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Twelve patients were treated with omadacycline (OMC) as part of a multidrug regimen for Mycobacterium abscessus. The majority of infections were of pulmonary origin (7/12; 58.3%). The median (interquartile range) duration of OMC was 6.2 (4.2–11.0) months. Clinical success occurred in 9/12 (75.0%) patients. Three patients experienced a possible adverse effect while on therapy.
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Nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are opportunistic pathogens that are intrinsically resistant to many antimicrobials and lead to significant health care costs, morbidity, and mortality [1]. Specifically, Mycobacterium abscessus complex (composed of the subspecies M. abscessus, M. bolletii, and M. massiliense) is the most drug-resistant and pathogenic of the rapidly growing mycobacteria (RGM) and is very challenging to treat, partly owing to the varying resistance mechanisms between these subspecies (including to macrolides, an important therapeutic for NTM treatment, if susceptible, and also available in an oral formulation) and prolonged treatment durations that are typically expensive [2]. Furthermore, a lack of clinical trials and minimally effective oral therapies lead to variations in how patients are treated and further compromise patient satisfaction, respectively [3]. It is crucial that novel antibiotics with optimal oral bioavailability, minimal adverse effects (AEs), and effectiveness against all subspecies of M. abscessus complex be discovered, developed, and clinically evaluated.

Omadacycline (OMC), a semisynthetic aminomethylcycline within the tetracycline (TCN) class, is available both in intravenous and oral formulations and is Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for community-acquired pneumonia and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections [4]. Recent investigations have shown potent in vitro activity of OMC against drug-resistant M. abscessus complex clinical isolates, with observed minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values similar to tigecycline (TGC) and eravacycline (ERV; intravenous-only glycyycline and fluorocycline, respectively, within the TCN class) [5, 6]. However, limited studies have reported patient outcomes of those treated with OMC for M. abscessus infections [7]. The objective of this case series was to describe preliminary real-world experience of OMC for the treatment of M. abscessus infections.

METHODS

This was a multicenter, retrospective, observational case series at 6 geographically distinct medical centers in the United States in which OMC was initiated between January and August 2020. We included individuals aged ≥18 years with ≥1 M. abscessus–positive culture, in the setting of clinical suspicion for either pulmonary or extrapulmonary infection, who received OMC for ≥3 months with ≥3 months of documented NTM follow-up after OMC initiation. Patients were still included if negative circumstances (e.g., OMC discontinued due to AE, death) precluded insufficient exposure or duration (<3 months) of OMC continuation and/or follow-up.

Early clinical success was defined as a composite of survival, lack of clinical/radiographic worsening, lack of alteration of OMC therapy due to concerns for treatment failure, lack of microbiologic relapse (if patient has achieved culture conversion or microbiologic clearance and cultures were drawn), and lack of culture persistence for 3 consecutive positive cultures following OMC initiation (if patient has not had at least 2 negative cultures and cultures were drawn), which was evaluated throughout the required follow-up. We also reported intentions for OMC utilization and incidence of AE.
Microbiologic relapse was defined as 2 consecutive cultures positive for the same pathogen isolated from index culture following sputum culture conversion (respiratory) or microbiologic clearance (nonrespiratory). Sputum culture conversion was defined as having at least 3 consecutive negative sputum NTM cultures over 12 months. Microbiologic clearance was defined as having any negative culture following positive index culture (nonrespiratory). The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) susceptibility breakpoints were applied, when applicable, for interpretation of MIC values, while the FDA antibacterial susceptibility interpretive criteria were used when information was not available via CLSI [8, 9]. Combination therapy was defined as any antibiotic used in tandem with OMC for ≥ 28 days. All adverse effects while on OMC were reported, although they could not be fully attributed to OMC due to combination regimens. Descriptive statistics were utilized for analysis using IBM SPSS software, version 26.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Overall, 12 patients met inclusion criteria. Four individuals were excluded due to insufficient exposure to OMC (3/4) and/ or insufficient duration of follow-up (4/4). Included individuals had a median age (interquartile range [IQR]) of 58 (54–63) years, they had a median body mass index (IQR) of 23.6 (21.1–28.9) kg/m², 50.0% were female, and 91.7% were Caucasian. Common comorbidities in those with nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease (NTM-PD) included interstitial lung disease (5/7; 71.4%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2/7; 28.6%), asthma (2/7; 28.6%), and solid organ malignancy and/or acute myeloid leukemia (2/7; 28.6%), while no patients had cystic fibrosis or autoimmune disease. The majority of patients had insurance coverage through private entities (6/12; 50.0%), followed by Medicare (3/12; 25.0%; evaluated due to insurance coverage associated with selection of medications), and were treated with OMC strictly in the outpatient setting (10/12; 83.3%). Of patients included in this analysis, 5/12 (41.7%) had surgical interventions (debridement and/or incision and drainage).

The source of infection was primarily NTM-PD (7/12; 58.3%), followed by bone/joint (2/12; 16.7%). Three other extrapulmonary sites of infection involved an intra-abdominal infection, a skin and soft tissue infection, and an infected intravenous catheter. Of those with NTM-PD, the radiographic pattern was 57.1% (4/7) nodular bronchiectatic and 42.9% (3/7) fibrocavitary. Disseminated infection occurred in 2/12 (16.7%) of patients. The initial M. abscessus isolates were most commonly isolated from expectorated sputum (4/12; 33.3%), wound/tissue (4/12; 33.3%), or induced sputum (1/12; 8.3%). Of all included cases, 7/12 (58.3%) of isolates underwent subspeciation (6/7; 85.7% subspecies M. abscessus, 1/7; 14.3% subspecies M. massiliense). Erm gene genotyping (genes that can express inducible macrolide resistance) was conducted in 9/12 (75.0%) isolates, with functional erm gene detected in 6/9 (66.7%) [2].

Before OMC initiation, antibiotic therapy was administered in 10/12 (83.3%) cases, for a median (IQR) of 4.7 (3.4–12.7) months (these data were calculated based on 11 patients, as data were not available for 1 patient). Mycobacterial cultures were positive at the time of OMC initiation in 6/9 (66.7%) patients for whom this information was known. Only a single isolate underwent MIC determination for OMC, which was 0.5 mcg/mL. However, TIG MICs were reported for 11/12 (91.7%) isolates (range, 0.06–1 mcg/mL; MIC90, 1 mcg/mL). MIC values for other antibiotics are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

The total median duration of OMC (IQR) was 6.2 (4.2–11.0) months, with the median duration of follow-up post-OMC initiation (IQR) being 5.1 (3.4–7.2) months. All patients received ≥ 2 companion antibiotics, with the most common being amikacin (8/12; 66.7%), imipenem (5/12; 41.7%), linezolid/tedizolid (5/12; 41.7%), azithromycin (4/12; 33.3%), and/or clofazimine (4/12; 33.3%). Interestingly, 2 patients received TIG concomitantly with OMC. Oral therapy was strictly utilized in all cases, with a loading dose of 450 mg once daily on days 1 and 2 used for initiation of therapy in 2/12 (16.7%) patients, while most patients did not receive a loading dose on initiation and received a maintenance dose of 300 mg once daily (10/12; 83.3%).

Clinical success occurred in 9/12 (75.0%) cases. Failures involved 3 cases with radiographic fibrocavitary and nodular bronchiectatic (plus dissemination) sources and skin and soft tissue sources. Other clinical and composite end point characteristics can be found in Table 1. The primary reasons for OMC utilization were related to antimicrobial resistance to previous antibiotic(s) (8/12; 66.7%), previous antibiotic failure (6/12; 50.0%), ease of administration (6/12; 50.0%), and oral bioavailability of OMC (6/12; 50.0%).

Three patients experienced an AE while on therapy. One patient experienced a gastrointestinal AE (nausea/vomiting/diarrhea), and the OMC dose was reduced from 300 mg daily to 150 mg twice daily, with improvement in symptoms. Another patient experienced serum creatinine increase ≥ 0.5 mg/dL; OMC was temporarily discontinued, and this AE resolved. The third patient experienced serum creatinine increase ≥ 0.5 mg/dL; OMC was continued, despite these laboratory abnormalities, and the abnormalities resolved.

DISCUSSION

We report the largest real-world, observational, multicenter description of early treatment outcomes for patients treated with OMC for pulmonary and extrapulmonary M. abscessus infections with promising results. Due to the in vitro activity of OMC against M. abscessus and the available oral formulation,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>Age/Sex/ Subspecies/Erm Gene Presence</th>
<th>Infection Source (Dissemination)</th>
<th>TIG MIC, mg/L</th>
<th>Positive Culture When Switched to OMC?</th>
<th>Duration of OMC, mo</th>
<th>Duration of Follow-up After OMC Initiation, mo</th>
<th>Companion Drugs With OMC</th>
<th>Number of Negative Cultures After Initiation</th>
<th>Were Cultures Actually Drawn to Verify if They Were Negative?</th>
<th>Clinical Success</th>
<th>Death</th>
<th>Persistently Positive Culture</th>
<th>Microbiologic Relapse</th>
<th>Alteration of OMC Therapy due to Concerns of Failure</th>
<th>Change of OMC Therapy due to Clinical/Radiographic Worsening While on OMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59/M/yes</td>
<td>IAI-multiple abscesses (no)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>Clofazimine, tedizolid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57/M/ massiliense/-</td>
<td>Hip bone/joint + indwelling medical device (no)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Azithromycin, clofazimine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68/F/-</td>
<td>Fibrocavitary (no)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Azithromycin, telazolid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49/F/abscessus/yes</td>
<td>Fibrocavitary (no)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>Amikacin, imipenem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80/M/abscessus/no</td>
<td>Nodular bronchiectatic (no)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Amikacin, imipenem, linezolid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57/F/abscessus/yes</td>
<td>Fibrocavitary (no)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Amikacin, clofazimine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>63/F/abscessus/no</td>
<td>Nodular bronchiectatic (no)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Amikacin, azithromycin, telazolid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>53/M/abscessus/yes</td>
<td>Nodular bronchiectatic (yes-skin)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Amikacin, imipenem, linezolid</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26/M/abscessus/yes</td>
<td>Foot osteomyelitis (no)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Amikacin, imipenem, linezolid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>59/F/-</td>
<td>SSTI (no)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Clofazimine, imipenem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>55/F/-</td>
<td>CLABSI (no)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Amikacin, tedizolid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>62/M/-</td>
<td>Nodular bronchiectatic (yes-skin, liver)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6 (died)</td>
<td>Amikacin, azithromycin, linezolid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: -, information not available; CLABSI, central line bloodstream infection; IAI, intra-abdominal infection; OMC, omadacycline; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection; TIG, tigecycline.
it is expected that the medical community would have elevated curiosity about the use of OMC for patients with *M. abscessus*. Our results show similar (or slightly higher) preliminary results congruent with smaller reports of OMC and with other agent(s) used for *M. abscessus* infections, both in index and refractory disease [7, 10, 11]. Although the OMC treatment in its entirety was not included (as treatment is still ongoing for the majority of cases), no NTM-treating clinician discontinued OMC due to concerns of failure, with a current median OMC duration of 6.2 months. Furthermore, incidence rates of possible AEs due to OMC were relatively similar to those in the phase 3 trials for OMC and other real-world analyses of novel tetracyclines, despite much longer durations of exposure in this case series [12, 13].

An important therapeutic for NTM infections is macrolides (if susceptible), which are subject to inducible resistance via the *erm* gene and prolongation of the QTc interval [14]. Importantly, OMC is not impacted by functional *erm* gene expression and has not been shown to cause clinically significant QTc prolongation. Given the lack of some clinical laboratories performing subspeciation or *erm* gene detection (highlighted in this analysis with conduction in 58.3% and 75.0%, respectively), OMC could provide a viable oral alternative option for those clinicians and sites without the complete microbiological picture.

Tigecycline and ERV have demonstrated similar in vitro activity against isolates of *M. abscessus*; however, both antibiotics are only available in intravenous formulations and are dosed twice daily, which is not ideal for prolonged treatment courses, and are associated with significant gastrointestinal AEs. OMC is dosed once daily and has shown fewer gastrointestinal AEs than TIG or ERV (8.3% in this analysis). Importantly, reported MIC$_{90}$ values of ERV against *M. abscessus* (1 mg/L/mL) are higher than the current FDA breakpoint for *Enterobacteriales*, while reported MIC$_{90}$ values for OMC against *M. abscessus* have been reported to be 2-fold lower than their current FDA breakpoint [5, 9].

This report has obvious limitations. First, this was a retrospective, observational case series with a small number of patients and the possibility of selection bias. Inclusion criteria for our analysis required relatively short durations of OMC exposure and follow-up (≥3 months) relative to traditional treatment durations associated with *M. abscessus*; therefore, although the median duration of OMC was 6.2 months, the duration of OMC exposure as it relates to outcomes may have been inadequate to detect a more (or less) favorable response. We included both pulmonary and extrapulmonary cases of NTM infection, the outcomes of which may be difficult to compare directly based on potential differences in nonpharmacological management (eg, removal of device in extrapulmonary cases). Also, subspeciation and functional *erm* gene detection were not available in all cases. However, given the rarity of this disease, these data reflect pragmatic outcomes that can be useful for clinicians with limited to no options for *M. abscessus* treatment, even without the full microbiologic picture. Next, all patients were on ≥2 additional antimicrobials; therefore, analysis of the direct response of OMC is difficult. However, this is also the case with any antimicrobial used within a combination regimen. Given the multicenter analysis of our study and the complexities of performing in vitro susceptibility with novel antimicrobials, we were unable to perform MIC testing for OMC on the majority of the clinical *M. abscessus* isolates to determine initial susceptibility, and the possibility of development of OMC resistance while on therapy remains.

Despite these limitations, this is the largest real-world observational description reporting the experience of early treatment with OMC for *M. abscessus* within multiple infectious sites. A ≥3-month follow-up evaluating OMC therapy by NTM-treating clinicians was required for inclusion in this analysis, which is a strength compared with other retrospective observational studies. Also, OMC was studied in some patients who had been treated with numerous antibiotics without success over long intervals of time. Finally, although MICs for OMC were only reported in 8.3% of isolates, MICs for TIG were reported in 91.7% of isolates, and previous reports have shown a high correlation between the 2 antibiotics for *M. abscessus* [5, 6]. While these early experiences with OMC for *M. abscessus* are important to document, prospective studies and larger real-world analyses are urgently needed for these difficult-to-treat infections.
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