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The efficiency and fidelity of 8-oxo-guanine bypass by DNA polymerases δ and η
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¹Laboratory of Molecular Genetics and Laboratory of Structural Biology, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Research, Triangle Park, NC 27709 and ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63110, USA

ABSTRACT

A DNA lesion created by oxidative stress is 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-guanine (8-oxoG). Because 8-oxoG can mispair with adenine during DNA synthesis, it is of interest to understand the efficiency and fidelity of 8-oxoG bypass by DNA polymerases. We quantify bypass parameters for two DNA polymerases implicated in 8-oxoG bypass, Pols δ and η. Yeast Pol δ and yeast Pol η both bypass 8-oxoG and misincorporate adenine during bypass. However, yeast Pol η is 10-fold more efficient than Pol δ, and following bypass Pol η switches to less processive synthesis, similar to that observed during bypass of a cis-syn thymine-thymine dimer. Moreover, yeast Pol η is at least 10-fold more accurate than yeast Pol δ during 8-oxoG bypass. These differences are maintained in the presence of the accessory proteins RFC, PCNA and RPA and are consistent with the established role of Pol η in suppressing OGG1-dependent mutagenesis in yeast. Surprisingly different results are obtained with human and mouse Pol η. Both mammalian enzymes bypass 8-oxoG efficiently, but they do so less processively, without a switch point and with much lower fidelity than yeast Pol η. The fact that yeast and mammalian Pol η have intrinsically different catalytic properties has potential biological implications.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most common lesions resulting from oxidative stress is 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-guanine (8-oxoG) [(1) and references therein]. Compared to guanine, 8-oxoG contains only one extra oxygen atom, yet presents a major problem for a cell because during DNA synthesis it can pair with either correct deoxythymidine (dT) or incorrect deoxyadenine (dA). NMR and X-ray crystallographic studies with either a dC·8-oxoG or dA·8-oxoG basepair show that neither lesion causes a serious distortion of the overall helical structure of the DNA (2–5). This is because the dC·8-oxoG pair exists in the standard anti-anti conformation, while the dA·8-oxoG mispair exists in an anti-syn conformation, leading to base pairing along the Hoogsteen edge of the incoming dA. The dA·8-oxoG mispair can result in a G·C → T·A transversion, a substitution linked to somatic cancers (6). To avoid the adverse consequences of 8-oxoG·dA mispairs, cells devote a number of enzymes to processing 8-oxoG (7). The base excision repair (BER) pathway recognizes and removes 8-oxoG from the dC·8-oxoG pair using the MutM/OGG1 glycosylase in E. coli and human cells, respectively. The dA base from the dA·8-oxoG mispair is removed by the MutY/MYH glycosylase (E. coli human). In addition, MutT/MTH1 (E. coli human) can hydrolyze the oxidized precursor 8-oxoGTP to its monophosphate form (8-oxoGMP), thereby preventing incorporation opposite either dA or dC in the template. Lastly, the DNA mismatch repair system has been shown to recognize 8-oxoG mispairs (8).

DNA repair systems are not perfect, such that template 8-oxoG is sometimes encountered by DNA polymerases during replication and gap-filling synthesis associated with DNA repair. Given the tendency for mispairing by DNA polymerases with associated increase in mutations, many studies have investigated the activities of specific DNA polymerases when they encounter template 8-oxoG. In an early report, human Pols α and β, calf thymus Pol δ and E. coli Pol I were all able to incorporate both dC and dA opposite 8-oxoG, in ratios varying from

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 919 541 2644; Fax: +1 919 541 7613; Email: kunkel@niehs.nih.gov

Present addresses:
Scott D. McCulloch, Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7633, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA
Robert J. Kokoska, U.S. Army Research Office, PO Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

© Published by Oxford University Press 2009
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/uk/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
7:1 to 1:200 (9). All these polymerases more efficiently extended the 8-oxoG·dA mispair than the 8-oxoG·dC pair. More recent studies have reported ratios of dC:dA insertion opposite 8-oxoG of 2:1 for Pol β (10) and T7 DNA polymerase (11,12), 3:1 for E. coli Pol I (13), 1:1 for E. coli Pol II (13), 1:14 for HIV RT (11), 1:9 for Bacillus stearothermophilus Pol I (14), 20:1 for RB69 DNA polymerase (15), 3:1 for calf thymus Pol δ (16), 20:1 for Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pol η (17), between 70 and 90:1 for Sulfolobus solfataricus DNA polymerase 4 (Dpo4) (18,19), and between 1:1 and 1:3 for human Pol κ (20,21). Most of these studies involved kinetic analysis of insertion of a single nucleotide opposite 8-oxoG, and in some cases, the ability to add a correct nucleotide onto primer termini containing either dA·8-oxoG or dC·8-oxoG pairs. These studies clearly demonstrate that relative to unmodified template guanine, 8-oxoG reduces the nucleotide selectivity of DNA polymerases, but to varying degrees. The structural basis for this reduced selectivity, and for polymerase-specific variations in the ratio of dC:dA insertion opposite 8-oxoG, has been investigated in several studies showing that both base pairs can in fact exist within the active site of a polymerase, but in different conformations and with different polymerase-specific interactions (12,14,18,19,22,23). Interestingly, one such study shows that the dA·8-oxoG anti·syn mispair can mimic the geometry of a correct base pair and thereby escape efficient proofreading by the 3′ exonuclease activity of the replicative T7 DNA polymerase (9,12).

The present study examines the consequences of attempts by Pols δ and η to bypass a template 8-oxoG. The choice of these two particular polymerases with this lesion was motivated by biological evidence clearly indicating that Pol η has an important role in suppressing mutagenesis induced by sunlight (24–30), which not only generates photodimers but also lesions due to oxidative stress (31–34). Moreover, S. cerevisiae Pol η also has a role in suppressing mutagenesis in cells defective in removing 8-oxoG due to a defect in the Ogg1 glycosylase (17,35,36). These data imply that in a manner akin to the prevailing model for Pol η-dependent bypass of UV photoproducts (37,38), when a major replicative polymerase like Pol δ encounters 8-oxoG, it pauses, thereby initiating a switch to allow Pol η to bypass this lesion. We have been investigating this model based on the specific hypothesis that polymerase switching during translesion synthesis (TLS) occurs during transitions from preferred to disfavored use of damaged primer-templates and that the polymerase used for each successive nucleotide incorporated is the one whose properties result in the highest efficiency. Here, we test this hypothesis by investigating the properties of 8-oxoG bypass by Pols δ and η using assays designed to quantify the efficiency and the fidelity of TLS (39–42).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and reagents

All bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophage and other materials for the assays performed were from previously described sources (42,43). DNA modification and restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA), and oligonucleotides were purchased from Oligos Etc., Inc. (Wilsonville, OR). Streptavidin was purchased from Roche Applied Science (Indianapolis, IN), and dNTPs were purchased from Amershams Bio- sciences (Piscataway, NJ).

DNA substrates

Bypass assays used 7-mer templates (70Bio-G: 5′-biotin-AGTACCATGATTACGAAATTC GCCTCGGATCCGTTGAGGTCACTGG; 70Bio-Go: 5′-biotin-ATGAC CATGATTACGAATTCGACTCCAGCGGTACGGTGTTTA xCCTTGGAGTCGACCTGCAAAATTCTACTGG; 70Bio-Go2 5′-biotin-ATGACCATGATTACGAAATTC AGCTCGTACCGGxTITACGCTGGGCTGACCGAC GGCGAAATTACTGGC) containing a 5′-biotin moiety. The position of the 8-oxoG residue in each 5′-biotin moiety is indicated by ‘x′. Primer strand oligonucleotides were BP14 (5′-biotin-CCAGTGAAATTCTG) with a 5′-biotin moiety, LP16 (5′-CCAGTGGCACTCCAAAG), LP20 (5′-CCAGGGCGACGCAAAGC) and 30Fid (5′-biotin-CCAGTGAAATTCTGCAAGGGTCGACTCCA AAG) with a 5′-biotin moiety. Bypass efficiency assays used the ‘blocking’ primer BP14 and the ‘labeled’ primer LP16 that was labeled at the 5′ end using 32P-γ-ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. Substrates were prepared by mixing template oligonucleotide (70Bio-G/70Bio-Go/70Bio-Go2) with 1.2 M equivalents of each of BP14 and LP16 in 50 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.5) and 1X SSC, followed by incubation at 75°C for 5 min, then cooling to 25°C over 2 h, protected from light. Substrates used in the bypass fidelity assay were prepared similarly using only the unlabeled 30Fid primer oligonucleotide. For apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site bypass assays, a 102-mer template (V9/V9AP1: 5′-biotin-CTTCTTCTCCCTTTTCTTCCT CCTTCCTCCCTTCCCTT25GCGxCTCC TTTGGCGAATTCT25GCGxCTCC CCTTCCTCCCTTCCCTT25GCGxCTCC TTTGGCGAATTCT) with both 5′ and 3′ moiety moieties was used, with the position indicated by ‘x′ containing either an undamaged G or a synthetic AP site (tetrahydrofuran) residue. The primer used (C12-4: 5′-AGGGAAAGGAGGAGGGAGGAGGAGAAG) pairs with the 30Fid moiety in italics. AP site bypass substrates were prepared as described above using a 1.2× molar excess of template to 5′-32P end-labeled primer. All bypass efficiency experiments with human and mouse Pol η used a 45-mer template (45TTAG/45TTAGo: 5′-CCAGCTCGTGGCC GGGTTAXCCTTGGAGTCGACCTCCGAAAT) that contained either an undamaged G or 8-oxoG at the position indicated by ‘x′ with 5′-32P-labeled primer (LBP-24: 5′-AATTTTCGCAAGGGTCGACTCCAAAG), prepared as described earlier. Bypass fidelity reactions using the 45-mer template were prepared with unlabeled LBP-24 primer. Templates with different sequence contexts indicated in the text, tables and figure legends are limited to the region in italics in the above 45-mer sequence.
Protein isolation and purification

*S. cerevisiae* polymerase η was purified as described previously (44) using a plasmid kindly provided by Dr. Zhigang Wang (University of Kentucky). Two separate preparations gave similar results. *S. cerevisiae* PCNA, RFC, RPA and three subunit polymerase δ, both exonuclease proficient (δexo+) and deficient forms (δexo–) were purified as previously described (45–48). Mouse and human Pol η were expressed and purified as described previously (49).

Bypass efficiency assay

Bypass efficiency reactions using the 70-mer template substrate and *S. cerevisiae* proteins were performed as previously described (39,41,50). All reactions contained 40 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.8), 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, 2 mM DTT and 100 μg/ml BSA. Reactions (30 μl) contained 1 pmol of DNA substrate that was first incubated with 10 pmol of streptavidin. When present, PCNA trimer, RFC complex and RPA were added in 1.2-fold excess compared to DNA substrate. Reactions with Pol δ contained 25 μM of each of the four dNTP, while reactions with Pol η contained 100 μM each. All components except polymerase were mixed on ice and then incubated at 30°C for 2 min. Polymerase (5 fmol) was added to initiate the reaction, and 6 μl samples were removed at the indicated times and mixed with 12 μl formamide loading buffer (95% deionized formamide, 25 mM EDTA, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 0.1% xylene cyanol). Products were heated to 95°C for 5 min and separated through a 12% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Dried gels were visualized and quantified using a Molecular Dynamics Typhoon 9400 imager and ImageQuant software. Calculation of termination probability, bypass amount and relative bypass efficiency were performed as previously described (39,41).

Reactions with human and mouse Pol η using 45-mer template substrates were performed as previously described (41,51), using 4 pmol of substrate and either 10 fmol human Pol η or 16 fmol mouse Pol η. In all reactions used to calculate bypass efficiency parameters, short incubation times and high DNA to polymerase ratios were used. The conditions used were chosen to assure that termination probabilities remained with time, thereby empirically demonstrating that the majority of product chains result from a single cycle of synthesis (39).

Bypass fidelity assay

The assay was performed as previously described (39,42). Seventy-mer template substrates containing a single primer (30Fid) were blocked with streptavidin, while 45-mer template substrates did not have biotin–streptavidin complexes blocking the ends. Reaction conditions were the same as described earlier with the following exceptions: *S. cerevisiae* Pol δ experiments contained 8 pmol of substrate and 4 pmol of polymerase; *S. cerevisiae* Pol η experiments contained 2 pmol of substrate and 1 pmol of enzyme. Reactions with *S. cerevisiae* proteins were incubated at 30°C for 30 min. Reactions with mouse and human Pol η contained 4 pmol substrate and 0.8 pmol enzyme and were incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Recovery of the synthesized strand, annealing to gapped M13 DNA molecules, hybridization efficiency controls, transfection into *E. coli* and determination of error rates and spectra were performed as previously described (39,42).

RESULTS

The efficiency of 8-oxoG bypass by yeast Pol δ

First, we examined the ability of three-subunit yeast Pol δ to bypass 8-oxoG (Figure 1). In comparison to bypass of undamaged guanine in the same sequence context (lanes b–d, in Figure 1B and C), 8-oxoG inhibited polymerization by wild type (i.e., exo+) Pol δ (Figure 1B, lanes i–k) and exo– Pol δ (Figure 1C, lanes i–k). When band intensities were quantified and used to calculate bypass efficiencies (Table 1), wild type and exo+ Pol δ were found to bypass 8-oxoG with 15% and 31% efficiency, respectively, compared to bypass of undamaged G in the same sequence context. This reduced bypass efficiency is due mainly to reduced extension following incorporation opposite 8-oxoG (Figure 1B, compare band intensities at n + 3 in lanes d and k). We also performed reactions with a template of the same sequence but with the 8-oxoG located four bases downstream, i.e., in a different sequence context. In this case, Pol δexo+ bypassed 8-oxoG with an efficiency that was 25% of that observed with undamaged G in the same sequence context. Therefore, bypass efficiency is due mainly to reduced extension following incorporation opposite 8-oxoG (Figure 1B, compare band intensities at n + 3 in lanes d and k). We also performed reactions with a template of the same sequence but with the 8-oxoG located four bases downstream, i.e., in a different sequence context. In this case, Pol δexo+ bypassed 8-oxoG with an efficiency that was 25% of that observed with undamaged G in the same sequence context. However, the degree of processivity of both forms of Pol δ was only slightly higher than the bypass efficiency of Pol δexo+, indicating that the intrinsic 3’ exonuclease activity of Pol δ has limited influence on bypass of 8-oxoG.

Addition of RFC, PCNA and RPA stimulated the processivity of both forms of Pol δ (e.g. Figure 1B and C, see full-length 70-mer products in lanes g and n). In addition, the accessory proteins increased the percentage of product chains reflecting bypass of G and 8-oxoG by several-fold (compare values in first four columns and top two lines of Table 1). However, the degree of stimulation by accessory proteins was similar for both the undamaged G and 8-oxoG templates, such that the accessory proteins did not selectively increase the relative 8-oxoG bypass efficiency for either exo+ or exo– Pol δ (third line in Table 1). To further confirm that the accessory proteins are active under the reaction conditions used here, we performed reactions using a second undamaged template, in this case one that yields lower termination probabilities after the first two incorporations (Figure 2). The processivity of Pol δ is somewhat higher on this template (compare Figure 1B and C, lanes b–d with Figure 2B, lanes b–e), and the ability of RPA, RFC and PCNA to increase processivity is clearly seen (Figure 2B, lanes f–i). We also performed experiments with 2-fold excess of Pol δ over primer-template, in this
Figure 1. Inhibition of S. cerevisiae Pol δ DNA synthesis by 8-oxoG. (A) Schematic diagram of the substrate used in TLS assays. The template is a 70-mer, the biotinylated primer is a 14-mer and the radio-labeled primer is a 16-mer. (B) Twelve percent dPAGE image of reactions containing a 200:1 substrate:enzyme (S:E) ratio of exonuclease proficient Pol δ (Pol δ<sup>exo+</sup>) and either undamaged (G) or damaged (8-oxoG) templates with blocked ends (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section), without and with the accessory proteins RPA, RFC and PCNA. The template sequence is given to the left of the image and the number of nucleotide incorporation (+1, +2, etc.) is given on the right. The +40 incorporation represents synthesis to the end of the template. The position of the 8-oxoG residue is indicated with a star (†). Lanes g and n are overexposures of lanes g and n, respectively. (C) Gel image of reactions containing a 200:1 S:E ratio of exonuclease deficient Pol δ (Pol δ<sup>exo−</sup>). Details are the same as in (B).

Table 1. Bypass efficiency of 8-oxoG by pols δ and η

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPA/RFC/PCNA</th>
<th>Yeast&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Human&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mouse&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g&lt;sup&gt;exo+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>g&lt;sup&gt;exo−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-CCGATT</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-CCG&lt;sub&gt;G&lt;/sub&gt;ATT</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative bypass (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-TTGGG</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-TTG&lt;sub&gt;G&lt;/sub&gt;GG</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative bypass (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Efficiency measurements made using 70-mer template with streptavidin blocked ends (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section).

<sup>b</sup>Efficiency measurements made using 45-mer template (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section).

<sup>c</sup>Bypass efficiency measured in three other template sequence contexts was 3'-... CG<sub>G</sub>ATC... = 220%; 3'-... CAG<sub>G</sub>TC... = 180%; 3'-... CAG<sub>G</sub>TT... = 180%.

<sup>d</sup>Experiments depicted in Figures 1 and 2 were quantified and the bypass amount on each template calculated as previously described (39,41). Relative bypass is the amount of 8-oxoG bypass compared to undamaged DNA. Switch is defined as a change from lower to higher termination probability when comparing values at the 8-oxoG and next undamaged base.
case with both an undamaged template and a template containing a synthetic abasic site (tetrahydrofuran). These experiments more closely approximate the many published TLS studies that use high-enzyme concentrations and permit multiple cycles of polymerization, evidenced here by extension of nearly all the starting DNA substrate (Figure 2C). Under these reaction conditions, the accessory proteins clearly stimulate formation of full-length products, both with undamaged template (Figure 2C, lanes compare lanes b to c and lanes h to i) and with the lesion-containing template (Figure 2C, compare lanes e to f and lanes k to l). The stimulation of bypass of a synthetic abasic site is consistent with earlier observations with yeast and calf thymus Pol δ (52,53).

Figure 2. Stimulation of Pol δ activity by accessory proteins. (A) Schematic diagram of the substrate used in TLS assays. The template is a 102-mer with biotin at both the five and three ends. The radio-labeled primer is a 26-mer. (B) Time course of reactions of Pol δ^exo− using undamaged template that does not have sequence specific pause sites. Reactions are confirmed to be under single-interaction conditions (see ‘Materials and methods’ section). The template sequence is given to the left of the image and the number of nucleotide incorporation (+1, +2, etc.) is given on the right. The +46 incorporation represents synthesis to the end of the template. (C) Gel images of reaction products created using a S/E ratio of 1:2 (polymerase excess) with 102-mer templates containing either undamaged G or tetrahydrofuran (an AP site mimic) at the fifth incorporation position (*). These images show that in the presence of the accessory proteins, stimulation of AP site bypass occurs, similar to a previous report (53). Details are the same as in (B). Panels (B) and (C) confirm that the accessory proteins are active under the conditions used.

Efficiency of 8-oxoG bypass by yeast, mouse and human Pol η

While bypass of 8-oxoG is somewhat problematic for yeast Pol δ, yeast Pol η bypasses the lesion with ease (Figure 3B, lanes I–n). In two sequence contexts examined (one not shown), bypass values are higher for the lesion than for the corresponding undamaged G, giving relative bypass efficiencies of 130–250% (Table 1). Also, as previously reported for bypass of a cis-syn TT dimer by yeast (40,50) and human Pol η (41), yeast Pol η has a lower probability of terminating processive synthesis after insertion opposite 8-oxoG (12%, Figure 4A, black bar) as compared to undamaged G (29%, Figure 4A, gray bar). This lower termination opposite 8-oxoG corresponds to a higher probability of adding the next base, i.e. after insertion opposite 8-oxoG, yeast Pol η extends the 8-oxoG containing terminus even more efficiently than it extends the undamaged terminus. Yeast Pol η then inserts nucleotides opposite the next three undamaged bases less efficiently compared to the equivalent positions in the undamaged template. In other words, after incorporation opposite 8-oxoG and the next undamaged template nucleotide (i.e. after bypass), synthesis by yeast Pol η becomes disfavored.

Neither the high efficiency of 8-oxoG bypass by yeast Pol η (Table 1) nor the switch to less processive synthesis (Figure 4A and B) is strongly influenced by the presence
of the accessory proteins. As reported earlier at a higher enzyme to substrate ratio (53), there is clear stimulation of Pol _Z_ by RPA, PCNA and RFC (Figure 3B, compare amount of product with 6 or more incorporations in lanes b–d with e–g and in lanes l–n with o–q). The stimulation is similar with the undamaged and damaged templates. The results were somewhat different with human and mouse Pol _Z_. Both polymerases are less processive than yeast Pol _Z_ (compare termination probabilities in Figure 4A and C and reference (50)). Despite this lower processivity, both mammalian polymerases readily bypass 8-oxoG, with no detectable block to synthesis (Figure 3C and D). In fact, they do so with relative bypass efficiencies consistently higher than for the undamaged template (150–220%, Table 1 and its legend). These values in excess of 100% are largely due to a slightly higher efficiency of insertion opposite the lesion compared to the equivalent undamaged bases. However, after the 8-oxoG was copied, the mammalian enzymes failed to switch from low-to-high termination probabilities (Figure 3C and D, Figure 4C and data not shown). This differs from yeast Pol η and from the behavior of human and mouse Pol η when copying a TT dimer (41,51).

**Figure 3.** High-efficiency bypass of 8-oxoG by Pol η. (A) Schematic diagram of the substrates used in TLS assays. For yeast Pol η the substrate is as described in Figure 1. For human and mouse Pol η, the template is a 45-mer and the radio-labeled primer is a 24-mer. (B) Reactions were performed as described in Figure 1 legend using a 200:1 S:E ratio of _S. cerevisiae_ Pol η, either in the absence of any accessory proteins (lanes b–d and l–n), or with RPA, RFC and PCNA (lanes e–g and o–q). The template sequence is given to the left of the image and the number of nucleotide incorporation is given on the right. The position of the 8-oxoG residue is indicated with a star (*). (C and D) Bypass efficiency reactions were performed using a 400:1 S:E ratio of human Pol η and a 250:1 S:E ratio of mouse Pol η.

**Fidelity of 8-oxoG bypass**

Next, we measured the error rates of Pol _δ_+ and Pol _δ−_ from yeast and Pol η from yeast, human and mouse when bypassing an 8-oxoG in the presence of all four dNTPs. Enough enzyme and time were provided to allow complete synthesis to the end of the template. The newly synthesized strand was recovered and hybridized to gapped M13mp2 DNA, which was introduced into _E. coli_ cells that were then plated to score M13 plaque color phenotype (39,42). The undamaged G or 8-oxoG is within a TAG codon in the _lacZ_α gene in M13mp2 DNA. Correct incorporation of dC results in M13 plaques that are faint blue (due to slight read through of the nonsense codon), whereas stable misincorporation of dA or dG opposite the G or 8-oxoG results in dark blue plaques. Sequencing M13 DNA from dark blue plaques identifies the substitution and allows calculation of error rates. In this assay, Pol _δ_+ and Pol _δ−_ have much lower fidelity when bypassing 8-oxoG than when copying the undamaged template (Table 2). This is reflected in the dramatically higher dark blue plaque frequencies when copying the damaged template (23–30%) as compared to...
DISCUSSION

This study tests the hypothesis that enzymatic switching during TLS occurs during transitions from preferential to disfavored use of damaged primer-templates and that the polymerase or 3'-exonuclease used for each successive nucleotide incorporation is the one whose properties

the undamaged template (0.05–0.15%). Sequence analysis followed by error rate calculations was performed for Pol δ<sup>exo+</sup> and Pol δ<sup>exo−</sup>, in each case in the absence and in the presence of RFC, PCNA and RPA (Table 3). The results indicate that 40–50% of bypass of 8-oxoG by Pol δ results in stable misincorporation of dA and/or dG opposite the lesion. Rates are similar with Pol δ<sup>exo+</sup> and Pol δ<sup>exo−</sup>, indicating that these damaged mismatches are not proofread by the 3' exonuclease activity of yeast Pol δ. The accessory proteins have little effect on error rates for dA·8-oxoG mismatches generated either by Pol δ<sup>exo+</sup> or Pol δ<sup>exo−</sup>. In the presence of the accessory factors, both forms of Pol δ showed a 6-fold decrease in the rate of 8-oxoG·dG mismatches. This difference is statistically significant (Fisher’s exact test, P = 0.012 and 0.011 for Pol δ<sup>exo+</sup> and Pol δ<sup>exo−</sup>, respectively).

Pol δ lacks intrinsic proofreading activity and, when copying undamaged DNA, it is much less accurate [Tables 2 and 3, and refs (49,50,54)] than Pol δ [Tables 2 and 3, and ref. (55)]. However, when the fidelity of Pol δ was measured for bypass of 8-oxoG, yeast Pol δ was more accurate than yeast Pol δ, as reflected in lower dark blue plaque frequencies (Table 2) and in much lower error rates for dA·8-oxoG and dG·8-oxoG mismatches calculated after sequence analysis (Table 3). The accessory proteins had little if any affect on the fidelity of 8-oxoG bypass by yeast Pol δ. Error rates for 8-oxoG bypass by human and mouse Pol δ are much higher than for yeast Pol δ. Dark blue plaque frequencies of ~30% were observed (Table 2), and the error rates for dA misincorporation (44% for mouse, 45% for human) are 17-fold higher than for yeast Pol δ. Similar and high error rates for 8-oxoG bypass by human Pol δ were observed in three other sequence contexts (Table 3, note c).

Results indicate that the switch from low to high termination probability after 8-oxoG has been bypassed is indicated with dashed-line arrows. (by light gray and black bars, respectively. Error bars are the standard deviation for six measurements (three time points each for two separate experiments). The switch from low to high termination probability after 8-oxoG has been bypassed is indicated with dashed-line arrows. **(A)** Graph of termination probability (vertical axis, 0–60%) at each of the first seven incorporations (horizontal axis) for *S. cerevisiae* Pol δ without accessory proteins. Undamaged template and 8-oxoG template values are indicated by light gray and black bars, respectively. Error bars are the standard deviation for six measurements (three time points each for two separate experiments). The switch from low to high termination probability after 8-oxoG has been bypassed is indicated with dashed-line arrows. **(B)** Graph of termination probability for *S. cerevisiae* Pol δ with RPA, RFC and PCNA. Details are the same as in (A). **(C)** Graph of termination probability for mouse Pol δ without accessory proteins on a 45-mer template (see ‘Materials and methods’ section). Note that mouse and human Pol δ display nearly identical properties (41,49,51).

**Figure 4.** Termination probability analysis during 8-oxoG bypass by Pol δ. Gel images of reaction products seen in **Figure 3** were quantified using ImageQuant software, as described previously (39,41). **(A)** Graph of termination probability (vertical axis, 0–60%) at each of the first seven incorporations (horizontal axis) for *S. cerevisiae* Pol δ without accessory proteins. Undamaged template and 8-oxoG template values are indicated by light gray and black bars, respectively. Error bars are the standard deviation for six measurements (three time points each for two separate experiments). The switch from low to high termination probability after 8-oxoG has been bypassed is indicated with dashed-line arrows. **(B)** Graph of termination probability for *S. cerevisiae* Pol δ with RPA, RFC and PCNA. Details are the same as in (A). **(C)** Graph of termination probability for mouse Pol δ without accessory proteins on a 45-mer template (see ‘Materials and methods’ section). Note that mouse and human Pol δ display nearly identical properties (41,49,51).
result in the highest efficiency and the highest fidelity of bypass. We previously examined this for two lesion-polymerase combinations that are highly relevant to mutagenesis and cancer susceptibility, i.e. Pols $\eta$ and $\delta$ bypass of a $\text{cis-syn}$ TT dimer resulting from sunlight exposure (40,41). Here, we extend the effort to bypass of template 8-oxoG. This lesion results from exposure to sunlight and other types of environmental stress, both exogenous and endogenous, and convincing evidence shows that yeast Pol $\eta$ suppresses mutagenesis in yeast strains deficient the Ogg1 glycosylase that normally removes 8-oxoG (17,35,36). Those genetic data are strongly supported by the biochemical properties of yeast Pols $\delta$ and $\eta$ reported here. Template 8-oxoG impedes synthesis by yeast pol $\delta$ (Figure 1), while yeast pol $\eta$ efficiently incorporates opposite 8-oxoG and the next undamaged template nucleotide (Figure 3A) to achieve very efficient bypass (Table 1) and then switches to less processive synthesis (Figure 4A and B). Yeast pol $\eta$ stably incorporates C rather than A opposite 8-oxoG by a factor of 67-fold (Table 3, error rate of $10^{-4}$ for yeast Pol $\eta$ with accessory proteins). This result is consistent with kinetic studies of yeast Pol $\eta$ (17,56). By comparison, bypass of 8-oxoG by wild type yeast pol $\delta$ is 33-fold less accurate (Table 3, error rate of $4900 \times 10^{-4}$ with accessory proteins), and neither its proofreading activity nor accessory proteins improve fidelity (Table 3). All these properties strongly support the proposal (17) that yeast Pol $\eta$, although clearly not error-free, contributes to bypass of spontaneously generated 8-oxoG in a manner that suppresses mutagenesis.

It is well known that 8-oxoG not only arises spontaneously but is also generated by exposure to UV radiation. This and the fact that XPV patients lacking functional Pol $\eta$ have greatly increased susceptibility to sunlight-induced skin cancer raises the interesting issue of whether mammalian Pol $\eta$ also bypasses 8-oxoG in a manner that suppresses mutagenesis. This possibility is supported by a report (17) that, like yeast Pol $\eta$, human Pol $\eta$ also bypasses 8-oxoG accurately. This led to the suggestion that, in addition to suppressing sunlight-induced skin cancer, human Pol $\eta$ may also suppress internal cancers that would otherwise result from mutagenic bypass of 8-oxoG in DNA (17). Although there is no compelling evidence that XPV patients have increased susceptibility to internal cancers, a recent study of human cell lines has concluded that human Pol $\eta$ suppresses 8-oxoG-dependent mutations when plasmid DNA that was damaged in vitro by methylene blue plus light is replicated in vivo (57).

On the other hand, we find that the human and mouse Pol $\eta$ error rate for bypass of 8-oxoG approaches 50% (Table 3). These high error rates are observed in multiple sequence contexts and are consistent with single nucleotide insertion studies (58,59) showing that human Pol $\eta$ inserts dA and dC opposite 8-oxoG with similar efficiencies and then extends the resulting termini with similar efficiencies. Importantly, the high error rates we observe for human and mouse Pol $\eta$ differ by only about 2-fold from the error rates for bypass of 8-oxoG by bovine Pol $\delta$ (83%, 5:1 ratio of A to C incorporation) and human Pol $\alpha$ (99.5%, 200:1 A to C incorporation) (9). These comparisons predict that switching from mammalian Pol $\delta$ or Pol $\alpha$ to mammalian Pol $\eta$ in order to bypass 8-oxoG would have at most a 2-fold affect on 8-oxoG-dependent mutagenesis. Consistent with this is a recent demonstration that the frequency of 8-oxoG-dependent mutagenesis in a gapped plasmid is high, and, more importantly, it is similar in Pol $\eta$-deficient and Pol $\eta$-proficient human cells (60). Also relevant here may be the observation that, unlike for bypass of a TT dimer (41), we see no evidence for a switch to less processive synthesis after bypass of 8-oxoG by mouse and human Pol $\eta$ (Figure 2B, Table 1). This represents a second

### Table 3. Error specificity during G and 8-oxoG bypass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPA/RFC/PCNA</th>
<th>Yeast</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Mouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3'\text{CGATT}$</td>
<td>$\delta^{\text{exo}+}$</td>
<td>$\delta^{\text{exo}-}$</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3'\text{CGATT}$</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequenced</td>
<td>$22^a$</td>
<td>$12^a$</td>
<td>$24^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G \rightarrow C$</td>
<td>$1.4$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.7$</td>
<td>$8.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G \rightarrow T$</td>
<td>$1.4$</td>
<td>$0.7$</td>
<td>$2.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3'\text{CGATT}$</td>
<td>$22^a$</td>
<td>$22^a$</td>
<td>$24^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequenced</td>
<td>$3100$</td>
<td>$4900$</td>
<td>$2900$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Additional experiments using a 45-mer template (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section) with slight sequence difference gave error rates of; (3’…CG$_1$AG$_2$CT…) G → C = 610 $\times 10^{-4}$, G → T = 4600 $\times 10^{-4}$; (3’…CAG$_3$TC…) G → C = 230 $\times 10^{-4}$, G → T = 4500 $\times 10^{-4}$; (3’…CAG$_4$TT…) G → C = 350 $\times 10^{-4}$ = 4000 $\times 10^{-4}$.

$^b$DNA from dark blue plaques described in Table 2 was sequenced and error rates ($\times 10^{-4}$) were calculated as previously described (42). Only changes at the G/8-oxoG site are given (the TAG stop codon is in italics in the left-most column). Note that G → A changes are not detectable by color screening as the amber stop codon (TAG) changes instead to an ochre stop codon (TAA) in the lacZs sequence.

$^c$These sequenced samples are the same as those used in a published report (50). The specific error rates shown here have not previously been reported.
difference between the yeast and mammalian Pol δ. Given structure–function studies of other polymerases (10,12,14, 15,18,19,23), these differences may depend on amino acids variations at the active sites and/or the little finger domains of yeast and mammalian Pol δ.

It is of course possible that the intrinsically low 8-oxoG bypass fidelity of the catalytic subunit of mammalian Pol δ that we observe here can be improved. Our data (Table 1) indicate that RFC, PCNA and RPA may slightly improve bypass efficiency, but do not improve the 8-oxoG bypass fidelity of either yeast Pol δ or yeast Pol η (Table 3). This is consistent with earlier studies showing that these accessory proteins have at most a 1.5-fold effect on the fidelity of yeast Pol η when bypassing a TT dimer (50) or on the base substitution or indel error rates of yeast Pol δ and yeast Pol η when copying undamaged DNA (50,61). Theoretically, the situation could be different for the human proteins, based on the observation that PCNA and RPA allowed correct insertion of dC opposite 8-oxoG to proceed 68-fold more efficiently than incorporation of dA opposite 8-oxoG in single nucleotide insertion experiments (59). Thus, it will be interesting to measure the error rate for a complete 8-oxoG bypass reaction by mammalian Pol η in the presence of the accessory proteins. Although accessory proteins do not strongly influence single base error rates by many polymerases, including yeast Pol η during 8-oxoG bypass (Table 3), it remains possible that mammalian accessory proteins might improve Pol η fidelity. Other possibilities for improving the fidelity of 8-oxoG-dependent bypass by Pol η include (but are not limited to) correction of 8-oxoG-containing mismatches by mismatch repair (8,62) or by proofreading. Either correction process could suppress mutagenesis during TLS, even for TLS by a highly inaccurate polymerase, especially if multiple cycles of polymerization-error correction are permitted. Relevant here is the finding that 8-oxoG containing mismatches made by Pol δ are not proofread by its intrinsic exonuclease activity (Table 3), which is consistent with studies showing that the large fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I (9), T7 DNA Pol (12) and RB69 Pol (15,63) also do not efficiently proofread dA·8-oxoG mismatches. This has been rationalized by the observation that an dA·8-oxoG mismatch mimics the geometry of a correct base pair, especially regarding interactions of atoms in the DNA minor groove with side chains at the polymerase active site (12,14). Nonetheless, even though Pol η lacks an intrinsic exonuclease activity, it is theoretically possibly that mismatches made during Pol η bypass of some lesions, e.g. 8-oxoG that retains base coding potential, may be proofread by a separate exonuclease. Genetic evidence exists for extrinsic proofreading of Pol ε replication errors by Pol δ (64) and biochemical evidence has been obtained for extrinsic proofreading of human Pol η errors during SV40 origin-dependent replication of undamaged DNA (65) and for Pol δ and ε-dependent proofreading of Pol η misincorporations opposite the 3’ T of a TT dimer (40). For extrinsic proofreading to get around the geometric mimicry mentioned earlier, Pol δ and/or Pol ε may need to bind to the mismatched terminus directly via the exonuclease active site, as already reported for two other replicative DNA polymerases, T7 DNA pol (66) and RB69 pol (67).

The capacity of Pol η to suppress 8-oxoG-dependent mutagenesis may also depend on when the lesion is encountered, whether by a replication fork or during post-replication gap-filling (17). Also relevant may be the physiological state of the cell when the 8-oxoG is generated. For example, the error rates for bypass of 8-oxoG by Pol δ (9) and Pol η (Table 3) were all measured for reactions containing 100 μM dNTPs. This is approximately the dNTP concentration induced when budding yeast are exposed to the UV mimetic 4-NQO (68). Thus comparatively high-dNTP concentrations may be relevant to bypass of 8-oxoG or other lesions induced by sunlight or other exogenous environmental stress. On the other hand, the strongest evidence that Pol η suppresses 8-oxoG-dependent mutagenesis is for 8-oxoG arising spontaneously (17). Interestingly, dNTP concentrations in yeast are normally several-fold lower than in the induced state (69). Given that the efficiency of bypass of 8-oxoG by yeast Pol ε depends on the dNTP concentration (69), the successful competition among the many polymerases present in a cell for a lesion may depend not only on lesion identity, but also on whether the lesion arose spontaneously or was induced by exogenous environmental stress. Because the four dNTPs are not present in equimolar amounts in vivo [ref. (69) and earlier studies reviewed in refs (70,71)], the nature of the polymerase competition, as well as the fidelity of TLS, may vary depending on the lesion, the 5’ flanking template sequence and the amount of each dNTP available for insertion opposite the lesion and subsequent extension.
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