic nerves and tracts, loss of neurons in LGN, basis pontis, PVN and SON, widespread axonal dystrophy in pontocerebellar tracts, OR, hippocampal fonnices, and deep cerebral white matter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM diabetes mellitus, DI diabetes insipidus, OA optic atrophy, SN substantia nigra, PVN paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus, SON supraventricular nucleus, CST corticospinal tract, CHD Congenital heart disease, OR optic radiation, SNHL sensory neural hearing loss, LGN lateral geniculate nucleus, OPCT olivopontocerebellar tract.

---

**Fig. 2** Brain structures and tissues most prominently affected in Wolfram syndrome. SON: supraventricular nucleus; PVN: paraventricular nucleus.
deep cerebral white matter [38–41]. It was suggested that the axonal pathology is an independent process and sometimes more striking than the neuronal loss, which indicates that these could be independent pathological processes [41]. Moreover, we have suggested that, if the cases are arranged according to patient’s age and the severity of the disease, one might conclude that the evolution of the neuropathological alterations follows a specific pattern from restricted myelin and axonal loss to widespread myelin, axonal and neuronal loss [42]. This information could support our hypothesis that abnormal myelination and axonal pathology might precede the neuronal loss. Of note, these conclusions need to be viewed with caution since these histopathologic studies were all published before the era of genetic confirmation for Wolfram syndrome.

**Quantitative neuroimaging in Wolfram syndrome**

*In vivo* brain imaging provides a useful tool to assess the histopathological abnormalities in various neurological disorders across time, and without need for postmortem tissue. In Wolfram syndrome, several brain MRI abnormalities are readily detectable by eye [15, 43]. However, until recently, brain imaging findings were exclusively studied in the relatively late stage of the disease, and as case studies without quantification of the findings or comparison with control groups [15, 43]. In adults, the classical neuro-radiological manifestations of Wolfram syndrome include marked atrophy of the brainstem, diffused cerebellar gray and white matter atrophy, thinning of the middle cerebellar peduncle, absent posterior pituitary T1 bright spot (indicating posterior pituitary degeneration), and optic nerve and optic tract atrophy [15, 43, 44]. Less frequently, MR images show signs indicative of diffuse mild cerebral atrophy, periventricular white matter and ventral pons T2-weighted and fluid attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) signal intensity changes, empty sella, and abnormal T2-weighted signal in the substantia nigra [40, 45–47]. Most of these MRI findings were also observed in children with Wolfram syndrome, and as early as the immediate postnatal period in one case of congenital diabetes insipidus [48]. Another remarkable finding in Wolfram syndrome is the discrepancy between the radiological and neurological manifestations in some instances, i.e., marked radiological changes with no or minimal neurological dysfunction [43].

The most comprehensive attempt to characterize the structural neuroimaging phenotype in Wolfram syndrome patients, at a relatively early stage, was conducted by our group [16, 42, 49]. Our goal was to quantify regional brain volume and microstructural abnormalities associated with Wolfram syndrome. A summary of these structural neuroimaging findings is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3. In brief, the intracranial and whole brain volumes, brainstem, cerebellar white and grey matter volumes were lower in Wolfram syndrome when compared to controls (using both region of interest (ROI) and voxel-wise analysis approaches) [16]. The thalamus and pallidum also showed a mildly lower volume but no differences in the volume of striatal structures (putamen, caudate, and nucleus accumbens), hippocampus and corpus callosum [16, 49]. Brainstem volumes were reduced in all segments (midbrain, pons, and medulla) but the difference was most striking in the pons [16, 49]. Reduced cortical thickness was a less prominent finding with the pre-central, lingual, and middle frontal regions mostly affected [16]. White matter microstructure was also examined using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Wolfram syndrome patients had significantly lower fractional anisotropy (FA) and higher radial diffusivity (RD) in widespread white matter tracts (optic radiation, middle cerebellar peduncle, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and acoustic radiation) compared to age-equivalent controls [49]. FA is a highly sensitive measure of overall WM microstructural integrity [50, 51] and RD measures water diffusion perpendicular to the principal axonal axis, which is used as a surrogate marker for myelination [51]. The combination of higher RD and lower FA observed in the Wolfram group could indicate impaired myelination in these patients.

Recently, we also examined changes in brain volumes over time in Wolfram syndrome compared to controls. Using voxel-wise morphometric longitudinal analyses, we found that specific white and gray matter volumes were affected during development in Wolfram syndrome [42]. Over time and age, white matter volumes tend to increase in controls, reflecting increased myelin, and gray matter volumes tend to be stable (subcortex) or decrease (cortex). In contrast, patients with Wolfram syndrome had stable (in optic radiations) or decreasing (in brainstem, ventral pons) white matter volumes and more sharply decreasing volumes in the thalamus and cerebellar cortex. These findings are consistent with stalled or deficient myelination during development in Wolfram syndrome and subsequent or simultaneous excessive loss of axons and cell bodies over time [42]. Importantly, it seems unlikely that the brain abnormalities described in Wolfram syndrome patients are the consequence of diabetic complications. In the previous studies, Wolfram syndrome patients were compared to healthy and type 1 diabetic controls with comparable glycemic profiles, yet Wolfram syndrome patients were qualitatively different from both control groups [16, 42, 49].

In contrast to these structural studies, functional neuroimaging studies in Wolfram syndrome are relatively lacking. To date, only one study has quantitatively investigated functional brain changes in Wolfram syndrome. This study showed regional differences in glucose uptake
measured by PET-CT scan in several brain regions, most notably the occipital lobe and cerebellum [52]. The authors of this study suggested that functional alterations in Wolfram syndrome may precede detectable structural changes.

**Neurodevelopment and Wolfram syndrome**

Evidence that *WFS1* could play a vital role in brain development comes from several clinical, neuroimaging, and genetic observations, yet the role that *WFS1* plays in the healthy developing brain is not completely understood. Clinically, manifestations of Wolfram syndrome have been reported as early as the intrauterine and early postnatal life in a child with neonatal-onset congenital diabetes insipidus [48, 53]. Furthermore, Wolfram syndrome has even been associated with several congenital anomalies including orbital bone and eye globe hypoplasia [53], neural tube defects like spina bifida [54], and potentially, microcephaly [16, 40]. In the endocrine system, the role of *WFS1* in organ embryogenesis has been documented in the pancreas, specifically showing a lower number of pancreatic islets in *wfs-1* deficient mice when compared to heterozygous and wild-type mice [55]. Neuroimaging studies have also shown that Wolfram syndrome has a pronounced impact on early brain development [16]. For example, Wolfram syndrome has also been associated with other congenital brain anomalies like thinning or agenesis of the corpus callosum, congenital hypoplasia of the optic nerve, and absent pituitary stalk [48].

Molecular genetic studies have shown that wolframin deficiency may impair early neuronal survival and delay neuronal development [34], *WFS1* is expressed during brain development, and the downstream molecular pathways affected by wolframin deficiency (e.g., UPR and mitochondrial dynamics) also play a crucial role in early brain development, e.g., neurogenesis, neuronal migration, and myelination [34, 56, 57]. Although the UPR is known to be activated during normal developmental myelination, *WFS1*-dependent pathways in oligodendrocytes and astrocytes have never been investigated. *WFS1* expression and function may, therefore, be different during development compared to adult life and understanding the patterns of gene expression in early life could

---

**Table 2** Summary of the quantitative neuroimaging findings in Wolfram syndrome patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Neuroimaging findings</th>
<th>Analysis type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hershey et al. 2012</td>
<td>- ↓ Intracranial and whole brain volume</td>
<td>ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ↓ brainstem (midbrain, pons, and medulla) volumes; most striking in pons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ↓ GM volume in the cerebellum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ↓ WM volume in the cerebellum, brain stem, and subcortex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lugar et al. 2016</td>
<td>- ↓ total cortical WM and total subcortical GM volumes</td>
<td>ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ↓ volume in ventral and dorsal pons, midbrain, medulla, cerebellar WM and GM, thalamus and pallidum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ↑ amygdala volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lugar et al. 2019</td>
<td>- WM volumes were stable (OR) or decreasing (brainstem and ventral pons)</td>
<td>ROI, VBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GM volumes were decreasing in thalamus and cerebellar cortex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cortical thickness/cortical volumes**

- ↓ cortical thickness in pre-central region, lingual region, and two rostral middle frontal region

**Diffusion MRI and white matter integrity**

- ↓ FA in the cerebellum and OR + ↓ MD in the cerebellum
- Widespread ↓ FA and AD, mostly in the brainstem, cerebellum and OR
- ↓ FA and ↑ RD in OR, MCP, IFOF, and AR; and ↑ AD in OR
- ↓ FA (CST, IFOF, OR, CC); ↑ RD (MCP, CST, ILF, OR, SLF); ↑ AD (MCP, IFOF, ILF, ALIC)

* Participants enrolled in these three studies overlap (Hershey et al. 2012: 11 Wolfram patients/ 54 type 1 diabetes and healthy controls; Lugar et al. 2016: 21 Wolfram patients/ 52 type 1 diabetes and healthy controls; Lugar et al. 2019: 29 Wolfram patients/ 50 type 1 diabetes and healthy controls)
provide relevant information about the disease pathogenesis. Taken together, Wolfram syndrome could be considered a neurodevelopmental condition with neurodegeneration occurring in later stages of the disease. Further studies are required to confirm the role of WFS1 expression in early brain development and how wolframin deficiency could influence neuronal cell differentiation and maturation.

**Oligodendrocytes and myelination**

The exact role of WFS1 in astrocyte and oligodendrocyte function and the effects of wolframin deficiency in these cell types are still not investigated. Experiments in all studies that investigated the molecular mechanisms of Wolfram syndrome were conducted in either neurons, fibroblasts, or pancreatic cells but not in glial cells or oligodendrocytes. As we explained above, recent neuroimaging studies suggest that abnormal myelin development is a primary neuropathological feature of Wolfram syndrome observed from a young age [49]. One possible explanation is that wolframin deficiency alters myelinating oligodendrocytes’ function and interferes with myelin development. Another explanation could be that ER stress triggers oligodendrocyte death and facilitates myelin degeneration, as it does in Pelizaeus-Merzbacher (PMD) and Vanishing White Matter Diseases (VWMD) [49, 58, 59]. Moreover, in blood samples from patients with Wolfram syndrome, greater levels of cleaved myelin basic protein (MBP), a major component of myelin sheath, correlated with the severity of clinical symptoms [49]. Although these observations support our hypothesis, it is possible that the abnormal myelination could be related to underlying axonal pathology since the preservation of the myelin sheath requires the support of the associated axons [60].

In the rodent brain, WFS1 is expressed in several central nervous system (CNS) regions including cerebral and cerebellar cortex, amygdala, CA1 field of the hippocampus, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, and several brainstem nuclei [10, 20, 61]. Moreover, WFS1 is also ubiquitously expressed in retinal ganglion cells and optic nerve glial cells [62, 63]. However, expression patterns of WFS1 differ in regional and temporal relations in postnatal development [61]. Kawano et al. suggested that WFS1 could have functional significance in the development and maintenance of neurons in the hypothalamic nuclei, the auditory system including the cochlea, and the cerebellum. It is intriguing that WFS1 expression is observed in widespread CNS regions while the neuronal loss is only observed in specific structures like cerebellum, optic pathway, and
brainstem. It is tempting to speculate that the neurons less affected by WFS1 mutations could have, a yet unknown, functionally-related protein or pathway to compensate for wolframin deficiency and could explain the preferential vulnerability in certain brain regions. Taken together, WFS1 expression patterns in various brain structures could inform knowledge relevant to the neurological and psychiatric symptoms observed in Wolfram syndrome.

Oligodendrocytes (the myelinating cells of the CNS) play a crucial role in the development and maintenance of axonal integrity, providing metabolic support through the myelin sheath [64]. Oligodendrocytes produce massive amounts of plasma membranes and transmembrane proteins during the myelination process making them especially vulnerable to secretory pathway disruptions [65]. Previous studies have shown that UPR activation in actively myelinating oligodendrocytes triggers apoptosis and cell death [66]. It is possible that wolframin deficiency in actively myelinating oligodendrocytes leads to activation of the UPR, resulting in oligodendrocyte death and abnormal myelination. Unfortunately, the roles of WFS1 and wolframin protein in the oligodendrocytes have never been investigated. We hypothesize that WFS1 plays an essential role in oligodendrocyte function. To begin to investigate the hypothesis that WFS1 plays an essential role in oligodendrocyte function, we performed an exploratory analysis of WFS1 expression in development and across specific cell types.

**WFS1 gene expression**

To better understand the temporal and spatial expression of WFS1 in the brain, we examined WFS1 expression in data from the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain (http://www.brainspan.org), a publicly available human-brain genomic database maintained by the Allen Institute [67]. We constructed heatmaps both before (Fig. 4a, left) and after (Fig. 4a, right) a normalization of gene expression, which was performed by dividing each region’s WFS1 expression in a certain time period (e.g. striatum at 8-15 yrs) by the maximum expression value that region displays across time periods. The pre-normalisation heatmap enables comparison of relative WFS1 expression, while the normalized heatmap displays more dynamic range for within-region comparisons. When normalized to maximum signal in each region and classified by age, WFS1 was found to be most highly expressed in the human brain from 8 to 15 years of age (Fig. 4a), suggesting that WFS1 may be most active in early brain development rather than in adulthood. Previous studies have indicated that this late childhood and early adolescence period overlaps with a period of active myelination in development. The period of most rapid myelination varies depending on specific cortical and subcortical regions, but dynamic change in myelination has been observed in pre-adolescence and adolescence in the hippocampal formation [69]. Increases in myelination have also been reported in the adolescent time period in motor and cingulate cortices [70], while another study observed myelination during development in frontopolar and visual neocortex but not motor and somatosensory cortices in adolescence [71]. The expression of WFS1 and correlated gene sets in certain cell populations can also be examined using the Cell-type Specific Expression Analysis (CSEA) tool (http://genetics.wustledu/jdlab/csea-tool-2) [68]. Leveraging gene sets from human genetic data or gene expression specific to human or mouse populations, the CSEA tool suggests neural populations that may be disrupted in specific neurogenetic disorders, and by extension what circuits might be of interest in further mechanistic studies. The CSEA tool uses cell-type specific profiling data to evaluate how disease-related genes and transcripts are enriched in candidate cell populations [72]. To further investigate WFS1’s role in healthy human brain development, we therefore compiled data regarding WFS1 expression patterns by obtaining the top 352 genes co-expressed with WFS1 in the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain. Many functionally related genes are co-expressed, therefore an examination of genes spatially and temporally expressed with WFS1 may provide functional insights into WFS1’s role in the brain. Co-expression with WFS1 of each gene in the BrainSpan database was calculated by examining expression levels in 35 human participants (starting as young as 8 weeks post-conception) and in each of their brain regions for which gene expression data was available. For age-specific analyses, only the subset of samples which originated from individuals within the age parameters was analyzed. The Pearson correlation coefficient between WFS1 and each gene’s overall expression across all samples was then calculated and ranked to select the group of genes most highly co-expressed with WFS1 for further analysis. To replicate this pattern, we also queried the top 304 genes co-expressed with WFS1 in the BrainCloud application (http://braincloud.jhmi.edu/), which provides a database of gene expression data in the human prefrontal cortex from 14 gestational weeks to 78 years of age [73].

Using CSEA to evaluate the 296 genes in our Brainspan dataset that existed in the cell-type expression dataset, we identified that WFS1-correlated genes are enriched in cell populations that include astrocytes and oligodendrocytes in both the cortex and cerebellum, as well as Bergmann glia and oligodendrocytes in the cerebellum (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, for our development hypothesis, enrichment also seemed to occur in oligodendrocyte progenitors. Analysis of the 224 genes from our BrainCloud-derived WFS1-related gene set that existed in the CSEA expression dataset corroborated the finding of cell-type specific enrichment in oligodendrocyte and astrocyte populations, as well as cerebellar Bergmann glia and oligodendrocytes (Fig. 4c;
Fig. 4 (See legend on next page.)
Additional file 1). Surprisingly, inclusion of astrocytes as a cell type of interest also raises the possibility that astrocytic ER stress or glial-wide impairment may also play a role in myelination alterations and brain changes observed in Wolfram syndrome. However, the exact role of astrocytes in WFS1-related disease has not been studied previously and is beyond the scope of this review.

Age-specific analysis of WFS1-related gene expression in the 4 months to 4 year time period overlapping with the myelination window also suggested a strong link to glial processes in both CSEA and gene ontology findings. When gene expression was broken down to look at cell-type specific expression across age (Additional file 2), CSEA analysis of the 280 WFS1-related genes in the CSEA dataset and derived from the BrainSpan database also revealed enriched expression in glial cells and oligodendrocyte progenitors in the 4 month to 4 year range (Fig. 4d), again suggesting that WFS1 is implicated in development and preferentially expressed in glia including oligodendrocytes. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of this 4 month-4 year WFS1-related gene set using BiNGO, a biological network gene ontology tool (https://www.psb.ugent.be/cbd/papers/BiNGO/Home.html) [74], and the EBI Gene Ontology Annotation Database (2019-03-18 release) [75, 76], also recovered oligodendrocyte- and glia-related terms such as oligodendrocyte differentiation, axon ensheathment, ensheathment of neurons, myelination, gliogenesis, glial cell differentiation, oligodendrocyte development, and glial cell development which were within the top 10 most statistically overrepresented biological processes at this age (p < 9E-11, FDR corrected, Additional file 3). Taken together, these gene expression data suggest a role for WFS1 in myelination by the gene’s co-expression with transcripts characteristic of these cell types and by the gene’s peak expression during developmental periods related to glial maturation. While this co-expression analysis is intriguing, careful work in genetically tractable experimental systems will be needed to determine if WFS1 mutation is acting directly in glia or indirectly in a non-cell autonomous manner on these maturation processes.

Neuroimaging in other CNS disorders compared to Wolfram syndrome

Given our neuroimaging findings, suggestions from neuropathological case studies, and our WFS1 expression analyses, we propose that Wolfram syndrome could be classified as a developmental hypomyelinating condition, characterized by reduced or absent myelin development [77]. As mentioned in the previous section, this group of disorders includes PMD and VWM. The quantitative neuroimaging findings in PMD and VWM resemble the findings observed in Wolfram syndrome [78, 79]. For example, DTI studies of PMD show widespread decrease in FA and increase in RD, just as we see in Wolfram syndrome [78]. In addition, these hypomyelinating conditions also have cerebellar atrophy, signal abnormalities in the pons, and T2 lesions in the deep white matter [79]. Nevertheless, the signal intensity abnormalities (presumably reflecting defective myelination) observed in PMD and VWM are more extensive, often symmetrical, and appear earlier in life compared with the changes observed in Wolfram syndrome. Furthermore, the clinical course in hypomyelinating condition, unlike Wolfram syndrome, is more precipitous with progressive deterioration of cognitive and motor function occurring in the first and second decades of life. Assessment of myelin deficit in Wolfram syndrome using advanced techniques like magnetization transfer imaging (MTI) and myelin water fraction imaging could elucidate additional information about the specific myelin alterations associated with Wolfram syndrome.

The brain atrophy and the changes in signal intensity patterns in Wolfram syndrome also resemble the patterns observed in another group of rare disorders involving the pontocerebellar tract, e.g., olivopontocerebellar atrophy (OPCA), multiple system atrophy (MSA), and familial spinocerebellar degeneration [14, 43, 80–82]. Specifically, both Wolfram syndrome and OPCA show atrophy involving the cerebellum, pons, and middle cerebellar peduncles with relative sparing of the pyramidal tracts [82]. However, the severity and chronology of the clinical manifestations can be widely different between these conditions. For example, the age of onset in sporadic cases of OPCA and MSA is usually in the fifth or sixth decade, while the neurological manifestations in
Wolfram syndrome can be evident in the second decade. The preferential involvement of the middle cerebellar peduncle is a striking shared feature between OPCA and Wolfram syndrome. It is intriguing to know that the cerebellum and brainstem have a neurobiologically-linked course of development with preferential susceptibility to neurodevelopmental disorders [83, 84]. Besides, this specific pattern of degeneration and neuronal loss in the basilar part of the pons, cerebellum, and inferior olivary nuclei is observed in several neurodegenerative disorders like mitochondrial disease and olivopontocerebellar atrophy [85]. Several brainstem nuclei are closely connected with the cerebellum and lesions in either one can result in a degeneration of the other. Why these structures are especially vulnerable in Wolfram syndrome is yet to be determined.

Conclusions
In summary, Wolfram syndrome is a neurological disorder with features of abnormal brain development and neurodegeneration. Emerging evidence from neuroimaging and molecular genetic studies indicates abnormal myelination and oligodendrocyte dysfunction are important features of the disease. Studies of WFS1 expression and function in oligodendrocytes and glial cells are limited and could be used to test our hypothesis. Despite the advances in describing the gross neurologic alterations in animal models of Wolfram syndrome [86], animal models need to have a well-described neurophenotype that parallels what is seen in humans, particularly the developmental aspects. Another avenue to explore could be the study of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs)-derived oligodendrocytes from individuals with Wolfram syndrome, e.g., using oligocortical spheroids [87, 88]. This information could help us understand the geno-phenotype relationship in Wolfram syndrome, identify myelin-related biological markers for disease progression and treatment response, and open the possibility to look into remyelination therapies as a potential intervention to stop neurological deterioration in Wolfram syndrome [76]. Furthermore, understanding how Wolfram syndrome affects brain structure and function could also help identify potential connections between neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegeneration.
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