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1 | INTRODUCTION

We report the co-occurrence of insulinoma and type 1 diabetes (T1D) in the youngest known patient—the presence of opposing pathologies obscured typical clinical presentations. Development of fulminant T1D was anticipated with insulinoma resection, and as such, T1D-related surgical complications were prevented. Longitudinal assessment and data re-evaluation are critical.

Insulinomas are well-differentiated pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (NET) and are rare causes of hypoglycemia, especially in children.1 Type 1 diabetes (T1D) has been associated with a prodrome of hypoglycemia, attributable to delayed insulin secretion.2,3 Natural history studies have elucidated three stages of T1D that begin with pancreatic autoantibody positivity in the setting of euglycemia.4 Progression to stage 2 occurs when there is evidence of dysglycemia in the absence of symptomatology. Stage 3 represents symptomatic hyperglycemia. Patients progress with variable speed, dictated by the interaction of genetics and environment.4
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Abstract
Type 1 diabetes and insulinoma can co-occur in pediatric patients and may present with episodes of hypo- and hyperglycemia, significant glycemic variability, and weight gain. Surgical resection leads to development of fulminant diabetes.
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We describe the case of an adolescent male who presented with acute altered mental status secondary to hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia. Evaluation revealed both stage 2 T1D and an insulinoma. This case is the youngest described co-occurrence of T1D and insulinoma and highlights the importance of thorough data evaluation to ensure that antagonist processes do not mask the complete diagnosis. This case also demonstrates beta-cell destruction and inflammation adjacent to neuroendocrine proliferation, which may have implications for the prevention and treatment of T1D.

2 | CASE HISTORY/EXAMINATION

A 17-year-old previously healthy male presented to the emergency department due to acute altered mental status. Initial laboratories demonstrated a low blood glucose (BG) of 1.8 mmol/L (reference range 3.6–7.7 mmol/L), and he received 1 ml/kg intravenous 50% dextrose solution (D50W). Repeat BG twelve minutes later was 5.4 mmol/L. BG continued to rise for three hours, peaking at 12.1 mmol/L. Mental status improved after dextrose administration.

His past medical history is pertinent for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder for which he took dextroamphetamine-amphetamine. Family history is relevant for autoimmune thyroid disease in his mother and father. Initial workup included thyroid studies and urine drug screen. Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) was normal. Urine drug screen returned presumptive positive for tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), and he endorsed using marijuana 48 hours prior to presentation. A sulfonylurea screen drawn at presentation was negative.

3 | DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND INITIAL TREATMENT

He was admitted and made nil per os (NPO). BGs were monitored every 1–2 h (Figure 1). His BG dropped to 2.1 mmol/L ten hours into the fast. A critical sample was obtained which was notable for a total insulin level of 158.35 pmol/L (reference range 18.06–172.93 pmol/L) and c-peptide level of 1.09 nmol/L (0.36–1.46 nmol/L), both inappropriately elevated relative to his low BG. Beta-hydroxybutyrate was suppressed. Adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), cortisol, growth hormone, and the remainder of critical sample laboratories were appropriate for clinical context (Table 1). He received a second dose of 1 ml/kg D50W, and dextrose-containing fluids were titrated to maintain euglycemia overnight. After initiation of a general diet, BGs were assessed every two hours and ranged from 2.9–9.1 mmol/L.

Pancreatic autoantibodies (insulin, IgG Islet Cell, Islet Antigen 2, Glutamic Acid Decarboxylase) were obtained due to suspicion of insulin autoimmune syndrome or T1D, given the evidence of reactive hyperglycemia, generous post-prandial blood glucose levels, and family history of autoimmune thyroid disease. All pancreatic antibody levels returned positive, and he was given a diagnosis of stage 1 type 1 diabetes. Abdominal ultrasound was obtained to assess for presence of a pancreatic mass and was read as normal.

Glucagon administration, self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) teaching, and nutrition education were completed, and he was discharged after three days.

4 | OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

He subsequently enrolled in TrialNet Pathway to Prevention and tested positive for five pancreatic autoantibodies (islet cell antibody—ICA, glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody 65kd—GAD65, islet cell autoantibody 512—ICA512, mucinized insulin autoantibody—mIAA, and zinc transporter 8—ZnT8). See Table 2 for a summary of all islet antibodies obtained over the clinical course.

He was instructed to continue SMBG three times per day, which demonstrated modest hyperglycemia with hypoglycemia predominance (Table 3).
months after hospital discharge, the patient underwent an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (Figure 2), which demonstrated a 1-h BG of 12.0 mmol/L and a 2-h BG of 11.1 mmol/L, indicative of significant impairment in glucose tolerance (stage 2 T1D) and imminent risk to progress to clinically evident T1D.7

Over the next month, the patient had significant weight gain. He discontinued SMBG, so a continuous glucose monitor (CGM) was placed. CGM demonstrated patterns of prolonged fasting hypoglycemia juxtaposed with hyperglycemia (Figure 3). HbA1c increased from 28 mmol/mol (4.7%) at presentation to 37 mmol/mol (5.5%) (reference 29–42 mmol/mol). Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was obtained due to suspicion that the patient’s dysglycemia was not solely attributable to the beta-cell dysfunction that can be noted in stage 2 T1D.2,3,8 MRI demonstrated a 1.9 × 1.3 cm solid lesion in the tail of the pancreas (Figure 4A–D). Compared to the normal pancreatic parenchyma, the lesion showed low signal intensity (dark) on T1W images and high signal intensity (bright) on T2W images. After IV contrast enhancement using gadolinium, there was decreased contrast differentiation between the lesion and the normal parenchyma in both arterial and venous phases.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory test</th>
<th>Patient’s value</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>5.06 pmol/L</td>
<td>1.32–12.10 pmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anion Gap</td>
<td>15 mmol/L</td>
<td>&lt;17 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acyl carnitine profile</td>
<td>Normal profile</td>
<td>Normal profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>19 umol/L</td>
<td>21–50 umol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>23 mmol/L</td>
<td>22–29 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-hydroxybutyrate</td>
<td>0.3 mmol/L†</td>
<td>0.0–0.3 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide</td>
<td>1.09 nmol/L*</td>
<td>0.36–1.46 nmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>311.74 nmol/L</td>
<td>74.49–507.62 nmol/L (random timed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free fatty acids</td>
<td>0.8 mmol/L†</td>
<td>No reference for &lt;18y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Hormone</td>
<td>5.69 ug/L</td>
<td>0.08–10.80 ug/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>158.35 pmol/L*</td>
<td>0.56–172.93 pmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>0.6 mmol/L</td>
<td>0.5–2.2 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma amino acids</td>
<td>Normal profile</td>
<td>Normal profile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values denoted with an asterisk are inappropriately elevated relative to the clinical context (ie, hypoglycemia), and the values denoted with a † are relatively low for the clinical context. All other values are within normal limits.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Islet antibody</th>
<th>Date obtained</th>
<th>Patient's value</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin antibody*</td>
<td>5/14/2020</td>
<td>0.7 U/ml</td>
<td>0–0.4 U/L</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIAA (micronized insulin) †</td>
<td>6/3/2020</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>≤0.010</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIAA †</td>
<td>7/24/2020</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>≤0.010</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin antibody‡</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0–0.4 U/L</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet cell antibody (IgG)*</td>
<td>5/14/2020</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>&lt;1:4</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet cell antibody†</td>
<td>6/3/2020</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet cell antibody†</td>
<td>7/24/2020</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet cell antibody (IgG) ‡</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>&lt;1:4</td>
<td>&lt;1:4</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid decarboxylase*</td>
<td>5/14/2020</td>
<td>&gt;250 IU/ml</td>
<td>0.0–5.0 IU/ml</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid decarboxylase†</td>
<td>6/3/2020</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid decarboxylase†</td>
<td>7/24/2020</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid decarboxylase‡</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>&gt;250 IU/ml</td>
<td>0.0–5.0 IU/ml</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet antigen 2*</td>
<td>5/14/2020</td>
<td>&gt;120 U/ml</td>
<td>0.0–7.4 U/ml</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA512/IA–2H†</td>
<td>6/3/2020</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA512/IA–2H†</td>
<td>7/24/2020</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islet antigen 2‡</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>&gt;120 U/ml</td>
<td>0.0–7.4 U/ml</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8†</td>
<td>6/3/2020</td>
<td>0.3310</td>
<td>≤0.020</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8†</td>
<td>7/24/2020</td>
<td>0.3630</td>
<td>≤0.020</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8‡</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>0–15 KU/ml</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 4 antibodies sent during the initial inpatient admission were positive and are denoted with *. The second and third sets were obtained as part of TrialNet and are denoted with †, ‡ denotes testing sent 4 months after surgical resection of mass and initiation of insulin therapy. The only antibody that became negative after surgical resection was Islet Cell IgG.
He subsequently underwent endoscopic fine-needle biopsy. Cytopathology confirmed the presence of a somatostatin-receptor 2a (SSTR2a) positive, well-differentiated NET (Ki-67 proliferation index 13.6%) lesion. Serum chromogranin A, pancreastatin, and gastrin levels were normal. Serotonin was slightly elevated (1.61 umol/L, reference 0.28–1.14 umol/L). Pre-biopsy laboratories demonstrated fasting hyperglycemia (17.7 mmol/L) with elevated fasting insulin (341.0 pmol/L) and c-peptide levels (1.39 nmol/L) without evidence of ketosis.

He underwent T1D education, as it was anticipated that he would develop insulinopenia after removal of his endogenous insulin source. The patient developed persistent hyperglycemia and ketonuria without acidosis within five hours of surgical resection. C-peptide level was inappropriately low (0.33 nmol/L) with hyperglycemia (17.0 mmol/L). Insulin therapy was initiated, and he was discharged on a total daily insulin dose of 0.6 U/kg/day. One-month post-resection, his HbA1c was 50 mmol/mol (6.7%) on 0.7 U/kg/day. Non-tumorous peripancreatic tissue demonstrated classic histologic findings of T1D, including inflammatory infiltration around the residual islets (Figure 5A-J).9 Quantitative evaluation of 50 islets revealed that 11/50 (22%) showed insulitis, 50/50 (100%) expressed glucagon, and 6/50 (12%) expressed insulin.

Genetic testing was completed via the Detect Hereditary Pancreatic Cancer Program, which includes MEN1, NF1, VHL, TSC1, and TSC2. Testing returned negative except a heterozygous variant of unknown significance in RECQL4 c.2069 C>T. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genotyping demonstrated the presence of one high-risk T1D class 2 haplotype, DR4, in the context of six total risk-associated class 2 alleles (five unique alleles, with two copies of the DQA1*03:01 allele). There were no protective DRB1, DQB1, or DPB1 alleles present.10-12 (Supplemental Table 1).

### TABLE 3 SMBG readings from hospital discharge (May 2020) to second clinic follow-up (September 2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average BG (mmol/L)</th>
<th>4.7 mmol/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of BG below 3.0 mmol/L</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of BG between 3.0 – 3.9 mmol/L</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of BG between 10–13.9 mmol/L</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of BG above 13.9 mg/dl</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This reflects a total of 94 SMBG readings. Adherence to SMBG declined over time, initially testing 4–5 times per day but then only testing with symptoms. Only one blood glucose value was obtained between the beginning of August 2020 and the middle of September 2020, which was 5.3 mmol/L. Subsequently, the decision to begin CGM monitoring was made.

### 5 | DISCUSSION

This previously healthy 17-year-old male met the criteria for Whipple’s triad [signs/symptoms of hypoglycemia, low plasma glucose, resolution of signs/symptoms of hypoglycemia after hypoglycemia correction].11 The differential diagnosis for hypoglycemia in an adolescent is broad and falls into many overarching categories including metabolic...
disorders, drugs, critical illness, hormone deficiency, and endogenous hyperinsulinism.\textsuperscript{14}

The patient’s insulin secretagogue screen returned negative, and while his urine drug screen returned presumptive positive for THC, there is no literature to suggest that THC induces hypoglycemia. Exogenous insulin administration can induce hypoglycemia; however, the insulin and c-peptide levels both returned relatively elevated.

**FIGURE 4** A-D Non-contrast axial MRI of the pancreas (A & B) showed a pancreatic tail mass (arrow). It showed low signal intensity on T1W (FLASH sequence) image (A) and high signal intensity on T2W (HASTE) (B) compared to normal parenchyma. After intravenous gadolinium, the lesion showed less conspicuity in both arterial (C) and venous (D) phases.

**FIGURE 5** A-F Panels A-D represent a peritumoral islet with insulitis. Panel A is the H&E stain. Panel B is a CD3 immunostain demonstrating approximately 60 infiltrating T cells. Panels C &D are glucagon and insulin stains, respectively. Panels E (H & E) and F (CD3 immunostain) demonstrate significant insulitis.
Critical illness and hormone deficiency secondary to inborn errors of metabolism or congenital defects were unlikely based on the patient’s previous well-appearing clinical picture and initial laboratory findings. It is important to note that this patient does not fit a clinical picture consistent with adrenal insufficiency, as the only known reports of patients with stage 3 T1D presenting with hypoglycemia are those with concurrent primary adrenal insufficiency.\textsuperscript{15,16}

The evaluation led to the diagnosis of hyperinsulinism, which can occur via a multitude of etiologies including insulinoma, insulin autoimmune syndrome, and functional beta-cell disorders (including early-stage T1D).\textsuperscript{2,3,5} It was important to assess for insulin antibodies that might be present in insulin autoimmune syndrome, and given the family history and presence of reactive hyperglycemia, it was prudent to obtain multiple islet autoantibodies simultaneously in the event the insulin antibody was positive. After determining that the hypoglycemia was more profound than expected with a T1D prodrome, it was necessary to obtain more sensitive imaging to screen for insulinoma as this was not detected on initial ultrasound, specifically noted to have low sensitivity for pancreatic mass.\textsuperscript{17} The discovery of multiple pancreatic autoantibodies, in conjunction with his OGTT and CGM data, led to two antagonistic diagnoses that each somewhat masked the other.

NET are exceedingly rare in the pediatric population, with an estimated incidence of 2.8 per 1,000,000.\textsuperscript{18} T1D is much more common, with an incidence of approximately 22 per 100,000 in the United States.\textsuperscript{19} Insulinoma masking the diagnosis of T1D has only been documented twice previously, in a 71-year-old woman and a 49-year-old man, making our patient the youngest described.\textsuperscript{20} Pancreatic NET is observed with increasing frequency in genetic syndromes including multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1, von Hippel-Lindau syndrome, neurofibromatosis type 1, and tuberous sclerosis but so far our patient has tested negative for these associated conditions.\textsuperscript{21}

Beta-cell destruction adjacent to neuroendocrine proliferation may suggest that the T1D antigen is expressed on the native beta cells only and not the insulin-producing NET cells. Our patient’s rising blood glucose pre-operatively could represent the natural history of T1D or provide evidence that there was autoimmune attack on the tumor. Inflammation and cancer are intimately linked, but there is anecdotal evidence that insulinomas do not demonstrate T-cell infiltration.\textsuperscript{20,22} However, CD3+ T cells were noted to have infiltrated the adjacent insulinoma (Figure 6). Determination of the antigenic target of the islet T cells and the tumoral T cells may play a role in understanding more of the pathogenesis of T1D.

6  |  CONCLUSION

This case highlights the utility of investigating multiple pancreatic autoantibodies in a pediatric patient with hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia as this prevented us from erroneously diagnosing him with insulin autoimmune syndrome if only insulin antibody had been ordered and returned positive. Instead, this led to the diagnosis of dual processes that each needed to be addressed. Diabetes education was able to be initiated pre-operatively and awareness of impending hyperglycemia prevented development of ketoacidosis, which may have been difficult to distinguish from a post-operative complication. Co-existence of proliferative and destructive processes in a patient at high genetic risk to develop T1D may lead to further understanding of the pathogenesis of T1D. To conclude, the possibility of co-existing T1D and insulinoma, although exceedingly rare, should be considered when early-stage T1D is predominated by hypoglycemia with weight gain as it will significantly impact management of both processes.
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