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Complexity in genetic cardiomyopathies and new approaches for mechanism-based precision medicine

Michael J. Greenberg and Jil C. Tardiff

Genetic cardiomyopathies have been studied for decades, and it has become increasingly clear that these progressive diseases are more complex than originally thought. These complexities can be seen both in the molecular etiologies of these disorders and in the clinical phenotypes observed in patients. While these disorders can be caused by mutations in cardiac genes, including ones encoding sarcomeric proteins, the disease presentation varies depending on the patient mutation, where mutations even within the same gene can cause divergent phenotypes. Moreover, it is challenging to connect the mutation-induced molecular insult that drives the disease pathogenesis with the various compensatory and maladaptive pathways that are activated during the course of the subsequent progressive, pathogenic cardiac remodeling. These inherent complexities have frustrated our ability to understand and develop broadly effective treatments for these disorders. It has been proposed that it might be possible to improve patient outcomes by adopting a precision medicine approach. Here, we lay out a practical framework for such an approach, where patient subpopulations are binned based on common underlying biophysical mechanisms that drive the molecular disease pathogenesis, and we propose that this function-based approach will enable the development of targeted therapeutics that ameliorate these effects. We highlight several mutations to illustrate the need for mechanistic molecular experiments that span organizational and temporal scales, and we describe recent advances in the development of novel therapeutics based on functional targets. Finally, we describe many of the outstanding questions for the field and how fundamental mechanistic studies, informed by our more nuanced understanding of the clinical disorders, will play a central role in realizing the potential of precision medicine for genetic cardiomyopathies.

Introduction

Genetic cardiomyopathies encompass a broad array of progressive cardiac disorders that result in complex patterns of pathogenic ventricular and atrial remodeling (Lorenzini et al., 2020). The most common subclasses, hypertrophic (HCM) and dilated (DCM) cardiomyopathies, are characterized by hypertrophy of the left ventricular wall and dilation of the left ventricular chamber, respectively. The classification of these differing remodeling patterns has long been based on noninvasive diagnostic criteria (e.g., echocardiography) of often end-stage disease. Clinical symptoms and outcomes are largely defined by the functional effects on myocardial performance, as dictated by both the observed patterns of morphologic change and the timing of disease onset. While the precise disease frequency rates are somewhat controversial, extensive clinical data support a worldwide frequency of ~1/300–1/500 individuals for HCM and ~1/250 for DCM (Semsarian et al., 2015; McNally and Mestroni, 2017). In 1990, cardiac myosin (MYH7) was the first gene linked to HCM, and most of the subsequently identified genes associated with HCM encode sarcomeric proteins (Geisterfer-Lowrance et al., 1990). While the genetic basis of DCM is broader and more complex, a recent study identified 12 genes robustly associated with DCM, including a majority of sarcomeric proteins (Mazzarotto et al., 2020b).

Despite an extensive array of studies over the last ~30 yr encompassing biophysical, cellular, animal model, and, more recently, patient tissue methodologies, the current approaches to community-based patient management for cardiomyopathies remains largely unchanged since the era preceding genetic linkage. At present, medical therapy is largely palliative and reserved for patients with symptoms that occur later in the pathogenic process after irreversible cardiac remodeling has occurred (Gersh et al., 2011). This lack of translational insight is humbling, given our relatively sophisticated understanding of the structure and function of myofilament processes and the proteins encoded by the genes linked to cardiomyopathies.
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As we have learned more about these progressive disorders, it has become apparent that they are more complex in their molecular etiologies and clinical presentation than generally appreciated. This complexity has limited our understanding of the molecular and cellular effectors that drive disease pathogenesis and frustrated the development of effective therapeutics. Overcoming these enduring limitations will require updated, nuanced models based on experimentally derived insights into the molecular mechanisms that drive this most biophysical of disorders, coupled with a more modern and robust understanding of the variability of clinical expression. The goals of this review are to (1) highlight recent advances in our basic science and clinical understanding of these diseases, and (2) provide a roadmap forward for leveraging basic science discoveries to make translational advances for these complex disorders.

**Cardiomyopathies have variable and complex clinical presentations**

To begin to overcome these limitations, it is important to acknowledge the current challenges in full. At the most basic level, the primary question to be addressed is deceptively simple: How does mutation of a given protein result in a given morphological–clinical phenotype? Our increasing understanding of the genetics, molecular underpinnings, and clinical phenotypes of cardiomyopathies have demonstrated that these disorders are anything but simple.

As of 2020, we have identified thousands of mutations linked to cardiomyopathies. With the recent development of large and curated genomic data sets, it has become clear that simply assigning pathogenicity to a given gene variant in the absence of robust genetic linkage is highly imperfect (Mazzarotto et al., 2020a). Connecting genetic variants with disease phenotype is not only a central challenge in clinical medicine, but this issue also greatly impacts basic science. Many basic science studies of variants have assumed rigorous linkage to disease when the variant might not be causative. This is most commonly observed with variants that have only been observed in a single individual or family, and this issue is compounded by the lack of precise clinical phenotyping in many of the submissions to large databases. While the use of such rare variants to probe basic biology and structure can be informative, translational insights and inferences about disease mechanism drawn from such approaches are limited. Both genotype and phenotype must be rigorously determined and validated in order to generate clinically relevant and actionable mechanistic information.

Our understanding of the phenotype component of this equation has also vastly expanded over the past decade. The terms HCM and DCM are historic, representing descriptive terminology originally derived from autopsy studies or echocardiography (Teare, 1958; Frank and Braunwald, 1968). For example, HCM is classically defined as a diagnosis of exclusion to hypertrophy.” In the modern, postgenetics era, these terms are imprecise and used by clinicians only in the broadest sense. Recent data from highly curated clinical data sets have validated what clinicians have long known—that genetic cardiomyopathies, especially HCM, are protean in their morphologic manifestations. For example, the most important clinical question regarding a patient diagnosed with HCM is whether they exhibit obstructive (hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; ∼60%) or nonobstructive (∼40%) physiology, largely determined from the hemodynamic and morphologic profiles of the left ventricular outflow tract. This classification determines both the treatment approaches and likely outcomes (Neubauer et al., 2019). Other impactful morphologic observations that determine management include the distribution of left ventricular hypertrophy (e.g., apical versus concentric), fibrotic burden, degree of diastolic impairment, atrial remodeling, and potential mitral valve involvement. Thus, the robust linkage of genotype to phenotype in HCM or DCM requires more precise characterization of patient-specific phenotypes to reflect the reality of clinical management.

The pathologic remodeling in HCM and DCM is progressive. At birth, patients have the mutation yet typically do not show signs of remodeling (genotype+/phenotype−); however, many patients progress and develop remodeling and subsequent disease symptoms (phenotype+/genotype+). Disease severity is closely correlated to the time of onset, with worse outcomes correlated to younger age of diagnosis. Patterns of pathogenic remodeling are often nonlinear, with unpredictable zones of accelerated or quiescent remodeling. Moreover, patients with HCM can transition to DCM, which is predictive of poor outcomes in sarcomere gene–positive patients (Helms and Day, 2016; Ho et al., 2018).

There are currently no definitive cures for cardiomyopathies. Current therapy is largely dependent on the contractile state of the heart. In systolic heart failure (frequently seen with DCM), also known as heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, the heart shows reduced contractile function during systole. Treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, which is comprehensive and a highly active research area, focuses on supporting hemodynamics and preventing additional adverse remodeling (e.g., β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and, recently, neprilysin inhibitors; Yancy et al., 2017). The efficacy of these approaches in patients with genetic DCM is highly variable and often insufficient to avoid progression to end-stage disease. Pediatric patients with DCM frequently do not respond well to these therapeutics (Kantor et al., 2010). Later-stage therapies focus on direct contractile support via left ventricular assist devices or heart transplantation.

In contrast, systolic performance is often preserved or supranormal in patients with HCM, where the patterns of ventricular remodeling are near protean compared with DCM. HCM patients often demonstrate diastolic dysfunction, which impacts diastolic filling, and their hearts operate at elevated pressures, similar to heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, for which there are currently no effective therapies. Current guidelines support therapy only in patients with overt symptoms and are mostly limited to therapeutics that improve hemodynamics in obstructive patients (e.g., β-blockers, nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, and disopyramide; Gersh et al., 2011). These palliative approaches are effective in a
subset of patients for improving exercise tolerance and quality of life, but do not address the underlying disease mechanism. For the significant cohort of patients with HCM without obstruction, no specific therapies are effective (Day, 2019). As such, new approaches are needed to identify therapeutics that improve outcomes.

**Cardiomyopathies are excellent candidates for a precision medicine approach**

Clearly, the field is challenged with highly complex disorders defined by large degrees-of-freedom issues with respect to both sides of the genotype-phenotype linkage. Addressing this challenge will require new approaches that leverage growing data sets with more refined and precise views of the clinical disorders. Rather than considering HCM and DCM as monolithic entities, translational advances will likely come from a precision medicine approach of dividing patients into rational subsets with therapeutics tailored to each subset.

We propose defining subsets based on the primary molecular mechanisms that drive the disease pathogenesis. This requires identifying common structural–functional groups or biophysical bins, rather than the current approaches that either focus on individual mutations in isolation or that do not consider genetic information. This is important for many reasons. First, in the era of next-generation sequencing and the rapid expansion of patient genotyping, the number of potentially pathogenic mutations identified is rising quickly, and when coupled to the complexity of patient phenotypes, the ability to link genotype to phenotype such that genetic information can be used in patient management remains elusive. Genetic screening is primarily used to identify genotype-positive family members for additional screening. This limitation remains a central concern of modern clinical management. The ability to stratify or place newly identified mutations or variants into functional bins based on biophysical mechanisms would provide a robust framework both for clinical prediction and rational therapeutic targeting.

Second, given that the cardiac sarcomere is a near-crystalline array of proteins that form highly evolved and allosterically regulated macromolecular complexes, the identification of disease-causing mechanisms must consider both inter- and intramolecular interactions that may occur at a significant distance from the mutational site. Not surprisingly, this comprehensive molecular phenotyping has revealed that the “one gene, one mechanism” or even “one mutation, one mechanism” assumptions are overly simplistic since a given mutation can affect multiple functional units of macromolecular complexes.

Finally, the initial myocellular response(s) to perturbations in sarcomeric function are likely compensatory, involving a myriad of signaling pathways affecting metabolism/energetics, calcium homeostasis, and proteosomal degradation, to name but a few (Spirito et al., 1985; Javadpour et al., 2003; Day, 2013; Helms et al., 2016; Lehman et al., 2019). The coupling of robust functional bins to early phases of the molecular response would facilitate targeting either the primary biophysical defect and/or the initial downstream signaling pathways, and this could potentially alter the natural history of pathogenic cardiac remodeling in these disorders. Taken together, this overall approach would be predicted to transcend the simple notions that HCM and DCM represent monolithic disorders and enable the field to leverage the overall pathogenic complexity of these disorders to develop new panels of mechanistically driven therapeutic options.

**The molecular and cellular manifestations of cardiomyopathies are complex, and they evolve with disease progression**

It can be challenging to decipher the initial molecular insult that drives the disease pathogenesis from other cellular dysfunctions. Genome-wide association studies and targeted next-generation sequencing of patients have revealed thousands of mutations in genes primarily expressed in cardiomyocytes (McNally and Mestroni, 2017; Ho et al., 2018; Fatkin et al., 2019). These genes can be broadly characterized into those encoding proteins involved in sarcomeric contraction (e.g., MYH7, TNN2, MYBPC3, and TTN), mechanotransduction (e.g., LMNA and DES), excitation-contraction coupling and calcium handling (e.g., PLN, SERCA2A, and SCN5A), metabolism (e.g., TAZ), and organizing cytoskeletal architecture (e.g., ACTN1 and VCL). Thus, mutations in genes involved in different pathways can lead to convergent cellular manifestations and ventricular remodeling phenotypes. For example, a primary biophysical effect of phospholamban mutations—a protein that regulates sarcoplasmic calcium uptake via SERCA2a inhibition—is altered intramyocellular calcium handling; however, the resulting calcium dysregulation will impact both contractility and metabolism due to the increased energetic cost of sequestering calcium. Likewise, altered myofilament contractility due to mutations in cardiac troponin T can affect cellular mechanotransduction (Clippinger et al., 2019), leading to downstream changes in the expression of calcium-handling genes (Chandra et al., 2001; Montgomery et al., 2001).

Moreover, despite similarities observed in later stage, symptomatic disease, independent genetic etiologies can cause different mutation-induced changes in molecular and cellular function early in the disease. The initial mutation-induced perturbations in function act as molecular stress tests that trigger subsequent responses by the cell to maintain homeostatic and functional mechanisms. These responses can vary between mutations and they define the nature and magnitude of early, often compensatory pathways driving myocardial remodeling. These molecular and cellular signatures are highly dynamic and vary over the often-extended time course of the disease.

**Initial molecular insults affect protein biochemistry and biophysics**

Mechanistic studies have highlighted the need to reevaluate some of the earlier models for organizing mutations. These studies have repeatedly revealed that it is impossible to classify mutations based on the affected gene alone. For example, numerous variants in cardiac myosin (MYH7) have been identified, some of which cause HCM, some of which cause DCM, and some of which are benign (Alamo et al., 2017). Similarly, it is not possible to classify the diseases as arising from either a gain or
Mutations can be grouped into bins based on the biophysical consequences of the initial molecular insult that drives the disease pathogenesis. Colored boxes represent potential biophysical bins for organizing mutations. White boxes represent broad bins that we subdivided into more specialized bins to describe the effects of sarcomeric mutations. While these bins are neither mutually exclusive nor exhaustive, they provide a useful framework for classifying patient subpopulations and for identifying biophysical parameters that can be targeted pharmacologically for the development of precision therapeutics for these subpopulations.

The molecular effects of mutations can be divided into three broad categories: protein abundance, stability, and function; however, these categories are not mutually exclusive. For example, while DCM-causing dystrophin mutations affect its function in vitro, the protein is degraded in the cell, leading to reduced abundance (Kerr et al., 2001). As such, it is necessary to consider the molecular effects of mutations both in vitro and in vivo, especially in the development of new therapeutics. A compound that restores activity to a protein that is degraded in the cell would not be useful, and, similarly, a compound that increases protein abundance would not be useful if the protein is nonfunctional. In the following section, we describe potential bins and provide specific examples of mutations that fall within these bins.

**Mutations affecting protein abundance**

Some mutations affect protein abundance, leading to a net haploinsufficiency. Haploinsufficiency can arise from nonsense-mediated decay of mRNA, degradation of proteins that fail quality control, or changes in protein structure that affect its integration into stable macromolecular complexes. For example, titin, the most frequently mutated gene in DCM, is a large protein with multiple globular domains that connects the thick filament to the Z-disc, and it is a major source of muscle passive tension. Many titin mutations are frameshift or nonsense mutations that lead to protein degradation (Hinson et al., 2015). Similarly, in Duchenne muscular dystrophy, DCM is a common late-stage manifestation. The disorder is frequently caused by frameshift mutations in dystrophin (DMD), which anchors the sarcomere to the extracellular environment via the sarcolemma. These frameshift mutations lead to protein degradation, and many patients have no detectable expression of dystrophin (Kerr et al., 2001). This leads to repeated sarcolemmal damage with eventual myocyte loss and extensive replacement fibrosis.

Haploinsufficiency due to protein loss can also lead to HCM. For example, one of the most commonly mutated proteins in HCM is MyBPC. MyBPC lies along the thick filament and its N-terminal region can bind to the thin filament in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (Shaffer et al., 2009). It has been proposed that MyBPC plays a role in regulating both thin and thick filament activation (Mun et al., 2014; McNamara et al., 2019; Toepfer et al., 2019). Many MyBPC HCM mutations are frameshift or nonsense mutations that lead to a depletion of MyBPC and dysregulation of contractility (Helms et al., 2020b). Deletion of MYBPC3 causes HCM (Harris et al., 2002).

**Mutations affecting protein stability**

Some cardiomyopathy-causing mutations affect the stability of proteins, which can lead to changes in protein function and/or

---

**Figure 1.** Mutations can be grouped into bins based on the biophysical consequences of the initial molecular insult that drives the disease pathogenesis. Colored boxes represent potential biophysical bins for organizing mutations. White boxes represent broad bins that we subdivided into more specialized bins to describe the effects of sarcomeric mutations. While these bins are neither mutually exclusive nor exhaustive, they provide a useful framework for classifying patient subpopulations and for identifying biophysical parameters that can be targeted pharmacologically for the development of precision therapeutics for these subpopulations.
abundance. One example of a cardiomyopathy caused by changes in noncardiac protein stability is cardiac amyloidosis (Bart et al., 2020). This disorder presents as infiltrative cardiomyopathy, resulting in a loss of ventricular compliance. It can be familial or arise from clonal mutations in plasma cells. In the most common form, light chain amyloidosis, mutations in Ig light chains in clonal plasma cells lead to protein instability and the formation of insoluble amyloid. Similarly, inherited mutations in liver-produced transthyretin (TTR) can cause amyloid formation and cardiac deposition. The exact mechanism by which these amyloid plaques cause disease is an active field of research.

Cardiomyopathic remodeling can also be caused by mutations that affect the stability of macromolecular complexes. For example, there are disease-causing mutations in the coiled-coil cardiac myosin tail/rod domain that affect the assembly and stability of the thick filament (Blair et al., 2002). It is important to note that the stability of macromolecular complexes depends on the $K_d$ of the components as well as the local cellular protein concentration, which can vary throughout the cell. For example, within the sarcomere, there are very high concentrations of troponin (~0.15 mM) and actin (~1 mM) due to crystalline packing of thick and thin filament lattices. Outside the sarcomere, the effective concentration of troponin is very small. The $K_d$ for troponin binding to the thin filament in solution is ~50 μM (Gangadharan et al., 2017). Given the high concentration of actin and troponin within the sarcomere, all of the troponin will be bound to the thin filament. In this case, subtle mutation-induced changes in the $K_d$ would be predicted to have little impact on the steady-state fraction of troponin bound to the thin filament. That being said, subtle changes in affinity can provide insights into mutation-induced structural changes.

Mutations affecting protein function
The majority of cardiomyopathy-causing mutations are single point mutations. Some of these mutations occur in introns where they can affect gene splicing, leading to altered protein abundance or function. Other mutations occur in exons, resulting in amino acid substitutions. Many of these mutations directly affect protein function, such as point mutations in the myosin head domain that affect the kinetics of its ATPase cycle (Sommese et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2018; Adhikari et al., 2019; Sarkar et al., 2020) and mutations within troponin and tropomyosin that affect calcium-based thin filament regulation (Tardiff et al., 1999; Barrick et al., 2019; Clippinger et al., 2019; Clippinger et al., 2020 Preprint; Ezekian et al., 2020). Other mutations can affect the mechanical properties of proteins. For example, mutations in the myosin light chain binding domain can potentially affect the stiffness of the lever arm, impacting myosin’s ability to efficiently generate force (Greenberg et al., 2010). Other point mutations affect posttranslational modifications that regulate protein activity, such as phosphorylation of troponin I (Memo et al., 2013).

It remains challenging to predict functional consequences solely from the mutation’s location in the molecule’s three-dimensional structure. Crystal structures capture a single, static, typically low-energy conformation of a protein, and, therefore, higher energy, functionally relevant conformations and transition states are frequently not captured. Proteins and protein complexes are complicated machines that can be functionally regulated by allosteric interactions through the molecule, and, therefore, point mutations distal from the protein active site can affect the conformational dynamics of side chains at the active site. Therefore, not all functionally relevant mutations occur at active sites. For example, some mutations in the myosin converter domain occur far from the active site and affect the ATPase cycling kinetics (Gunther et al., 2019; Vera et al., 2019). The recent use of computational modeling coupled with high-resolution in vitro experiments is expanding our understanding of these processes and the effect of disease-causing mutations and genetic modifiers (Guinane et al., 2020; Manning et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2016; McConnell et al., 2017; Porter et al., 2020). We anticipate that these tools will greatly enhance our understanding of mutations at the molecular/atomic scale (Manning et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2016; Porter et al., 2020).

Classic genetic cardiomyopathies are caused by sarcomeric mutations
To illustrate how functional binning of initial molecular insults could be accomplished, we will discuss targetable biophysical bins for sarcomeric protein mutations (Fig. 1). HCM patients with known pathogenic mutations in sarcomeric proteins have worse outcomes than do patients with variants in nonsarcomeric genes or genotype-negative patients (Ho et al., 2018). In general, HCM is typically associated with diastolic dysfunction, and DCM is typically associated with systolic dysfunction in patients; however, the mutation’s effects on molecular contractility are not necessarily the same as those observed in symptomatic patient hearts. The initial insult causes the activation of adaptive and maladaptive pathways, and, therefore, it can be masked by secondary adaptations associated with disease progression. Understanding the molecular basis of sarcomeric mutations necessitates a careful examination of their impact across multiple levels of biologic complexity and experimental resolution.

The sarcomere is the fundamental unit of cardiac contraction, where force and power are generated by the collective motion of myosin molecular motors interacting with the thin filament. Myosins must generate sufficient power during systole to pump blood throughout the body and then stop generating power during diastolic filling. The physiologic state of the heart and hemodynamic demand vary with disease and patient activity, and, therefore, multiple complex mechanisms have evolved to regulate power output. In the next sections, we review the molecular mechanisms of muscle contraction and describe targetable biophysical bins based on these mechanisms.

Calcium-based regulation of muscle contraction
Cardiomyocyte contraction is initiated by depolarization of the sarcolemma, which leads to the activation of voltage-gated ion channels, including L-type calcium channels. Local calcium influx and activation of the ryanodine receptor on the sarcoplasmic reticulum follows, initiating calcium-induced calcium release. This causes the levels of intracellular calcium to rise, activating the thin filament and initiating sarcomeric contraction. At the
end of the contraction, calcium is removed from the cytoplasm via the sarcoendoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase pump and the sodium-calcium exchanger, facilitating muscle relaxation. The time scale of muscle activation depends on the amplitude and kinetics of the calcium transient.

Force is generated by myosins pulling on thin filaments consisting of actin (Ac; peach), tropomyosin (Tm; yellow), troponin I (TnI; blue), troponin C (TnC; green), and troponin T (TnT; pink) regulates calcium-dependent interactions between myosin and the thin filament. Black dashed line shows regions of troponin T that were not resolved in the structure. Structure is from PDB accession no. 6KN7. (B) Tropomyosin can lie in three positions along the thin filament, blocked (red), closed (yellow), and open (green). When tropomyosin lies in the blocked position, it sterically blocks the strong binding of myosin (blue ribbon structure). When tropomyosin is pushed into the open position by myosin binding, it opens adjacent myosin-binding sites, leading to cooperative recruitment of additional myosin cross-bridges. Based on PDB accession nos. 6KN7 (blocked), 6KN8 (closed), and 4A7L (open, myosin bound).

(c) Cartoon of thin filament regulation. Calcium binding to the thin filament causes tropomyosin to shift to the closed position. The tropomyosin can then either thermally diffuse or be pushed into the open position by myosin binding. Myosin initially binds weakly to the thin filament, and then strongly. Upon the transition to myosin strong binding of the thin filament, myosin releases phosphate and undergoes its power stroke, generating force. \( K_B, K_T, K_{W}, K_S \) are equilibrium constants between states.

**Mechanics of the myosin working stroke**

Cardiac myosin has a core structure that is conserved with other myosins (Preller and Manstein, 2012; Winkelmann et al., 2015). It is a hexameric complex comprised of two myosin heavy chains (MHCs), two regulatory light chains (RLCs), and two essential light chains (ELCs). The two MHCs dimerize via a long coiled-coil tail that facilitates oligomerization into the thick filament. The head domain of the MHC contains the sites of ATP hydrolysis and actin binding. Small conformational changes at the nucleotide binding site associated with nucleotide binding, hydrolysis, and release cause kinking of the relay helix and rotation of the converter domain (Fig. 3). The converter domain acts as a fulcrum, and its rotation is amplified into a larger displacement by the lever arm composed of the light chain binding domain (Rayment et al., 1993b). This rotation is known as the power-stroke, and the lever arm rotates by \( \sim 70^\circ \) to generate a unitary displacement (or working stroke), \( d \), of \( \sim 6 \) nm (Dominguez et al., 1998; Fig. 3 B). The force generated is proportional to both the unitary displacement and the stiffness of the myosin cross-bridge. Thus, if a given mutation affects the mechanics of the working stroke, by either changing the angular swing or the stiffness of the lever arm, this can affect myosin force production. **Bins: Mechanics of the working stroke.**
Kinetics of the myosin enzymatic cycle

Myosin generates force in an ATP-dependent manner (Lymn and Taylor, 1971; De La Cruz and Ostap, 2004). In the absence of nucleotide, myosin strongly binds actin. ATP binding causes rapid dissociation of actin and myosin. Myosin then hydrolyzes ATP and it adopts a primed "pre-power stroke conformation" (Dominguez et al., 1998). Upon strong binding to actin, phosphate is released and the myosin undergoes its power stroke, generating force. ADP is then released, generating an additional small displacement (Greenberg et al., 2014; Sung et al., 2015; Fig. 3 C). The rates of individual biochemical transitions can be affected by mechanical forces, and this can affect both the muscle-shortening speed and force production (Spudich, 2014; Greenberg et al., 2016). Force slows myosin’s ATPase kinetics (Hill, 1938), and this slowing contributes to the Fenn effect (Fenn, 1923). The rate of a transition in the presence of force, $k(F)$, can be calculated as (Bell, 1978):

$$k(F) = k_0 e^{-\delta F/kT},$$  

where $k_0$ is the rate of the transition in the absence of force, $k_B T$ is the thermal energy, $F$ is the force on the molecule, and $\delta$ is the distance to the transition state, where a larger $\delta$ represents a greater force sensitivity. In the case of cardiac muscle, force slows the rate of ADP release (Nyitrai and Geeves, 2004; Takagi et al., 2006), the transition that sets the rate of unloaded muscle shortening (Siemankowski et al., 1985), and $\delta$ equals ~1 nm (Greenberg et al., 2014; Sung et al., 2015; Fig. 3 C).

Bins: Myosin kinetics, load dependence of kinetics.
**Myosin thick filament–based regulation of muscle contraction**

Cardiac contractility can also be regulated at the level of myosins within the thick filament. Intact striated muscle has a population of myosin heads that hydrolyze ATP slower than the rate seen using purified proteins (Stewart et al., 2010; Hooijman et al., 2011). This led to the hypothesis that striated muscle myosin can adopt a super-relaxed state (SRX). Based on EM reconstructions of smooth and skeletal muscle thick filaments (Woodhead et al., 2005; Alamo et al., 2016), it was proposed that the SRX represents an autoinhibitory state of myosin, where the two myosin heads interact both with each other and the S2 region (Fig. 3 D). In this state, the myosin heads form an interacting heads motif that sequesters them to the thick filament backbone and prevents binding to the thin filament. The heads can be released from the thick filament backbone by phosphorylation of the RLC (Yang et al., 1998) or via a mechanosensitive mechanism following mechanical stretch (Linari et al., 2015). Once the heads release from the thick filament backbone, they adopt a disordered relaxed state where they can bind the thin filament. There is emerging evidence that the SRX is regulated by MyBPC, potentially through phosphorylation of the N-terminal region (Nag et al., 2017; McNamara et al., 2019). Thus, muscle contraction can be regulated by modulating the number of myosin heads available to interact with the thin filament (N).

**Bins: Number of myosin heads available to bind the thin filament.**

**Cardiomyopathies are complex, dynamic disorders whereby changes in the molecular and cellular environment drives pathogenic cardiac remodeling**

Each of the biophysical bins described above have multiple levels of regulation that can be tuned in both health and disease. These adaptive and maladaptive processes are highly dynamic, and they can affect these parameters differently as the disease progresses. One prominent experimental challenge is that many studies provide a snapshot of the disease at a single time point; however, it is important to consider the time-dependent nature of the disorders. As cardiomyopathic remodeling progresses, changes in both tissue structure and cellular function are often observed. At the molecular scale, there are changes in protein phosphorylation patterns (e.g., RLCs, troponin I, MyBPC) that tune the biophysical properties of contraction (Nakano et al., 2019; Tucholski et al., 2020). There are also functionally significant shifts in protein isoform expression, with a shift toward a more fetal gene expression pattern in the later stages of disease (Yin et al., 2015). Moreover, modification of proteins, such as methylglyoxal modification of contractile proteins in patients with diabetes (Papadaki et al., 2018), can affect their biophysical properties. Thus, when designing rigorous experiments, the question of which precise phenotype and which phase of the disorder is being modeled is paramount for determining translational insights. Of note, many pathways activated in disease are not unique to genetic cardiomyopathies, but are shared, in part, with other causes of pathogenic remodeling, including myocardial infarction, aortic stenosis, chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy, diabetic cardiomyopathy, and chronic hypertension. Since not all causes of cardiac remodeling are similar in presentation or treatability, it is important to understand both the initial molecular insults that drive early myocellular remodeling and subsequent downstream compensatory responses, as these prepathogenetic states are potential therapeutic targets.

**How biophysical parameters relate to muscle shortening, force production, and power output**

The biophysical parameters described above can be combined to describe the rate of muscle shortening, force production, and power output. The muscle shortening rate depends on both the kinetics and mechanics of the myosin working stroke. An unloaded or lightly loaded muscle will shorten at its maximal speed if at least one cross-bridge is attached to the thin filament at any given time. Under these circumstances, the shortening rate, $V(F)$, is limited by the rate of actomyosin dissociation (Huxley, 1990):

$$V(F) = \frac{d}{td_{on}(F)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $d$ is the unitary step size and $td_{on}(F)$ is the amount of time that actin and myosin are attached (typically set by the ADP release rate). Perturbations that affect either parameter will affect the shortening speed. It should be noted that there are alternative models describing the unloaded shortening speed as being limited by attachment-limited kinetics that use similar biophysical bins (Brizendine et al., 2015). At higher loads, the speed depends on the number of force-generating cross-bridges, and expressions have been derived that use similar biophysical bins to define the loaded speed (Baker et al., 2002).

The force produced by a muscle will depend on several parameters. One parameter is the duty ratio, $r(F)$, which defines the fraction of myosin’s biochemical cycle spent attached to actin:

$$r(F) = \frac{td_{on}(F)}{tcycle(F)} = \frac{td_{on}(F)}{td_{on}(F) + td_{off}(F)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $td_{on}(F)$ is the amount of time that myosin spends attached to actin, $tcycle(F)$ is the time that it takes for myosin to complete one ATPase cycle (i.e., the inverse of the ATPase rate), and $td_{off}(F)$ is the amount of time that myosin spends detached from actin (typically set by the rate of myosin strong binding and subsequent phosphate release; Muretta et al., 2015; Woody et al., 2019). Therefore, mutations affecting the rates of individual biochemical transitions can potentially affect the duty ratio. Since these rates depend on force (Eq. 1), mutation-induced changes in myosin’s force-sensing properties can affect the duty ratio (Liu et al., 2018). Moreover, the effective duty ratio depends on calcium-based regulation, since at low calcium, myosin is inhibited from interacting with the thin filament (McKillop and Ghees, 1993).

The force generated by an ensemble of motors in muscle, $F_{ens}$, is given by (Spudich, 2014)

$$F_{ens} = N \times F_{uni} \times r(F),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $N$ is the number of myosin heads available to interact with the thin filament; $F_{uni}$ is the unitary force of a single
myosin, which depends on the working stroke mechanics; and $r(F)$ is the load-dependent duty ratio. Changes in any of these parameters will affect force production.

The power output, $P(F)$, is given by the product of force and velocity:

$$P(F) = V[d, t_m(F)] * F_{\text{ext}}[N, r(F), F_{\text{sat}}].$$

And, therefore, it depends on the composite effects of the biophysical bins.

**Mechanobiology links aberrant mechanical forces with biologic adaptation**

As discussed above, many cardiomyopathy mutations occur in sarcomeric genes and thus affect contractility. It has been challenging to connect altered cardiac contraction with the disease progression which manifests itself over the course of decades; however, the development of a hypertrophic or dilated phenotype can be predicted based on the integral of the tension transient (Davis et al., 2016). Therefore, the initial molecular insult of altered contractility can activate downstream adaptive and maladaptive pathways, including changes in metabolism, calcium handling, gene expression, and protein posttranslational modifications.

The cell must sense altered mechanics and respond via activation of mechanobiological signaling pathways. These changes can vary over length and time scales (Iskratsch et al., 2014). For example, short-term changes in cellular function can occur via rapid phosphorylation of proteins, whereas longer-term changes can occur via altered transcription or tissue-scale remodeling (DuFort et al., 2011). Mechanobiological signaling pathways have been thoroughly described in several cell types, and determining their exact molecular mediators in the heart remains an active field of research (Majkut et al., 2014; Boothe et al., 2016; Chiou et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2019).

Mechanotransduction in cardiomyocytes can occur via several pathways (Iskratsch et al., 2014; Pruitt et al., 2014). Forces outside of the cell are transmitted into the cell via linkages between the cell and the extracellular matrix (e.g., dystroglycan complex) or between cells (e.g., intercalated discs). These forces can be directly transmitted to the nucleus through cytoskeletal elements or indirectly via force-induced unfolding of signaling molecules (Hu et al., 2017). Alternatively, forces at the membrane can open mechanosensitive ion channels (e.g., transient receptor potential channels), leading to ion influx and the activation of secondary messenger pathways (e.g., calcium-calciineurin). The reader is referred to several reviews on cardiomyocyte mechanosensing (Smith et al., 2017; Nakamura and Sadoshima, 2018; Saucerman et al., 2019).

While we have focused on sarcomeric gene mutations, there are other genes beyond the sarcomere linked to cardiomyopathies. Many of these genes lie along canonical mechanotransduction pathways, including dystrophin (DMD), desmin (DES), and lamin A/C (LMNA; Cho et al., 2016). In fact, lamin A is one of the most frequently mutated genes associated with DCM. Lamin intermediate filaments in the nucleus form lamin-associated domains (LADs) that bind to chromatin (van Steensel and Belmont, 2017). These LADs are regions of low transcriptional activity, and mechanical forces can cause the disruption of these domains (Janota et al., 2020). A recent study examining an LMNA DCM mutation in stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes showed changes in LAD topology, leading to altered gene expression (Lee et al., 2019).

Recent work demonstrated that cardiomyocyte microtubules may play a role in mechanotransduction (Caporizzo et al., 2019). Microtubules stretch perpendicular to and are mechanically coupled to the Z-discs, likely through interactions between de-tyrosinated tubulin and desmin (Robison et al., 2016). These interactions contribute to the viscoelastic properties of the muscle and are disrupted in patients with heart failure (Chen et al., 2018; Caporizzo et al., 2020). The microtubule network also plays a role in regulating the production of reactive oxygen species in response to stretch. Disruption of this network leads to aberrant X-ROS signaling, which increases the frequency of proarrhythmic calcium sparks (Kerr et al., 2015). This provides a mechanism by which disrupted mechanics can lead to calcium dysregulation, independent of changes in gene expression.

Mechanotransduction also plays a central role in sarcomeric formation and maintenance (Chopra et al., 2018). Disruption of mechanotransduction in stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes by knockout of vinculin or inhibition of myosin contraction by blebbistatin leads to sarcomeric disassembly (Chopra et al., 2018). Moreover, troponin T, which regulates cardiac force generation, is necessary for proper sarcomere assembly in several model systems (Ahmad et al., 2008; Nishii et al., 2008; Ferrante et al., 2011). Taken together, these results demonstrate that mutation-induced changes in molecular tension or mechanotransduction could affect sarcomeric organization.

There is emerging evidence that the primary disruption of mechanotransduction plays a role in cardiomyopathies. DCM-linked mutations in sarcomeric proteins, including titin and troponin T, cause sarcomeric disarray in stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes (Chopra et al., 2018; Clippinger et al., 2019; Dai et al., 2020). Our recent work demonstrated that the DCM mutation, ΔK210, in troponin T reduces molecular and cellular force generation and alters cardiomyocyte mechanosensing (Clippinger et al., 2019). We cultured human pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes on substrates of different stiffness. While the WT cells showed robust sarcomeric organization over a range of stiffnesses, ΔK210 cells showed sarcomeric disarray on stiff substrates, but not on substrates matching the stiffness of the healthy heart. Thus, mutation of sarcomeric proteins can affect not only cellular contraction, but also mechanotransduction. Moreover, our results suggest that fibrosis-induced stiffening of the heart could contribute to disease progression.

The role of altered mechanical forces and mechanobiology in disease likely extends beyond cardiomyocytes. There are multiple cell types in the heart, including macrophages, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and pericytes that likely play critical roles in disease pathogenesis. Importantly, myocardial tissue in both HCM and DCM exhibits varying degrees of fibrosis, which can act as a substrate for arrhythmias. Fibrosis, in part, is due to activation of quiescent fibroblasts to become myofibroblasts (Nakamura and Sadoshima, 2018). Myofibroblasts show
increased contractility and increased deposition of extracellular matrix due to the activation of the TGF-β pathway (Davis and Molkentin, 2014). Mechanical forces can promote the myofibroblast transition, and, therefore, mutation-induced altered cardiac contractility can affect processes beyond cardiomyocytes.

**Examples of well-characterized sarcomeric mutations**

There have been many excellent mechanistic studies of sarcomeric cardiomyopathy mutations, and it is impossible to cover them all. Here, we focus on two well-studied mutations that highlight the complex disease pathogenesis and the need to examine mutations over several scales of organization.

**R403Q in MYH7 (MHC) causes HCM**

R403Q, the first mutation associated with HCM (Geisterfer-Lowrance et al., 1990), is one of the most studied mutations. The mutation results in a charge reversal, suggesting that it could affect electrostatic interactions between residue 403 and binding partners (Fig. 4). R403 is located in the MHC cardiomyopathy loop (Rayment et al., 1993a), which derives its name from the multiple cardiomyopathy-causing mutations found there (Preller and Manstein, 2012).

Studying the initial molecular insult driving R403Q pathogenesis has been challenging, in part, due to difficulties in establishing model systems that faithfully recapitulate the human disease phenotype. Early studies were conducted with myosin extracted from patient samples; however, some studies showed decreased contractile function (e.g., reduced sliding speed [Cuda et al., 1997] and tension [Lankford et al., 1995]), while others showed increased contractility (e.g., increased actomyosin detachment kinetics; Palmiter et al., 2000). These experiments were confounded by the limited availability of human tissue and challenges with preserving the myosin.

A major advance was the development of an R403Q transgenic mouse that expresses abundant amounts of control and mutant protein (Geisterfer-Lowrance et al., 1996); however, mutant myosin was unstable in an inhalation mouse model (VanBuren et al., 1995; Alpert et al., 2002; Deacon et al., 2012). Even in human trabeculae, the R403Q mutation destabilizes the SRX, leading to hypercontractility by increasing the number of available myosin heads. This hypercontractility is independent of changes in the intrinsic force-generating or ATPase properties of individual motors. These results highlight the need to consider the molecular effects of mutations in systems with biophysical properties similar to the human isoforms, as well as the importance of studying mutations over several scales of organization.

**R92Q in TNNT2 (troponin T) causes HCM**

The R92 residue in troponin T has been proposed as a hot spot for HCM mutations (Forissier et al., 1996). To date, three separate mutations (R92Q/L/W) have been identified at this site with different clinical presentations, depending on the mutation. Structurally, troponin T is part of the complex that regulates calcium-dependent interactions between myosin and the thin filament (Fig. 4). Troponin T is a trimeric protein composed of troponin C, which binds calcium; troponin I, which inhibits the movement of tropomyosin in the absence of calcium; and troponin T, which anchors the troponin complex to tropomyosin and plays a role in thin filament inhibition (Tobacman et al., 2002; Madan et al., 2020). The troponin core domain was crystalized in 2003 (Takeda et al., 2003); however, the majority of troponin T, including R92, was not resolved, likely because this region is intrinsically disordered in solution. Recently, a high-resolution cryo-EM structure of the thin filament provided near-atomic resolution structures of actin, tropomyosin, and most of the troponin complex (Yamada et al., 2020), and the position of troponin T was further refined by computational docking (Pavadai et al., 2020). The majority of troponin T forms an elongated structure, and R92 is located near the region of troponin T that interacts with tropomyosin. Whole-atom molecular dynamics simulations of fully regulated thin filaments
revealed that the R92L mutation increases the distance between troponin T and tropomyosin, potentially reducing the affinity and coupling between tropomyosin and troponin T (McConnell et al., 2017). These simulations also revealed that mutations at R92 cause allosteric changes in troponin C that potentially affect calcium-binding dynamics (Williams et al., 2016).

The molecular consequences of R92Q have been studied in both in vitro and in vivo systems. The earliest studies involved overexpressing R92Q troponin T in mouse, rat, cat, and quail cells (Marian et al., 1997; Morimoto et al., 1998; Sweeney et al., 1998). In all of these studies, the R92Q protein integrated into sarcomeres, suggesting that the primary effect of the mutation is disrupted protein function. Furthermore, there were several studies in which recombinant troponin was exchanged into muscle fibers (Yanaga et al., 1999; Szczesna et al., 2000), and the majority, but not all (Sweeney et al., 1998; Rust et al., 1999), of these studies demonstrated that the mutation shifts the force–calcium curve toward submaximal calcium activation (Morimoto et al., 1998; Yanaga et al., 1999; Szczesna et al., 2000). A major step forward was the generation of the R92Q transgenic mouse (Tardiff et al., 1999), which recapitulates several features of the disease phenotype, including increased ventricular mass, diastolic dysfunction, cellular fibrosis, and myocyte disarray. The degree of cardiac dysfunction depends on the expression levels of mutant protein. Muscle fibers from these mice showed a shift toward submaximal calcium activation (Chandra et al., 2001) and energetic abnormalities (Javadpour et al., 2003). There were important sex-based differences with the mice, with males having worse outcomes (Maass et al., 2004). Importantly, the R92Q disease phenotype in the mouse depends on the MHC isoform (He et al., 2007; Rice et al., 2010; Ford et al., 2012). The R92Q mutation with the β-isofrom MHC background showed differences in calcium handling, cross-bridge kinetics, energetics, and diastolic dysfunction. This result highlights the importance of using humanized protein isoforms in disease modeling.

Three models have been proposed for the initial insult of the R92Q mutation: altered cross-bridge kinetics (Ford et al., 2012), altered calcium homeostasis due to changed myofilament buffering (Robinson et al., 2007; Robinson et al., 2018), and mutation-induced changes in tropomyosin positioning (McConnell et al., 2017). Our recent biochemical work demonstrated that R92Q affects the stability of tropomyosin’s blocked state without affecting calcium binding or cross-bridge kinetics (Clippinger et al., 2020 Preprint). Moreover, R92Q
mutation reduces the affinity of troponin for tropomyosin (Gangadharan et al., 2017). These results are consistent with molecular dynamics simulations showing that mutation of R92 affects the coupling between tropomyosin and troponin (McConnell et al., 2017).

**Development of therapeutics for cardiomyopathies**

There is an outstanding need to develop therapeutics for HCM and DCM that improve outcomes and patients’ quality of life. Here, we discuss two compounds in clinical trials that were rationally designed to target myosin-based contractility, rather than traditional therapeutic pathways. We are still at an early stage of understanding of how these modulators can be used therapeutically.

**Omecamtiv mecarbil (OM) as a treatment for systolic dysfunction**

OM was identified in high-throughput screens for compounds that increased the steady-state, actin-activated myosin ATPase rate (i.e., myosin activators; Morgan et al., 2010; Malik et al., 2011). OM has selectivity for human ventricular myosin over fast skeletal or smooth muscle isoforms and it has a Kd of 1.6 μM for ventricular myosin. This compound increases the steady-state, actin-activated myosin ATPase rate by accelerating the rates of phosphate release and ATP hydrolysis. As such, this compound was expected to increase the myosin duty ratio (Eq. 3) and, thus, the ensemble force production (Eq. 4). When added to rat ventricular cardiomyocytes, OM increased the rate and extent of muscle shortening without affecting the amplitude or kinetics of the calcium transient.

Recent experiments have shown that the molecular mechanism of OM is more complex than originally appreciated. The first evidence of this was seen in studies with regulated thin filaments (Malik et al., 2011), where, under fully activating calcium concentrations, the ATPase rate was lower with OM, not faster as would be expected for a myosin activator. The ATPase rate was higher only at intermediate calcium concentrations (Kieu et al., 2019), suggesting that the primary mechanism of action may involve activating the thin filament. In vitro motility assays demonstrated that OM causes a 14-fold, dose-dependent reduction in the speed of myosin movement (Liu et al., 2015; Swenson et al., 2017). A reduction in speed could come from decreasing the unitary displacement or increasing t_on (Eq. 2). The rates of ADP release and ATP-induced actomyosin dissociation, which typically set t_off, were unchanged by the addition of OM (Liu et al., 2015), suggesting that the drug decreases the working stroke and/or changes the biochemical pathway.

Optical trapping experiments demonstrated that OM causes a dose-dependent increase in the fraction of myosin cross-bridges that bind the thin filament without actively generating a working stroke (Woody et al., 2018). Moreover, the measured attachment durations show that OM-bound cross-bridges have a slower dissociation rate, suggesting that OM causes the myosin to enter a noncanonical biochemical pathway. A similar result was seen by using transient time-resolved FRET, which showed that OM decreases the rate of the powerstroke and causes the myosin to enter a noncanonical biochemical pathway (Rhode et al., 2017). Taken together, the measured speed in the in vitro motility assay decreases because the number of cross-bridges that generate a productive displacement decreases, independent of the accelerated attachment kinetics seen in the ATPase measurements. OM binds to the myosin converter domain, near the junction between the head and lever arm domains (Malik et al., 2011; Winkelmann et al., 2015; Planelles-Herrero et al., 2017). Thus, the effects on myosin ATPase kinetics occur allosterically. It is possible that OM’s binding near the converter domain helps to uncouple lever arm rotation from the ATPase kinetics. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that OM is not a pure myosin activator as initially proposed.

If OM is not a myosin activator, how does it increase contractility? The answer likely lies in the effects on thin filament activation. As described above, thin filament activation depends both on calcium and myosin cross-bridge binding (McKillop and Ghees, 1993). OM, by increasing the rate of cross-bridge association and prolonging the time that the cross-bridge remains attached to the thin filament, likely increases thin filament activation by moving tropomyosin and exposing additional myosin-binding sites on actin (Woody et al., 2018). This explains the shift toward submaximal calcium activation seen in the steady-state ATPase rate with regulated thin filaments. At low levels of OM, the minority population of slowly cycling, OM-bound cross-bridges would tend to recruit more force-generating cross-bridges to the thin filament. At high levels of OM, most cross-bridges would bind OM, which inhibits the working stroke, and thus the muscle force would decrease. This effect—activation at low levels of OM and reduced contractility at high concentrations of OM—has been modeled computationally (Woody et al., 2018) and observed in muscle fibers (Nagy et al., 2015). Therefore, OM is better characterized as a thin filament activator rather than a myosin activator.

The mechanism of OM likely extends beyond its effects on single myosin motor domains. OM binds near the interface adopted in the interacting head motif. X-ray diffraction studies of muscle fibers demonstrated that OM disrupts the SRX, increasing the number of heads available to interact with the thin filament (Kampourakis et al., 2018), potentially increasing force and power generation (Eq. 4). This effect on SRX formation is nonexclusive from the effects on thin filament activation.

Taken together, OM likely acts through a different mechanism than initially envisioned. The two effects of thin filament activation and SRX destabilization would increase myosin force production and systolic function. These predictions were largely supported by the results of the phase II trial (COSMIC-HF, NCT01786512), a short-term, randomized trial designed to assess left ventricular morphology and systolic performance in patients with New York Heart Association class II and III systolic heart failure (Teerlink et al., 2016). Both safety and increased systolic function (systolic ejection time), decreased left ventricular end-systolic and end-diastolic diameter, decreased heart rate, and decreased plasma NT-proBNP were observed. This was followed by the first phase III outcomes trial (GALACTIC-HF, NCT02929329) designed to assess whether OM, when added to the standard of care, was well tolerated and superior to placebo in reducing the risk of cardiovascular death or heart failure in...
patients with chronic systolic heart failure (Teerlink et al., 2020). This was a large, well-designed multinational trial with 8,526 patients randomized to either placebo or three different oral doses of OM (25 mg, 37.5 mg, or 50 mg, twice daily). While the primary composite outcome—a small but significant decrease in the incidence of heart failure or death from cardiovascular causes—was met, none of the secondary outcomes, including cardiovascular death, improvement in the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire, first heart failure hospitalization, or death from any cause were achieved. While the apparent discordance between the results of the two trials, whereby clear short-term functional and structural improvement (COSMIC-HF) did not translate to the important clinical outcome of cardiovascular death (GALACTIC-HF) was surprising en face, the complexity and heterogeneity of the clinical syndrome is a likely contributor. Further subgroup analysis may identify specific patient subsets who benefit in a disorder for which highly tailored medical therapy is a mainstay.

Mavacamten as a treatment for HCM
Mavacamten is currently in clinical trials for treating HCM (Green et al., 2016). Recent results of the first phase III randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial (EXPLORER-HCM, NCT03470545) conducted in hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy patients exhibited encouraging safety and tolerability profiles. Importantly, minor improvements in the New York Heart Association functional class, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, and health status were observed in this well-designed multicenter trial (Olivotto et al., 2020). The compound was originally identified via a high-throughput screen for molecules that decrease the steady-state, actin-activated myosin ATPase rate. Mavacamten causes a dose-dependent reduction in the ATPase rate, with an \( EC_{50} \) of 300 nM, due to a reduction in the rate of actin-activated phosphate release. By reducing the rate of actin-activated phosphate release, mavacamten slows the transition into the strongly bound state, reducing the duty ratio (Eq. 3). The drug did not affect the calcium dependence of muscle contraction or the calcium transients, an important concern in a disorder that impacts myocardial energetics (Spindler et al., 1998; Crilley et al., 2003).

In contrast to OM, mavacamten promotes the formation of the SRX (Anderson et al., 2018; Rohde et al., 2018), and therefore reduces the number of cross-bridges available to interact with the thin filament. This mavacamten-induced change in the SRX has also been seen in single-molecule studies of ATP turnover (Nelson et al., 2020). By reducing the duty ratio and the number of available myosin heads, mavacamten reduces force production (Eq. 4), and this was seen in treated mice (Green et al., 2016; Mamidi et al., 2018).

Administration of mavacamten to mice with HCM mutations before the development of overt ventricular remodeling prevented cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis (Green et al., 2016). Of note, while treatment after the development of hypertrophy and fibrosis slowed disease progression, it did not reverse the disease phenotype. Fibrosis and myocyte disarray can serve as substrates for arrhythmias; therefore, this is an important consideration for eventual treatment regimens. HCM is a highly dynamic disorder, and treatments may have particular time windows during which they are most effective. Determining the optimal timing of treatment to ameliorate symptoms and alter the natural history of pathogenic remodeling remains a key goal of further studies.

Prospects for the future
Taken together, the field has made incredible progress in understanding these complex and progressive diseases; however, there is still much work that must be done. Continued progress will require the field to consider the nuances in the molecular mechanisms that drive the disease pathogenesis, as well as the dynamic adaptive and maladaptive pathways that are activated in these progressive and heterogeneous diseases.

The development of novel effective therapeutics will require the field to move beyond the current one-size-fits-all approaches to these complex progressive disorders. While the proliferation of next-generation and whole-genome sequencing technologies have revealed insights into the genetic underpinnings of the diseases, more work is needed to translate these discoveries to the bedside. In particular, it will be important to understand the complex networks of genetic modifiers that give rise to patterns of remodeling with variable progression and incomplete penetrance. Realizing the power of precision medicine for managing and treating cardiomyopathies will require pairing genomics with functional assays and animal models to investigate integrated disease mechanisms. Translational advances will require the field to embrace the nuances of these highly complex clinical disorders.

We propose that it might be possible to improve outcomes in patient subgroups by focusing on shared primary molecular mechanisms among groups of mutations or the earliest stages of compensatory ventricular remodeling (Fatkin et al., 2019; Lavine and Greenberg, 2020). Precision therapeutics will likely be effective for subgroups of patients with mutations producing common molecular insults (Lynn et al., 2018). By deciphering the molecular triggers that drive disease pathogenesis, it should be possible to further refine rigorous biophysical bins that can be coupled to discrete, targetable pathways.

While the field has developed many excellent biophysical tools for examining the molecular underpinnings of cardiomyopathies, new basic science tools will be necessary to realize our proposed precision medicine approach. Our current biophysical tools have provided us with deep insights into the mechanisms of individual mutants, but most lack the throughput necessary for examining thousands of variants across organizational and temporal scales. There is an outstanding need to identify robust biophysical signatures for each of the proposed bins and to develop high-throughput assays with sufficient resolution and signal to screen multiple variants. Moreover, computational techniques will likely contribute to screening of variants; however, this will require advances in computing power and methods for probing longer time scales and accurately measuring macromolecular interactions.

While we have made significant advances over the past few decades, there is still work to be done. Taken together, this is
an exciting time for both basic and clinical researchers interested in the mechanisms and care of patients with genetic cardiomyopathies.
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