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Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance through paracrine osteopontin signaling
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Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of gynecological malignancy–related deaths, due to its widespread intraperitoneal metastases and acquired chemoresistance. Mesothelial cells are an important cellular component of the ovarian cancer microenvironment that promote metastasis. However, their role in chemoresistance is unclear. Here, we investigated whether cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stemness in vitro and in vivo. We found that osteopontin is a key secreted factor that drives mesothelial-mediated ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stemness. Osteopontin is a secreted glycoprotein that is clinically associated with poor prognosis and chemoresistance in ovarian cancer. Mechanistically, ovarian cancer cells induced osteopontin expression and secretion by mesothelial cells through TGF-β signaling. Osteopontin facilitated ovarian cancer cell chemoresistance via the activation of the CD44 receptor, PI3K/AKT signaling, and ABC drug efflux transporter activity. Importantly, therapeutic inhibition of osteopontin markedly improved the efficacy of cisplatin in both human and mouse ovarian tumor xenografts. Collectively, our results highlight mesothelial cells as a key driver of ovarian cancer chemoresistance and suggest that therapeutic targeting of osteopontin may be an effective strategy for enhancing platinum sensitivity in ovarian cancer.

Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer is the leading cause of gynecological malignancy–related deaths (1). High-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) is the most prevalent form, which accounts for 70% to 80% of all mortalities (2). HGSOC usually presents at an advanced stage with malignant ascites and widespread metastases throughout the peritoneal cavity (3). The standard treatments for HGSOC are cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum and taxane–based chemotherapy (4). Although most HGSOCs are initially sensitive to first-line chemotherapy, acquired resistance to chemotherapeutic agents almost inevitably develops within 5 years (3). Thus, resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy is a major challenge in the clinical management of epithelial ovarian cancer.

The peritoneal cavity and internal organs are lined by a monolayer of cobblestone-like mesothelial cells (5). Mesothelial cells also constitute a substantial proportion of cells within the ascites fluid of HGSOC (6). Studies have suggested that mesothelial cells function as a “double-edged sword” in ovarian cancer progression. On one hand, as the outmost layer of peritoneum, they function as a mechanical barrier preventing attachment and invasion of cancer cells into the submesothelial extracellular matrix (ECM) (5). To gain access to the submesothelial layer, disseminated ovarian cancer spheroids use myosin-generated forces to drive mesothelial cell clearance (5, 7). On the other hand, cancer cell–mediated reprogramming activates mesothelial cells to express factors that promote ovarian cancer proliferation, adhesion, migration, and invasion (8–10). Thus, mesothelial cells are an important cellular component of the ovarian cancer microenvironment that facilitates tumor progression.

Osteopontin (OPN, also known as SPPI) is a multifunctional matricellular phosphoglycoprotein that is overexpressed in ovarian cancer. Clinically, OPN is a serum biomarker for ovarian cancer detection (11–13). In addition, elevated OPN in blood and ascites fluid is associated with platinum resistance and poor survival in ovarian cancer (14–16). OPN signals through CD44 and integrin receptors to activate multiple downstream signaling pathways, including PI3K/AKT, MEK/ERK, and JAK/STAT pathways (17–20). Functionally, OPN promotes metastasis, proliferation, stem-like phenotypes, chemoresistance, radiation resistance, and immune suppression in a variety of cancer types (21, 22). However, the regulation and functional role of OPN in ovarian cancer are poorly defined. Here, we report that bidirectional signaling between ovarian cancer cells and mesothelial cells promotes ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stemness through OPN. Our findings reveal that mesothelial cells are an abundant source of...
OPN in the ovarian cancer microenvironment and that therapeutic targeting of OPN may be an effective strategy for enhancing platinum sensitivity in ovarian cancer.

Results
Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance. To investigate the contribution of mesothelial cells to platinum resistance, we isolated cancer-associated mesothelial cells (CAM1 and CAM2) directly from the malignant ascites of ovarian cancer patients (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI146186DS1). Immunostaining confirmed that the primary mesothelial cells were positive for the mesothelial markers calretinin (8, 23, 24), cytokeratin, and vimentin (25) and were negative for markers of other cell types, including immune, endothelial, and fibroblast cells (Supplemental Figure 1A). Primary mesothelial cells displayed a char-
DNA damage (Figure 1, G–J). Similarly to what occurred in our findings above, coinjection of OVCAR8 ovarian cancer cells with LP9 mesothelial cells reduced the efficacy of cisplatin treatment in vivo (Supplemental Figure 1, C–E). It should be noted that the origin of the OVCAR8 ovarian cancer cell line is not clear (28). While OVCAR8 cells are p53 deficient and have been utilized as an HGSOC cancer cell line, OVCAR8 cells also have mutations that are associated with nonserous ovarian cancer (29–31). Together, these findings demonstrate that cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer cisplatin resistance in OC8 and OVCAR8 xenograft models.

Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer cell chemoresistance through secreted factor(s). To determine whether the chemoprotective effect of cancer-associated mesothelial cells is mediated by secreted factors, we compared the platinum sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells cultured alone or in indirect coculture with those of cancer-associated mesothelial cells (Figure 2, A–C). Effect of LP9 coculture on cisplatin resistance of OC8 cells in vivo. Tumor cells were indirectly cocultured with or without LP9 mesothelial cells in vitro and then were injected subcutaneously into immunodeficient mice, followed by treatment with or without cisplatin every 3 days for 3 cycles. Tumor growth curve is shown in A (n = 6–9 mice per group). Representative xenograft images are shown in B. Xenograft weights at the end point are shown in C. Arrows show scheme of cisplatin treatment: magenta arrows for mesothelial cell–conditioned groups, black arrows for unconditioned OC8 cell groups. (D and E) Effect of LP9 or LP3 coculture on the cisplatin sensitivity of OC8 cells. Cell viability is normalized to its untreated control and statistically compared with OC8 monoculture group (n = 3–5). (F) Percentages of annexin V+ apoptotic OC8 cells with or without LP9 preconditioning. Each group is statistically compared with OC8 monoculture group (n = 3). (G) Western blot analysis of cisplatin-induced apoptotic markers in OC8 after LP9 coculture. (H) Effect of conditioned media (CM) from HPMCs and cancer-associated mesothelial cells on OC8 cisplatin sensitivity. Cell viability is normalized to its untreated control and statistically compared with control media group (n = 3). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. **P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA (A, C–F, and H).
As most ovarian cancer patients eventually succumb to resistance to multiple chemoreagents (3), we extended our studies to include additional chemotherapeutic agents utilized in the clinical management of ovarian cancer. We observed similar protective effects of LP9 and LP3 mesothelial cells on ovarian cancer cell sensitivity to carboplatin in both OC8 and OVCAR8 cells and to paclitaxel in OVCAR8 cells (Supplemental Figure 3, A–D).

Injection were resistant to cisplatin treatment compared with OC8 cells grown as single cultures (Figure 2, A–C). In addition, cancer-associated mesothelial cell (LP9, LP3, or CAM2) coculture reduced the cisplatin sensitivity of OC8 and OVCAR8 cells in vitro (Figure 2, D and E, and Supplemental Figure 2, B–D). LP9 coculture reduced cisplatin-induced cell apoptosis in OC8 and OVCAR8 cancer cells in vitro (Figure 2, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 2, E and F).

Figure 3. Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer stemness through secreted factors. (A and B) Effect of LP9 coinjection (A, n = 20–22 mice per group) or coculture (B, n = 24 mice per group) on OC8 tumor incidence in immunodeficient mice. (C and D) In vivo limiting dilution assays showing tumor formation rate (red portion) of LP9 coinjected (C) or in vitro cocultured (D) OC8 tumors at indicated cancer cell numbers (n = 4–5 mice per group). (E) Sphere-formation assay of OC8 cells after LP9 coculture. Representative sphere images and quantification of sphere number fold increase (n = 5). Scale bars: 200 μm. (F) Real-time PCR analysis showing relative mRNA expression of the stemness markers NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2, and ALDH1A1 in OC8 after LP9 coculture, as normalized to GAPDH mRNA (n = 3). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 2-tailed Student’s t test (E and F).
Next we sought to determine whether human primary mesothelial cells (HPMCs) derived from patients with benign disease have a similar protective effect on ovarian cancer cell platinum sensitivity (8). Compared with the conditioned media collected from cancer-associated mesothelial cells (CAM1, CAM2, LP9, and LP3), the conditioned media from 3 independent HPMCs did not affect ovarian cancer cell (OC8, OVCAR8, CAOV3, or SNU119) cisplatin sensitivity in vitro (Figure 2H and Supplemental Figure 4, A–C). Overall, our results demonstrate that cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance through soluble factor(s).

Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer stemness through secreted factor(s). Multidrug resistance has been linked to cancer stemness (32). To determine whether cancer-associated mesothelial cells induce ovarian cancer stemness, we compared the tumor incidence of ovarian cancer cells injected alone or together with cancer-associated mesothelial cells. LP9 and CAM1 mesothelial cells enhanced the tumor-formation rate of OC8 and OVCAR8 xenografts (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 5, A and B). In addition, preconditioning OC8 cells with LP9 cells in vitro also enhanced OC8 tumor formation (Figure 3B). A gold standard assay to assess cancer stem cell (CSC) enrichment is the in vivo limiting dilution assay, which examines tumorigenic rate with serial dilutions of cancer cells (33). In this assay, the tumor formation rate was higher at low cell numbers in OC8 cells that were

---

**Figure 4.** Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer organoid formation through secreted factors. (A) Representative H&E images of ovarian cancer organoids in 3D. Scale bars: 100 μm (upper panels); 50 μm (lower panels). (B) Ovarian cancer organoid formation per 10,000 initially encapsulated EpCAM+ ovarian cancer cells with addition of paired CAM1-conditioned media or control media (n = 3). (C) Ovarian cancer organoid diameter (defined as cell clusters > 50 μm in diameter with lumen) with addition of paired CAM1-conditioned media or control media. Each point represents 1 organoid, n.d., none detected. (D) Immunofluorescent staining of cytokeratin-8 (magenta) and EpCAM (green) in ovarian cancer organoids grown in CAM1-conditioned media or in control media. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 50 μm. (E) Immunofluorescence of F-actin (red) and stemness marker ALDH1A1 (green) in ovarian cancer organoids grown in CAM1-conditioned media or in control media. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 50 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. ***P < 0.001, 2-tailed Student’s t test (B).
Coinjected or preconditioned with LP9 cells compared with OC8 cells alone, suggesting that cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer cell stemness through secreted factors (Figure 3, C and D). In vitro sphere formation correlates with the enrichment of ovarian CSCs (32). We observed that cancer-associated mesothelial cell (LP9 and LP3) indirect cocultures enhanced ovarian cancer cell (OC8 and OVCAR8) tumor-sphere formation when seeded under low-attachment conditions (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 5, C–E). At the molecular level, cancer-associated mesothelial cell (LP9, LP3, and CAM2) indirect cocultures enhanced the expression of stemness markers, including NANO5, OCT3/4, SOX2 and, ALDH1A1 in ovarian cancer cells (OC8 and OVCAR8 cells; Figure 3F, Supplemental Figure 5, F–H, and refs. 32, 34, 35). ALDH activity is a functional marker of ovarian CSCs (33, 36). LP9 mesothelial cells increased the percentages of ALDH + OC8 and OVCAR8 cells in vitro (Supplemental Figure 5I) and in coinjected or preconditioned tumor xenografts (Supplemental Figure 5, J–L).

Finally, we utilized a 3D organoid approach to determine whether patient-matched cancer-associated mesothelial cells facilitate the initiation and growth of primary ovarian cancer organoids. We sorted EpCAM + cancer cells from ovarian cancer patient ascites and cultured them in 3D hydrogels with the stimulation of patient-matched primary mesothelial cell–conditioned media. Strikingly, the patient-derived conditioned media induced the formation of organoids with well-organized lumen structures compared with smaller, unorganized cell clusters in the samples with control media (Figure 4A). Moreover, the efficiency of ovarian cancer cell organoid formation and growth was markedly enhanced with the patient-derived conditioned media compared with control media (Figure 4, B and C). Organoids and cell clusters stained positive for EpCAM and the ovarian cancer marker cytokeratin-8, confirming the expression of stemness markers, including NANO5, OCT3/4, SOX2 and, ALDH1A1 in ovarian cancer cells (OC8 and OVCAR8 cells; Figure 3F, Supplemental Figure 5, F–H, and refs. 32, 34, 35). ALDH activity is a functional marker of ovarian CSCs (33, 36). LP9 mesothelial cells increased the percentages of ALDH + OC8 and OVCAR8 cells in vitro (Supplemental Figure 5I) and in coinjected or preconditioned tumor xenografts (Supplemental Figure 5, J–L).
ing their ovarian cancer epithelial origin (Figure 4D). Interestingly, only the organoids treated with the patient-derived mesothelial cell–conditioned media expressed the ovarian CSC markers ALDH1A1 and CD44, suggesting the CSC population was enriched in these samples (Figure 4E, Supplemental Figure 5M, and Supplemental Video 1). Collectively, our data suggest that cancer-associated mesothelial cell–derived factor or factors promote ovarian cancer stemness.

Figure 6. Ovarian cancer cells induce OPN expression in mesothelial cells through TGF-β signaling. (A) Real-time PCR analysis of relative OPN mRNA expression in HPMCs alone or cocultured with ovarian cancer cells, as normalized to GAPDH mRNA and statistically compared with HPMC cultured–alone group (n = 3). (B) ELISA quantification of OPN concentration in conditioned media from HPMCs alone or HPMCs cocultured with various ovarian cancer cells. Each group is statistically compared with HPMC cultured–alone group (n = 3). (C) Real-time PCR analysis of relative TGFβ1, TGFβ2, and TGFβ3 expression in HPMCs or ovarian cancer cells, as normalized to GAPDH mRNA (n = 3). (D) ELISA quantification of TGF-β1 concentration in conditioned media from HPMCs or ovarian cancer cells (n = 3). (E) ELISA quantification of OPN concentration in conditioned media from HPMCs treated with PBS or TGF-β1 (10 ng/ml) for 3 days (n = 3). (F) ELISA quantification of OPN concentration in conditioned media from HPMCs cultured in control media, HPMCs treated with ovarian cancer cell–conditioned media, or HPMCs treated with ovarian cancer cell–conditioned media plus SB431542 (SB). Group with ovarian cancer cell–conditioned media plus SB431542 treatment is statistically compared with respective ovarian cancer cell–conditioned media treated–alone group. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 1-way ANOVA (A, B, and F) and 2-tailed Student’s t test (E).
To identify proteins that may contribute to cancer-associated mesothelial cell–mediated chemoprotection, we first compared cytokines secreted by HPMC1 and CAM1 mesothelial cells using Ab cytokine arrays. Several cytokines were detected at higher levels in CAM1-conditioned media compared with the HPMC1-conditioned media (Figure 5A), reinforcing the notion of a cytokine-rich microenvironment favored by CAMs (10). We noted that one of the cytokines with the greatest increase in CAM1-conditioned media was OPN (Figure 5A). We confirmed by ELISA that cancer-associated mesothelial cells (CAM1, CAM2, and LP9) secrete higher levels of OPN compared with HPMCs (HPMC1, HPMC2).

Cancer-associated mesothelial cells secrete OPN in the ovarian cancer microenvironment. To identify cancer-associated mesothelial cell–derived factor or factors that promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance, we first fractionated LP9-conditioned media with 3, 10, and 100 kDa cutoff filters to determine the effect of each fraction on OC8 cisplatin sensitivity. Only the fractions of more than 3 kDa and more than 10 kDa maintained the chemoprotective effect of LP9-conditioned media on OC8 cells (Supplemental Figure 6A). Furthermore, proteinase K digestion abrogated the protective effect, suggesting that protein or proteins between 10 and 100 kDa are responsible for LP9-mediated chemoresistance (Supplemental Figure 6A).

To identify proteins that may contribute to cancer-associated mesothelial cell–mediated chemoprotection, we first compared cytokines secreted by HPMC1 and CAM1 mesothelial cells using Ab cytokine arrays. Several cytokines were detected at higher levels in CAM1-conditioned media compared with the HPMC1-conditioned media (Figure 5A), reinforcing the notion of a cytokine-rich microenvironment favored by CAMs (10). We noted that one of the cytokines with the greatest increase in CAM1-conditioned media was OPN (Figure 5A). We confirmed by ELISA that cancer-associated mesothelial cells (CAM1, CAM2, and LP9) secrete higher levels of OPN compared with HPMCs (HPMC1, HPMC2, HPMC3).
Figure 8. Cancer-associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer cell chemoresistance and ABC drug transporter activity through OPN, CD44, and PI3K/AKT signaling. (A) and (B) Effect of the anti-CD44 blocking Ab and/or integrin-blocking RGD peptide on LP9-conditioned media–mediated cisplatin resistance (A) or sphere formation increase (B) of OvC8 cells. Each group is statistically compared with LP9-conditioned media plus control Ab plus RGE group (n = 3 or 4). (C) Western blot showing PI3K/AKT signaling in OvC8 cells cocultured with LP9 shControl or LP9 shOPN cells. (D) Western blot showing PI3K/AKT signaling in OvC8 cells treated with exogenous OPN and/or anti-CD44 blocking Ab. (E) Effect of PI3K/AKT pathway inhibitor LY294002 on OPN-mediated cisplatin resistance of OvC8 cells. Each group is statistically compared with exogenous OPN–alone group (n = 4). (F) Fold increase of relative mRNA expression of ABC transporters in OC8 with LP9 coculture versus OC8 monoculture, as normalized to GAPDH mRNA in real-time PCR analysis (n = 3). (G and H) Multidrug resistance assay detecting the activity of major types of ABC transporters (MDR1, MRPI, and BCRP) in OvC8 cells treated with LP9-conditioned media and/or anti-OPN Ab. Cyan histograms show dye retention of untreated cell, and red histograms show dye retention of respective inhibitor-treated cells in G. The same untreated cells in cyan are used in each row of histograms. Multidrug resistance activity factor (MCF) indicative of corresponding ABC drug transporter activity is shown in H and statistically compared with LP9-conditioned media plus control Ab group (n = 3). (I and J) Multidrug resistance assay detecting ABC transporter activity in OvC8 cells treated with LP9-conditioned media and/or anti-CD44 blocking Ab (I) or the PI3K/AKT inhibitor LY294002 (J). MAF indicates corresponding ABC protein activity and is statistically compared with LP9-conditioned media or LP9-conditioned media plus control Ab group (n = 3). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA (A, B, E, and H–J) and 2-tailed Student’s t test (F).

and HPMCs; Figure 5B). In addition, OPN mRNA expression was increased in cancer-associated mesothelial cells compared with HPMCs (Figure 5, C and D, and ref. 37).

Next, we compared OPN levels between cancer-associated mesothelial cells and ovarian cancer cells. Using cytokine Ab microarrays, we found that OPN was among the top cytokines increased in LP9-conditioned media compared with OVCAR8-conditioned media (Supplemental Figure 6B). We confirmed by ELISA, real-time PCR analysis, and high-resolution single-cell microscopy that cancer-associated mesothelial cells express and secrete higher levels of OPN compared with ovarian cancer cells (Supplemental Figure 6, C–G). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that cancer-associated mesothelial cells express higher levels of OPN compared with HPMCs and ovarian cancer cells.

Ovarian cancer cells disseminate through the malignant ascites with total ascites cells and found a strong correlation between calretinin–positive mesothelial cells and OPN concentration within the malignant ascites of individual patients (Figure 5G). Real-time PCR and array-profiling analyses confirmed a positive correlation between OPN and calretinin mRNA expression in ascites cells and peritoneal and omental metastases (Figure 5H, Supplemental Figure 6, J and K, and refs. 39, 40). In addition, high OPN expression in peritoneal metastatic lesions was associated with poor patient survival (Supplemental Figure 6L and ref. 40). Taken together, these data suggest that mesothelial cells are an abundant source of OPN in the ovarian cancer microenvironment.

Ovarian cancer cells induce OPN expression in mesothelial cells through TGF-β signaling. Since mesothelial cells in the ovarian cancer microenvironment secrete higher levels of OPN than HPMCs, we hypothesized that ovarian cancer cells induce OPN expression and secretion in mesothelial cells. Indeed, indirect coculture of HPMCs (HPMC1, HPMC2, and HPMC3) with HGSOCS cells (OC8, CAOV3, and SNU119) increased OPN expression and secretion in HPMCs (Figure 6, A and B).

TGF-β is a potent inducer of OPN expression (41–43) and has been shown to activate mesothelial cells to promote ovarian cancer metastasis (9, 37, 44). To determine whether ovarian cancer cells induce OPN expression in mesothelial cells via TGF-β signaling, we first compared the expression of the TGFBR1–3 isoforms in mesothelial cells and ovarian cancer cells by real-time PCR analysis. TGFBR1 was the only isoform that was consistently higher in ovarian cancer cells compared with HPMCs (Figure 6C). ELISA confirmed higher levels of secreted TGF-β1 by HGSOCS cells (OC8, CAOV3, and SNU119) compared with HPMCs (HPMC1, HPMC2, and HPMC3; Figure 6D). Treatment with recombinant human TGF-β1 was sufficient to increase OPN secretion by HPMCs (Figure 6E). Moreover, the TGF-β receptor inhibitor SB431542 reduced OPN secretion in ovarian cancer cell–conditioned HPMCs (Figure 6F). These data suggest that ovarian cancer–derived TGF-β signaling induces OPN expression and secretion by mesothelial cells.

OPN signaling is necessary and sufficient for mesothelial cell–mediated ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stemness. To determine whether OPN is necessary and sufficient to promote cancer-associated mesothelial cell–mediated ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stem-like phenotypes, we treated OC8 and OVCAR8 ovarian cancer cells with recombinant human OPN and assessed their chemosensitivity and stem-like phenotypes. Exogenous OPN enhanced cisplatin resistance of OC8 and OVCAR8 cells (Figure 7A and Supplemental Figure 7A). In addition, OPN treatment increased OC8 and OVCAR8 sphere formation and the expression of the stemness markers, including NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2, and ALDH1A1 (Figure 7, B–D, and Supplemental Figure 7, B–D). OPN knockdown, which did not impact LP9 cell viability (Supplemental Figure 8, A and B), reduced the ability of LP9 mesothelial cells to promote OC8 and OVCAR8 cell cisplatin resistance (Figure 7E and Supplemental Figure 8, C and D). As a control, the addition of OPN to LP9
OPN knockdown–derived (shOPN-derived) conditioned media largely restored the chemoprotective effect of LP9-conditioned media on OC8 cells (Supplemental Figure 8C). OPN knockdown also inhibited LP9-mediated tumor-sphere formation and stemness marker expression in OC8 and OVCAR8 cells (Figure 7, F and G, and Supplemental Figure 9, A–D). These data suggest that OPN is a key factor driving mesothelial cell–mediated ovarian cancer chemoresistance and stemness.

Figure 9. Therapeutic inhibition of OPN enhances the efficacy of cisplatin in human and mouse ovarian cancer xenografts. (A and B) Effect of preincubation with an anti-OPN Ab in the absence or presence of LP9-conditioned media on cisplatin sensitivity of OC8 subcutaneous tumors in immunodeficient mice (n = 7 mice per group). Tumor growth curves are shown in A. Xenograft weights at the end point are shown in B. Arrows show scheme of cisplatin treatment every 3 days for 3 cycles: magenta for LP9-conditioned media with control Ab groups, black for other groups. (C and D) Representative images (C) and quantification of cisplatin-DNA adduct immunofluorescence staining (D) in tumors of OC8 model. Quantification of positive cells (percentages of control media with control Ab group) is based on 5 random fields from 3 tumors in each group. Each dot represents 1 field. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 100 μm. (E and F) Representative images of tumor metastases (highlighted by white circles in E) in female C57BL/6J mice injected intraperitoneally with ID8 cells and then treated with a mutant OPN aptamer (mut apt) as control, cisplatin, OPN aptamer (OPN apt), or combination therapy (n = 9 mice per group). Tumor weight, tumor number, ascites volume, and omentum weights at the end point are shown in F. Each group is statistically compared with control group in F. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA (A and B), 2-tailed Student’s t test (D) and 1-way ANOVA test (F).
Several integrin family members, including OPN to promote chemoresistance relies on downstream signals transduced via CD44 and/or integrin receptors on ovarian cancer cells (22). We first examined CD44 and integrin family expression levels on the surface of OC8 and OVCAR8 cells and found that the percentages of OC8 and OVCAR8 cells that were positive for CD44 increased following LP9 coculture (Supplemental Figure 10, A, D, and E). Real-time PCR analysis confirmed an increase in CD44 mRNA expression in LP9-cocultured OC8 and OVCAR8 cells (Supplemental Figure 10F).

Several integrin family members, including \( \alpha_5 \beta_1, \alpha_5 \beta_3, \alpha_6 \beta_3, \alpha_6 \beta_1, \alpha_5 \beta_3, \alpha_5 \beta_1, \) and \( \alpha_5 \beta_5, \) bind to OPN in an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequence–dependent manner (45, 46). Thus, we evaluated cell-surface expression of integrins \( \alpha_i, \) which are present in all of these RGD–dependent integrin heterodimers. Both integrin \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \) subunits were expressed by the majority of OC8 and OVCAR8 cells in the absence or presence of LP9 coculture (Supplemental Figure 10, B, C, G, and H).

To determine which receptor or receptors contribute to OPN-mediated chemoresistance, we determined the cisplatin sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells conditioned with LP9 media in combination with a control, anti-CD44 blocking Ab and/or a competitive inhibitor (RGD peptide) targeting the RGD–dependent integrin receptors. In the OC8, CAOV3, and SNU119 cells, blocking CD44 was sufficient to reverse LP9–mediated cisplatin resistance, while the integrin-inhibiting RGD peptide did not affect LP9–mediated ovarian cancer cell cisplatin resistance (Figure 8A and Supplemental Figure 11, A and B). In the OVCAR8 model, both CD44 and integrin inhibition reduced LP9–mediated chemoresistance (Supplemental Figure 11C). CD44 blockade also repressed ovarian cancer cell cisplatin resistance induced by exogenous OPN (Supplemental Figure 12, A–D). In addition to reducing cancer–associated mesothelial–mediated chemoresistance, CD44 blockade with the anti-CD44 blocking Ab reduced LP9–mediated ovarian cancer cell sphere formation (Figure 8B and Supplemental Figure 13, A–C). Together, these findings suggest that CD44 signaling in ovarian cancer cells plays an important role in mediating the chemoprotective effect of cancer–associated mesothelial cells and OPN.

OPN has been reported to induce cancer progression via CD44 receptor and PI3K/AKT signaling (18, 47–50). We found that LP9 cocultures activated PI3K/AKT signaling in ovarian cancer cells in an OPN–dependent manner (Figure 8C and Supplemental Figure 14A). In addition, recombinant human OPN induced PI3K/AKT signaling in ovarian cancer cells and CD44 blockade with the anti-CD44 blocking Ab reduced PI3K/AKT activation by OPN (Figure 8D and Supplemental Figure 14B). To determine whether PI3K/AKT signaling contributes to OPN–induced chemoresistance, we determined the cisplatin sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells treated with human recombinant OPN and the PI3K/AKT inhibitor LY294002 (51). LY294002 restored cisplatin sensitivity in OPN–treated ovarian cancer cells (OC8, OVCAR8, CAOV3, and SNU119; Figure 8E and Supplemental Figure 14, C–E). In summary, these data suggest that CD44 and PI3K/AKT signaling play a role in mediating OPN–induced chemoresistance of ovarian cancer cells.

It is well established that enhanced drug efflux mediated by the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters contributes to ovarian cancer cell chemoresistance (52). OPN has been reported to upregulate ABC transporter expression and drug efflux in prostate cancer cells (53). Thus, we hypothesized that cancer–associated mesothelial cell–secreted OPN promotes ovarian cancer chemoresistance by inducing ABC transporter activity in ovarian cancer cells. We first examined ABC transporter gene expression in ovarian cancer cells cocultured with cancer–associated mesothelial cells. Several ABC family transporters that are associated with ovarian cancer poor prognosis, including multi–drug resistance protein 1 (MRP1, ABCB1; ref. 54), MRP4 (ABCC4; ref. 55), MRP6 (ABCC6), and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, ABCG2; refs. 56, 57), were induced in OC8 and OVCAR8 cells cocultured with LP9 mesothelial cells (Figure 8F and Supplemental Figure 14F). In flow cytometry–based multidrug resistance assay analysis, LP9–conditioned media decreased the retention of the EFLUXX–ID fluororescent dye (58) in OC8 and OVCAR8 cells in an OPN–dependent manner, indicating that cancer–associated mesothelial cells promote ovarian cancer cell drug efflux through OPN signaling (Figure 8, G and H, and Supplemental Figure 14G). Moreover, we found that the enhanced drug efflux in LP9–conditioned ovarian cancer cells was dependent upon ABC drug transporter activity, suggesting that enhanced ABC transporter activity contributes to cancer–associated mesothelial cell and OPN–mediated ovarian cancer cell chemoresistance (Figure 8, B and H, and Supplemental Figure 14G). Treatment with either the CD44–blocking Ab or the PI3K/AKT inhibitor LY294002 rescued the multidrug–resistant phenotype induced by LP9–conditioned media (Figure 8, I and J, and Supplemental Figure 14, H and I). Together, these findings suggest a model in which cancer–associated mesothelial cells secrete OPN and enhance platinum drug efflux through the activation of CD44/PI3K/AKT/ABC drug transporter activity.

Therapeutic targeting of OPN improves the efficacy of cisplatin in human and mouse ovarian tumor xenografts. To determine the therapeutic potential of the anti–OPN neutralizing Ab to restore cisplatin sensitivity in mesothelial cell–conditioned ovarian cancer cells, we compared the cisplatin sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells cultured with cancer–associated mesothelial cell–conditioned media alone or together with an anti–OPN neutralizing Ab. The anti–OPN Ab increased the cancer–associated mesothelial cell–primed ovarian cancer cell sensitivity to cisplatin in vitro (Supplemental Figure 15, A and B). Moreover, the anti–OPN Ab reduced the tumor–formation rate, enhanced the efficacy of cisplatin, and increased cisplatin–modified DNA in OC8 xenografts preconditioned with LP9–conditioned media (Figure 9, A–D, and Supplemental Figure 15C). This observation is consistent with our in vitro studies showing OPN regulation of ABC drug transporters in ovarian cancer cells (Figure 8H).

To determine whether OPN blockade could enhance the efficacy of cisplatin treatment in a more physiologically relevant model, we utilized the ID8 syngeneic murine model of ovarian cancer peritoneal metastasis. The ID8 ovarian cancer model is widely utilized as a model of ovarian cancer, as it metastasizes throughout the peritoneal cavity, significantly affects the bowel, and forms ascites similar to that seen in HGSOC patients (59). However, it should be noted that, at the molecular level, the ID8 model does not harbor mutations commonly associated with HGSOC, including Trp53, Brca1, Brca2, Nf1, or Rbl1 (60). Similarly to what occurs with ascites of ovarian cancer patients, the ascites fluid in the ID8...
murine model of ovarian cancer contained OPN-positive mesothelial cells (Supplemental Figure 15D). ID8 tumor-bearing mice were treated with an aptamer against OPN alone or in combination with cisplatin (61–64). A mutant aptamer with poor OPN-binding ability was used as control for the OPN-targeted aptamer in this model (61–64). The combined treatment of the OPN-targeted aptamer with cisplatin decreased total tumor weight and the tumor-bearing omental weight and also reduced tumor number and ascites volume compared with cisplatin with the mutant aptamer or the OPN-targeted aptamer alone (Figure 9, E and F). In summary, our observations suggest that targeting OPN signaling may be an effective therapeutic strategy for potentiating the efficacy of cisplatin in the treatment of metastatic ovarian cancer.

Discussion
Accumulating evidence suggests that the tumor microenvironment plays an important role in shaping tumor heterogeneity and drug resistance (65). Thus, identifying and targeting factors in the tumor microenvironment that promote resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy has the potential to improve outcomes in patients with advanced ovarian cancer. Peritoneal mesothelial cells line the visceral and parietal surfaces of the peritoneal cavity and are also a major cellular component of malignant ascites fluid (66,67). In our study, we found that 8.56% to 80.81% of ovarian cancer patient ascites cells were calretinin-positive mesothelial cells. Here, we demonstrate that patient-derived mesothelial cells from ascites fluid secrete OPN to enhance ovarian cancer cell stemness and platinum resistance. Importantly, therapeutic blockade of OPN enhanced ovarian cancer cell platinum sensitivity in coculture models and in tumor xenografts. These findings identify mesothelial cells as a key cellular component of the ovarian tumor microenvironment that promote chemoresistance and suggest that targeting OPN may be an effective therapeutic strategy for restoring platinum sensitivity in ovarian cancer.

We found that cancer-associated mesothelial cells from HGSOC patients expressed higher levels of OPN compared with mesothelial cells from patients with benign disease. Moreover, ovarian cancer cells induced OPN expression and secretion in mesothelial cells in a TGF-β1-dependent manner. TGF-β1 is highly expressed in ovarian cancer malignant ascites and omental metastases (68,69). Recent studies have shown that TGF-β1 stimulation increases fibronectin expression and mesenchymal transition of mesothelial cells to facilitate ovarian cancer metastasis (9,44). Our data extend these findings by showing that TGF-β1 production by ovarian cancer cells increases OPN expression and secretion by mesothelial cells to enhance ovarian cancer chemoresistance.

Our study identifies OPN as an important paracrine-signaling molecule by which mesothelial cells in the ovarian tumor microenvironment promote cancer chemoresistance. OPN has a well-established role in cancer stemness and chemoresistance. In colon cancer, glioma, lung cancer, and gastric cancer, OPN secreted from tumor-associated cells enhances cancer cell stemness in a CD44-dependent manner (47,70–72). In mesothelioma, gastric cancer, lung cancer, and prostate cancer, OPN promotes cancer chemoresistance through enhanced hyaluronate (HA)/CD44/P13K signaling, induction of Bcl-xL, modulation of the BCL-2/BAX ratio, or upregulation of ABC transporter expression (53,72–74). In ovarian cancer, OPN expression correlates with poor patient prognosis and therapeutic failure (15), but its cellular source and molecular mechanism are unclear. Here, we define a mechanism by which mesothelial cell–derived OPN paracrine signaling promotes ovarian cancer chemoresistance through the activation of the CD44 receptor on the surface of ovarian cancer cells, PI3K/AKT signaling, and downstream ABC drug efflux transporter activity.

Accumulating evidence supports a role for ovarian CSCs in intraperitoneal metastasis, chemoresistance, and tumor recurrence (75,76). Based on their intrinsic stem-like properties, CSCs are thought to initiate tumor formation, metastasis, and multidrug resistance (77). In HGSOCs, CSCs are enriched within ascites fluid and express intracellular detoxifying scavengers, such as aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1 (ALDH1A1), canonical stem cell markers, including NANOG, OCT3/4, and SOX2, and drug efflux transporters, such as ABCG2 (32,33,78,79). CSC populations exist in a plastic state and are dynamically shaped by signal cues from the tumor microenvironment (77,80). In HGSOCs, recent studies have highlighted a role for stromal cells within the peritoneal cavity, including fibroblasts (36,81), mesenchymal stem cells (82), adipocytes (83), macrophages (81,84), and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (35), in CSC expansion and maintenance. Here, we found that mesothelial cells secrete OPN to enrich for ovarian cancer cells with stem cell properties. Notably, we observed that mesothelial-derived OPN induced the expression and activity of the functional ovarian CSC marker ALDH1A1. Recent studies have shown that IL-6/STAT3, DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), BRD4, and β-catenin signaling promote ALDH1A1 expression in ovarian cancer cells (36,79,85). Future studies are needed to explore how mesothelial cells in the ovarian cancer microenvironment promote ALDH1A1 expression in ovarian cancer cells.

Finally, our findings indicate that therapeutic targeting of OPN may be an effective strategy for enhancing the efficacy of cisplatin for the treatment of metastatic ovarian cancer. We demonstrate that therapeutic inhibition of mesothelial-secreted OPN with OPN-neutralizing Abs is sufficient to reduce tumor formation and enhance the efficacy of cisplatin treatment in human ovarian cancer xenografts. Anti-OPN treatment was associated with increased cisplatin-modified DNA in the tumor xenografts, consistent with our in vitro studies showing reduced ABC drug efflux transporter activity in ovarian cancer cells treated with the anti-OPN neutralizing Ab. These findings suggest a model in which therapeutic targeting of mesothelial cell–derived OPN enhances cisplatin response by increasing the drug concentration and DNA damage inside ovarian cancer cells. In addition, we show that administration of an OPN-targeted aptamer increased the efficacy of cisplatin in a syngeneic murine model of ovarian cancer peritoneal metastasis. Indeed, OPN blockade through the use of neutralizing Abs or aptamers has also been shown to be efficacious in mouse models of metastatic breast cancer and glioblastoma (17,61,86), implicating OPN as an actionable drug target. Boumans et al. recently demonstrated the safety of a humanized OPN-neutralizing Ab in phase I and multiple-dose phase IIa clinical trials for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (87). Whether OPN-neutral-
izing Abs may enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy in the clinical management of ovarian cancer and other abdominal cancers with peritoneal metastases warrants further investigation (88, 89).

Methods

Statistics. Statistical analyses were conducted using Prism 8 software (GraphPad). Two-tailed Student’s t test was used for comparison between 2 groups, and 1-way or 2-way ANOVA was used for comparing more than 2 groups. For all experiments, mean values for a minimum of 3 independent replicates are presented and error bars represent the SEM, unless otherwise indicated in the figure legends. P values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Study approval. Human specimens were obtained from patients under IRB approval in accordance with recognized ethical guidelines per the US Common Rule. Patients were treated at Stanford University or Washington University, and written, informed consent was obtained for tissue banking in accordance with recognized ethical guidelines per the US Common Rule. For experiments in mouse models, all procedures for mice were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Stanford University in accordance with institutional and NIH guidelines.

For additional materials and methods, please refer to Supplemental Methods. For information on chemicals, drugs, and reagents and antibodies used in the study, see Supplemental Tables 3 and 4.
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