Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2021

Ascending dorsal column sensory neurons respond to spinal cord injury and downregulate genes related to lipid metabolism

Eric E. Ewan

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Oshri Avraham

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Dan Carlin

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Tassia Mangetti Gonçalves

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Guoyan Zhao

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Ewan, Eric E.; Avraham, Oshri; Carlin, Dan; Gonçalves, Tassia Mangetti; Zhao, Guoyan; and Cavalli, Valeria, "Ascending dorsal column sensory neurons respond to spinal cord injury and downregulate genes related to lipid metabolism." *Scientific Reports*. 11, 1. 374 (2021).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/10144

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Eric E. Ewan, Oshri Avraham, Dan Carlin, Tassia Mangetti Gonçalves, Guoyan Zhao, and Valeria Cavalli

OPEN

Ascending dorsal column sensory neurons respond to spinal cord injury and downregulate genes related to lipid metabolism

Eric E. Ewan¹, Oshri Avraham¹, Dan Carlin¹, Tassia Mangetti Gonçalves¹, Guoyan Zhao¹ & Valeria Cavalli^{1,2,3}✉

Regeneration failure after spinal cord injury (SCI) results in part from the lack of a pro-regenerative response in injured neurons, but the response to SCI has not been examined specifically in injured sensory neurons. Using RNA sequencing of dorsal root ganglion, we determined that thoracic SCI elicits a transcriptional response distinct from sciatic nerve injury (SNI). Both SNI and SCI induced upregulation of ATF3 and Jun, yet this response failed to promote growth in sensory neurons after SCI. RNA sequencing of purified sensory neurons one and three days after injury revealed that unlike SNI, the SCI response is not sustained. Both SCI and SNI elicited the expression of ATF3 target genes, with very little overlap between conditions. Pathway analysis of differentially expressed ATF3 target genes revealed that fatty acid biosynthesis and terpenoid backbone synthesis were downregulated after SCI but not SNI. Pharmacologic inhibition of fatty acid synthase, the enzyme generating palmitic acid, decreased axon growth and regeneration in vitro. These results support the notion that decreased expression of lipid metabolism-related genes after SCI, including fatty acid synthase, may restrict axon regenerative capacity after SCI.

Unlike injured neurons in the peripheral nervous system, which mount a regenerative response and reconnect with their targets, axon regeneration typically fails after spinal cord injury (SCI). In addition to the growth inhibitory environment of the injured spinal cord^{1,2}, gene profiling studies link regenerative failure after SCI to the lack of an intrinsic transcriptional response^{3,4}. This is supported by the conditioning injury paradigm, in which prior injury to the peripheral axons of dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sensory neurons promotes axon regeneration of their central axons after SCI^{5–7}. Peripheral nerve injury upregulates many regeneration-associated transcription factors (RATFs)^{8,9}, yet only modest spinal axon regeneration has been observed by overexpressing RATFs^{10–12}. This suggests that RATFs expression does not sufficiently recapitulate a growth program and that the intrinsic mechanisms underlying regeneration failure after SCI remains incompletely understood.

Whether and how DRG sensory neurons alter their transcriptome after SCI remains unclear because most analyses have used whole DRG after SCI^{3,4,13}, which includes uninjured nociceptors¹⁴ and non-neuronal cells¹⁵. In this study, we took advantage of a mouse line that labels DRG sensory neurons ascending the spinal cord to unravel their transcriptional response to SCI. We performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) experiments from whole DRG and from fluorescence-activated cell sorted (FACS) ascending DRG sensory neurons acutely after SCI and SNI. Our results indicate that ascending DRG sensory neurons alter their transcriptome after SCI, with gene expression changes that differ from SNI. Part of the transcriptional changes observed after SCI are related to a decrease in lipid metabolism and may repress axon regeneration.

Results

SCI induces a unique transcriptional response in the DRG compared with PNS injury. Previous studies suggest that transcriptional changes in whole DRG after SCI may occur early after SCI and might not be sustained^{3,4}. SNI was also shown to induce a more robust transcriptional response compared to SCI in whole DRG⁴. In contrast, SCI induces more changes in 5-hydroxymethylcytosine than SNI, an epigenomic mark

¹Department of Neuroscience, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave, Campus Box 8108, St. Louis, MO 63110-1093, USA. ²Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. ³Center of Regenerative Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. ✉email: cavalli@wustl.edu

Figure 1. Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) induces an acute transcriptional response in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) that differs from sciatic nerve injury (SNI). (A) Schematic of the experimental design for L4 DRG RNA sequencing after SNI and SCI. (B) Proportional Venn diagrams for differentially expressed (DE) genes upregulated (red) or downregulated (blue) after SNI (n = 3 mice) and SCI (n = 4 mice) (p -adj < 0.1). (C) Heatmap of known regeneration-associated transcription factors (RATFs) after SNI and SCI. (D) KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} of DE genes downregulated after SNI and upregulated after SCI. (E) Heatmap of genes associated with terpenoid backbone biosynthesis after SNI and SCI. (F) KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} of DE genes upregulated after SNI and downregulated after SCI. (G, H) Heatmap of genes associated with fatty acid biosynthesis and steroid biosynthesis after SNI and SCI.

that has transcriptional regulatory roles¹³. These studies suggest that SCI may elicit a transcriptional response in DRG, but a detailed analysis of how SCI affect gene expression in DRG and sensory neurons has not been performed in detail. To better understand the transcriptional response in DRG after SCI and SNI, we performed RNA-seq of L4 DRG one day (1d) after SCI and analyzed our results with a previously generated RNA-seq data set collected 1d after SNI (Fig. 1A;¹⁶). We found that SCI elicits a less robust transcriptional response in the DRG compared to SNI, with fewer differentially expressed (DE) genes compared to SNI (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Tables 1,2). There were few DE genes overlapping between conditions (~15%) and many (~9%) were inversely expressed (Fig. 1B). KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes biological) pathway analysis^{17–19} of DE genes identified different pathways enriched after SNI and SCI (Fig S1A–B), suggesting that the SCI response is distinct from the SNI response.

We next examined the expression of RATFs, given their important roles in establishing a regenerative axon growth program^{9,20}. Whereas all RATFs examined were upregulated after SNI, only ATF3 and Jun were increased after SCI (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table 3). The activation of RATFs is believed to arise in part from retrograde transport of kinases from the injury site back to the cell soma^{21,22}. The dual leucine zipper kinase DLK is required for retrograde injury signaling and induction of RATFs after nerve injury^{16,23}, and is significantly decreased after SCI (0.29 fold, p -adj < 0.05). To determine if the limited transcriptional upregulation of RATFs after SCI relates to decreased levels of DLK, we used previously generated RNA-seq data that examined the transcriptional response to nerve injury in mice lacking DLK (DLK KO;¹⁶). Comparison of DE genes and KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} in

Figure 2. Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) does not induce a pro-growth state in ascending DRG sensory neurons in vitro. **(A)** Schematic of the experimental design and peripheral and central projects of major sensory neuron subtypes of L4 dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons. **(B)** Representative images of L4 dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons from Thy1YFP16 mice labeled with NF200 (blue) and TrkA (red) antibodies. **(C)** Quantification of **(B)** indicating percent overlap of YFP, NF200, and TrkA labeling in Thy1YFP16 mice (n = 4 mice). **(D)** Representative images of L4 DRG neurons labeled with ATF3 and Islet1 antibodies in Thy1YFP16 mice in naive or 3 days after sciatic nerve injury (SNI) or SCI. **(E)** Quantification of **(D)** indicating percentage of ATF3 positive, Islet-1 labeled neuronal nuclei in all neurons, as well as YFP negative and YFP positive neurons, for each condition (n = 3 mice/group; 2-way ANOVA). White arrowheads point to ATF3 positive neuronal nuclei. **(F)** Representative images of cultured cells from L4 DRG labeled for all neurons (TUJ1) and YFP positive neurons in naive or 3 days after SNI or SCI. **(G)** Quantification of **(F)** indicating the percentage of neurons extending neurites and the average radial length of neurites, in YFP negative and YFP positive neurons, for each condition (n = 4 mice/group; 2-way ANOVA). Yellow arrows point to the cell body of YFP positive neurons.

DLK KO following SNI and wildtype mice following SCI revealed little overlap between conditions (Fig S1B–D), suggesting that the diminished RATFs upregulation after SCI is unlikely mediated by reduced DLK signaling.

The unique transcriptional response of SCI in the DRG compared to SNI may result in gene expression that actively represses axon regeneration after SCI. To examine this possibility further, we performed KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} on DE genes that were inversely expressed between conditions. We found that inversely expressed DE genes were associated with biosynthetic and lipid metabolic pathways (Fig. 1D–H, Supplementary Table 4). These pathways were also some of the most enriched by SCI when all downregulated DE genes were examined (Fig. S1B). This is particularly interesting since previous studies using DRG cultures revealed that synthesis of phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol is required for axonal growth^{24–26}, although more recent studies suggest that decreasing cholesterol levels promote axon growth^{27,28}. Furthermore, in the optic nerve injury model it was shown that increasing phospholipid synthesis and decreasing triglyceride synthesis promotes axon regeneration²⁹. Together, these results suggest that defects in lipid synthesis may restrict axon regeneration after SCI.

ATF3 and Jun upregulation after SCI is not sufficient to promote growth in vitro. ATF3 and Jun are required for peripheral nerve regeneration^{30,31}. When co-expressed in cultured DRG neurons, ATF3 and Jun promote axon growth in vitro³² and regeneration of the central axon branch of sensory neurons in vivo³³. We thus determined if ATF3 and Jun upregulation after SCI occurs in ascending DRG sensory neurons. We used Thy1-YFP16 mice³⁴, which label large diameter ascending DRG sensory neurons^{35,36}. Unlike small diameter nociceptors, these sensory neurons ascend the spinal cord and are directly injured by thoracic SCI³⁷ (Fig. 2A). Indeed, all low-threshold mechanoreceptors ascend the entire dorsal column up to cervical level, and nearly all

proprioceptors ascend 6–8 segments along the spinal cord³⁷. Ascending DRG sensory neurons are also distinct from nociceptors in that they express NF200 (*Nefh*) but not TrkA³⁸ and we confirmed that YFP neurons were NF200 positive and TrkA negative (>90%, Fig. 2B,C). We found that after SNI, ATF3 and Jun were expressed in nearly two-thirds of all DRG neurons, with equal numbers between YFP positive and negative neurons (Fig. 2D,E; Fig. S2A–B). In contrast, only ~5% of all DRG neurons expressed ATF3 and Jun after SCI, but ~20% of YFP neurons were ATF3 and Jun positive after SCI (Fig. 2D,E; Fig. S2A–B). We next asked whether this increased ATF3 and Jun expression in YFP neurons promotes axon growth in vitro 3 days after SCI. We observed that unlike SNI, SCI failed to induce a conditioning effect in vitro in YFP neurons compared to naive (Fig. 2F,G). These results suggest that the other transcriptional changes elicited by SCI we observed (Fig. 1D–H) may repress the pro-growth effects associated with ATF3 and Jun expression. It is also possible that ATF3 and Jun need to be expressed in the same cells to synergize³². We think this is likely, but limitations with antibodies did not permit us to confirm co-expression of ATF3 and Jun in injured ascending DRG sensory neurons.

SCI induces a unique transcriptional response in ascending DRG sensory neurons compared to SNI. Neurons are outnumbered by non-neuronal cells at least tenfold in the DRG¹⁵ and not all lumbar DRG neurons ascend the spinal cord and are directly injured by T9 SCI³⁷. Thus, to define the transcriptional changes elicited by SCI in ascending DRG sensory neurons specifically, we performed RNAseq 1 and 3 days after SCI or SNI in FACS-sorted ascending DRG sensory neurons from YFP16 mice (Fig. 3A). This approach allowed us to enrich for ascending DRG sensory neurons, based on the expression levels of known neuronal marker genes³⁸ when comparing FACS-sorted and whole DRG samples (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Tables 3, 5). We found that SCI elicited fewer DE genes compared to SNI at 1d and 3d (Fig. 3C,D, Supplementary Tables 6, 7). There was little overlap between the two conditions, with only ~37% for upregulated genes and ~23% for downregulated genes (Fig. 3C). Whereas the numbers of DE genes were increased from 1 to 3 days after SNI, DE genes decreased from 1 to 3 days after SCI (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Tables 6, 7), suggesting that the SCI response is not sustained over time. This may explain why few transcriptional changes in whole DRG were observed 7 days after SCI³.

We next examined the expression of RATFs known to promote axon growth^{9,20}. We found that all assessed RATFs were upregulated in ascending DRG sensory neurons 1 and 3 days after SNI (Fig. 3E, Supplementary Table 5). In contrast, only ATF3 and Smad1 were increased 1 and 3 days after SCI. KLF6 and Creb1 expression increased at 1d, while Sox11 increased at 3d after SCI (Fig. 3E, Supplementary Table 5). The SNI-induced upregulation of RATFs in ascending DRG sensory neurons was remarkably similar to the response we previously reported in FACS-sorted nociceptors (Fig. S3A–B)³⁹. This is consistent with recent findings indicating that after peripheral nerve injury most sensory neurons adopt a similar transcriptomic state^{31,40}. The unique effects of SCI on ascending DRG sensory neurons are thus unlikely related to any intrinsic transcriptional differences between sensory neuron subtypes within the DRG. To better understand the transcriptional differences in ascending DRG sensory neurons after SNI and SCI, we next performed KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} of all DE genes. We identified different pathways enriched after SCI and SNI (Fig. S3C–F), with the 5 most enriched KEGG pathways associated with biosynthetic and lipid metabolic pathways 1d after SCI (Fig. S3D). The top 3 downregulated biosynthesis pathways 1d after SCI were steroid biosynthesis, fatty acid biosynthesis, and terpenoid backbone biosynthesis (Fig. S3D), all of which were also identified in whole DRG analysis 1d after SCI (Fig. S1B). None of these pathways were downregulated by SNI, and instead steroid biosynthesis was upregulated both 1d and 3d after SNI (Fig. S3C,E). Interestingly, we found that only ~43% and ~13% of DE genes identified in FACS-sorted ascending sensory neurons after SNI and SCI, respectively, overlapped with DE genes identified from whole DRG (Fig. S3G, S3H). Many genes are likely not identified in whole DRG because unlike SNI, SCI only directly injures the ascending DRG sensory neurons subpopulation within the DRG³⁷.

We next examined ATF3 target genes, because ATF3 is required to induce the transcriptional re-programming of all neuron subtypes after nerve injury, and ATF3 DNA-binding motifs are enriched in the common injury gene set across neuronal subtypes³¹. We identified DE genes that have ATF3 binding sites in the promoter region using Patser software⁴¹ and ATF3 binding matrix obtained from JASPAR database. Because a majority of the shared DE genes identified at 1 and 3 days post injury changed in the same direction under the same injury condition, we combined the genes for downstream analysis. We found a total of 706 unique ATF3 target genes regulated by SCI and 1891 regulated by SNI, with only 289 common genes (Fig. 3F, Supplementary Table 8). KEGG pathway analysis^{17–19} revealed that fatty acid biosynthesis and terpenoid backbone synthesis were specifically downregulated after SCI but not SNI (Fig. 3G). Further analysis of the ATF3 target genes in these pathways indicated that most genes were more significantly downregulated after SCI than SNI, and some were upregulated by SNI (Fig. 3H, Supplementary Table 8). GO analysis for molecular function of ATF3 target genes further revealed little overlap between SCI and SNI, and also revealed that the downregulation of genes related to ion channel, which is believed to represent in part the loss of neuronal identity after injury³¹, only occurs after SNI (Fig. S4). These results reveal that lipid biosynthetic pathways are downregulated in ascending DRG sensory neurons after SCI and may repress axon regeneration.

Fatty acid synthase (FASN) inhibition decreases DRG axon growth in vitro. FASN is an enzyme responsible for de novo fatty acid synthesis⁴². *Fasn* is one of the genes in the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway containing an ATF3 binding motif and is downregulated in ascending DRG sensory neurons specifically after SCI (Fig. 3H, Supplementary Table 8). FASN synthesizes palmitic acid, which is the substrate for the synthesis of more complex lipids including phospholipids⁴². Given the role of lipid synthesis in axon growth^{24,26,43}, we tested whether inhibiting FASN with platensimycin, an inhibitor that was shown to effectively inhibit fatty acid synthesis in vitro⁴⁴, impairs axon growth. Adult DRG neuronal cultures were treated with platensimycin or vehicle control 30 min after plating and axon growth was assessed 24 h later. Platensimycin decreased axon growth

Figure 3. Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) induces an acute transcriptional response in ascending DRG sensory neurons that mostly differs from sciatic nerve injury (SNI). (A) Schematic of the experimental design for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of ascending DRG sensory neurons from Thy1YFP16 mice 1 or 3 days after SNI or SCI ($n = 3$ mice per group, except $n = 4$ mice for naïve and SCI 1d). (B) Total counts of genes associated with three predominant neuronal subtypes in the DRG (nociceptors, low-threshold mechanoreceptors (LTMR), and proprioceptors (Proprio)) (C) Proportional Venn diagrams for differentially expressed (DE) genes upregulated (red) or downregulated (blue) 1 day after SNI and SCI in ascending DRG sensory neurons, and (D) Number of DE genes 1 and 3 days after SNI or SCI (p -adj < 0.1 , $r = 2$ for RUVr). (E) Heatmap of known regeneration-associated transcription factors (RATFs) 1 and 3 days after SNI and SCI in ascending DRG sensory neurons. (F) Venn diagram and (G) KEGG pathway analysis of DE genes^{17–19}, 1 and 3 days after SNI or SCI that have ATF3 binding sites. SNI upregulated DE genes did not lead to significant pathway enrichment. (H) Heatmap of genes that ATF3 binding sites associated with fatty acid biosynthesis and terpenoid backbone biosynthesis 1 day after SNI and SCI in ascending DRG sensory neurons. Only HMGCS2 does not have an ATF3 binding motif.

by ~40% compared to vehicle controls (Fig. 4A,B). Since adult DRG cultures include both neurons and non-neuronal cells, we cannot rule out the potential impact of FASN inhibition on non-neuronal cells in decreasing axon growth in vitro. Indeed, neurite growth in culture hippocampal neurons was shown to be supported by phospholipid-loaded lipoproteins secreted from glial cells⁴⁵. We also recently showed that FASN is required in satellite glial cells, which completely surround sensory neurons, to promote axon regeneration in peripheral nerves⁴⁶. Therefore, to test if FASN is required intrinsically in neurons to promote axon growth, we used a spot culture assay, in which embryonic DRG are dissociated and cultured in a spot, allowing axons to extend radially from the spot^{47,48}. By including the mitotic inhibitor 5-deoxyfluoruridine (FUDR), we can obtain a culture enriched for neurons with limited amounts of other non-neuronal cell types⁴⁶. The effects observed in these neuronal culture are thus largely intrinsic to sensory neurons. This assay has recapitulated for the most part what has been observed in vivo in sensory neurons^{47–50}, and is suitable to test compounds affecting axon regeneration. Platensimycin was added to the media 6 days after plating. Axons were cut using a microtome blade on day 7 and allowed to regenerate for 24 h, fixed and stained for SCG10 to visualize axon regeneration⁵¹. Regenerative axon length was measured from the visible blade mark to the end of the regenerating axon tips. We observed that platensimycin decreased axon regenerative capacity (Fig. 4C,D). FASN generates palmitic acid, which is used in part to make phospholipid ligands for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) family

Figure 4. FASN inhibition decreases sensory neuron growth in vitro. (A) Representative images of TUJ1-labeled sensory neurons cultured from L4 dorsal root ganglion (DRG), that were treated with vehicle or 1 μM of platensimycin 1 h after plating, and then allowed to grow for 24 h in culture. (B) Quantification of (A) indicating the average neurite length for each neuron for each condition. Automated neurite tracing and length quantifications were performed using Nikon NIS-Elements (Version 4.60). The specific analysis code for digital reconstruction of neurites is available upon request (requires NIS-Elements and General Analysis <https://www.microscope.healthcare.nikon.com/products/software/nis-elements>) (n = 4 mice/condition; Unpaired t-test). (C) Embryonic DRG spot cultures axotomized at DIV7 after a 24 h pre-treatment with DMSO as a control, platensimycin or platensimycin combined with rosiglitazone. Cultures were fixed after 24 h and stained with SCG10. Scale Bar: 50 μm . (D) Quantification of (C) indicating the distance of regenerating axons measured from the injury site. (E,F) Heatmap of genes for known axonally-trafficked protein genes 1 and 3 days after SNI and SCI in whole DRG or fluorescence-activated cell sorted (FACS) ascending DRG sensory neurons from Thy1YFP16 mice. (SNI (n = 3 mice) and SCI (n = 4 mice) for C; n = 3 mice per group, except n = 4 mice for naïve and SCI 1d for D). (G) Schematic indicating the experimental design for the in vivo conditioning experiment. (H) Representative images of horizontal sections of the dorsal column labeled with SCG10 and dextran-labeled conditioned axons that was injected into the ipsilateral sciatic nerve. (I) Quantification of (H) indicating the ratio of mean SCG10 staining intensity between conditioned and unconditioned axons of ascending DRG sensory neurons (n = 3 mice; One-sample t-test and Wilcoxon signed rank test).

of transcription factors. PPARs are ligand-activated nuclear receptors that bind lipid signaling molecules to transduce the signals derived from the metabolic environment to control gene expression⁵². In adipose tissue, FASN is required for generating endogenous ligands for PPAR γ ⁵³ and in neurons, PPAR γ contributes to the pro-regenerative response after axon injury⁵⁴. We found that the PPAR γ agonist rosiglitazone partially rescued the axon regeneration defects induced by FASN inhibition (Fig. 4C,D). These results indicate that in neurons, FASN promotes axon growth in part by generating the ligands for PPAR γ .

Palmitic acid generated by FASN is also critical for protein palmitoylation⁵⁵. Both Gap43 and SCG10 proteins are palmitoylated and anterogradely transported after nerve injury⁵⁶. Palmitoylation of SCG10 facilitates its targeting to the growth cone^{57,58} and axonal transport of SCG10 is widely used to label regenerating axons in peripheral nerves⁵¹. We found that SNI, but not SCI, induces upregulation of Gap43 and SCG10 at the gene expression level (Fig. 4E,F). We next tested if SCG10 accumulates or not at the tip of injured ascending DRG sensory axons 24 h after SCI, and if a prior conditioning injury would increase SCG10 accumulation at ascending DRG sensory axon tips after SCI. We performed a unilateral conditioning SNI, followed by thoracic SCI along with tracer injection into the conditioned sciatic nerve 3 days later, and histology assessment of the ascending DRG sensory neuron 24 h later (Fig. 4G, S5). Since the tracer labels only ipsilateral dorsal column axons, we can assess both conditioned (ipsilateral, tracer-labeled) and unconditioned (contralateral, unlabeled) axons in the same animal and tissue section. We found that SCG10 accumulates in injured ascending DRG sensory axons only after a conditioning injury (Fig. 4H–I). This is consistent with previous findings showing that CNS axons increase axonal transport after peripheral conditioning⁵⁹. SCG10 accumulation in PNS axon tips is associated with neurite growth and regeneration^{23,60,61}, whereas SCG10 degradation has surprisingly been suggested to promote axon growth after SCI⁶². Our results reveal that axonal transport of SCG10 fails to occur in ascending DRG sensory neurons 24 h after SCI. Whether this impaired transport results in part from decreased protein palmitoylation as a consequence of decreased FASN expression remains to be tested. Together, these results supports the notion that decreased expression of lipid metabolism-related genes after SCI, including FASN, restricts axon regenerative capacity after SCI.

Discussion

Our results reveal that ascending DRG sensory neurons respond to SCI and alter their transcriptome in a way that differs from SNI. The upregulation of stress response genes such as ATF3 and Jun suggest that ascending DRG sensory neurons can sense injury after SCI and mount a stress response, but this response is not sufficient to promote a growth state. Our analysis of ATF3 target genes further suggests that in the context of SCI, ATF3 regulates a set of genes that may inhibit ascending DRG sensory neuron growth, whereas in the context of SNI, ATF3 is necessary to transform injured neurons into a regenerative state³¹. We identified downregulation of lipid biosynthesis related genes in ascending DRG sensory neurons after SCI as one possible mechanism that inhibits axon growth. Pharmacologic inhibition of the enzyme generating fatty acid, FASN, decreases axon growth and regeneration in vitro. Our results are consistent with the notion that phospholipid synthesis is required for axon growth in cultured neurons^{24,26,43} and in vivo in retinal ganglion cells²⁹.

Since sensory neurons represent only ~10% of cells within the DRG¹⁵, and only a subpopulation (the ascending DRG sensory neurons) are injured by a T9 SCI³⁷, we opted to FACS-sort ascending DRG sensory neurons from YFP16 mice in our analysis. We did find that the FACS analysis detected fewer DE genes after both SNI and SCI compared to whole DRG RNA-seq. Differences in methodology may underlie these results, since dissociation and FACS sorting induces an acute axon injury in DRG neurons and sorting 100 YFP + neurons in triplicate introduces added variability due to random sampling. Nonetheless, our analysis revealed many DE genes (>500) in ascending DRG sensory neurons. This is significantly more than the DE genes observed (<100) in FACS-sorted nociceptors after compression SCI¹⁴, which are not directly injured by SCI³⁷. This would suggest that injury to a projection neuron which receives primary input from DRG neurons leads to a much smaller change in gene expression than direct injury to the ascending DRG neuron itself. We also found that fewer DE genes identified in FACS-sorted ascending DRG sensory neurons overlapped with DE genes identified from whole DRG after SCI (13%) compared with SNI (~43%). These differences likely reflect the fact that ascending DRG sensory neurons are only a subpopulation within the DRG.

One important finding was that SCI leads to increased expression of ATF3, a transcription factor known to regulate the pro-regenerative program after peripheral nerve injury³¹. However, after SCI, the genes containing an ATF3 binding motif were largely distinct from those after nerve injury. Our analyses also suggest that ATF3 may be responsible for the downregulation of lipid metabolism related genes. These findings may explain why ATF3 overexpression can promote peripheral nerve regeneration⁶³, but fails to do so in several models of CNS injury^{33,64,65}. Changes in epigenomic signatures⁶⁶ or expression of transcription factors acting as co-factors⁶⁷ after SCI and SNI may contribute to the difference in expression of ATF3 regulated genes.

Another relevant finding was that the numbers of DE genes in ascending DRG sensory neurons increased from 1 to 3 days after SNI but decreased from 1 to 3 days after SCI. This suggests that the SCI response is not sustained over time and could explain why few transcriptional changes in whole DRG were previously observed 7 days after SCI³. Why transcriptional responses would decrease in time after SCI is unclear, but one possibility is inhibitory signaling from newly established CSPGs at the injury site, which can be observed as early as 1 dpi⁶⁸. The effect of the environment on the neuronal transcriptional response is supported by the recent observation that a regenerative transcriptome is not sustained in corticospinal tract motor neurons, unless a graft of neural progenitor cells, which promotes robust regeneration, is provided⁶⁹. Another possibility is anatomical, since SCI leaves the peripheral sensory branch intact. Therefore, the continuous sensory input from the peripheral branch may restrict the expression of an injury response over time. This is consistent with the notion that electrical activity suppresses axon growth and limits regenerative ability in the injured CNS^{70–72}. In addition to leaving the

peripheral axon intact, thoracic SCI also leaves the descending axon branch in the spinal cord intact. Indeed, after entering the spinal cord, the central sensory axon bifurcates, with one axon branch ascending and one axon branch descending in the spinal cord⁷³. It has been shown by in vivo two-photon imaging that a surviving intact descending branch suppresses regenerative response of the injured ascending branch, while eliminating both leads to a strong regenerative response⁷⁴. It is thus possible that the spared descending axonal branch after thoracic SCI impairs the expression of a full regeneration program.

Our transcriptional profile analysis from whole DRG and FACS-sorted ascending DRG sensory neurons both suggested a potential role for downregulation of biosynthetic and lipid metabolic pathways after SCI in repressing axon regeneration. Decreased lipid metabolism after SCI in whole DRG is consistent with our recent observation that FASN expression in satellite glial cells promotes axon regeneration in peripheral nerves in part by activating PPAR α in satellite glial cells⁴⁶. Here we found that *Fasn* is also expressed in neurons, and is more significantly downregulated after SCI than SNI in ascending DRG sensory neurons. Using pure neuronal cultures, we found that FASN inhibition decreased neurite outgrowth and axon regeneration in vitro. The axon growth defect was rescued by the PPAR γ agonist rosiglitazone, suggesting that in neurons, FASN functions in part to generate ligands for PPAR γ which promotes neuronal regeneration after injury⁵⁴. We also observed that SCG10 accumulation at the tip of injured ascending DRG sensory axons is impaired after SCI. This is consistent with the notion that decline of intrinsic axon regenerative ability is associated with selective exclusion of key molecules from CNS axons, and that manipulation of transport can enhance regeneration⁷⁵. Whether SCG10 decreased transport after SCI is the result of decreased palmitoylation, a key function of FASN⁵⁵, remains to be tested. FASN is also thought to facilitate membrane outgrowth⁷⁶, in part by cooperating with protrudin, which is known to induce neurite formation⁷⁷ and axon regeneration in the adult CNS⁷⁸. Together, these findings indicate that FASN may impact axon growth at multiple levels. Whether FASN expression can increase axon growth after SCI will require future investigations.

Materials and methods

Experimental animals and surgical procedures. All mice were approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under protocol A3381-01. All experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. All experimental protocols involving mice were approved by Washington University School of Medicine (protocol #20,180,128). Mice were housed and cared for in the Washington University School of Medicine animal care facility. This facility is accredited by the Association for Assessment & Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AALAC) and conforms to the PHS guidelines for Animal Care. Accreditation—7/18/97, USDA Accreditation: Registration # 43-R-008.

All surgical procedures were performed under isoflurane anesthesia according to approved guidelines by the Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Adult female mice (C57/Bl6, Envigo and Thy1-YFP16, Jackson Laboratory; 10–20 weeks) were used due to ease of bladder voiding after SCI. Buprenorphine SR-LAB (1 mg/kg, subcutaneous, ZooPharm) was administered 1 h before surgery for analgesia. During surgery mice were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane. Surgical sites were shaved and disinfected with povidone-iodine solution (Ricca) and alcohol. After surgery underlying tissue was sutured with absorbable sutures and the skin closed with wound clips.

For sciatic nerve injury (SNI), a small skin incision (~1 cm) was made at mid thigh, the underlying tissue separated by blunt dissection, and the right sciatic nerve exposed and crushed with fine forceps for 5 s. For tracer injection into the sciatic nerve, 2 μ l of 10% dextran, Texas Red (Thermo Fisher, Cat # D-3328) was injected using a 5 μ l Hamilton syringe. For spinal cord injury (SCI), a small midline skin incision (~1 cm) was made over the thoracic vertebrae at T9–T10, the paraspinal muscles freed, and the vertebral column stabilized with metal clamps placed under the T9/10 transverse processes. Dorsal laminectomy at T9/10 was performed with laminectomy forceps, the dura removed with fine forceps, and the dorsal column transversely cut using fine iridectomy scissors.

Tissue processing and immunohistochemistry. Immediately after euthanasia mice were transcardially perfused with 10 mL of PBS followed by 10 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS (FD Neurotechnologies; PF101). Tissue was dissected and post-fixed in 4% PFA for 4 h and then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose overnight. All tissue was sectioned using a cryostat at 10 μ m except for spinal tissue (20 μ m). For immunohistochemistry, tissue sections were blocked in 5% donkey serum (Sigma-Aldrich; Catalog #D9663) in 0.2% PBST (1 h) and incubated overnight at 4 °C in primary antibodies diluted in the blocking solution. The next day sections were incubated in secondary antibodies (1:500; 1 h) in PBS and coverslipped in ProLong Gold antifade mounting media (Thermo Fisher). Between steps tissue sections were washed with PBS. For image acquisition, a Nikon TE-2000E microscope equipped with a Prior ProScan3 motorized stage was used. Nikon Elements software was used for image analysis.

Cell culture. For adult DRG cultures, mice were transcardially perfused immediately after euthanasia with 10 mL of Hanks' balanced salt solution with 10 mM HEPES (HBSS-H) and L4 DRG dissected into HBSS-H on ice. DRG were treated with papain (15 U/ml, Worthington Biochemical) and collagenase (1.5 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) in HBSS-H at 37 °C for 20 min, then dissociated by trituration into 3 or 4 wells of a 24-well glass-bottom plate coated with 100 μ g/ml poly-D-lysine and 3 μ g/ml laminin. Culture media consisted of Neurobasal-A medium, B27 plus, glutaMAX, and penicillin/streptomycin. For experiments assessing *Fasn* inhibition, vehicle (0.05% DMSO) or platenisimycin (1 μ M) was added to the media 30 min after plating. After 24 h cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min and then immunostained as described above.

For in vitro regeneration assay with embryonic DRG cultures, DRG were isolated from time pregnant e13.5 CD-1 mice. DRG were kept in cold HBSS media until all DRGs were collected. After a short centrifugation, dissection media was aspirated and ganglia were digested in 0.05% Trypsin–EDTA for 25 min in 37 °C. Next, cells were pelleted by centrifuging for 2 min at 500 × g, the supernatant was aspirated, and Neurobasal was added. Cells were then triturated 25 × and added to the growth medium containing Neurobasal media, B27 Plus, 1 ng/ml NGF, Glutamax and Pen/Strep, with 5 μM 5-deoxyfluoruridine (FDU). Approximately 10,000 cells were added to each well in a 2.5 μl spot. Spotted cells were allowed to adhere for 10 min before the addition of the growth medium. Plates were pre-coated with 100 μg/ml poly-D-lysine. Vehicle (0.05% DMSO), platensimycin (1 μM) (gift from Merck Research Labs) or rosiglitazone (10 μM) (Sigma-Aldrich; Catalog # R2408) was added to culture on DIV6. Cells were then injured using an 8 mm microtome blade on DIV7 and fixed 24 h later. Cells were washed with PBS and stained for SCG10 as described above.

Image analysis. Total neurons were identified using TUJ1 (BioLegend, Cat # 801202, 1:1000) or for neuronal nuclei with Islet-1 (Novus Biologicals, Cat # af1837-sp, 1:500) antibodies, while YFP positive neurons were identified by endogenous GFP expression. Nuclear expression of ATF3 (Novus Biologicals, Cat # NBP1-85816, 1:250) and Jun (Cell Signaling, Cat # 9165S, 1:500) was assessed in all Islet-1 positive neuronal nuclei. NF200 positive (Abcam, Cat # ab4680, 1:1000) and TrkA negative (EMD Millipore, Cat # 06-574, 1:500) staining was used to characterize ascending DRG sensory neurons in YFP positive neurons. For cell culture experiments in Thy1 YFP16 mice, percent axon initiation (neurons with axon length > 50 μm) and radial length (distance from soma to furthest axonal point) was manually measured for TUJ1 positive and YFP positive neurons. For FASN inhibition experiments in adult DRG cultures, automated axon tracing and neurons soma count was performed using Nikon NIS-Elements (Version 4.60) which is a commercial software package for image analysis, using “General Analysis” for skeletonize neurites with no background reduction. Parameters were set to Bright Spot Detection of circular area with typical soma diameter 50 μm, Cut Branches Recursively on Skeleton set to smaller than 15 px, Filter on Line Length was set to min 60 μm to remove short filaments. The specific analysis code for digital reconstruction of neurites is available upon request (requires NIS-Elements and General Analysis <https://www.microscope.healthcare.nikon.com/products/software/nis-elements>). For embryonic dorsal root ganglia experiments, regenerative length was measured from the visible blade mark to the end of the regenerating axons. Each technical replicate was measured 4–6 times and three technical replicates were measured per biological replicate. To assess ipsilateral versus contralateral SCG10 levels in the dorsal column, a rectangle was first drawn around the ipsilateral dextran-labeled conditioned axons (up to 1 mm away from the injury site), the image channel switched to show SCG10 labeling, and mean pixel intensity (SCG10 staining) measured using Nikon elements software. The rectangle was then moved to the immediate contralateral side of the dorsal column and SCG10 labeling similarly measured.

Whole DRG RNA sequencing. L4 DRG were dissected and homogenized in 300 μl of lysis buffer on ice and RNA purified using the PureLink RNA Mini kit (Thermo Fisher), which was submitted to the Genome Technology Access Center at Washington University for library preparation and sequencing. RNA quality was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer (260/280 > 1.9; RIN > 8.0). Samples were subjected to DNase treatment. rRNA depletion was achieved with the Ribo-Zero rRNA removal kit. Library preparation was performed using the SMARTer kit (Clontech), and sequencing performed on an Illumina HiSeq3000.

Briefly, sequences are adapter-trimmed using Cutadapt⁷⁹ and subjected to quality control using PRINSEQ⁸⁰ and aligned to mouse genome GRCm38/mm10 using STAR⁸¹. Sequencing performance was assessed for total number of aligned reads, total number of uniquely aligned reads, genes and transcripts detected, ribosomal fraction, known junction saturation, and reads distribution over known gene models with RSeQC⁸². Reads in features were counted using HTseq⁸³. Genes differentially expressed between conditions were identified using DESeq2 with a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p values < 0.1, which includes a Benjamini–Hochberg correction⁸⁴. Variance stabilizing transformation (VST) normalized counts were calculated using DESeq2, and normalized gene counts were converted to Z scores for plotting. Heatmaps were generated using heatmap.2 function of the gplots R package.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting RNA sequencing (FACS-seq). L4 DRG from Thy1-YFP16 mice were dissociated as described above and FACS-sorted as previously described³⁹. Briefly, after dissociation cells were passed through a 70 μm cell strainer and resuspended in PBS with 2% fetal calf serum. L4 DRG cells were sorted by GFP signal in triplicate for each sample (100 cells per well; each sample of 100 cells was obtained from one mouse) and submitted to the Genome Technology Access Center at Washington University for library preparation and sequencing. Library preparation was performed using the SMARTer Ultra LowRNA kit (Clontech) and sequencing performed on an Illumina HiSeq3000. RNA quality was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer (260/280 > 1.9; RIN > 8.0).

Differentially expressed gene analysis were performed as described above except noted below. Genes with < 20 reads in all samples were excluded from further analysis. Outlier samples were identified using robust principal component analysis according to the ROBPCA, and GRID algorithms implemented in rrcov R package and were removed from further analysis⁸⁵. The factors of unwanted variation were estimated using RUVr with k = 2 and were modeled in the DESeq2 design formula⁸⁶.

ATF3 motif analysis. ATF3 position frequency matrix (MA0605.1) were obtained from JASPAR database (<http://jaspar.genereg.net/>). The Patser program calculates the probability of observing a sequence with a particular score or greater^{41,87} for the given matrix and determines the default cutoff score based on that p value.

Sequence 5 kb upstream of ATG start codon of a given gene was scanned using Patser to identify ATF3 binding sites. Any gene with at least one ATF3 binding site was counted as ATF3 target gene. R package clusterProfiler⁸⁸ was used for GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis and plotting. GO^{89,90} and KEGG pathway terms^{17–19} with FDR corrected p value < 0.05 were considered as significant.

Data deposition. FASTQ files were deposited at the NCBI GEO database. Accession: GSE149646.
Reviewer token: otqlcagytrmdryd.

Statistical analysis. All quantifications used for statistical analysis was performed by experimenters blinded to treatment conditions. DESeq2 with a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p values < 0.1. GraphPad Prism software was used for statistical analysis (GraphPad Prism version 8.2.1 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com). Statistical tests, sample sizes, and p values are reported in the legend for each figure. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 or adjusted p < 0.1 for RNA-seq experiments. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Received: 29 May 2020; Accepted: 7 December 2020
Published online: 11 January 2021

References

- Sofroniew, M. V. Dissecting spinal cord regeneration. *Nature* **557**, 343–350. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0068-4> (2018).
- Tran, A. P., Warren, P. M. & Silver, J. The biology of regeneration failure and success after spinal cord injury. *Physiol. Rev.* **98**, 881–917. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00017.2017> (2018).
- Kadota, K. *et al.* Combined intrinsic and extrinsic neuronal mechanisms facilitate bridging axonal regeneration one year after spinal cord injury. *Neuron* **64**, 165–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.09.016> (2009).
- Palmisano, I. *et al.* Epigenomic signatures underpin the axonal regenerative ability of dorsal root ganglia sensory neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0490-4> (2019).
- Neumann, S. & Woolf, C. J. Regeneration of dorsal column fibers into and beyond the lesion site following adult spinal cord injury. *Neuron* **23**, 83–91 (1999).
- Richardson, P. M. & Issa, V. M. Peripheral injury enhances central regeneration of primary sensory neurones. *Nature* **309**, 791–793 (1984).
- McQuarrie, I. G. & Grafstein, B. Axon outgrowth enhanced by a previous nerve injury. *Arch. Neurol.* **29**, 53–55 (1973).
- Blackmore, M. G. Molecular control of axon growth: insights from comparative gene profiling and high-throughput screening. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* **105**, 39–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-398309-1.00004-4> (2012).
- Mahar, M. & Cavalli, V. Intrinsic mechanisms of neuronal axon regeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **19**, 323–337. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0001-8> (2018).
- Gao, Y. *et al.* Activated CREB is sufficient to overcome inhibitors in myelin and promote spinal axon regeneration in vivo. *Neuron* **44**, 609–621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.10.030> (2004).
- Blackmore, M. G. *et al.* Kruppel-like Factor 7 engineered for transcriptional activation promotes axon regeneration in the adult corticospinal tract. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 7517–7522. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120684109> (2012).
- Wang, Z., Reynolds, A., Kirry, A., Nienhaus, C. & Blackmore, M. G. Overexpression of Sox11 promotes corticospinal tract regeneration after spinal injury while interfering with functional recovery. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 3139–3145. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2832-14.2015> (2015).
- Loh, Y. E. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine epigenetic dynamics in axon regeneration. *Epigenetics* **12**, 77–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2016.1264560> (2017).
- Yasko, J. R., Moss, I. L. & Mains, R. E. Transcriptional profiling of non-injured nociceptors after spinal cord injury reveals diverse molecular changes. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **12**, 284. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2019.00284> (2019).
- Thakur, M. *et al.* Defining the nociceptor transcriptome. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **7**, 87. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2014.00087> (2014).
- Shin, J. E., Ha, H., Kim, Y. K., Cho, Y. & DiAntonio, A. DLK regulates a distinctive transcriptional regeneration program after peripheral nerve injury. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **127**, 178–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.02.001> (2019).
- Kanehisa, M. & Goto, S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 27–30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27> (2000).
- Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., Morishima, K. & Tanabe, M. New approach for understanding genome variations in KEGG. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D590–D595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky962> (2019).
- Kanehisa, M. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular organisms. *Protein Sci.* **28**, 1947–1951. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3715> (2019).
- Fagoe, N. D., van Heest, J. & Verhaagen, J. Spinal cord injury and the neuron-intrinsic regeneration-associated gene program. *NeuroMol. Med.* **16**, 799–813. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12017-014-8329-3> (2014).
- Abe, N. & Cavalli, V. Nerve injury signaling. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* (2008).
- Rishal, I. & Fainzilber, M. Axon-soma communication in neuronal injury. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **15**, 32–42. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3609> (2014).
- Shin, J. *et al.* Dual leucine zipper kinase is required for retrograde injury signaling and axonal regeneration. *Neuron* **74**, 1015–1022 (2012).
- Posse de Chaves, E., Vance, D. E., Campenot, R. B. & Vance, J. E. Axonal synthesis of phosphatidylcholine is required for normal axonal growth in rat sympathetic neurons. *J. Cell Biol.* **128**, 913–918 (1995).
- Vance, J. E., Campenot, R. B. & Vance, D. E. The synthesis and transport of lipids for axonal growth and nerve regeneration. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1486**, 84–96 (2000).
- Vance, J. E., Pan, D., Campenot, R. B., Bussiere, M. & Vance, D. E. Evidence that the major membrane lipids, except cholesterol, are made in axons of cultured rat sympathetic neurons. *J. Neurochem.* **62**, 329–337 (1994).
- Lee, J. *et al.* The stem cell marker Prom1 promotes axon regeneration by down-regulating cholesterol synthesis via Smad signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 15955–15966. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920829117> (2020).
- Rosello-Busquets, C. *et al.* Cholesterol depletion regulates axonal growth and enhances central and peripheral nerve regeneration. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* **13**, 40. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00040> (2019).

29. Yang, C. *et al.* Rewiring neuronal glycerolipid metabolism determines the extent of axon regeneration. *Neuron* **105**, 276–292 e275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.10.009> (2020).
30. Raivich, G. *et al.* The AP-1 transcription factor c-Jun is required for efficient axonal regeneration. *Neuron* **43**, 57–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.06.005> (2004).
31. Renthal, W. *et al.* Transcriptional reprogramming of distinct peripheral sensory neuron subtypes after axonal injury. *Neuron* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.07.026> (2020).
32. Chandran, V. *et al.* A systems-level analysis of the peripheral nerve intrinsic axonal growth program. *Neuron* **89**, 956–970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.034> (2016).
33. Fagoe, N. D., Attwell, C. L., Kouwenhoven, D., Verhaagen, J. & Mason, M. R. Overexpression of ATF3 or the combination of ATF3, c-Jun, STAT3 and Smad1 promotes regeneration of the central axon branch of sensory neurons but without synergistic effects. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **24**, 6788–6800. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv383> (2015).
34. Feng, G. *et al.* Imaging neuronal subsets in transgenic mice expressing multiple spectral variants of GFP. *Neuron* **28**, 41–51 (2000).
35. Taylor-Clark, T. E. *et al.* Thy1.2 YFP-16 transgenic mouse labels a subset of large-diameter sensory neurons that lack TRPV1 expression. *PLoS one* **10**, e0119538, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119538> (2015).
36. Di Maio, A. *et al.* In vivo imaging of dorsal root regeneration: rapid immobilization and presynaptic differentiation at the CNS/PNS border. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **31**, 4569–4582. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4638-10.2011> (2011).
37. Niu, J. *et al.* Modality-based organization of ascending somatosensory axons in the direct dorsal column pathway. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **33**, 17691–17709. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3429-13.2013> (2013).
38. Usoskin, D. *et al.* Unbiased classification of sensory neuron types by large-scale single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 145–153. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3881> (2015).
39. Carlin, D., Halevi, A. E., Ewan, E. E., Moore, A. M. & Cavalli, V. Nociceptor deletion of Tsc2 enhances axon regeneration by inducing a conditioning injury response in dorsal root ganglia. *eNeuro* **6**, <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0168-19.2019> (2019).
40. Nguyen, M. Q., Le Pichon, C. E. & Ryba, N. Stereotyped transcriptomic transformation of somatosensory neurons in response to injury. *Elife* **8**, <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49679> (2019).
41. Hertz, G. Z. & Stormo, G. D. Identifying DNA and protein patterns with statistically significant alignments of multiple sequences. *Bioinformatics* **15**, 563–577. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/15.7.563> (1999).
42. Dean, J. M. & Lodhi, I. J. Structural and functional roles of ether lipids. *Protein Cell* **9**, 196–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-017-0423-5> (2018).
43. Vance, J. E., De Chaves, E. P., Campenot, R. B. & Vance, D. E. Role of axons in membrane phospholipid synthesis in rat sympathetic neurons. *Neurobiol. Aging* **16**, 493–498; discussion 498–499 (1995).
44. Wu, M. *et al.* Antidiabetic and antisteatotic effects of the selective fatty acid synthase (FAS) inhibitor platensimycin in mouse models of diabetes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 5378–5383. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002588108> (2011).
45. Nakato, M. *et al.* Neurite outgrowth stimulation by n-3 and n-6 PUFAs of phospholipids in apoE-containing lipoproteins secreted from glial cells. *J. Lipid Res.* **56**, 1880–1890. <https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M058164> (2015).
46. Avraham, O. *et al.* Satellite glial cells promote regenerative growth in sensory neurons. *Nature Communications* **volume 11, Article number: 4891** (2020) (2020).
47. Cho, Y. & Cavalli, V. HDAC5 is a novel injury-regulated tubulin deacetylase controlling axon regeneration. *EMBO J.* **31**, 3063–3078. <https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.160> (2012).
48. Cho, Y., Sloutsky, R., Naegle, K. M. & Cavalli, V. Injury-induced HDAC5 nuclear export is essential for axon regeneration. *Cell* **155**, 894–908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.004> (2013).
49. Cho, Y. *et al.* Activating injury-responsive genes with hypoxia enhances axon regeneration through neuronal HIF-1 α . *Neuron* **88**, 720–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.050> (2015).
50. Song, W., Cho, Y., Watt, D. & Cavalli, V. Tubulin-tyrosine ligase (TTL)-mediated increase in tyrosinated α -tubulin in injured axons is required for retrograde injury signaling and axon regeneration. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2015).
51. Shin, J. E., Geisler, S. & DiAntonio, A. Dynamic regulation of SCG10 in regenerating axons after injury. *Exp. Neurol.* **252**, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.11.007> (2014).
52. Varga, T., Czimmerer, Z. & Nagy, L. PPARs are a unique set of fatty acid regulated transcription factors controlling both lipid metabolism and inflammation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1007–1022**, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2011.02.014> (1812).
53. Lodhi, I. J. *et al.* Inhibiting adipose tissue lipogenesis reprograms thermogenesis and PPAR γ activation to decrease diet-induced obesity. *Cell Metab.* **16**, 189–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2012.06.013> (2012).
54. Lezana, J. P. *et al.* Axonal PPAR γ promotes neuronal regeneration after injury. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **76**, 688–701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22353> (2016).
55. Wakil, S. J., Stoops, J. K. & Joshi, V. C. Fatty acid synthesis and its regulation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **52**, 537–579. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.52.070183.002541> (1983).
56. Holland, S. M. & Thomas, G. M. Roles of palmitoylation in axon growth, degeneration and regeneration. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **95**, 1528–1539. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.24003> (2017).
57. Di Paolo, G. *et al.* Targeting of SCG10 to the area of the Golgi complex is mediated by its NH₂-terminal region. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 5175–5182. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.8.5175> (1997).
58. Lutjens, R. *et al.* Localization and targeting of SCG10 to the trans-Golgi apparatus and growth cone vesicles. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **12**, 2224–2234. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2000.00112.x> (2000).
59. Mar, F. M. *et al.* CNS axons globally increase axonal transport after peripheral conditioning. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **34**, 5965–5970. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4680-13.2014> (2014).
60. Riederer, B. M. *et al.* Regulation of microtubule dynamics by the neuronal growth-associated protein SCG10. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **94**, 741–745. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.2.741> (1997).
61. Morii, H., Shiraishi-Yamaguchi, Y. & Mori, N. SCG10, a microtubule destabilizing factor, stimulates the neurite outgrowth by modulating microtubule dynamics in rat hippocampal primary cultured neurons. *J. Neurobiol.* **66**, 1101–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.20295> (2006).
62. He, M. *et al.* Autophagy induction stabilizes microtubules and promotes axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 11324–11329. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611282113> (2016).
63. Seijffers, R., Mills, C. D. & Woolf, C. J. ATF3 increases the intrinsic growth state of DRG neurons to enhance peripheral nerve regeneration. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **27**, 7911–7920. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5313-06.2007> (2007).
64. Venkatesh, I., Simpson, M. T., Coley, D. M. & Blackmore, M. G. Epigenetic profiling reveals a developmental decrease in promoter accessibility during cortical maturation in vivo. *Neuroepigenetics* **8**, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepig.2016.10.002> (2016).
65. Seijffers, R., Allchorne, A. J. & Woolf, C. J. The transcription factor ATF-3 promotes neurite outgrowth. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* **32**, 143–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2006.03.005> (2006).
66. Palmisano, I. *et al.* Epigenomic signatures underpin the axonal regenerative ability of dorsal root ganglia sensory neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 1913–1924. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0490-4> (2019).
67. Venkatesh, I. & Blackmore, M. G. Selecting optimal combinations of transcription factors to promote axon regeneration: why mechanisms matter. *Neurosci. Lett.* **652**, 64–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2016.12.032> (2017).

68. Jones, L. L., Margolis, R. U. & Tuszynski, M. H. The chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans neurocan, brevican, phosphacan, and versican are differentially regulated following spinal cord injury. *Exp. Neurol.* **182**, 399–411. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-4886\(03\)00087-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-4886(03)00087-6) (2003).
69. Poplawski, G. H. D. *et al.* Injured adult neurons regress to an embryonic transcriptional growth state. *Nature* **581**, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2200-5> (2020).
70. Enes, J. *et al.* Electrical activity suppresses axon growth through Ca(v)1.2 channels in adult primary sensory neurons. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 1154–1164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.05.055> (2010).
71. Tedeschi, A. *et al.* The calcium channel subunit Alpha2delta2 suppresses axon regeneration in the adult CNS. *Neuron* **92**, 419–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.09.026> (2016).
72. Tedeschi, A. & He, Z. Axon regeneration: electrical silencing is a condition for regrowth. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, R713–714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.07.006> (2010).
73. Zheng, B., Lorenzana, A. O. & Ma, L. Understanding the axonal response to injury by in vivo imaging in the mouse spinal cord: a tale of two branches. *Exp. Neurol.* **318**, 277–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2019.04.008> (2019).
74. Lorenzana, A. O., Lee, J. K., Mui, M., Chang, A. & Zheng, B. A surviving intact branch stabilizes remaining axon architecture after injury as revealed by in vivo imaging in the mouse spinal cord. *Neuron* **86**, 947–954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.061> (2015).
75. Koseki, H. *et al.* Selective Rab11 transport and the intrinsic regenerative ability of CNS axons. *Elife*, <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26956> (2017).
76. Zhang, C., Lu, J., Su, H., Yang, J. & Zhou, D. Fatty acid synthase cooperates with protrudin to facilitate membrane outgrowth of cellular protrusions. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46569. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46569> (2017).
77. Shirane, M. & Nakayama, K. I. Protrudin induces neurite formation by directional membrane trafficking. *Science* **314**, 818–821. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134027> (2006).
78. Petrova, V. *et al.* Protrudin functions from the endoplasmic reticulum to support axon regeneration in the adult CNS. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5614. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19436-y> (2020).
79. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 10–12. <https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200> (2011).
80. Schmieder, R. & Edwards, R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 863–864. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026> (2011).
81. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635> (2013).
82. Wang, L., Wang, S. & Li, W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2184–2185. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356> (2012).
83. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638> (2015).
84. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8> (2014).
85. Chen, X., Zhang, B., Wang, T., Bonni, A. & Zhao, G. Robust principal component analysis for accurate outlier sample detection in RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinform.* **21**, 269. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-03608-0> (2020).
86. Risso, D., Ngai, J., Speed, T. P. & Dudoit, S. Normalization of RNA-seq data using factor analysis of control genes or samples. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 896–902. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2931> (2014).
87. Staden, R. Methods for calculating the probabilities of finding patterns in sequences. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* **5**, 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/5.2.89> (1989).
88. Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y. & He, Q. Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**, 284–287. <https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118> (2012).
89. The Gene Ontology, C. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D330–D338. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1055> (2019).
90. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The gene ontology consortium. *Nat Genet* **25**, 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/75556> (2000).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank members of the Cavalli lab for valuable discussions. We thank Kathleen Leahy for technical help with the embryonic DRG cultures. We also thank Avni Joshi for her help with image quantification. We thank Clay Semenkovich for helpful discussions on fatty acid synthesis and for providing us with the FASN inhibitor platensimycin. We also thank Anushree Seth and Madison Mack in association with InPrint for illustration in Figs. 1a and 2a. This work was funded in part by a post-doctoral fellowship from the Craig H. Nielsen Foundation to E.E.E., by NIH Grant NS096034, NS082446 and NS111719 to V.C.

Author contributions

E.E.E. and V.C. designed experiments. E.E.E. performed and analyzed experiments. O.A. performed in vitro DRG regeneration experiments. D.C. performed FACS of YFP positive neurons. T.M.G. and G.Z. analyzed RNAseq data. E.E.E. and V.C. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79624-0>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2021