High-dimensional analysis reveals distinct endotypes in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies

Erin M Wilfong  
*Vanderbilt University*

Todd Bartkowiak  
*Vanderbilt University*

Katherine N Vowell  
*Vanderbilt University*

Camille S Westlake  
*Vanderbilt University*

Jonathan M Irish  
*Vanderbilt University*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs)

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

**Recommended Citation**

Wilfong, Erin M; Bartkowiak, Todd; Vowell, Katherine N; Westlake, Camille S; Irish, Jonathan M; Kendall, Peggy L; Crofford, Leslie J; and Bonami, Rachel H, "High-dimensional analysis reveals distinct endotypes in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies." Frontiers in Immunology. 13, 756018 (2022).  
https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/11581

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact [vanam@wustl.edu](mailto:vanam@wustl.edu).
Authors
Erin M Wilfong, Todd Bartkowiak, Katherine N Vowell, Camille S Westlake, Jonathan M Irish, Peggy L Kendall, Leslie J Crofford, and Rachel H Bonami
High-Dimensional Analysis Reveals Distinct Endotypes in Patients With Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies

Erin M. Wilfong1,2,3,4*, Todd Bartkowiak5,6, Katherine N. Vowell1, Camille S. Westlake4, Jonathan M. Irish3,4,5,6,7, Peggy L. Kendall2,3,7,8, Leslie J. Crofford1,3,4,7 and Rachel H. Bonami1,3,4,6,7 on behalf of the MYSTIC Investigators

1 Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 2 Division of Allergy, Pulmonary, and Critical Care Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 3 Vanderbilt Institute for Infection, Immunology, and Inflammation, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 4 Human Immunology Discovery Initiative and Vanderbilt Center for Immunobiology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 5 Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States, 6 Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 7 Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, 8 Department of Medicine, Division of Allergy and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine St. Louis, MO, United States

The idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) are a rare clinically heterogeneous group of conditions affecting the skin, muscle, joint, and lung in various combinations. While myositis specific autoantibodies are well described, we postulate that broader immune endotypes exist in IIM spanning B cell, T cell, and monocyte compartments. This study aims to identify immune endotypes through detailed immunophenotyping of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in IIM patients compared to healthy controls. We collected PBMCs from 17 patients with a clinical diagnosis of inflammatory myositis and characterized the B, T, and myeloid cell subsets using mass cytometry by time of flight (CyTOF). Data were analyzed using a combination of the dimensionality reduction algorithm t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE), cluster identification, characterization, and regression (CITRUS), and marker enrichment modeling (MEM); supervised biaxial gating validated populations identified by these methods to be differentially abundant between groups. Using these approaches, we identified shared immunologic features across all IIM patients, despite different clinical features, as well as two distinct immune endotypes. All IIM patients had decreased surface expression of RP105/CD180 on B cells and a reduction in circulating CD3+CXCR3+ subsets relative to healthy controls. One IIM endotype featured CXCR4 upregulation across all cellular compartments. The second endotype was hallmarked by an increased frequency of CD19+CD21loCD11c+ and CD3+CD4+PD1+ subsets. The experimental and analytical methods we describe here are broadly applicable to studying other immune-mediated diseases (e.g., autoimmunity, immunodeficiency) or protective immune responses (e.g., infection, vaccination).
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INTRODUCTION

The idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) are a family of autoimmune diseases afflicting 2.4 to 33.8 per 100,000 individuals. IIM are associated with significant morbidity and mortality (1). IIM patients have a three-fold increased risk of death (2), and 14% cannot dress independently (3). Clinically, IIM are quite heterogenous and causes varying degrees of skin rash, proximal muscle weakness, esophageal dysmotility, and interstitial lung disease (ILD). The presence of different autoantibodies is associated with specific clinical phenotypes (4–15). For example, Mi-2 positive patients frequently manifest with classical rashes, mild to moderate muscle involvement, and rarely have ILD (15), whereas MDA5 positive patients have cutaneous ulcerations, arthritis, and progressive lung disease that can rapidly lead to death (14). Immune subsets interact and influence each other (16–23). B cells, T cells, dendritic cells, and monocytes have been independently characterized in IIM patients (24–29), but all compartments have not been immunophenotyped in tandem from the same patient. Recent technical and analytical advances create an opportunity to probe relational changes in all of these subsets simultaneously to build a more complete picture of immune dysfunction in IIM.

Cryopreserved PBMC samples enable longitudinal studies of the same donor as one batch. Aliquots of the same sample can also be run in different assays. Several groups have shown that cryopreserved lymphocytes approximate fresh ex vivo results (30–33). To improve our understanding of IIM immunophenotypes across all PBMC compartments, we performed detailed immunophenotyping using mass cytometry by time of flight (CyTOF) to analyze cryopreserved PBMC samples isolated from a cohort of IIM patients with active disease compared to healthy controls. A challenge in analyzing mass cytometric data is the number of parameters analyzed simultaneously. While canonical immune subsets (34) and previously described autoimmune-prone subsets (35–40) can be analyzed using traditional biaxial gating, dimensionality reduction tools, such as t-SNE and UMAP, facilitate discovery of non-canonical immune subsets that may otherwise be missed by manual biaxial gating approaches that require a priori knowledge to identify subsets. Dimensionality reduction tools convert plots of cells in multi-dimensional space into a two-dimensional map for manual review by scientists (41). In contrast, clustering algorithms, such as CITRUS (42) and FlowSOM (43), use automated approaches to detect and define cell populations for downstream analyses, including manual biaxial gating. While FlowSOM stands out compared to other unsupervised clustering tools due to its fast and accurate grouping of cells by phenotype, FlowSOM does not analyze external categories as part of finding cell clusters (44). In contrast, CITRUS is a statistically robust tool for supervised analysis, meaning that it allows the user to guide the analysis using an external categorization of comparison groups and incorporates key statistical concepts like false discovery rate. Additional algorithms, such as marker enrichment modeling (45), can further characterize these clusters and facilitate the development of biaxial gating schemes for cluster validation. We therefore elected to use t-SNE, as well as CITRUS and MEM to identify immune perturbations that distinguished groups and manual biaxial gating to validate proportional differences in these putative populations between groups.

Previously, CD21lo/neg (46) and CD180lo (37) B cell subsets were found to be increased in IIM. We hypothesized that machine learning would identify additional atypical B cell populations. Therefore, in addition to measuring perturbation of canonical PBMC (34) and autoimmune prone B cell subsets (35–37, 39, 40) in IIM patients via biaxial gating, we employed t-SNE to visualize the B cell immunophenotypes in IIM and identify additional atypical populations. We then grouped patients by the presence of atypical B cell subsets and exploited the statistical robustness of CITRUS to identify other PBMC subsets associated with the t-SNE identified B cell subsets, which would support the hypotheses that immune endotypes exist within IIM. Such immune endotypes may have important clinical correlates such as disease severity, end-organ involvement, or treatment response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained (VUMC IRB 141415). Patients clinically diagnosed with IIM were enrolled into the Myositis and Scleroderma Treatment Initiative Center (MYSTIC) Cohort in either the outpatient clinic or inpatient setting at Vanderbilt University Medical Center between 9/17/2017 and 9/30/2018. Individuals enrolling as healthy controls completed a health questionnaire to verify a negative review of systems and no personal or family history of autoimmunity in a first degree relative. We performed clinical phenotyping by chart abstraction to estimate the date of symptom onset and collected serologic data, including anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA), rheumatoid factor (RF), cyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP), and an extended myositis panel obtained through ARUP (Salt Lake City, UT), which included Jo-1, PL-7, PL-12, EJ, OJ, Ro52, Ro60, Ku, MDA5, Mi-2, NXP-2, P155/140, Pm/Scl 100, SAE-1/SUMO, SRP, Tif-1γ, U1RNP, and U3RNP. We defined patients as having ILD if a radiologist determined that fibrosis was present on a CT scan. If the treating clinician escalated immunosuppression, the patient was defined as having active disease. Clinical data are reported as the mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. We isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from blood collected in sodium heparin CPT tubes (BD Biosciences, San Jose CA) per manufacturer’s directions and cryopreserved for future study.

Mass Cytometry

Seventeen IIM patients with active disease and eighteen healthy controls were included for CyTOF analysis. For CyTOF acquisition, we thawed 3-5 million PBMCs per individual,
viability stained with cisplatin, and stained for surface and intracellular markers (see Supplemental Methods and Tables S1, S2). Data were acquired using a CyTOF Helios 3.0 (Fluidigm Sciences, Sunnyvale, CA) and CyTOF software (version 6.7.1014) at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center Mass Cytometry Center of Excellence. Dual count calibration and noise reduction were applied prior to acquisition; 100,000-400,000 events were collected per sample.

**Flow Cytometry**

We selected six representative IIM patients and six healthy controls for flow cytometric studies of CD180 expression, for which 4–5 million cells per individual were thawed and stained (see Supplemental Methods and Table S3). We measured intracellular and extracellular CD180 levels simultaneously on the same day. All data were acquired on a BD LSRII Fortessa instrument.

**Data Analysis**

Mass cytometry FCS files underwent Fluidigm bead normalization and analysis using CytoBank software per established methods (47). Dimensionality reduction (t-SNE (41) and UMAP (48)), clustering (CITRUS (42)), or supervised (traditional biaxial gating) analyses were conducted using CytoBank (Santa Clara, CA). Marker enrichment modeling (MEM) labels (45) aided in determining biaxial gating schemes for CITRUS-identified populations. Details of mass cytometric data analysis are included in the Supplemental Methods and Tables S4, S5. Fluorescence cytometry data was analyzed using FlowJo version 9.9.6.

**Statistical Methods**

Population statistics were exported from CytoBank or FlowJo as appropriate and analyzed with Prism software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) to calculate descriptive statistics. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. If multiple groups were compared, we performed a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA and, if p<0.05, post-hoc Mann-Whitney U-tests were performed. For comparison of two continuous variables, we utilized Mann-Whitney U-tests. For comparison of two dichotomous variables, a Fisher’s exact test was performed. Spearman’s correlation coefficients were utilized to identify the presence of a statistical correlation between populations.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

We studied 17 patients clinically diagnosed with IIM and 18 healthy controls. Basic demographics and clinical information are shown in Table 1. Detailed clinical phenotyping is shown in Supplemental Table S6. IIM patients were slightly older than healthy controls (56.8 ± 12.0 v. 46.4 ± 12.0 years, p=0.01). Seven patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; one patient was taking methotrexate at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment; patients were receiving corticosteroids at the time of enrollment.

**Lymphoid and Myeloid Subsets Are Altered in IIM Patients Compared to Healthy Controls**

We evaluated common myeloid, T, and B cell subsets based on standardized immunophenotypic markers (34) using traditional biaxial gating (Supplemental Figure S1). Regions for each subset were pseudocolored on a t-SNE plot including all donors concatenated (Figure 1A) or divided into concatenated healthy control or IIM t-SNE plots, in which major subset regions are indicated by shading (Figure 1B). Figure 1C shows a heatmap of all markers for each population to ensure all populations were correctly identified. Table 2 shows the average, standard deviation, and p value comparing all populations between IIM and healthy controls based on manually-defined populations on t-SNE plots. Complete blood count with differential was available for 14 IIM patients and 14 healthy controls. There was no difference between the number of circulating PBMCs between IIM patients and healthy controls (Figure 1D). There was no difference in the percent of live cells for healthy controls compared to IIM patients (92.2 ± 5.1% v. 94.2 ± 2.7%, p=0.27). As shown in Figure 1E, IIM patients had a decreased frequency of class-switched (CD19+CD27+IgM-) and non-class-switched (CD19+CD27+IgM+) memory B cells compared to healthy controls; there was no difference in the frequency of naïve (CD19+CD27-IgD+) or total B cells. While there was no statistically significant difference in CD8+ T cells, there was a decrease in CD4+, CD4-CD8- and CD4+CXCR5+PD1+ T cells. Classical monocytes (CD14+CD16-CD19-CD3-) were increased in IIM patients compared to healthy controls, but there was no difference in the frequency of natural killer cells (CD16+CD19-CD3-CD14-) or non-classical monocytes (CD14+CD16+CD19-CD3-).

**Autoimmune-Prone CD21lo and DN B Cell Subsets Are Increased in IIM Compared to Healthy Controls**

Next, we used manual biaxial gating to investigate the previously described autoreactive CD24hiCD38hi transitional B cell (40), CD21lo/negative B cell (36), CD27-IgD- DN B cell (50), and B_{ND} cell (35) subsets as shown in Figure 2A. There was no difference in the frequency of CD24hiCD38hi transitional B cells or B_{ND} cells in IIM patients compared to healthy controls. However, IIM patients had increased CD21lo/neg and DN B cells compared to healthy controls (Figure 2B). CD21lo/negative cells were previously shown to be autoreactive and/or anergic (36). The frequency of CD21lo/negative cells can be increased in systemic lupus erythematosus (51), rheumatoid arthritis (36), juvenile idiopathic arthritis (52), and systemic sclerosis (53, 54).

Kikuchi et al. reported an RP105/CD180 lo B cell population that was increased in dermatomyositis (37). Examination of CD180 median mass intensity (MMI) revealed decreased expression on naïve, class-switched memory, and non-class switched memory B cells in IIM patients compared to healthy...
There was no difference in the CD180 MMI on CD19+ cells of patients taking or not taking prednisone (41.7 ± 3.7% v. 54.2 ± 17.5%, p=0.14). To evaluate whether surface CD180 expression was decreased due to global protein downregulation, fluorescence cytometry was performed on IIM patients and healthy controls to quantify extracellular versus intracellular protein. Extracellular CD180 median fluorescent intensity was decreased in IIM patients compared to healthy controls, whereas intracellular CD180 expression was not different (Figures 2D–F). These data are consistent with surface downregulation, rather than reduced expression of CD180 in IIM B cells.

Circulating Subsets of CXCR3+ T Cells Are Decreased in IIM

We used previously defined biaxial gating schemes (34, 55) to evaluate CD4+ T cell subsets (Figures 3A, B and Supplemental Figure S2A) as defined in Table 2. There were no differences in CD4+ naïve, central memory, effector memory, or effector cells between IIM patients and healthy controls (Supplemental Figure S2B). IIM patients had an increased frequency of CD8+ effector cells but not CD8+ naïve, central memory or effector memory cells (Supplemental Figure S2C). However, as shown in Figure 3C, all CXCR3+ Th subsets, including Th1, Th1Th17, CXCR3+Th2, and CXCR3+Th17 cells, were strikingly decreased in IIM compared to healthy controls. There was no difference in the CXCR3- subsets Th0, Th2, and Th17. We additionally determined that the CXCR3+ subsets of CD8+ and CD4-CD8- T cells were decreased in IIM compared to healthy controls, but there was again no difference in the CXCR3- subsets (Figures 3D, E). There was no difference in the global frequency of CXCR3+ T cells in IIM patients taking prednisone compared to those not on prednisone (2.6 ± 3.2% v. 2.6 ± 1.7% of live PBMCs, p=0.37).

Dimensionality Reduction Algorithms Reveal Two Abnormal CD19+ Cell Populations in IIM

Population identification using biaxial gating strategies relies on prior phenotypic marker knowledge. However, t-SNE plots represent multidimensional cellular information in 2D space, allowing for unbiased population identification and manual visual comparison (41). The t-SNE plots in Figure 4A and heatmap in Figure 4B show two atypical CD19+ cell subsets with varying frequencies in IIM patients as well as the previously seen decrease in class-switched and non-class switched memory CD19+ expression was not different (Figures 2D–F). These data are consistent with surface downregulation, rather than reduced expression of CD180 in IIM B cells.

High Frequencies of Abnormal CD19+ Populations Predict the Presence of Altered Circulating CD4+ Populations

CITRUS is a fully automated machine learning algorithm that identifies cell populations correlated with a particular biologic or clinical feature (42). CITRUS also incorporates regularized
FIGURE 1 | IIM patients show differences in peripheral blood immune subsets from healthy controls. (A) Live PBMCs from healthy controls (n=18) and IIM patients (n=17) were visualized using t-SNE and manually assigned to traditional immune cell populations (indicated by color). (B) Density contour maps of concatenated healthy controls versus IIM patients. (C) Expression heatmaps of representative markers for t-SNE islands displaying the arcsinh ratio by table’s minimum. (D, E) Clinical seropositivity for the indicated autoantibodies is shown by legend symbols at the bottom of Panel (E). Individual donors are plotted. (D) Absolute number of circulating PBMCs for healthy controls (n=14) and IIM patients (n=14) for whom count data were available. (E) Comparison of major circulating PBMC subsets between healthy controls and IIM patients using t-SNE visualization and manual gating from panel (A). Statistical comparisons were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, not significant (n.s.).
regression modeling to make statistically robust comparisons between groups, which was highly valued given the cohort heterogeneity. As specific B cell subsets can affect T cell phenotype (19, 20, 23), we used CITRUS to investigate correlations between CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi and CD19+CD21loCD11c+ cell subsets with T cell subsets. We created three mutually exclusive groups of IIM patients with high expression of CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi, CD19+CD21loCD11c+, or neither compared to healthy controls (Table S7). IIM patients assigned to the CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi group had ten-fold more cells in that population than the average for

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Healthy (n = 18)</th>
<th>IIM (n = 17)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of circulating PBMCs (cells/mL)</td>
<td>2443 ± 614</td>
<td>2649 ± 1301</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27- (naive)</td>
<td>10.3 ± 4.3%</td>
<td>10.8 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27+IgM+ (non-class switched memory)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 4.0%</td>
<td>8.6 ± 6.9%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27+IgM- (class switched memory)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6%</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD24hiCD38hi</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.7%</td>
<td>4.9 ± 5.1%</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD21lo</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.2%</td>
<td>11.7 ± 7.2%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27+IgD- (DN B cells)</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.9%</td>
<td>12.7 ± 5.8%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD180 MFI</td>
<td>60.9 ± 20.8</td>
<td>39.8 ± 16.0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27- CD180 MFI</td>
<td>58.3 ± 22.5</td>
<td>38.5 ± 16.3</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+CD27+CD21loCD11c+ (t-SNE)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1%</td>
<td>6.1 ± 19.1%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CXCR3+</td>
<td>9.6 ± 8.1%</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.4%</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>45.6 ± 9.8%</td>
<td>37.1 ± 13.4%</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO-CCR7+ (CD4+ Naive)§</td>
<td>35.1 ± 6.6%</td>
<td>24.3 ± 7.8%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR7+ (CD4+ Central Memory)§</td>
<td>27.7 ± 9.0%</td>
<td>31.8 ± 17.8%</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR7- (CD4+ Effector Memory)§</td>
<td>18.5 ± 4.9%</td>
<td>15.7 ± 5.9%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO-CCR7- (CD4+ Effector)§</td>
<td>13.5 ± 3.9%</td>
<td>11.3 ± 6.9%</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO-CCR3- (Th0)§</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.2%</td>
<td>3.4 ± 3.0%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6-CXCR3- (Th1)§</td>
<td>27.9 ± 8.8%</td>
<td>31.9 ± 16.1%</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR8-CXCR3+ (Th1)§</td>
<td>2.8 ± 2.0%</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.8%</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6+CXCR3+ (Th1Th17)§</td>
<td>1.1 ± 2.1%</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR4+CXCR6-CXCR3- (Th2)§</td>
<td>10.1 ± 4.6%</td>
<td>11.1 ± 4.7%</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR4+CCR6+CXCR3+ (Th2)§</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.3%</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6%</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6+CCR6+CXCR3+ (Th17CXCR3+)§</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.4%</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.2%</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CXCR5+PD1+ (T follicular helper)§</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.8%</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6-CD127- (Treg)§</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2%</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.09%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6-CD127+ (Treg)§</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6+CXCR3- (Cluster &quot;A&quot;)§</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3%</td>
<td>5.5 ± 8.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4+CD45RO+CCR6+CXCR3+ (Cluster &quot;B&quot;)§</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.6%</td>
<td>3.2 ± 3.3%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>13.7 ± 4.3%</td>
<td>11.9 ± 8.1%</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>7.6 ± 5.6%</td>
<td>4.2 ± 4.6%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>16.1 ± 6.9%</td>
<td>22.5 ± 11.5%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CD45RO-CCR7+ (CD8+ Naive)§</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.3%</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.5%</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CD45RO+CCR7+ (CD8+ Central Memory)§</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.3%</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.4%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CD45RO-CCR7- (CD8+ Effector Memory)§</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.1%</td>
<td>8.8 ± 8.3%</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD8+CD45RO+CCR7- (CD8+ Effector)§</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.7%</td>
<td>7.1 ± 6.8%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4-CD8-§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD4-CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>6.3 ± 4.7%</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.7%</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD6-CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>4.7 ± 6.5%</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.7%</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+CD6-CD8+CXCR3+§</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.2%</td>
<td>6.0 ± 4.0%</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19-CD3-§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19-CD19-CD14+ Classical Monocytes§</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.1%</td>
<td>13.9 ± 9.1%</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19-CD19-CD14+CD16+ Non-classical monocytes§</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.8%</td>
<td>8.6 ± 4.4%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19-CD19-CD14+CD16+ NK cells§</td>
<td>9.2 ± 4.1%</td>
<td>10.6 ± 6.3%</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biaxial &quot;Cluster C&quot;§</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.4%</td>
<td>6.3 ± 5.3%</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biaxial &quot;Cluster D&quot;§</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.2%</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.0%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported as average ± standard deviation. Statistical comparisons performed using Mann-Whitney U-test.

†Frequency of all PBMCs, ‡frequency of all CD19+ cells, §frequency of all CD3+ cells, ††frequency of all CD3-CD19- cells.
healthy controls. IIM patients assigned to CD19+CD21loCD11c+ group had two-fold more cells in that population than the average for healthy controls. As shown in Figure 5A, CITRUS identified increased abundance of CD4+CXCR4hiCD38hi naïve cells (Cluster A) in IIM patients defined by increased CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi cell frequency. CD4+CD27+PD1+ effector memory cells (Cluster B) were increased in the group with a high frequency of CD19+CD21loCD11c+ cells. To further characterize the phenotype of CD4+ cells in Clusters A and B, a heatmap of surface marker expression is shown for both populations. Figure 5B shows that Cluster A is comprised of a CD4+ naïve T cell subset with high levels of CCR7, CXCR4, and CD127 expression while Cluster B consists of a CD4+ effector memory cell subset positive for CX3CR1 and PD1. CITRUS also identified several populations of T cells that were decreased in IIM patients (Supplemental Figure S5).

To confirm this finding, marker enrichment modeling (MEM) computationally identified differentially expressed markers "enriched" in each cluster (45) to guide biaxial gating (Figures 5C, D). Biaxial population frequencies approximated

---

**Figure 2** | Autoreactive-prone CD21lo and DN B cells and CD180 surface expression are reduced in IIM patients. (A) Mass cytometry gating scheme to identify CD19+CD24hiCD38hi transitional B cells, CD19+CD21lo cells, CD19+CD27-IgD- (DN B) cells, and CD27-IgD+IgM- (BND) cells in healthy controls (n=18) and IIM patients (n=17). (B) Population frequencies for panel A subsets are shown for each donor; symbols represent clinical autoantibody status as in Figure 1. (C) CD180/RP105 mean mass intensity (MMI) for naïve and memory CD19+ populations. (D) Fluorescent cytometry data quantifying CD180 expression on the cell surface and (E) intracellularly for four healthy controls and six IIM patients. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. (F) Ratio of intracellular to extracellular CD180 mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). Statistical comparisons were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, not significant (n.s.).
CXCR3+ T cell subsets are decreased in IIM. (A) Mass cytometry biaxial gating scheme to identify subsets of CD4-CD8-, CD4+, and CD8+ T cells for healthy controls (n=18) and IIM patients (n=17). (B) Expression heatmap of surface markers for CD4+ subsets displaying the arcsinh ratio by table s minimum. (C–E) CXCR3- and CXCR3+ T cell populations were examined. Frequencies of biaxially gated T cell subsets are shown for each donor; clinical autoantibody status is indicated as in Figure 1. (C) CD4+, (D) CD8+, and (E) CD4-CD8- T cell populations. Statistical comparisons were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, not significant (n.s.).
the CITRUS-determined abundances (Figures 5E, F and Table S8). CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi and CD19+CD21loCD11c+ population frequencies were plotted against the biaxially gated frequency of the CD4+CXCR4hiCD38+ and CD4+CD27-PD1+ subsets, respectively (Supplemental Figure S6). We calculated Spearman’s correlation coefficients (Table S9) and confirmed a statistical correlation between CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi and CD4+CXCR4hiCD38+ populations ($r=0.62, p=0.009$) and between CD19+CD21loCD11c+ and CD4+CD27-PD1+ populations ($r=0.60, p=0.01$). Subset frequencies for individual patients are shown in Tables S10. These data show that patients defined by specific CD19+ subset perturbations show correlative changes with particular CD4+ T cell subsets.

**An Increased Frequency of CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi Cells Predicts the Increase of Two Myeloid Populations**

Using the previous IIM group assignments (Table S7), CITRUS clustered CD3-CD19+ cells to correlate myeloid cell abundance with CD19+ cell phenotypes. Two clusters of increased abundance were predicted by having an increased frequency of CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi cells (Figure 6A). A heatmap of surface marker expression for both populations is shown in Figure 6B. While both Cluster C and Cluster D are classical monocytes, differential expression of CXCR4, CD45RO, and CD180 are readily apparent. MEM analysis confirmed Cluster C as a CD180+CXCR4+ classical monocyte and cluster C as a CD180-CXCR4- monocyte (Figure 6C). The MEM-derived biaxial gating scheme did not have clear visual cut-offs and final biaxial gating (Figure 6D) required the use of a training data set. Thus, myeloid cluster increases were further validated by t-SNE analysis (Supplemental Figure S7). Both the biaxial and t-SNE frequencies approximated the CITRUS abundance (Figures 6E, F and Supplemental Figure S7D). Spearman’s correlation coefficients confirmed a statistical correlation of the CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi subset with both the biaxially gated classical monocyte ($r=0.61, p=0.01$) and the CXCR4-CD180-myeloid population ($r=0.66, p=0.01$). The CD4+CXCR4hiCD38+ population also correlated to both the CD180+CXCR4+ (Spearman $r = 0.64, p = 0.007$) and CD180-CXCR4- (Spearman $r = 0.64, p =$
FIGURE 5 | CITRUS identifies T cell clusters associated with abnormal CD19+CXCR4hiCCR7hi and CD19+CD21loCD11c+CD27- populations. CD3+ T cells were clustered via CITRUS using four groups (healthy control, n=18, CXCR4hi, n=5, CD21lo, n=6, and other B cell phenotype, n=6) and the nearest shrunken centroid algorithm. (A) Increased abundance of clusters A and B are predicted by the presence of the CXCR4hi and CD21lo subsets, respectively. (B) Expression heatmap of markers for Clusters A and B displaying arcsinh ratio by table’s minimum. (C) Algorithmic determination of biaxial gating scheme using marker enrichment modeling (MEM) for Clusters A and B Scale 1-10. (D) Biaxial gating scheme derived based on MEM definition; Cluster A: CD4+CD45RO-CCR7+CD38+, and Cluster B: CD4+CCR7-CD45RO+CD27-PD1+. Representative healthy control HC and IIM patient plots are shown. (E, F) CITRUS determined abundance and corresponding biaxial gating frequency for (E) Cluster A and (F) Cluster B are plotted for individual donors. If Kruskal-Wallis p<0.05, then post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare to healthy controls. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.
FIGURE 6  |  CITRUS identifies myeloid cell clusters that are increased in IIM. CD3-CD19- cells were clustered via CITRUS using four groups (healthy control, n=18, CXCR4 hi, n=5, CD21 lo, n=6, and other B cell phenotype, n=6) and the nearest shrunken centroid algorithm. (A) increased abundance of Clusters C and D are increased across all IIM subsets. No clusters of decreased abundance were identified. (B) Expression heatmap of markers for Clusters C and D displaying arcsinh ratio by table’s minimum. (C) Algorithmic determination of biaxial gating scheme using marker enrichment modeling (MEM) for Clusters C and D. (D) Biaxial gating scheme derived based on MEM enrichment shown for a representative IIM patient. Additional t-SNE gating is shown in Supplemental Figure 4. (E) CITRUS determined abundance and corresponding biaxial gating frequency for Cluster C and (F) Cluster D. If Kruskal-Wallis p<0.05, then post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare to healthy controls. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.
0.007) classical monocyte populations (Supplemental Figure S5).
Subset frequencies for individual patients and group assignments are again shown in Tables S10.

DISCUSSION

Our key findings are summarized as follows. First, all IIM patients had decreased memory B cells, low RP105/CD180 surface B cell expression, and reduction of circulating CD3+CXCR3+ subsets, including Th1, Th1Th17, CXCR3+Th2, and CXCR3+Th17 cells. Second, we identified two distinct immune signatures, which we will term endotypes, amongst a cohort of IIM patients. Endotype 1 was characterized by increased CXCR4hi surface expression across all cellular compartments. Endotype 2 featured increased CD19+CD21loCD11c+ and CD4+CD27-PD1+ T cell populations. To our knowledge, these are the first immune endotypes to span multiple immune compartments in IIM.

Our B cell findings complement those of prior reports. Jo-1 autoantibody-positive IIM patients were previously reported to have decreased memory B cells (26), which we find across IIM patients independently of which myositis autoantibody was positive or which clinical disease manifestations were observed. Thus, our data suggest decreased peripheral blood memory B cells is a common feature of IIM that extends beyond Jo-1+ IIM patients. While the increase of CD24hiCD38hi (transitional) B cells did not reach statistical significance, some patients did have an increased frequency of this population, as previously observed in juvenile dermatomyositis (27). Decreased surface expression of RP105/CD180 was also found to be a common B cell feature in this clinically heterogeneous IIM patient cohort. CD180 is an alternative B cell signaling cascade capable of activating B cells either independently or synergistically with TLR9 or BCR engagement. CD180 is internalized after binding an unknown ligand, after which signaling occurs through an incompletely elucidated pathway (56). Thus, we postulate decreased surface expression of CD180 may reflect increased CD180 internalization and signaling in IIM patients.

We also identified a general decrease in circulating T cells expressing CXCR3. This may be due to CXCR3+ T cell migration into sites of inflammation. In support of this, interferon gamma and tumor necrosis factor alpha, which are increased in IIM, can induce secretion of the CXCR3 ligand CXCL10 by muscle fibers (57), and CXCR3+ T cells have been identified in the muscle biopsies of polymyositis, dermatomyositis, and inclusion body myositis patients (58). Of interest, CXCR3 ligands, CXCL9 and CXCL10, are increased in the serum of Jo1+ and SRP+ myositis patients (59), and CXCL10 is a validated disease activity biomarker for juvenile dermatomyositis (60).

The two immune endotypes identified here may have clinical correlations. Endotype 1 was hallmarked by increased CXCR4hi surface expression across all immune subsets. Increased surface CXCR4 expression on circulating B and T cells was previously associated with increased disease severity in SLE (61) and 4/5 patients in our IIM cohort with this endotype were enrolled in the inpatient setting. We therefore postulate that the CXCR4hi endotype is also associated with disease severity in IIM. Our sickest patient who was requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation also had the highest frequency of CXCR4hi cells. Previously, CD4+CXCR4+ T cells were found to be correlated to high-resolution CT score, % predicted forced vital capacity, and 6-month mortality in IIM-ILD. In vitro, CD4+CXCR4+ T cells were found to stimulate fibroblast proliferation via IL-21, which was abrogated following co-culture with anti-IL21 blockade or tofacitinib (29). While CXCR4 can be upregulated by several stimuli (62–64), the cause of CXCR4 upregulation in IIM is currently unclear and represents a potential area of future research.

Endotype 2, comprised of the CD19+CD21loCD11c+ and CD4+CD27-PD1+ populations, may represent a pro-fibrotic phenotype. These CD19+ cells may represent DN2 B cells previously reported in SLE amongst patients with RNP antibodies, which are associated with skin and lung fibrosis. In those studies, DN2 B cells were characterized as CD19+CD21loCD27-IgD-CXCR5-CD24-CD11c+Tbet+, appeared to be plasma cell precursors, and were also exquisitely sensitive to TLR7 stimulation (65). The association of DN2 cells with RNP autoantibody positivity is of particular interest as this, like Pm/Scl, is an autoantibody that tends to be associated with features of systemic sclerosis, another fibrotic disease. In our study, most IIM patients with increased CD19+CD21loCD11c+ cells were Pm/Scl+. Alternatively, the numerous myeloid markers observed here raise the possibility that this CD19+ subset may not be of the B cell lineage (66, 67) or that the B cells may have acquired surface markers from follicular dendritic cells (68). CD4+PD1+ T cells have been reported to detect increased frequency of CD4+PD1+ T cells marketed has also been reported in systemic sclerosis (71).

Since these samples were obtained from an observational cohort, potential confounders, including steroid exposure, must be carefully considered. While sample size precluded formal multivariate and confounder analyses, qualitatively, there is no evidence that steroid exposure drove immune endotype. Four of the five patients with endotype 1 and 3/6 patients with endotype 2 were on at least 40 mg/day prednisone. Patients off all medications exhibited both immune endotypes. Additionally, CD180 surface expression and frequency of CXCR3+ T cells was decreased in all IIM patients, regardless of prednisone exposure. Future studies using larger IIM patient cohorts will be required to formally determine whether IIM medications contribute to the perturbed immune phenotypes identified here.

Studies identifying immunophenotypic signatures in rare diseases such as IIM are complicated by limited patient sample numbers, which limits the power to detect changes of modest magnitude or that are heterogeneously represented among the cohort. However, as our study demonstrates, supervised and unsupervised approaches successfully capture larger immune perturbations, which can provide important insight into disease biology. An additional limitation is the lack of concordant muscle or lung sampling precludes us from correlating our peripheral blood findings to end-organ inflammation and damage.
Overall, CyTOF facilitated simultaneous evaluation of multiple PBMC subsets using minimal sample with single cell resolution. Inclusion of hospitalized patients permitted us to investigate the entire spectrum of disease severity. Incorporating unsupervised analysis with traditional biaxial gating confirmed prior findings while also identifying novel subsets in a comprehensive and minimally biased way among IIM patients. The identification of two immune endotypes represents an important step forward in dissecting the immune phenotypes that contribute to clinical manifestations in IIM.
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