Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

HRPO Publications

Human Research Protection Office

2024

Addressing Barriers to Sustained Monitoring

Amila Tutundzic Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Jeanne Velders Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Abby Keeley Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/hrpopubs

Recommended Citation

Tutundzic, Amila; Velders, Jeanne; and Keeley, Abby, "Addressing Barriers to Sustained Monitoring" (2024). *HRPO Publications*. Paper 14. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/hrpopubs/14

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Human Research Protection Office at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in HRPO Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Addressing Barriers to Sustained Monitoring

Washington University School of Medicine-St. Louis, MO Amila Tutundzic, RRT, Jeanne Velders, JD, CIP and Abby Keeley, MS, CIP

QA/QI Goals

- To maintain a systematic, dataguided auditing process to implement real-time improvements in our HRPO review procedures.
- Identifying performance trends based on regulatory or policy issues
- Maintaining a continuous, uninterrupted auditing process by onboarding a full-time Quality Assurance Specialist, in return alleviating additional burden on other staff

Background

- Monitoring staff performance is a necessity, but creating a sustainable monitoring program can be a challenge.
- Prior efforts to maintain a QA/QI program were unsuccessful due to staff limitations. Previously, various senior staff members would do monitoring on top of their dayto-day work activities, with monitoring never being able to take precedence.
- Previously, completed monitoring feedback was stalled due to an overly complex approval process that involved individual team managers, in addition to quality assurance staff.

Program Development

- Revamped QA/QI program implemented after hiring a QA Specialist in late 2021
- The Director, Associate Director of Compliance and Education, and the QA Specialist helped create a program that focuses less on individual errors and more on issue based trends identified during monitoring
- Restructuring of the approval and feedback process allowed for more harmonious discussion and reeducation process (if needed)

Three Key Changes in Sustaining the Program

Hiring a Full-Time QA

Specialist

Shifting Monitoring to Issue Based

Restructuring the Feedback and Approval Process

- Individual responsible solely for continuous monitoring across all HRPO staff members and policies/procedures
- Eliminated the "competing demands" problem that existed when various staff members had to allocate extra time for monitoring
- Previously, each staff member had a set number of submissions monitored
- Current program was reframed to focus on issues such as "Research with Children" or "Investigational Device Determinations."
- The reframing ensured areas of greater regulatory risk are monitored at an appropriate frequency with a sufficiently sized sample
- Previously, finalizing the monitoring report suffered from "too many cooks in the kitchen". Team managers were present when feedback was provided to staff. This made staff feel "called out".
- Now, when possible feedback and reeducation is delivered on a team level, or if necessary, individual staff members are counseled by the Associate Director.
- Separating direct mangers from this process increases staff engagement and alleviates tension.

Next Steps: Current Effort for Improvement

- While we have been successful at implementing sustained monitoring, our next goal was auditing in a more timely manner, providing staff with real-time feedback
- In 2024, we are piloting a change in structure for monitoring that prepares the monitoring schedule for the entire year, allowing the QA Specialist to continuously "roll" into the next audit.
- Previously, monitoring was on a quarterly basis, where now audits are happening on bi-weekly, monthly, quarterly, and annual basis-choosing studies approved as recent as the day before the audit.
- Additionally, with this new schedule, we are projected to conduct double the amount of audits than the previous year.

| HRPO Internal Audit Schedule | | | 2024 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| *Example Schedule Only | | | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| Number of Audits to be Conducted in this Month | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Audit Type | Frequency of Audit | Detail of Audit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PI Grant Consistency | Annual | 5% sample/vc | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SWAT | Bi-Weekly | Sample Varies-2x/m | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Continuing Reviews | Monthly | Last week of every month | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meeting Minutes | Quarterly | 2 wks in mid Quarter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICFs | Quarterly | End of Quarter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HIPAA | Annual | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Funding | Semi-Annually | Jan/July (R-6M) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exempt | Annual | Apr (R-6M) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NHSR | Annual | Oct (R-6M) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IRB Reporting | Annual | Jan (R-1Y) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IRB Suspensions | Annual | Jan (R-1Y) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yulp, Populations | Annual | May (R-1Y) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medical Devices | Annual | Aug (R-1Y) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drug Studies | Annual | Nov (R-1Y) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Modifications | Monthly | Last week of every month | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*This is subject to change as audits can be added due to new developments

