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Development, Maturation, and Necessity of Transcription Factors in the Mouse Suprachiasmatic Nucleus

Cassandra VanDunk,1,2 Lindsay A. Hunter,1 and Paul A. Gray1

1Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology and 2Division of Biology and Biomedical Sciences, Neuroscience Program, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus is the master mammalian circadian clock. The SCN is highly specialized because it is responsible for generating a near 24 h rhythm, integrating external cues, and translating the rhythm throughout the body. Currently, our understanding of the developmental origin and genetic program involved in the proper specification and maturation of the SCN is limited. Herein, we provide a detailed analysis of transcription factor (TF) and developmental-gene expression in the SCN from neurogenesis to adulthood in mice (Mus musculus). TF expression within the postmitotic SCN was not static but rather showed specific temporal and spatial changes during prenatal and postnatal development. In addition, we found both global and regional patterns of TF expression extending into the adult. We found that the SCN is derived from a distinct region of the neuroepithelium expressing a combination of developmental genes: Six3, Six6, Fzd5, and transient Rx, allowing us to pinpoint the origin of this region within the expression extending into the adult. We found that the SCN is derived from a distinct region of the neuroepithelium expressing a combination of developmental genes: Six3, Six6, Fzd5, and transient Rx, allowing us to pinpoint the origin of this region within the broader developing telencephalon/diencephalon. We tested the necessity of two TFs in SCN development, RORα and Six3, which were expressed during SCN development, persisted into adulthood, and showed diurnal rhythmicity. Loss of RORα function had no effect on SCN peptide expression or localization. In marked contrast, the conditional deletion of Six3 from early neural progenitors completely eliminated the formation of the SCN. Our results provide the first description of the involvement of TFs in the specification and maturation of a neural population necessary for circadian behavior.

Introduction

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus acts as the master mammalian circadian clock generating a near 24 h rhythm through the cyclic expression of key genes, including crucial transcription factors (TFs). The ability of the SCN to integrate external cues and translate rhythms throughout the body reflects a unique specialization of the nucleus and neurons within (Herzog and Schwartz, 2002). Properties underlying the production of 24 h rhythms have been well characterized; however, the genes involved in specification and development of the SCN are unknown.

The SCN is a heterogeneous group of neurons able to generate unified rhythmic output from individual neuronal oscillators (Webb et al., 2009). Expression of arginine vasopressin (AVP) and vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) divide the nucleus into two subdivisions, termed dorsomedial/shell and ventrolateral/core, respectively. Although these gross divisions do not necessarily reflect differences in cellular properties, these areas have been shown to differ in their projection patterns (Leak and Moore, 2001), innervation (Moga and Moore, 1997; Abrahamson and Moore, 2001), and rhythmicity (Hamada et al., 2001; Yan and Okamura, 2002; Bendová et al., 2004; Karatsoreos et al., 2004).

There is an extensive literature describing the birth dating, onset of neurogenesis, and migration of neurons in the SCN of mammals. Initial experiments in rat estimated that the SCN is derived from neuroepithelium caudal to the optic recess during the third wave of hypothalamic development (Altman and Bayer, 1986). In addition, studies in mice have shown that SCN neurogenesis occurs in a ventrolateral to dorsomedial progression approximately 5 d before birth (Shimada and Nakamura, 1973; Okamura et al., 1983; Kabrita and Davis, 2008), consistent with previous findings in rat and hamster (Altman and Bayer, 1978, 1986; Davis et al., 1990; Antle et al., 2005). Additional information regarding the prenatal SCN remains limited, in part because of the difficulty in identifying SCN boundaries beyond cell density estimates before peptide expression. In addition, no single gene has yet been identified that unambiguously distinguishes all SCN neurons from adjacent neural populations.

Individual TFs can distinguish subsets of cells within heterogeneous populations and provide insight into both development and function (Dasen et al., 2005; Gray, 2008). To date, the study of TFs within the SCN has been either in their relation to clock function or as a consequence of focus on neighboring hypo-
lamic regions. Investigation of TFs specifically within the SCN may provide insight into SCN development, the presence of genetically distinct areas, and relationships between the SCN and other brain regions.

We hypothesized that SCN function is influenced by TF expression and that these genes play a role in both the formation and maturation of SCN rhythmicity. Thus, we provide a detailed spatiotemporal description of TF and developmental-gene expression within the mouse SCN. We find that the SCN undergoes prenatal and postnatal maturation of gene expression. Furthermore, we find that patterns of TF expression reveal a specific gene cascade from which SCN neurons are derived and can be used to identify the developing SCN before published markers. In addition, we demonstrate a crucial role for an evolutionarily conserved TF in SCN formation.

Materials and Methods

Animals and housing. Male and female wild-type CD1 (Charles River), Six3flox (Guillermo Oliver, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN), RORα (002651; The Jackson Laboratory), and Nestin cre (003771; The Jackson Laboratory) mice were used. Mice were maintained in a normal 12 h light/dark schedule under standard care conditions. Mutant animals were maintained on a C57BL/6 background (Charles River). All experiments were approved by the Animal Studies Committee at Washington University School of Medicine.

Genotyping. Mice were genotyped by PCR for disrupted RORα gene, Six3 floxed, and/or wild-type alleles as described previously (Liu et al., 2006) or as outlined by The Jackson Laboratory. The presence of Cre was determined by the presence or absence of an amplified band using primers 5′ GAGTGAAGAAGCTTGCTGAAATCAGTGGC 3′ and 5′ GCATTACCCGTCGATGAGAAGCGAATGAG 3′.

Tissue acquisition. Unless otherwise stated, all collections were done at approximately Zeitgeber time 8 (ZT8). Neonatal pups [postnatal day 0 (P0) to P2] or embryos [embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) to E18.5] from approximately Zeitgeber time 8 (ZT8). Neonatal pups [postnatal day 0 (P0) to P2] or embryos [embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) to E18.5] from approximately Zeitgeber time 8 (ZT8).

Whole SCN quantifications of mRNA expression were performed by taking mRNA by the total estimated SCN area marked with DAPI, in which statistical analysis of diurnal variation, one-way ANOVA was applied to relative intensity measurements obtained from ZT2, ZT6, ZT10, ZT14, and ZT18 for Six3, RORα, and Per1. The differences of mRNA relative intensity between ZT6 and ZT18 were analyzed using Student’s t test.

Results

Identification of SCN candidate transcription factors

The SCN is a specialized neural structure with an essential behavioral function. We hypothesized that aspects of SCN neurons and SCN function are a direct consequence of the TFs they express and that underlie specification. We set out to identify TFs that might be important for both the development and function of the adult SCN by reexamining a published analysis of nearly 1000 TF and TF-related gene expression patterns in mice at E13.5 and P0 (Gray et al., 2004). Two hundred twenty TFs were previously identified as restricted to populations within the hypothalamus of E13.5 and/or P0 mice. From these data as well as other published work (Rivkees et al., 1992; Schaeren-Wiemers et al., 1997; Jean et al., 1999; Wang and Lufkin, 2000), we identified 72 TFs as possibly expressed in discrete patterns of the postnatal (P0; sup-
SCN transcription factor expression is diverse and dynamic

Previous large screens of gene expression within the brain, although extremely informative, have generally lacked the spatial and/or temporal precision to determine the detailed boundaries of expression within the SCN (Gong et al., 2003; Gray et al., 2004; Lein et al., 2007; Shimogori et al., 2010). To directly address the range of TF expression patterns within the early SCN, we selected a subset of nine postnatal TFs [Lhx1, Lhx2, Lhx9, Otp, Pou2f2 (Oct-2), RORα (Rora), RORβ (Rorb), Six3, and Six6] for detailed ISH or immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis within the P0 mouse SCN. These genes were selected based on their relative levels of expression and specificity within the SCN region and/or previous work, suggesting a possible role in aspects of SCN function (Rivkees et al., 1992; Schaeren-Wiemers et al., 1997; Sato et al., 2004).

We found that TFs showed a range of expression patterns suggesting a diverse transcriptional environment (Fig. 1). Of the nine postnatal TFs analyzed, six were found specifically expressed in the SCN but not in adjacent hypothalamic nuclei. Compared with a cell density estimate of SCN margins, Six3, Six6, RORα, and Pou2f2 were expressed throughout the entire SCN, whereas Lhx1 and RORβ both displayed regional specificity within the SCN. Conversely, we found two TFs (Lhx2 and Otp) expressed at lateral and dorsal boundaries but not within the SCN. Lhx9 was neither expressed within the SCN nor served as a boundary marker (data not shown).

To determine whether representative patterns of early postnatal TF expression are modified by postnatal maturation, we examined Six3, Lhx1, and RORα further for expression at P15, just after eyes open. Six3 retained expression throughout the P15 SCN (Fig. 2A). Similar to expression at P0, Lhx1 displayed regionalized specificity within the SCN at P15. Expression of Lhx1 covered 52 ± 2.6% of the central SCN and partially overlapped both SCN ventrolateral/core and dorsomedial/shell (Fig. 2A). In contrast to expression at P0, RORα was no longer present throughout the entire SCN; instead, expression was restricted to only the outer 71 ± 2.2% of the SCN, similar in distribution to AVP and RORβ. RORβ expression did not overlap the VIP-expressing region, seen clearly in both transverse (Fig. 2A) and sagittal (Fig. 2B) sections through the SCN. We found that expression patterns of Six3, Lhx1, and RORα at P15 were identical to those at P21 and adult (data not shown).

Six3 and RORα show diurnal variation

The SCN can entrain to photoperiods (Sumova et al., 2006) and can be considered mature by P21. Also, by this time, the amplitude of circadian changes in expression level of clock genes has reached adult levels (Kováciková et al., 2006). For clock genes, the differences in phase of expression are a consequence of the interactions in the transcription–translation negative feedback loop that underlie circadian rhythmicity. Much of our understanding of the phasic expression of genes within the SCN has relied on
Figure 3. Six3 and RORα show diurnal variation in expression. A. Representative ISH images showing expression of Six3, RORα, and Per1 mRNA in the coronal mid-SCN in P21–P23 mice raised under a 12 h light/dark cycle and sampled every 4 h (ZT0 indicates lights on). In contrast to Per1 and Six3, RORα is expressed only in the outer shell region. B. Quantification of averaged relative mRNA intensity in arbitrary units for Six3, RORα, and Per1 over the same 24 h time period, showing diurnal variation for Six3 (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 10.55, p < 0.0001$), RORα (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 6.64, p = 0.0001$), and Per1 (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 4.56, p = 0.0089$), with peak at ZT6 and trough at ZT22 for RORα and Per1 and ZT18 for Six3 ($n = 3$). Black bars indicate 12 h of dark. Error bars show SEM. Scale bar, 250 μm.

Transcription factors identify the prenatal SCN

Although the SCN is not functionally mature until postnatal stages, early components of rhythmicity are present in late embryonic life. Some of the earliest rhythms were seen in day/night variations in metabolic activity as early as E19 in the rat (Reppert and Schwartz, 1984) as well as individual rhythmically firing neurons at E22 in the rat (Shibata and Moore, 1987), which corresponds to approximately E16.5 and E19.5 in mouse, respectively neurons at E22 in the rat (Shibata and Moore, 1987), which

under standard 12 h light/dark conditions, the mRNA levels of central clock genes Per1, Bmal1, and Cry1 peak and trough at mid-day (ZT6) and mid-night (ZT18) (Oishi et al., 2000; Shearman et al., 2000), with Bmal1 and Cry1 in anti-phase of the mid-day peak of Per1. We tested with nonradioactive ISH whether Per1, Lhx1, RORα, and Six3 mRNA displayed variations at these time points. We found that changes in Six3 and Per1 were significantly different between mid-day and mid-night ($p = 0.00064$ and 0.028, respectively, Student’s $t$ test), whereas RORα and Lhx1 levels were not ($p = 0.055$ and 0.1065, respectively).

The spatial localization of RORα at ZT6 in the mature SCN suggested that any change in phase of expression might correspond to changes in spatial localization as well as abundance. We tested the expression of Six3 and RORα for changes in mRNA intensity and localization of mRNA over a diurnal period ($n = 3$ for each time point). We found that RORα displayed temporal variation within the SCN (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 6.64, p = 0.0001$), with peak at ZT6 and trough at ZT22, in phase with Per1 (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 4.56, p = 0.0089$) (Fig. 3A, B), consistent with previous PCR-based analyses (Sato et al., 2004). RORα was never expressed within the VIP-expressing region, which indicated a previously unrecognized spatial localization. Six3 also showed diurnal variations in expression, with peak at ZT6 and trough at ZT18 (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(5,47)} = 10.55, p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3A, B).

To clearly identify the perinatal SCN, we determined the onset and localization of AVP and VIP by ISH and IHC. Consistent with previous work in other rodents (Romero and Silver, 1990; Isobe et al., 1995; Ban et al., 1997), we found both AVP and VIP mRNA expression prenatally but protein-labeled cell bodies only at postnatal stages (data not shown). AVP mRNA expression was present in the SCN by E17.5 (two of three animals), while already strongly expressed in the supraoptic nuclei (SON) at this time (data not shown). VIP mRNA expression was present in the SCN by E18.5 (three of five animals) (data not shown). Within the SCN, both peptides were expressed in spatially restricted regions similar to that seen in the adult from their onset, suggesting that specification of peptidergic identity occurs before E17.5. The global expression of Six3 and RORα in the SCN at P0 led us to theorize that their expression would identify the boundaries of the SCN during prenatal maturation. Thus, we analyzed the expression of Six3, Lhx1, and RORα between the end of neurogenesis at E14.5 and birth (P0).

At E14.5, Six3 was expressed within a portion of the early hypothalamus in both the presumptive SCN region as well as dorsal areas. In contrast, Lhx1 was present in discrete bilateral regions distal to the third ventricle within the broader Six3 domain (Fig. 4). A small subset of the ventral most Lhx1/Six3 region was found to express RORα in two of five animals examined, suggesting that the onset of RORα occurs at this time point. At E15.5, before the onset of VIP or AVP mRNA expression, there was an increase in the area and approximate number of cells expressing Lhx1 and RORα. Additionally, nuclear staining with DAPI revealed the first signs of aggregation into a cell-dense nucleus at this stage (Fig. 4, white arrow). However, both Lhx1 and RORα expression extended beyond the newly forming, cell-dense area, which would be consistent with the presence of neurons that will become the SCN before the onset of clustering. We hypothesized that these genes could be used as prenatal genetic markers to define cells of the SCN more precisely than cell density estimates. By E17.5, RORα expression encompassed the entire SCN and completely overlapped with Six3, whereas Lhx1 expression was reduced to a central portion of the SCN (Fig. 4). Six3 expression, however, also marked cells more dorsal and lateral to the SCN (data not shown). Postnatally, these dorsal populations retained their Six3 expression and comprised the anterior paraventricular nucleus (APV) and subparaventricular regions of the hypothalamus (data not shown). By P0, Six3 and RORα expression completely overlapped cell density esti-
mates of SCN margins, whereas Lhx1 continued to remain centralized (Fig. 4).

These data suggest that TFs can be used to identify the prenatal SCN before the onset of peptide markers, dense clustering of cells, and onset of rhythmic activity. The data further suggest that the onset of RORα expression occurs after SCN neurogenesis is complete, consistent with literature describing the delayed onset of RORα expression within other brain regions (Nakagawa and O’Leary, 2003). In addition, these data indicate that the SCN is not fully specified by the end of neurogenesis and that SCN maturation occurs in stages both prenatally and postnatally.

RORα does not specify postnatal SCN structure

In a molecular model of the circadian clock, RORα positively regulates Bmal1, thereby aiding in the stability of the core rhythm (Sato et al., 2004). Knock-outs of RORα show slight behavioral abnormalities in circadian rhythms, leading to a shortened circadian period (Sato et al., 2004). To date, it is unknown whether the change in circadian period is attributable solely to the interaction of RORα with Bmal1 or whether there is an anatomical change within the SCN. Therefore, we investigated the role of RORα in the development of the SCN by comparing the localization of SCN markers in wild-type mice with staggerer mice (RORα<sup>sg/sg</sup>) containing a deletion of the fifth exon in the RORα gene that causes formation of a premature stop codon and a functionally null protein (Hamilton et al., 1996).

We found that the expression of SCN markers in RORα<sup>sg/sg</sup> mice were normal. The spatial mRNA expression patterns of AVP and VIP within the SCN as well as that of SCN boundary marker Otp in the hypothalamus (data not shown) remained unaltered in all P15 sg/sg mutants (four of four) compared with wild-type littermates (Fig. 5). Thus, although we found that the postnatal expression of RORα anatomically distinguished the core of the SCN from its shell, RORα expression was not necessary for the differentiation, presence, or segregation of peptidergic cells within the SCN.

Identification of the SCN neuroepithelium

The ability of Six3 and Lhx1 to delineate the prenatal, postmitotic SCN suggested that they might also precisely define the supra-chiasmatic neuroepithelium within the early telencephalon/diencephalon boundary zone beyond previous anatomical estimates (Altman and Bayer, 1986). Therefore, we assessed the expression of these genes just before neurogenesis, at E10.5 and during neurogenesis (E11.5–E13.5) in mice. Specifically, we examined the region from the rostral eye fields extending caudally to the developing pituitary to identify the exact spatial origin of the SCN.
At E10.5, Six3 marked a broad dorsoventral and rostrocaudal region of the developing floor plate (Fig. 6A and data not shown), consistent with previous reports (Oliver et al., 1995). At E11.5, Six3 was restricted to more ventral regions of the early telencephalon/diencephalon but remained expressed within the developing hypothalamus and pituitary (Fig. 6A, D). Lhx1 expression began at E11.5 in a very small band of cells at the ventral edge of the Six3-expressing zone (Fig. 6A, arrow), allowing for the identification of the early SCN within the telencephalon/diencephalon boundary region (Fig. 6D). Both a dorsal and rostrocaudal expansion in the number of cells expressing Lhx1 occurred from E12.5 to E13.5, whereas Six3 expression became more restricted but still surrounded the base of the third ventricle (Fig. 6A). The coincident onset of and increase in Lhx1 expression during the period of SCN neurogenesis suggests that Lhx1 is one of the first TFs to be expressed in fully postmitotic SCN neurons.

Relationship of early SCN to developmental transcription factors

Identification of the suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium suggested that the SCN was derived from a highly discrete region of the developing neural plate. Our initial reanalysis of brain TF expression identified 58 TFs with discrete expression in the area of the E13.5 anterior hypothalamus (supplemental Table 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). We confirmed the embryonic hypothalamic expression of at least 66% of these 58 TFs using data from Genepaint and Eurexpress expression databases (Visel et al., 2004; Diez-Roux et al., 2011). These TFs included early forebrain genes Six6 and Dlx2. In addition, reduction in the amount of Rx (Rax) present in early neuroepithelium has been shown to disrupt SCN location and cell number by an unknown mechanism (Silver, 1977; Tucker et al., 2001). To clarify the origins of the SCN within the developing telencephalon/diencephalon, we compared the expression of Six3 and Lhx1 with that of early forebrain genes Six6, Dlx2, and Rx.

At E10.5, Rx expression overlapped that of Six3 in the early ventral floor plate (Fig. 6A and data not shown), consistent with previous reports (Oliver et al., 1995). At E11.5, Six3 was restricted to more ventral regions of the early telencephalon/diencephalon but remained expressed within the developing hypothalamus and pituitary (Fig. 6A, D). Lhx1 expression began at E11.5 in a very small band of cells at the ventral edge of the Six3-expressing zone (Fig. 6A, arrow), allowing for the identification of the early SCN within the telencephalon/diencephalon boundary region (Fig. 6D). Both a dorsal and rostrocaudal expansion in the number of cells expressing Lhx1 occurred from E12.5 to E13.5, whereas Six3 expression became more restricted but still surrounded the base of the third ventricle (Fig. 6A). The coincident onset of and increase in Lhx1 expression during the period of SCN neurogenesis suggests that Lhx1 is one of the first TFs to be expressed in fully postmitotic SCN neurons.

Figure 6. SCN is derived from a distinct progenitor domain. Representative images of Six3 and Lhx1 (A), Rx, Six6, and Dlx2 (B), and Fzd5 and SHH (C) mRNA expression (columns) in SCN progenitor domain from E10.5 to E13.5 (rows). Leftmost column shows entire coronal section, with dotted box indicating approximate region of enlarged images in corresponding row to the right. Dotted black lines in enlarged Six3 images indicate hypothesized early SCN margins outlined by Six3 expression from E11.5 to E13.5. Note at E10.5 that the region that will give rise to SCN neurons expresses a combination of Six3, Rx, and Fzd5. By E11.5, Rx expression is lost and Six6 expression begins. Also note the E11.5 onset of Lhx1 (black arrow) and Dlx2 on the most ventral aspects of tissue beneath the third ventricle. Scale bar, 500 μm. D, Rostrocaudal location of SCN progenitor domain. ISH images depict patterns of Six3, Lhx1, Six6, Dlx2, and Fzd5 mRNA expression (rows) in transverse plane from rostral eye fields to caudal developing pituitary at E11.5 and E13.5. Open bars above indicate the developing SCN within the rostrocaudal axis. Note that, although expression of Six3 and Six6 is consistent along the ventral surface from rostral eye fields to the early pituitary, Lhx1 and Dlx2 mark discrete zones within this region at both E11.5 and E13.5. Please note in D that E11.5 depicts same transverse images as shown in A–C, and E13.5 shows the same Six6 and Fzd5 images shown in A–C now within the rostrocaudal context of the developing brain. Scale bar, 500 μm.
By E12.5 and E13.5 as Six3/Six6 expression continued, the Dlx2-expressing region expanded rostrocaudally (Fig. 6 D) and dorsally both beneath as well as along the lateral aspects of the third ventricle stratifying the region surrounding the base of the early third ventricle into three subregions (Fig. 6 B). The ventral-most portions of Dlx2 expression overlapped with Lhx1 expression. The mid region retained only Six3/Six6/Dlx2, and the most dorsal aspects lacked Dlx2 (Fig. 6 B). The overlap between Dlx2 and Lhx1 in the most ventral regions suggested that this combination marked newly postmitotic neurons of the SCN, consistent with findings in embryonic rat. The presence of an Lhx1-negative, Dlx2-positive region suggested that Dlx2 might be expressed during the transition period of cells during the last stages of mitosis to early stages of differentiation similar to its expression within the ventral thalamus (Andrews et al., 2003).

The absence of a single TF specific to the SCN suggested that SCN development might also rely on extrinsic morphogens and other signaling peptides to provide key regionalization signals (Burns et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010). The expression of Six3, Six6, and Dlx2 in the presumptive suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium can be used to identify the immature SCN within the complex early signaling environment of the developing telencephalon/diencephalon. We found that, at E10.5, the Six3/Rx region also expressed Frizzled5 (Fzd5), a Wnt receptor, in a region surrounding the base of the third ventricle (Fig. 6 C). This broad dorsal to ventral Six3/Rx/Fzd5 region was further demarcated by the expression of SHH. Six3/Rx/Fzd5 regions lateral to the ventricle expressed SHH, although it remained absent from the most ventral medial region at the base of the third ventricle (Fig. 6 C). Unlike Rx, Fzd5 expression continued to overlap with Six3/Six6 at E11.5, further defining the SCN proliferative zone in both the dorsoventral (Fig. 6 C) and rostrocaudal (Fig. 6 D) dimensions. Fzd5 expression at E12.5 and E13.5 was still present beneath the third ventricle but was restricted to the dorsal region overlapping with the Six3/Six6 region only (Fig. 6 C, D). Fzd5 expression did not overlap with the region containing postmitotic Lhx1 cells and Dlx2, which suggested the expression of Fzd5 likely marked regions of active proliferation. We found that, at E12.5, the most ventral Dlx2- and Lhx1-expressing regions overlapped with TuJ1, a marker of postmitotic neurons, whereas the more dorsal Dlx2-negative region expressed Ki67, a marker of proliferating cells (data not shown). Throughout this period of neurogenesis, the early SCN was completely devoid of bone morphogenetic protein 7 and lacked the common SHH receptor Patched1 (data not shown).

Our data suggest that the SCN is derived from a proliferative region expressing Six3, Six6, Fzd5, and transient Rx. Furthermore, early postmitotic cells of the SCN express Six3, Six6, Lhx1...
and transiently express Dbx2. Figure 7 shows a summary of the relative patterns of developmental-gene expression during SCN development.

**Six3 is required for SCN formation**

In the present study, we found that Six3 was continually expressed in the SCN and its progenitors from before neurogenesis to adult when expression was diurnal. This suggested that Six3 has an important role in the SCN and its development. Therefore, we tested whether Six3 is necessary for the formation and maturation of the SCN using a Nestin-cre transgenic to eliminate Six3 (Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\)) (Liu et al., 2006) specifically in progenitors within the neural plate before specification.

We analyzed the anatomy and expression of Six3 mRNA in Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\) mutants \((n = 5)\), Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{-}\) \((n = 3)\), and Six3\(^{+/+}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\) \((n = 2)\) littermate controls at E18.5–E19.5. We found the Nestin-cre transgenic exhibited a range of Six3 loss in the developing mouse brain, from complete loss to no loss (Fig. 8), likely as a result of variation in the onset and duration of cre recombinase expression. Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\) mice with complete bilateral loss of Six3 showed no expression of ROR\(\alpha\) or AVP in the ventral anterior hypothalamus (Fig. 8A, asterisks). Importantly, loss of Six3 did not lead to the displacement of the SCN because no ectopic nuclei were present along the ventral surface either rostral or caudal to the optic chiasm, as assessed through a DAPI nuclear stain (data not shown). Loss of ROR\(\alpha\) and AVP was SCN specific because the apV and SON of Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\) mice retained AVP expression and the dorsal lateral geniculate (dLG) and ventroposterior medial (VPM) nuclei in both E18.5 and E19.5 mutants continued to express ROR\(\alpha\) (Fig. 8B). In addition, in all mutants tested, the zona incerta (ZI), which normally expresses Six3, was unaffected (Fig. 8B).

To assess whether absence of SCN at E18.5 and E19.5 was attributable to dissolution of the nucleus after cells became postmitotic or the complete disruption of neurogenesis, we analyzed Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{-}\) mice at E18.5 (\(n = 5\)) for early postmitotic SCN markers Lhx1 and ROR\(\alpha\) as well as for dense cell clusters. We found that loss of Six3 leads to absence of both early postmitotic genes, consistent with a disruption in specification. E15.5 Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{+}\) mice displaying partial Six3 deletion showed a loss of ROR\(\alpha\) and Lhx1 only in regions lacking Six3 (Fig. 8C). Six3\(^{flx/flx}\)/Nestin-cre\(^{-}\) mice that retained expression of Six3 showed prominent expression of both ROR\(\alpha\) and Lhx1 (Fig. 8C). Together, these results show the necessity of a transcription factor for proper SCN formation and development.

**Discussion**

Recently, several groups have directly analyzed the expression of large numbers of genes (>1000) within the developing and/mature hypothalamus by in situ hybridization (Gray et al., 2004; Lein et al., 2007; Shimogori et al., 2010), looking for patterns of localization capable of defining small populations of neurons that may correspond to unique functional classes. All of these analyses
have shown broad genetic distinctions between hypothalamic nuclei. Other studies have also provided detailed analyses of the roles of several developmental genes in hypothalamic and pituitary ontogeny (Sládek et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2010). However, large anatomical screens, because of their breadth, often lack the spatial detail necessary for a more thorough analysis of specific brain regions. Here we provide a detailed analysis of developmental gene expression in the SCN, describe a specific gene cascade from which SCN neurons are derived, and identify a highly conserved transcription factor necessary for SCN formation.

Altman and Bayer (1986) hypothesized that the early suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium formed from a region just caudal to the optic recess. Our data narrow this description showing that the SCN is derived from a distinct region of neuroepithelium expressing a combination of the developmental genes Six3, Six6, Fzd5, and transient Rx, allowing us to pinpoint the suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium within the broader developing telencephalon/diencephalon (for summary, refer to Fig. 7A–C).

ZRDCT anophthalmic mice have decreased expression of Rx protein, and 30% of mutant mice show defects in SCN anatomy and function (Silver, 1977; Tucker et al., 2001). The defects vary widely with respect to disruptions in location and/or cell number, but dense clusters of cells are always seen, indicating formation of nuclei. These data along with our observation of transient Rx before SCN neurogenesis (Figs. 6D, 7A, B) suggests that Rx is not necessary for specification of SCN neurons but that loss may disrupt early progenitor domains (Liu et al., 2010).

Altman and Bayer further hypothesized that the suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium was divided into two regions, dorsal and ventral, from which the corresponding dorsomedial/shell and ventrolateral/core were derived. Our data are not consistent with the presence of two genetically distinct regions contained within the suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium. We suggest that the proposed regions outlined by Altman and Bayer are a consequence of temporal proliferation or differential response to non-cell-autonomous cues, such as morphogens or other early-signaling gradients, rather than distinct genetic differences in progenitor type.

We demonstrated that the onset of Dlx2 and Lhx1 expression at E11.5 is coincident with the documented onset of SCN neurogenesis (Kabrita and Davis, 2008). This suggests that Dlx2 and Lhx1 mark neurons as they exit the proliferative zone that will form the SCN. At E12.5/E13.5, the region that gives rise to the SCN contains both proliferating and postmitotic neurons. We demonstrate three zones of overlapping gene expression in the SCN at this age and propose that these distinct TF-expressing zones represent phases of SCN neuronal development: (I) dorsal Six3/Six6/Fzd5: premitotic/proliferative, (II) mid Six3/Six6/Dlx2: late mitotic/early postmitotic, and (III) ventral Six3/Six6/Dlx2/Lhx1: postmitotic (for summary, refer to Fig. 7D). Our proposed late-mitotic/early-postmitotic Six3/Six6/Dlx2 zone is similar to the region of migratory “late-forming neurons” outlined by Altman and Bayer (1986). In addition, our data support the notion of a ventrodorsal gradient in SCN neurogenesis (Kabrita and Davis, 2008) because the expression of Lhx1, a postmitotic marker, was first seen at the most ventral aspects of the early SCN and progressively expanded dorsally as neurogenesis proceeded.

We found that TF expression within the postmitotic SCN was not static but rather showed specific temporal and spatial changes during both prenatal development and postnatal maturation. This was indicated by dynamic expression of Lhx1 prenatally and RORα postnatally, in which expression of both genes spanned the entire SCN at early stages but became differentially regionalized through development. We propose that changes in localization of gene expression may indicate underlying structural or functional maturation of the SCN. This is supported by the central regionalization of Lhx1 at approximately E17.5, coincident with the perinatal onset of peptide expression. Furthermore, dorsomedial/shell localization of RORα, evident by P15, is concurrent with the proposed termination of retinal fibers into the SCN (Takatsuji et al., 1995) and onset of photoperiodic entrainment of clock genes (Kováčiková et al., 2005). It would be reasonable to presume that neurons or areas within the SCN may undergo additional specification or specialization as external innervation into the nucleus, such as postnatal retinal innervation (MeNeill et al., 2011) and synaptogenesis progress. The identification of TFs with discrete localization within the SCN may reflect additional subdivisions and/or subsets of neurons with distinct functions.

Regional specificity of gene expression, similar to RORα, has also been reported for Period1 and Period2, with expression mainly limited to the dorsomedial/shell during subjective day in rat and hamster (Hamada et al., 2001). Interestingly, some evidence suggests functional division of the SCN into regions of endogenous rhythmicity (dorsomedial/shell) or induced rhythms (ventrolateral/core) (Sumova et al., 1998; Guido et al., 1999; Hamada et al., 2001; Yan and Okamura, 2002; Hamada et al., 2004; Ramanathan et al., 2006). Given the role of RORα in stability aspects of the clock through regulation of Bmal1, it may be advantageous for the clock to lack stabilizing elements in an inducible region. Furthermore, the pan-SCN expression of Six3 in phase with Per1 may suggest a role for Six3 in maintenance of clock gene expression or underlying circadian rhythmicity.

RORα is one member of related orphan nuclear receptors that serve not only in the differentiation and development of multiple tissue types, including the cerebellum and thalamus (Becker-André et al., 1993; Carlberg et al., 1994; Hamilton et al., 1996; Matysiak-Scholze and Nehls, 1997; Nakagawa et al., 1997, 1998; Dussault et al., 1998; Vogel et al., 2000; Sato et al., 2004), but also in circadian function (Scharen-Wiemers et al., 1997; Sumi et al., 2002). We found that loss of RORα had no effect on either the presence or location of AVP and VIP neurons, indicating that it was not necessary for peptide expression or development of peptidergic segregation.

The role of Six3 in eye development is highly conserved across vertebrates and in Drosophila (Simeone et al., 1994; Seo et al., 1999). Six3 has been proposed to play a role in proliferation of retinal precursors (Del Bene et al., 2004), lens formation (Liu et al., 2006), neuroretina specification (Liu et al., 2010), regulation of rhodopsin expression (Manavathi et al., 2007), and early anterior patterning (Kobayashi et al., 2002; Lagutin et al., 2003; Gestri et al., 2005; Lavado et al., 2008). Six3 has been shown widely expressed throughout the anterior brain at early stages, narrowing expression over time and remaining transient for many neuronal populations. We found that Six3 was expressed within the suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium before the onset of neurogenesis is adulthood, suggesting an important role for Six3 in the SCN. Moreover, expression of Six3 in adult mice displayed diurnal variation. Although our data cannot discriminate between whether this variation is a response to rhythmic photic input or reveals an underlying circadian rhythm in Six3 expression, they suggest a link between Six3 and day/night changes.

We demonstrated that Six3 is crucial to the development of the SCN. Using a Nestin-cre transgene to limit loss of Six3 to neural progenitors, we tested the role of Six3 in SCN specification. We showed that the loss of Six3 during development leads to...
elimination of RORα and AVP expression as well as the absence of dense cell clustering perinatally, consistent with the elimination of the SCN. In contrast, extra-SCN structures, including hypothalamic SON and aPV, as well as thalamic VPM and Six3-expressing ZI, were still present. This suggests that Six3 may not be acting solely in global early patterning but may have an additional role in specification of the SCN. We propose that the absence of gene expression is a consequence of the failure to form the SCN initially and not a role for Six3 in the maintenance of SCN cell survival, because SCN-specific Lhx1 expression and cell density were also absent at E15.5. These data suggest a necessary role for Six3 in SCN development and that Lhx1 and RORα represent lineage-specific SCN genes.

Overall, these data demonstrate that TF expression can provide insight into both the specification and development of the SCN. We find that TF expression can describe the early suprachiasmatic neuroepithelium and demonstrate that the SCN undergoes maturation both prenatally and postnatally. Maturation is evident by the changes in localization of gene expression within the SCN over time. It is currently unclear whether the specific loss of gene expression in distinct areas of the SCN is necessary for proper circadian function. However, we find that disruption of at least one TF, Six3, can have large-scale effects on SCN development. Profiling of genes with SCN-specific expression for spatial and temporal onset allows researchers, for the first time, to begin to understand the roles of these genes in the anatomical and functional development of this complex nucleus.
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