Human recombinant apyrase therapy protects against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury and preserves left ventricular systolic function in rats, as evaluated by 7T cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging
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Objective: The occurrence of intramyocardial hemorrhage (IMH) and microvascular obstruction (MVO) in myocardial infarction (MI), known as severe ischemia/reperfusion injury (IRI), has been associated with adverse remodeling. APT102, a soluble human recombinant ecto-nucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase-1, can hydrolyze extracellular nucleotides to attenuate their prothrombotic and proinflammatory effects. The purpose of this study was to temporally evaluate the therapeutic effect of APT102 on IRI in rats and to elucidate the evolution of IRI in the acute stage using cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI).

Materials and Methods: Fifty-four rats with MI, induced by ligation of the origin of the left anterior descending coronary artery for 60 minutes, were randomly divided into the APT102 (n = 27) or control (n = 27) group. Intravenous infusion of APT102 (0.3 mg/kg) or placebo was administered 15 minutes before reperfusion, and then 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, and on day 4 after reperfusion. CMRI was performed at 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5 post-reperfusion using a 7T system and the hearts were collected for histopathological examination. Cardiac function was quantified using cine imaging and IMH/edema using T2 mapping, and infarct/MVO using late gadolinium enhancement.

Results: The extent of infarction (p < 0.001), edema (p < 0.001), IMH (p = 0.013), and MVO (p = 0.049) was less severe in the APT102 group than in the control group. IMH size at 48 hours was significantly greater than that at 24 hours, 72 hours, and 5 days after reperfusion (all p < 0.001). The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was significantly greater in the APT102 group than in the control group (r = -0.294, p = 0.010) and a positive correlation between IMH and MVO (r = 0.392, p < 0.001).

Conclusion: APT102 can significantly alleviate damage to the ischemic myocardium and microvasculature. IMH size peaked at 48 hours post reperfusion and IMH is a downstream consequence of MVO. IMH may be a potential therapeutic target to prevent adverse remodeling in MI.
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INTRODUCTION

In patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), timely myocardial reperfusion therapeutic methods can effectively salvage viable cardiomyocytes, limit the extent of myocardial infarction (MI), improve long-term myocardial function, reduce mortality, and prevent heart failure (1). However, the process of myocardial reperfusion can itself concurrently induce additional capillary damage and cardiomyocyte injury, a common phenomenon known as myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury (IRI) (2), which may cause ventricular arrhythmia (3), myocardial stunning (4), microvascular obstruction (MVO) (5), intramyocardial hemorrhage (IMH) (6), and lethal myocardial reperfusion injury (7). Although the underlying mechanisms of IRI have not been completely elucidated, previous studies have found that MVO and IMH were independent predictors of larger infarct size, lower left ventricular (LV) systolic function, and major adverse cardiac events (8). Hence, early detection and preventive or active treatment of IMH and MVO may significantly influence clinical judgements and outcomes.

The extracellular nucleotides, adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and adenosine diphosphate (ADP), are released from injured cardiomyocytes into the extracellular interstitium where they bind to the purinergic receptors P2X and P2Y on platelets, endothelial cells, and immune cells, which then secrete prothrombotic and proinflammatory cytokines (9). Human ecto-nucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase (E-NTPDase)-1 (CD39) is an extracellular apyrase that hydrolyzes ATP and ADP to adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and attenuates prothrombotic and proinflammatory effects without increasing bleeding risk, while CD39 activity is lost with prolonged IRI (10, 11). Previous studies have demonstrated that the administration of APT102, a soluble human recombinant CD39, can alleviate IRI following ischemic stroke (12), lung infarction (13), lung isografts (14), and MI (15). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to temporally evaluate the therapeutic effects of human recombinant apyrase APT102 on IRI in rats and to elucidate the evolution of IRI in the acute stage using cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Preparation

A total of 60 female Sprague-Dawley rats (weight, 250–270 g; age, 9–10 weeks) were subjected to ligation of the origin of the left anterior descending coronary artery for 60 minutes. Six rats died due to arrhythmia during the ischemic period; 54 rats were randomly assigned to either the APT102 (n = 27) or control (n = 27) group. APT102 (0.3 mg/kg) or an equal concentration of a placebo was injected via the tail vein at 15 minutes before reperfusion, and then at 1, 2, 3, and 4 days afterward. The animal model of myocardial ischemia/reperfusion was established as previously described (16). All experimental procedures were approved by the experimental Animal Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Chengdu, China).

CMRI Protocols

CMRI was performed using a small animal 7T magnetic resonance imaging system (BioSpec 70/30; Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) equipped with a 4-channel rat heart surface coil. Rats were imaged on days 1, 2, 3, and 5 after reperfusion. The pre-scanning preparation was conducted as previously described (16). Gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA, 0.15 mmol/kg; SA Instruments, Inc., Stony Brook, NY, USA) was injected through the tail vein and late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging was performed 10 minutes after injection.

Images of the heart were obtained using a gradient-echo sequence. Gated multi-slice IntraGate Fast Low Angle Shot-cine was then performed to confirm the heart position in three planes (short-axis, and 2- and 4-chamber long axis) to determine the location of the LV using the following scanning parameters: repetition time/echo time (TR/TE) = 8 ms/3 ms, matrix (MTX) = 256 x 256, field of view (FOV) = 5 x 5 cm, and slice thickness = 1.5 mm. T2 mapping was acquired using a fast spin echo technique from the cardiac base to apex (scanning parameters: TR/TE = 1500 ms/10, 20, and 30 ms, flip angle = 180°, acquisition MTX = 192 x 192, FOV = 5 x 5 cm, number of slices = 6 or 7, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, and slice gap = 1.5 mm). LGE was acquired using a fast imaging with steady precession (FISP) technique (scanning parameters: TR/TE = 5.2 ms/1.8 ms, flip angle = 25°, no inversion recovery pulse, MTX = 256 x 256, FOV = 5 x 5 cm, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, slice gap = 1.5 mm).

Histopathology

Rats were sacrificed at 24 hours (n = 5 for each group), 48 hours (n = 5 for each), 72 hours (n = 5 for each), or on day 5 (n = 12 for each) after reperfusion. Hearts were
explanted and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution at 4°C for 48 hours. According to the scanning slices, the hearts were sectioned into consecutive 1.5-mm-thick slices along the short-axis plane. Each slice was photographed using a digital camera (D7500; Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) to register and quantify IMH. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was used to qualitatively assess necrosis, inflammation, IMH, and MVO. Two observers with at least 5 years’ experience in pathological examination blinded to the CMR images and groups separately analyzed all gross slides and histopathologic images. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Data Analysis
Two radiologists with a minimum of 7 years related experience, blinded to the pathology results and group distributions, analyzed all CMR images and calculated averages. Three T2 images (TE = 10, 20, and 30 ms) were amalgamated using custom software written in MATLAB (version 7.1; MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). On T2 mapping images, the area of myocardial edema was defined as a mean signal intensity threshold of two standard deviations (SDs) above the mean remote myocardium (17) and IMH was defined as a hypointense core within a hyperintense area (18). Meanwhile, on LGE images, MI was defined and quantified as an area with a mean signal intensity at least 5 SDs above the remote myocardium (19). MVO was defined as the non-contrasted enhancement zone within the infarct region (20). The example analysis of these parameters is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. All parameters were manually measured using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The epicardial and endocardial outlines (papillary muscles were excluded from the endocardium) at left ventricular end-diastole and left ventricular end-systole were manually drawn on cine images, slice by slice. The left ventricular myocardial volume (LVMV), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV), stroke volume (SV), and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were then calculated by multiplying the slice thickness and slice gap. The total volume of MI, edema, IMH, and MVO in cubic centimeters was calculated by multiplying the area by the slice thickness and slice gap and expressed as a percentage of LVMV.

Statistical Analysis
Quantitative data were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Levene’s test was used to assess the homogeneity of variance. Time sequential changes in T2 values and the extent of edema, IMH, MI, and MVO, as well as cardiac function were evaluated using two-way repeated measures analyses of variance with the least significance difference and post hoc Bonferroni’s test for multiple comparisons. The Greenhouse–Geisser correction was applied when Mauchley’s test indicated that the assumption of sphericity had been violated. The partial correlation between LVEF and the extent of MI, edema, IMH, and MVO was assessed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Interobserver and intraobserver agreement was calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient. Limits of agreement for IMH size between the T2 mapping and the gross pathology images were determined using Bland-Altman analysis performed on Prism (version 7.0; GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are expressed as the mean ± SD or as numbers (percentages). A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
One rat in the control group died of respiratory failure during scanning. Scanning images from one rat in the control group and two rats in the APT102 group contained motion artifacts and could not be analyzed and were thus excluded from the analysis. A flow chart is shown in Figure 1.

APT102 Reduced MI Size
The infarct size was significantly smaller in the APT102 group than in the control group (F = 23.627, p < 0.001) (Table 1). There were significant main effects at all time points (F = 143.011, p < 0.001) (Table 1), but no significant interactions between groups and time points (F = 1.017, p = 0.361) (Table 1). Infarct size at 24 hours was significantly greater than at 48 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5 (p = 0.008, p < 0.001, and p < 0.001, respectively) (Table 1, Fig. 2A) after reperfusion. The infarct size was significantly smaller in the APT102 group than in the control group at 24 hours (18.0 ± 4.8% vs. 26.7 ± 5.7%, respectively, p = 0.002), 48 hours (17.1 ± 4.3% vs. 25.7 ± 6.0%, respectively, p = 0.002), 72 hours (11.6 ± 2.6% vs. 21.5 ± 6.0%, respectively, p < 0.001), and on day 5 (5.8 ± 1.5% vs. 16.2 ± 4.0%, respectively, p = 0.001) after reperfusion, as shown in Figure 2A.
Table 1. Comparison of Myocardial Characterization according to Time Points and Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>48 h</th>
<th>72 h</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group x Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infarct size (%) Control</td>
<td>26.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>25.7 ± 6.0</td>
<td>21.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>16.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>23.627</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>143.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>17.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema size (%) Control</td>
<td>30.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>30.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>26.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>20.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>19.016</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>115.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
<td>24.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>24.4 ± 6.5</td>
<td>18.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMH size (%) Control</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.646</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>35.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVO size (%) Control</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.444</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>175.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMV (mm³) Control</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>22.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMH = intramyocardial hemorrhage, LV = left ventricular, LVMV = left ventricular myocardial volume, MVO = microvascular obstruction
APT102 Reduced Myocardial Edema Size

The edema size was significantly smaller in the APT102 group than in the control group ($F = 19.016$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1). There were significant main effects at all time points ($F = 115.878$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1), but no significant interactions between groups and time points ($F = 1.780$, $p = 0.190$) (Table 1). The extent of edema at 24 hours and 48 hours was significantly greater than that at 72 hours (both $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2B) and on day 5 (both $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2B) after reperfusion, and there was no significant difference in edema size between 24 hours and 48 hours after reperfusion ($p = 0.277$) (Fig. 2B). When compared with that in the control group, the extent of edema was significantly less in the APT102 group at 24 hours (24.0 ± 5.0% vs. 30.2 ± 4.4%, respectively, $p = 0.007$), 48 hours (24.4 ± 6.5% vs. 30.8 ± 3.9%, respectively, $p = 0.016$), 72 hours (18.2 ± 4.8% vs. 26.8 ± 3.5%, respectively, $p < 0.001$), and on day 5 (11.2 ± 2.9% vs. 20.0 ± 2.5%, respectively, $p < 0.001$) after reperfusion, and there was no significant difference in edema size between 24 hours and 48 hours after reperfusion ($p = 0.277$) (Fig. 2B).

**Fig. 2.** Temporal evolution of myocardial characterization and left ventricular function in control and APT102 groups. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, †$p > 0.05$. IMH = intramyocardial hemorrhage, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume, MI = myocardial infarction, MVO = microvascular obstruction.
after reperfusion, as shown in Figure 2B.

**APT102 Reduced IMH Size**

The extent of IMH was significantly less in the APT102 group than in the control group (F = 7.646, p = 0.013) (Table 1). There were significant main effects at all time points (F = 35.742, p < 0.001) (Table 1), but no significant interactions between groups and time points (F = 0.115, p = 0.886) (Table 1). IMH size at 48 hours was significantly greater than at 24 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5 (all p < 0.001) (Table 1, Fig. 2C) after reperfusion, which confirmed that the extent of IMH peaked at 48 hours after reperfusion. Additionally, the extent of IMH was significantly less in the APT102 group than in the control group at 24 hours (2.3 ± 1.3% vs. 3.9 ± 2.0%, respectively, p = 0.040), 72 hours (2.1 ± 1.1% vs. 3.7 ± 1.5%, respectively, p = 0.014), and on day 5 (0.9 ± 0.6% vs. 2.7 ± 1.1%, respectively, p < 0.001) after reperfusion, while there was no significant difference at 48 hours (3.4 ± 1.8% vs. 5.2 ± 2.3%, p = 0.068) after reperfusion, as shown in Figure 2C. Figure 3 shows CMR images from one APT102 rat and one control rat at four time points after reperfusion showing the extent of IMH and MVO, and the persistently elevated T2 in the surrounding myocardium within the infarct zone.

**APT102 Reduced MVO Size**

There were significant differences in MVO size between the APT102 and control groups (F = 4.444, p = 0.049) (Table 1). The extent of MVO was significantly greater at 24 hours after reperfusion than at 48 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5 (all p < 0.001) (Table 1, Fig. 2D). The extent of MVO was significantly less in the APT102 group than in the control group at 72 hours (1.7 ± 0.5% vs. 2.3 ± 0.6%, respectively, p = 0.038) and on day 5 (0.6 ± 0.3% vs. 1.0

---

**Fig. 3.** Sequential changes in CMR images from one control subject (A) and one APT102 subject (B). Red dotted lines show areas of IMH on T2 maps and yellow dotted lines show areas of MVO on LGE images. LGE = late gadolinium enhancement
± 0.3%, respectively, \( p = 0.021 \) after reperfusion, whereas there was no significant difference at 24 hours (3.3 ± 0.8% vs. 3.8 ± 0.8%, respectively, \( p = 0.146 \)) or 48 hours (2.7 ± 0.7% vs. 3.1 ± 0.9%, respectively, \( p = 0.256 \)) after reperfusion, as shown in Figure 2D.

**APT102 Enhanced LV Systolic Function**

LVEF was significantly greater in the APT102 group than in the control group (\( F = 9.708, p = 0.006 \)) (Table 2). LVEF at 48 hours after reperfusion was significantly greater than at 24 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5, which demonstrates that LVEF peaked at 48 hours after reperfusion, as shown in Figure 2E. LVESV was significantly lower in the APT102 than in the control group (\( F = 7.046, p = 0.016 \)) (Table 2). Moreover, there was no significant difference in LVEDV (\( F = 1.058, p = 0.317 \)) (Table 2) or SV (\( F = 0.335, p = 0.570 \)) (Table 2) between the two groups. The representative cine images from 24 hours and on day 5 after reperfusion from both groups are shown in Supplementary Movie 1.

**Temporal Evolution of T2 Values of Myocardial Edema, IMH, and Remote Zones**

There were no significance differences in the T2 values of the remote myocardium, myocardial edema, or IMH between the APT102 and control groups. However, the T2 value of the myocardial edema at 48 hours was significantly greater than at 24 hours, 72 hours, and on day 5 after reperfusion. The T2 value of IMH on day 5 after reperfusion was significantly lower than at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours after reperfusion (Table 3, Fig. 2G, H).

**Relationship between MI, IMH, MVO, and LVEF**

Intra- and interobserver reliability of the measurement of the infarct, edema IMH, and MVO area is shown in Table 4.
Histopathological Findings

Bland-Altman plots showed that the volume of IMH measured on T2 mapping images matched well with the gross pathology images (Fig. 5). Figure 6 shows the CMR images and corresponding slices of the gross pathologic images, as well as H&E-stained images of the heart sections from representative subjects. The distinct red to reddish black areas on gross sections were defined as areas of IMH, where red blood cells and inflammatory cells caused swelling of the myocardial interstitium.

**DISCUSSION**

It is well known that there is a strong association between the extent of MVO and IMH with a reported correlation coefficient of IMH of 0.80–0.87 for late MVO (21, 22). Likewise, there was also a positive correlation in the present study ($r = 0.392$). We observed that the extent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparison of LV Systolic Function according to Time Points and Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEDV (mL)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVESV (mL)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV (mL)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEF (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEDV = left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume, SV = stroke volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Comparison of T2 Value according to Time Points and Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remote T2 values (ms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Edema T2 values (ms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMH T2 values (ms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT102 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Intra- and Interobserver Reliability of Measurement of Infarct, Edema, IMH and MVO Size, Described with ICCs and 95% CIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarct size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMH size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVO size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval, ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient

There was a significant and negative correlation between LVEF and MI size ($r = -0.230$, $p = 0.046$), and IMH size ($r = -0.294$, $p = 0.010$), and a significant and positive correlation between IMH size and MVO size ($r = 0.392$, $p < 0.001$), while no significant correlation was found between LVEF and edema size ($r = 0.184$, $p = 0.112$), and MVO size ($r = 0.065$, $p = 0.574$), as shown in Figure 4.

DISCUSSION

It is well known that there is a strong association between the extent of MVO and IMH with a reported correlation coefficient of IMH of 0.80–0.87 for late MVO (21, 22). Likewise, there was also a positive correlation in the present study ($r = 0.392$). We observed that the extent
of MVO peaked at 24 hours after reperfusion and decreased thereafter, while IMH size peaked at 48 hours after reperfusion and then decreased thereafter. The observed temporal evolution of IMH and MVO was consistent with the clinical study by Carrick et al. (23), where MVO peaked at 4 to 12 hours post reperfusion, whereas IMH increased.

Fig. 4. Linear correlation between extent of MI, IMH, MVO, and LVEF. There was negative correlation between LVEF and MI size (A), and IMH size (C), and positive correlation between IMH size and MVO size (E), while no significant correlation was found between LVEF and edema size (B), and MVO size (D).
progressively and peaked at 72 hours post reperfusion. The results of that study and the present study indicated that MVO almost precedes IMH in the temporal evolution of IRI and IMH is the downstream consequence of MVO. Furthermore, the extent of IMH was approximately equal to or greater than that of MVO at different time points, and regions of late MVO almost coincided with regions of IMH. Because of the slow diffusion of Gd-DTPA over time around the periphery of no-flow regions, the extent of MVO seen on LGE may be smaller than that on either first pass perfusion or early gadolinium enhancement (24). Furthermore, FISPcine with a relatively long acquisition time in the present study may have also contributed to the underestimation of the extent of MVO (16). Previous studies using canine and porcine models with 90-minute occlusion of the left anterior descending branch have demonstrated an almost 3-fold increase in MVO size over the first 48 hours post reperfusion (25), while our data showed that MVO peaked.

Fig. 5. Comparison of IMH size on day 5 after reperfusion as measured using T2 mapping with pathological gross examination. Bland-Altman plot shows that IMH size measured using T2 mapping and pathological gross examination were not significantly different (mean difference 0.0008%, 95% limit of agreement from -0.3019% to 0.3036%). LVMV = left ventricular myocardial volume, SD = standard deviation.

Fig. 6. CMR images and corresponding pathology at four time points after reperfusion of control subjects (A) and APT102 subjects (B). Red arrows show areas of IMH on T2 maps and gross sections and white arrows show areas of MVO on LGE images. H&E = hematoxylin and eosin.
at 24 hours post reperfusion, which may be ascribed to the
different occlusion times of the left anterior descending
branch and differences in animal models.

A great number of studies (23, 26-28) have provided
strong evidence that IMH and residual myocardial iron
are adverse prognostic complications in reperfused STEMI
patients and porcine models. In this study, a negative
correlation was also demonstrated between IMH and LVEF
\( r = -0.294 \). Thus, IMH may be a potential therapeutic
target for the prevention of adverse LV remodeling and the
occurrence time of IMH suggests a therapeutic window may
exist to efficiently prevent IMH. Human E-NTPDase-1/CD39
is an extracellular nucleotide that hydrolyzes extracellular
ATP and ADP to AMP, which is converted to adenosine
by CD73 (11, 29). In addition, CD39 can impede ATP and
ADP interactions at platelet P2 receptors (11). Thus, CD39
could efficiently inhibit inflammation and thrombosis while
maintaining vascular integrity. Köhler et al. (15) suggested
that ischemic preconditioning with brief cycles of short-
term coronary occlusion and reperfusion performed before
prolonged coronary artery occlusion with reperfusion
could increase the expression of CD39 by the endothelia
and myocytes and reduce infarct size, while this work did
not report a powerful effect on IMH and MVO (15). In
the present study, APT102 not only reduced the extent of
infarction and edema, but also the extent of IMH and MVO,
which has not been reported before.

In the present study, the mean T2 values of myocardial
edema at 24 hours and 48 hours after reperfusion were 38.8
\( \pm 1.5 \) ms and 43.7 \( \pm 2.7 \) ms in the control group, which
were close to the values of 34.7 \( \pm 6.7 \) ms and 47.7 \( \pm 6.6 \)
ms acquired in previous studies (16, 30, 31). The mean T2
value of IMH at 48 hours (29.3 \( \pm 1.7 \) ms) was also similar
to that in a previous study (27.6 \( \pm 3.3 \) ms) (16), where T2
values of remote myocardium were greater (22.3 \( \pm 0.4 \) ms)
but lower than those of edema (43.7 \( \pm 2.7 \) ms), reflecting

Fig. 6. CMR images and corresponding pathology at four time points after reperfusion of control subjects (A) and APT102
subjects (B). Red arrows show areas of IMH on T2 maps and gross sections and white arrows show areas of MVO on LGE images. H&E = hematoxylin and eosin.
the contrasting effects of hemorrhage, which tends to shorten T2, with that of edema, which increases T2 (16, 32).

Lastly, there was an apparent discrepancy between the delayed recovery of LV systolic function and the maximal extent of IMH and edema at 48 hours post reperfusion in the APT102 and control groups. Our data disclosed that LVEF at 48 hours was greater than that at 24 hours, while LVESV was lower than that at 24 hours. This phenomenon can be explained by the delay in functional recovery of the salvaged myocardium, where stunning can occur. Myocardial stunning is a reversible injury where postischemic myocardial function can recover to preischemic levels upon reperfusion (33).

Supplementary Materials

The Data Supplement is available with this article at https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2019.0853.

Supplementary Movie Legends

**Movie 1.** Representative cine images from 24 hours and on day 5 after reperfusion from control subjects (A) and APT102 subjects (B).

The infarcted wall of the control subject is thinner than the APT102 subject, and the wall contraction of the control subject is weaker than the APT102 subject.
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