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Neurobiology of Disease

APOE4 Allele Disrupts Resting State fMRI Connectivity in the Absence of Amyloid Plaques or Decreased CSF Aβ42

Yvette I. Sheline,1,2,3,6 John C. Morris,3,6 Abraham Z. Snyder,2 Joseph L. Price,4 Zhizi Yan,2 Gina D’Angelo,5,6 Collin Liu,2 Sachin Dixit,1 Tammie Benzinger, Anne Fagan,3,4 Alison Goate,3,6 and Mark A. Mintun1,2,6

Departments of Psychiatry,2 Radiology,3 Neurology,1 Anatomy and Neurobiology, and Biostatistics, and *The Knight Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Identifying high-risk populations is an important component of disease prevention strategies. One approach for identifying at-risk populations for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is examining neuroimaging parameters that differ between patients, including functional connections known to be disrupted within the default-mode network. We have previously shown these same disruptions in cognitively normal elderly who have amyloid-β (Aβ) plaques [detected using Pittsburgh Compound B (PIB) PET imaging], suggesting neuronal toxicity of plaques. Here we sought to determine if pathological effects of apolipoprotein E e4 (APOE4) genotype could be seen independent of Aβ plaque toxicity by examining resting state fMRI functional connectivity (fcMRI) in participants without preclinical fibrillar amyloid deposition (PIB−). Cognitively normal participants enrolled in longitudinal studies (n = 100, mean age = 62) who were PIB− were categorized into those with and without an APOE4 allele and studied using fcMRI. APOE4 allele carriers (E4+) differed significantly from E4− in functional connectivity of the precuneus to several regions previously defined as having abnormal connectivity in a group of AD participants. These effects were observed before any manifestations of cognitive changes and in the absence of brain fibrillar Aβ plaque deposition, suggesting that early manifestations of a genetic effect can be detected using fcMRI and that these changes may antedate the pathological effects of fibrillar amyloid plaque toxicity.

Introduction

Successfully identifying disease imaging biomarkers may contribute to understanding disease pathogenesis and establish thresholds for measuring disease progression and response to therapies. Using imaging parameters to identify how early intervention needs to occur to prevent neuronal injury in the pathologic progression to Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is an important component of disease prevention strategies. Imaging tracers such as Pittsburgh Compound B (PIB), which bind to fibrillar deposits of amyloid-β (Aβ), allow in vivo detection of this pathologic hallmark of AD, although amorphous diffuse Aβ plaques may not be detected by PIB (Cairns et al., 2009). Brain areas, including the precuneus, constitute a network with correlated spontaneous brain activity at rest, the default-mode network (DMN), which is more active at rest and less active during tasks (Raichle et al., 2001). DMN regions are among the regions earliest affected by amyloid deposition in AD (Mintun et al., 2006).

Previous work has shown that, compared with brain activity in cognitively normal individuals (Greicius and Menon, 2004), brain activity in AD is associated with DMN resting-state fMRI functional connectivity (fcMRI) disruptions. Because ~25% of older cognitively normal individuals have fibrillar Aβ deposition by PIB PET (PIB+) (Mintun et al., 2006), similar to postmortem work (Price and Morris, 1999), we recently investigated the effect of Aβ on DMN resting-state connectivity. We found that, in cognitively normal elderly, the presence of fibrillar amyloid (PIB+) was associated with regional disruptions in fcMRI of the same DMN regions as in AD, including precuneus, hippocampus, parahippocampus, anterior cingulate cortex, and visual cortex (Sheline et al., 2010). Disruption in resting-state connectivity in subjects with Aβ plaque deposition has also been identified by other investigators (Hedden et al., 2009).

In addition to an amyloid toxicity effect in producing neuronal damage, a number of studies have demonstrated the importance of the e4 allele of apolipoprotein E (APOE4) in the risk of developing AD. Age and genetic background are the strongest known risk factors for AD (Blennow et al., 2006). The APOE4 allele, the major genetic susceptibility factor for late-onset AD, present in ~15% of the population (Strittmatter and Roses, 1996), confers dramatically increased risk in a gene dose-dependent manner for the development of AD with an earlier age of onset (Corder et al., 1993). Other isoforms of APOE are con-
sidered to be neutral (APOE3) or protective (APOE2) for AD risk (Corder et al., 1994). In a small set of young healthy APOE4− carriers, compared with matched noncarriers, Filippini et al. (2009) found increased coactivation in retrosplenial, medial temporal, and medial prefrontal cortex by independent component analysis in resting-state fMRI. Using resting-state data, Fleisher et al. (2009) found that another small sample of APOE4− carriers aged 50–65 years had increased default-mode network connectivity compared with noncarriers. However, it was not known whether these participants had fibrillar amyloid plaques in addition to the APOE4 genotype. In the current study, we investigated the role of APOE4 genotype on DMN connectivity in subjects who were shown by PIB PET to be negative for fibrillar amyloid plaques, to determine the effect of genotype on resting state connectivity, independent of amyloid plaque effects.

Materials and Methods

Participants were community-living volunteers enrolled in longitudinal studies of memory and aging at the Knight Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center at Washington University. Individuals had no cognitive impairment as assessed by the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (Morris, 1993) scale. These cognitively normal participants (all CDR = 0) also participated in PIB PET imaging to identify subjects (n = 100) with minimal Aβ deposition (PIB−), defined as mean cortical binding potential (MCBP) < 0.18 (Mintun et al., 2006; Sheline et al., 2010) (mean MCBP = 0.02 in the current sample) (see Results for more details on demographics). Detailed information on PIB PET imaging and analysis has been reported previously (Mintun et al., 2006). Briefly, a 60 min dynamic PET scan was obtained after injection of ~12 mCi of [11C]PiB. Time activity curves derived from specific MRI regions of interest (ROI) were used to calculate the MCBP (Mintun et al., 2006).

Genotyping. DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples using standard procedures. APOE genotyping was performed as previously described (Morris et al., 2010). Because the number of subjects with the APOE2 allele was small, they were combined as part of the APOE4− if the genotype was 2/2 or 2/3. If the genotype was 2/4 they were counted as APOE4+.

CDR 0 cognitively normal participants who were PIB−, defined as MCBP < 0.18 (Mintun et al., 2006; Sheline et al., 2010) (mean SD = 0.02) were categorized into two groups based on the presence or absence of the APOE4 allele. If the participant had at least one APOE4 allele they were categorized as APOE4+ and if they had no APOE4 allele they were categorized as APOE4−. We combined the APOE 4/4 genotype (n = 4) with APOE 3/4 (n = 29) and APOE 2/4 (n = 5) for a total of 38 individuals who were APOE4 carriers (APOE4+). These subjects were compared with the remaining 62 subjects with APOE 3/3 (n = 50), APOE 2/3 (n = 10), and APOE 2/2 (n = 2) (APOE4−) genotype. Demographic comparison of APOE4+ versus APOE4− individuals is shown in Table 1. All of the connectivity analyses were regressed for age, education, gender, and MCBP. The main effect of covariates was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

fMRI scanning methods. These methods have been described previously (Sheline et al., 2010). Subjects were scanned with their eyes closed in a 3T Siemens Trio scanner and functional images were collected in runs using a gradient echo sequence [echo time = 27 ms; repetition time (TR) = 384 ms; field of view = 256 mm; flip angle = 90°] sensitive to blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) contrast (T2* weighting). Thirty-six contiguous, 4.0-mm-thick slices were acquired parallel to the anterior–posterior commissure plane (4.0 mm approximately isotropic voxels), providing complete brain coverage. Two fMRI runs included 164 volumes each, continuously acquired at a TR of 2.2 s (~6 min each).

The fMRI data were corrected for head motion, transformed to a common atlas space, and blurred with a 6 mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian filter.

### Table 1. Demographic comparison of ApoE4+ and ApoE4− participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total PIB− (N = 100)</th>
<th>ApoE4− (N = 62)</th>
<th>ApoE4+ (N = 38)</th>
<th>ApoE4− versus ApoE4+</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female, male)</td>
<td>72.28</td>
<td>43.19</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD) (years)</td>
<td>61.6 (8.1)</td>
<td>63.7 (3.4)</td>
<td>58.8 (5.5)</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean education (SD) (years)</td>
<td>16.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>16.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>16.2 (2.0)</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MMSE score (SD)</td>
<td>29.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>29.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>29.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean MCBP score (SD) 0.02 (0.06) 0.01 (0.05) 0.03 (0.07) −1.94

Mean MCBP score (SD) 0.02 (0.06) 0.01 (0.05) 0.03 (0.07) −1.94

### Functional connectivity analysis of resting-state activity

We used standard methods for the functional connectivity analysis (Vincent et al., 2006; Fox and Raichle, 2007; Fox et al., 2009). BOLD volumetric time series were concatenated and preprocessed, including temporal filtering, retaining frequencies up to 0.1 Hz. Spurious variance was reduced by regression of several nuisance variables, including head motion parameters, and the signal averaged over the whole brain (Fox et al., 2009). There were no group differences in quality assurance measures, e.g., head movement.

Creation of a voxel restriction mask based on prior work. This procedure generated a mask that was used to enhance the likelihood of observing significant APOE4+ versus APOE4− effects in the current study (supplemental materials, available at www.jneurosci.org).

APOE4+ versus APOE4− precuneus functional connectivity comparison. Individual correlation maps were produced by extracting the BOLD time course from the bilateral precuneus seed region (±7, −60, 21) used in previous analysis of AD versus control participants (Sheline et al., 2010). Correlation maps were computed using the Pearson product-moment formula and applied to the voxelwise BOLD time course. Individual subjects’ correlation maps were Fisher z transformed and entered into a voxelwise random-effects analysis (two-sided unequal variance) of the APOE4+ versus APOE4− effect. The resulting t map was converted to equiprobable Z scores, masked by a priori hypothesized ROIs (see above) to retain voxels most likely to show a group difference, and then subjected to peak search. Peak search retained voxels with a significance of p ≤ 0.01, not corrected for multiple comparisons, with a minimum of 10 contiguous voxels, leaving 14 regions of interest. For each participant, time series were extracted from the identified regions and the precuneus–ROI correlation coefficients were computed. Statistical significance of group differences was assessed on the basis of t tests on the precuneus–ROI correlations (Table 2). In addition, Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons on the 14 original regions was conducted, yielding nine significant ROIs following correction (Table 2).

### Results

APOE4+ versus APOE4− connectivity using a priori regions defined in AD

The regions of difference are shown in Table 2. Regions (n = 9) surviving a Bonferroni multiple-comparisons correction (n = 14) are also indicated in Table 2 and shown in Figure 1.

In Figure 1, the hue of the region indicates the sign of the measured group difference in precuneus correlation (blue, rAPOE4+ < rAPOE4−; red, rAPOE4+ > rAPOE4−). Regions with positive APOE4+ versus APOE4− connectivity group differences included regions with increased positive correlation [medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and BA10 (frontal pole)] and regions with anticorrelation in APOE4− that had positive correlation in APOE4+ [caudal orbital cortex and dorsal occipital cortex (BA19)].

Regions with negative APOE4+ versus APOE4− connectivity group differences included regions of decreased positive correlation (left hippocampus, left parahippocampus, middle temporal cortex, and BA20), a region with increased anti-correlation [dorsal anterior cingulate (AC)], and regions with positive correlations in APOE4− that had negative correlation in APOE4+ [right gyrus rectus, right hippocampus, and left superior temporal gyrus/frontoparietal operculum (BA22)]. These differences are also shown graphically in Figure 2.
In addition, we conducted post hoc analyses limiting the sample to the 70 of 100 participants with CSF levels of Aβ42 > 500 pg/ml, the cutoff in our dataset for abnormal CSF Aβ42 (Morris et al., 2010) using the Innotest ELISA (Immogenetics). We found that of the nine Bonferroni multiple-comparison corrected regions identified in Table 2 and Figure 1, five remained significant in the comparison of ApoE4+ versus ApoE4− group connectivity differences when limited to those participants with CSF levels of Aβ42 > 500 pg/ml, another biomarker used to determine preclinical AD. These regions were left hippocampus, left parahippocampus, dorsal anterior cingulate, dorsal occipital cortex, and middle temporal cortex.

Table 2. Regions in ApoE4+ versus ApoE4− participants with significantly different connectivity of the bilateral precuneus to a priori regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>(x, y, z)</th>
<th>Voxels</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Uncorrected</th>
<th>Corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left superior temporal gyrus/fronto-parietal operculum (BA22)</td>
<td>-37, -40, +9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior temporal gyrus/fronto-parietal operculum (BA22)</td>
<td>+51, -3, +8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hippocampus and parahippocampus</td>
<td>-17, -34, -12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal anterior cingulate (BA32)</td>
<td>-14, +18, +30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal orbital cortex</td>
<td>-13, +24, -16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregenual anterior cingulate (BA24)/striatum</td>
<td>-02, +24, +02</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal cortex (BA20)</td>
<td>+58, -12, -22</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parahippocampus</td>
<td>+31, -30, -14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hippocampus</td>
<td>+19, -19, -6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial prefrontal cortex (frontal pole) (BA10)</td>
<td>+16, +33, +18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior orbital cortex (BA11)</td>
<td>+7, +58, -21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus rectus (BA11)</td>
<td>+4, +23, -21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td>0, 0, -9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal occipital cortex (BA19)</td>
<td>0, -89, +40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regions were derived from comparisons of a priori areas shown in supplemental Figure 1 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Listed are the Talairach coordinates, voxel number (size), and significance level for each region. p values denote significant differences in connectivity between ApoE4+ and ApoE4− groups. *Significant at p ≤ 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure 1. Altered functional connectivity of the precuneus in cognitively normal PIB − APOE4+ versus APOE4− participants. a–d, Medial and lateral sagittal sections identifying statistically significant regional differences in functional connectivity of the precuneus between APOE4+ and APOE4− cognitively normal individuals previously determined by PIB PET studies to be PIB −. L, Left; R, right; Hip, hippocampus; Parahip, parahippocampus; Temp, temporal cortex; GR, gyrus rectus; Sup Temp/F-P O, superior temporal gyrus/frontoparietal operculum.

For exploratory APOE 4+ versus APOE 4− connectivity in whole brain analyses, see supplemental materials, available at www.jneurosci.org.

Discussion
In the current study, participants carrying an APOE4 allele had clear-cut abnormalities in precuneus resting-state functional connectivity in the absence of any cognitive impairment, and in the absence of fibrillar cerebral Aβ deposits detectable by PET PIB imaging. This result suggests that genetic influences altered functional connectivity within the DMN before the onset of clinical disease. We have previously shown an APOE4 dose-
AD (Sheline et al., 2010). Interestingly, most of the regions identified in studies of precuneus. This result stands in contrast to two small resting-state functional connectivity studies of AD, with the exception of increased anticorrelation with dorsal AC and left superior temporal cortex/parietal operculum. In addition to differences predicted from a priori regions in AD, we also found additional regions with alterations in precuneus connectivity in APOE4 allele carriers in whole-brain exploratory analyses, indicating that altered resting-state functional organization already had occurred in normal aging in the presence of APOE4 genotype but in the absence of deposition of Aβ plaques. However, interpretive caution is warranted since differences were observed in exploratory analyses and require replication in an independent sample. One interesting discrepancy was observed between the increased connectivity with MPFC in the a priori analysis versus decreased connectivity with MPFC in whole-brain analysis. We note, however, that the MPFC region from whole-brain analysis was considerably more caudal \((z = -1.2)\) than the a priori region \((z = 18)\), and as such has different medial network connections (Carmichael and Price, 1996). The significance of these regions of altered connectivity remains to be determined but, as discussed below, may reflect activity-dependent APOE4 effects on Aβ amyloid deposition and on neuronal stability. We note that most of the alterations involved decreased connectivity with the precuneus and most of these additional regions were also in the DMN, highlighting the importance of early damage to the DMN as a potential manifestation of preclinical AD.

Regions in the DMN (Raichle et al., 2001) exhibit structural and functional connectivity that converge on the precuneus and posterior cingulate (Hagmann et al., 2008). During attention-demanding tasks, there are decreases in activity, correlated with task difficulty and successful memory encoding (Lustig et al., 2003; Daselaar et al., 2004). Patients with mild AD (Lustig et al., 2003), mild cognitive impairment (Petrella et al., 2007), and preclinical Aβ amyloid deposition (Sperling et al., 2009), however, have demonstrated a paradoxical reversal in this pattern, with increased task-related fMRI activity in areas of Aβ deposition, implicating amyloid deposition in dysfunctional brain networks supporting memory formation. Further, we have shown that the regions with earliest Aβ deposition lie within the DMN and also have the highest resting metabolic activity (Buckner et al., 2005). Since DMN regions are known to have the highest resting-state metabolic activity, it may be that those APOE4-mediated mechanisms that depend on metabolic activity, such as decreased transport and damage to astroglia, occur at a higher rate within the DMN regions, and that these effects predate deposition of Aβ plaques.

The effect of APOE4 appears to operate through both Aβ metabolism effects and non-Aβ effects. Clear evidence supports Aβ metabolism effects as a major mechanism for APOE4 genotype influence on AD. Histopathological studies demonstrated a positive correlation between APOE4 dose and Aβ plaque density (Rebeck et al., 1993) and increased neuritic plaques (Tiraboschi et al., 2004). Further, cognitively normal subjects had an APOE4 dose-dependent increase in fibrillar Aβ plaque burden, detected by amyloid imaging (Reiman et al., 2009). Studies have also found that APOE4 was more effective than APOE3 at increasing Aβ40 aggregation (Wisniewski et al., 1994). In addition to effects on fibrillogenesis, there is evidence that APOE4 alters both brain transport and metabolism of Aβ. Aβ in complex with APOE2 and APOE3 is cleared more rapidly from the brain than Aβ complexed to APOE4 (Deane et al., 2008).

Additional evidence suggests that APOE4 also plays a role in non-Aβ-mediated mechanisms (Zhong and Weisgraber, 2009), resulting in decreased neuronal stability and plasticity. Among critical differences are a lower stability of the APOE4 isoform with greater propensity to form toxic aggregates (Hatters et al.,

**Table 1.** Altered functional connectivity of the precuneus in cognitively normal PIB—APOE4+ versus APOE4— participants. The graph compares regional correlation magnitudes for APOE4+ and APOE4— individuals for regions shown in Figure 1. L, Left; R, right; Sup Temp/F-P O, superior temporal gyrus/frontoparietal operculum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>APOE4- (n=62)</th>
<th>APOE4+ (n=38)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L Sup Temp/F-P O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Hippocampus &amp; Parahippocampus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal AC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal Orbital Cortex</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Temporal Cortex</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Hippocampus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFC (frontal pole) (BA10)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus Rectus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal Occipital Cortex</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-3.0 -2.0 -1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0

**Figure 2.** Altered functional connectivity of the precuneus in cognitively normal PIB—APOE4+ versus APOE4— participants. The graph compares regional correlation magnitudes for APOE4+ and APOE4— individuals for regions shown in Figure 1. L, Left; R, right; Sup Temp/F-P O, superior temporal gyrus/frontoparietal operculum.
2006); lower E4 affinity for small lipoproteins, resulting in less effective transport of lipids required for neuronal synaptogenesis, maintenance, and repair (Dong et al., 1994); and increased cleavage of APOE4 by neuronal protease, forming fragments that escape the secretory pathway and lead to neuronal death (Harris et al., 2003). An isoform-specific effect of APOE on neurite outgrowth has been reported and, although inconclusive regarding the effects of APOE on neuronal processes (Kim et al., 2009), it is possible that astrocytes contribute more directly to the association of APOE4 and AD than had previously been thought. An interesting phenomenon involves a switch in APOE4 expression. Normally APOE is only expressed in astrocytes and other glial cells. However, under stressful conditions, neurons also express APOE (Xu et al., 2006). Further, APOE4 produced within neurons is subject to cleavage by neuronal protease, resulting in toxic fragments. These toxic fragments have been observed in AD patients and in transgenic mice expressing APOE4 in neurons (Zhong et al., 2009). An additional pathological mechanism involves APOE4 alteration of the ability of astrocytes to facilitate neuronal function: Over most of a lifetime, although compromised, astrocyte function is sufficient in non-stressed conditions (Zhong et al., 2009). However, in the presence of later-life stressors such as ischemia, oxidative stress, and Aβ toxicity, astrocyte support can become less effective. Thus, APOE4 can contribute to AD pathology by acting through both Aβ-dependent and Aβ-independent pathways.

Although many APOE4 changes in our study occurred in the same areas that are affected in AD and these effects could be interpreted as very early manifestations of AD, our exploratory whole-brain analyses revealed other regions with altered functional connectivity and may represent APOE4 effects that are independent of AD pathology. For example, APOE4 genotype could be associated with a genetically altered functional connectivity, some portion of which is unassociated with AD. Alternatively, these changes could have pathological significance and be associated with toxic APOE4 allele effects independent of AD-related toxicity. The current finding that APOE4 allele carriers had alterations in precuneus resting-state functional connectivity with other important DMN regions highlights the importance of integrity of the DMN (Raichle et al., 2001). Indeed, a role for an APOE4 effect mediated through metabolic demands is suggested by the distribution of preclinical fibrillar Aβ falling within DMN regions (Shulman et al., 1997; Raichle et al., 2001; Buckner et al., 2005), which have very high resting metabolic demands. Evidence from mouse models (Cirrito et al., 2005) suggests neuronal activity-dependent increase in production of Aβ. In APOE4 allele carriers, who have chronic deficient astrocyte support, the vulnerability of DMN regions to neuronal damage could be triggered in the face of additional burden, such as ischemia or oxidative stress. This could help to explain the greater risk and earlier age of onset of dementia in APOE4 carriers. Longitudinal studies will be important to determine the time course of any alterations in resting-state functional connectivity, both those that appear independent of fibrillogenesis and, particularly, those that appear to progress to neuronal dysfunction and damage, to help plan the optimal timing for potential interventional studies in individuals at risk for symptomatic AD.
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