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AMIGO1 Promotes Axon Growth and Territory Matching in the Retina
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Dendrite and axon arbor sizes are critical to neuronal function and vary widely between different neuron types. The relative dendrite and axon sizes of synaptic partners control signal convergence and divergence in neural circuits. The developmental mechanisms that determine cell-type-specific dendrite and axon size and match synaptic partners’ arbor territories remain obscure. Here, we discover that retinal horizontal cells express the leucine-rich repeat domain cell adhesion molecule AMIGO1. Horizontal cells provide pathway-specific feedback to photoreceptors—horizontal cell axons to rods and horizontal cell dendrites to cones. AMIGO1 selectively expands the size of horizontal cell axons. When Amigo1 is deleted in all or individual horizontal cells of either sex, their axon arbors shrink. By contrast, horizontal cell dendrites and synapse formation of horizontal cell axons and dendrites are unaffected by AMIGO1 removal. The dendrites of rod bipolar cells, which do not express AMIGO1, shrink in parallel with horizontal cell axons in Amigo1 knockout (Amigo1 KO) mice. This territory matching maintains the function of the rod bipolar pathway, preserving bipolar cell responses and retinal output signals in Amigo1 KO mice. We previously identified AMIGO2 as a scaling factor that constrains retinal neurite arbors. Our current results identify AMIGO1 as a scaling factor that expands retinal neurite arbors and reveal territory matching as a novel homeostatic mechanism. Territory matching interacts with other homeostatic mechanisms to stabilize the development of the rod bipolar pathway, which mediates vision near the threshold.

Key words: arbor size; circuit development; horizontal cell; LRR protein; rod bipolar pathway

Significance Statement

Neurons send and receive signals through branched axonal and dendritic arbors. The size of these arbors is critical to the function of a neuron. Axons and dendrites grow during development and are stable at maturity. The mechanisms that determine axon and dendrite size are not well understood. Here, we identify a cell surface protein, AMIGO1, that selectively promotes axon growth of horizontal cells, a retinal interneuron. Removal of AMIGO1 reduces the size of horizontal cell axons without affecting the size of their dendrites or the ability of both arbors to form connections. The changes in horizontal cell axons are matched by changes in synaptic partner dendrites to stabilize retinal function. This identifies territory matching as a novel homeostatic plasticity mechanism.

Introduction

Dendrite and axon arbor sizes are critical to neuronal function. Dendrite territories determine the position and number of inputs a neuron can recruit (i.e., the receptive field), whereas axon territories restrict the position and number of its output partners (i.e., the projective field; Lefebvre et al., 2015; Prigge and Kay, 2018). Dendrites and axons grow and remodel during development to attain specific sizes at maturity (Lefebvre et al., 2015; Prigge and Kay, 2018). Dendrite and axon sizes vary dramatically across neuron types (Brown et al., 2008). The molecular mechanisms that control the development of cell-type-specific dendrite and axon territories are mostly unknown.
The three first interneurons of the visual system (i.e., retinal horizontal and amacrine cells and thalamic local interneurons) have bifunctional neurites that receive input and provide output (Diamond, 2017; Morgan and Lichtman, 2020). The respective neurite arbors process visual information locally, increasing spatial resolution and allowing one neuron to participate separately in different circuits (Nelson et al., 1975; Euler et al., 2002; Grimes et al., 2010; Crandall and Cox, 2012; Chapot et al., 2017; Hsiang et al., 2017). Horizontal cells elaborate two bifunctional neurite arbors; their axons receive input from rod photoreceptors (or rods), send feedback to rods, and feedforward signals to rod bipolar cells, whereas their dendrites receive input from cone photoreceptors (or cones), send feedback to cones, and feedforward signals to cone bipolar cells (Thoreson and Mangel, 2012; Diamond, 2017). Horizontal cell axons and dendrites are electrically separated and participate largely independently in rod- and cone-mediated vision, respectively (Nelson et al., 1975; Trümpler et al., 2008; Szikra et al., 2014). How horizontal cells, or other neurons, regulate the growth of different neurites in a pathway-specific manner is unclear.

The function of a neural circuit depends on the ratio in which its cellular components are combined, which, in turn, depends on the relative arbor sizes of synaptic partners (Sinha et al., 2017; Soto et al., 2019). How arbor sizes are matched between synaptic partners to establish and stabilize circuit function is unknown.

Here, we discover that developing retinal horizontal cells express the homophilic cell adhesion molecule AMIGO1. When Amigo1 is deleted in all (Amigo1 KO mice) or a few (AAV-CRISPR) horizontal cells, their axon but not dendrite growth is stunted. Deficits are selective to arbor growth, whereas synapse formation is unaffected by Amigo1 removal. Changes in horizontal cell axon growth are matched by rod bipolar cell dendrite growth changes, preserving retinal function in dim light. Our results identify AMIGO1 as a neurite scaling factor that matches the arbor territories of synaptic partners.

Territory matching is predicted to stabilize circuit function. Consistent with this prediction, we find that rod bipolar cell responses and ganglion cell spike trains elicited by dim and bright light stimuli (i.e., the retinal output) are precisely preserved in Amigo1 KO mice (see Figs. 8, 10). This adds territory matching to a series of homeostatic mechanisms that maintain the function of the rod bipolar pathway, underscoring the evolutionary pressure on the function of this pathway (Sher et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2017; Care et al., 2020; Leinonen et al., 2020).

Materials and Methods

Animals. We rederived Amigo1 KO (Amigo1\textsuperscript{I\textsubscript{cKO}}/KOMP2\textsubscript{10669A-E11}) mice from embryonic stem (ES) cell clone 10669A-E11, obtained from the KOMP Repository (www.komp.org) and generated by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals (Valenzuela et al., 2003). In these mice, homology arms were used to target the sequence upstream of the ATG initiation codon (5’ arm) and downstream of the TAG termination codon (3’ arm). Thus, the whole coding sequence of Amigo1 was removed with a 1476 bp deletion from GenBank\textsuperscript{107991583} and replaced with a lacZ reporter (i.e., the gene encoding \(\beta\)-galactosidase) and a floxed selection marker (i.e., Neomycin). In our ES cell clone, the Neomycin cassette had been excised. Therefore, Amigo1 KO mice express \(\beta\)-galactosidase from the Amigo1 locus and produce no truncated AMIGO1 protein. We genotyped these mice with Amigo1-specific oligos for the wild-type allele (Forward: 5’-CAT CTT CAC ACG CTG GAT GAG TTC C-3’ and Reverse: 5’-TCC CAT CCT TGA TCA GTT CCA CAG G-3’), and \(\beta\)-gal specific oligos for the Amigo1 KO allele (Forward: 5’-GTT GCA GTG CAC GCC AGA TAC ACT TGC TGA-3’ and Reverse: 5’-GCC ACT GGT GTG GGC CAT AAT TCA ATC GC-3’). This adds territory marker (i.e., Neomycin). In our ES cell clone, the Neomycin cassette had been excised. Therefore, Amigo1 KO mice express \(\beta\)-galactosidase from the Amigo1 locus and produce no truncated AMIGO1 protein. We genotyped these mice with Amigo1-specific oligos for the wild-type allele (Forward: 5’-CAT CTT CAC ACG CTG GAT GAG TTC C-3’ and Reverse: 5’-TCC CAT CCT TGA TCA GTT CCA CAG G-3’), and \(\beta\)-gal specific oligos for the Amigo1 KO allele (Forward: 5’-GTT GCA GTG CAC GCC AGA TAC ACT TGC TGA-3’ and Reverse: 5’-GCC ACT GGT GTG GGC CAT AAT TCA ATC GC-3’). We used male and female Amigo1 KO mice using transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs; Soto et al., 2019). We crossed Gad1\textsuperscript{GFP} mice to Amigo1 KO to visualize developing horizontal cells (Chatterji et al., 2004; Hakfeld et al., 2009). We crossed Cx57\textsuperscript{Cre} (Hirano et al., 2016) and R26-LSL-Cas9 (Platt et al., 2014) to generate mice in which horizontal cells express the RNA-guided DNA endonuclease Cas9. We used male and female Amigo1 KO, Amigo1 KO Gad1\textsuperscript{GFP}, Amigo1 Amigo2 double KO (DKO), Cx57\textsuperscript{Cre} R26-LSL-Cas9, and littermate wild-type mice throughout this study. We analyzed male and female mice separately but subsequently combined their data because we observed no sex-specific differences. All procedures in this study were approved by the Animal Studies Committee of Washington University School of Medicine (Protocol no. 20–0055) and performed in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Adeno-associated viruses. To label horizontal cells and rod bipolar cells, we injected 250 nl of AAV2/1-CAG-YFP (Soto et al., 2018) and AAV2/1-Gm6-tdTomato (Johnson et al., 2017), respectively, into the vitreous of newborn wild-type and Amigo1 KO mice via a Nanoject II injector (Drummond). To delete Amigo1 in a sparse horizontal cell subset, we tested two sgRNAs against Amigo1 in cultured Cas9-expressing fibroblasts. We selected the one that generated insertion and deletion mutations (indels) with higher efficiency (>80%) to produce AA V2/1-U6-sgAmigo1-CAG-tdTomato. We then injected 250 nl of AAV2/1-U6-sgAmigo1-CAG-tdTomato into the vitreous of Cx57\textsuperscript{Cre} R26-LSL-Cas9 mice and Cre-negative littermates.

In vivo electroporation. To sparsely label rods, we injected pNrl\textsuperscript{EGFP} plasmid into the subretinal space of newborn mice anesthetized on ice via a Nanoject II injector (Drummond). We delivered five 80 V square pulses of 50 ms duration generated by an ECM 830 (BTX, Harvard Apparatus) via tweezer electrodes with the anode placed on the injected eye to electroporate rods (Matsuda and Cepko, 2007, 2008).

In vivo electroretinography. Mice were dark adapted overnight, anesthetized with ketamine (0.1 mg/g body weight) and xylazine (0.01 mg/g body weight), and their pupils dilated with 1% atropine sulfate (Falcon Pharmaceuticals). We recorded responses to brief (<5 ms) white light flashes in control, Amigo1 KO, and Amigo1 Amigo2 DKO mice using a UTAS Visual Electrodiagnostic Testing System (LKC Technologies). Recording electrodes embedded in contact lenses were placed over the
cornea of both eyes. The mouse body temperature was maintained at 37 ± 0.5°C throughout recordings with a heating pad controlled by a rectal temperature probe (FHC). Flash electroretinographic (ERG) recordings were performed as previously described (Soto et al., 2013). We averaged 4 to 10 responses at each light level, measured the a-wave as the difference between the response minimum in the first 50 ms after flash onset, and the b-wave as the difference between the response minimum in the first 50 ms after flash onset and the voltage value at flash onset, and the b-wave as the difference between the response minimum in the first 50 ms after flash onset and the voltage value at flash onset. Responses to trains of brief flashes at 2.53 cd S/m² without any background illumination. Responses to flicker stimuli were measured the a-wave as the difference between the response minimum in the first 50 ms after flash onset and the voltage value at flash onset, and the b-wave as the difference between the response minimum in the first 50 ms after flash onset and the voltage value at flash onset. Responses to trains of brief flashes at 2.53 cd S/m² without any background illumination. Responses to flicker stimuli were

**Tissue preparation.** We killed mice with CO₂ and removed their eyes. For *in situ* hybridization, immunohistochemistry, and biolistic labeling, eyes were transferred into oxygenated mouse artificial cerebrospinal fluid (mACSF<sub>HEPES</sub>) containing the following (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 Na<sub>H</sub>₂PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.3 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 HEPES, and 11 glucose (pH adjusted to 7.37 using NaOH). Retinas were either isolated and flat mounted on filter paper (catalog #HABG01300, Millipore) or left in the eyecup for 30 min fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde in mACSF<sub>HEPES</sub>. Slices were then washed in PBS (30 min) and incubated in sec-ondary antibodies for 2 h. Flat-mount preparations were frozen and thawed three times after cryoprotection (1 h 10% sucrose in PBS, 1 h 20% sucrose in PBS, and overnight 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C), blocked with 5% normal donkey serum in PBS for 2 h, and then incubated with primary antibodies for 5 d at 4°C and washed in PBS (3 × 1 h).

**Figure 2.** Horizontal cell mosaics are unchanged in Amigo1 KO retinas. A, B, Representative images of horizontal cell distributions in retina flat mounts from wild-type (A) and Amigo1 KO (B) littermates stained for calbindin. C, Density recovery profiles of horizontal cells in wild-type and Amigo1 KO mice (*p* = 0.53, bootstrapping).

**Figure 3.** AMIGO1 promotes horizontal cell axon growth. A, B, Representative axon arbors of horizontal cells labeled by AAV-CAG-YFP in wild-type (A) and Amigo1 KO (B) retinas. C, D, Cumulative probability distributions of axon territories (C, wild type, *n* = 30; Amigo1 KO, *n* = 21; *p* = 0.0026, Mann–Whitney U test) and axon tip densities (D, wild type, *n* = 12; Amigo1 KO, *n* = 9; *p* = 0.27, Mann–Whitney U test). E, F, Representative dendrite arbors of horizontal cells labeled by AAV-CAG-YFP in wild-type (E) and Amigo1 KO (F) retinas. G, H, Cumulative probability distributions of dendrite territories (G, wild type, *n* = 15; Amigo1 KO, *n* = 16; *p* = 0.086, Mann–Whitney U test) and dendrite clusters densities (H, wild type, *n* = 11; Amigo1 KO, *n* = 8; *p* = 0.66, Mann–Whitney U test). ns indicates *p* ≥ 0.05 and ** indicates *p* < 0.01.
Subsequently, flat mounts were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 d at 4°C and washed in PBS (3 × 1 h). The following primary antibodies were used in this study: mouse anti-Bassoon (1:500; catalog #SAP7F407, Enzo Life Sciences; RRID:AB_2313990), mouse anti-B- galactosidase (1:200; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank clone 40-1a, deposited by J.R. Sanes), mouse anti-CACNA1S (1:500; catalog #MAB427, Millipore; RRID:AB_2069582) to label pNrl-EGFP, and their volume calculated using the material statistics function of Amira. Horizontal and rod bipolar cell axons and dendrite territories were defined as the areas of the smallest convex polygons to encompass the respective arbors in z-projections of confocal image stacks acquired in retinal flat mounts. To assess the density of horizontal cell axon branches, we used minimum cross-entropy thresholding to measure the territory of each horizontal cell axon occupied by branches (Li and Lee, 1993). Horizontal cell dendrite clusters at cone terminals and horizontal cell axon tips, which penetrate rod spherules, were identified by eye in confocal image stacks, and their positions (x, y, and z) were noted. The same procedure was used to count synapses on the tips of rod bipolar cell dendrites that were stained for GPR179.

Multielectrode array recordings and analysis. We recorded ganglion cells on planar 252-electrode arrays (30 μm electrode size, 100 μm center-center spacing, Multi Channel Systems). Retinas were perfused with warm (30–33°C) mACSF NaHCO3 equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2 at 5–7 ml/min. The electrode signals were bandpass filtered (300–3000 Hz) and digitized at 10 kHz. Signal cutouts from 1 ms before to 2 ms after crossings of negative thresholds (set manually for each channel) were recorded to hard disk together with the time of threshold crossing (i.e., the spike time). We sorted spikes into trains representing the activity of individual neurons by principal component analysis of spike waveforms (Offline Sorter, Plexon) and used refractory periods to assess the quality of
of ganglion cells with linear-nonlinear (LN) models constructed from responses to Gaussian white noise stimuli (Chichilnisky, 2001; Soto et al., 2020). The display was divided into vertical bars (width, 50 μm; height, 1.7 mm). The intensity of each bar was chosen at random every 33 ms (refresh rate: 30 Hz) from a Gaussian distribution (RMS contrast, 40%) for 30 min. At 50 s intervals, a 10 s segment of white noise was repeated. The average intensity was 10 rhodopsin isomerizations/rod/s (R*) for scotopic stimuli and 1000 R* for photopic stimuli. Spatiotemporal receptive fields (i.e., the linear component of the LN models) were mapped by computing spike-triggered stimulus averages (STA) from a nonrepeating part of the Gaussian white noise stimulus.
noise. A separate nonrepeating part of the stimulus was convolved with the STA to calculate a vector of generator signals and estimate contrast-response functions. At each time point, the generator signal was fit with a sigmoidal function (i.e., the nonlinear component of the LN model) as follows:

\[ r(g) = \alpha C(\beta g - \gamma) + \delta, \]

where \( r(g) \) is the firing rate as a function of the generator signal, \( C \) is the cumulative normal distribution function, and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \) and \( \delta \) are free parameters. To compare temporal receptive fields of different ganglion cells, we calculated the time to peak sensitivity (i.e., time to peak), the time to the subsequent zero crossing (i.e., time to zero), and a biphasic index (\( bi \)) as follows:

\[ bi = 1 - \frac{|\text{peak} + \text{trough}|}{|\text{peak}| + |\text{trough}|}, \]

where peak and trough refer to the maximum and minimum of the temporal filter, respectively. To estimate receptive field sizes, we calculated the variance of different bars across the time of the STA and fit a Gaussian function to the result. The receptive field size was defined as 1 SD of the Gaussian. To compare contrast-response functions, we computed their nonlinearity as the logarithm of the ratio of the slope at the response maximum to the slope of the response at generator signal = 0, their gain as the logarithm of the ratio of the slope at generator signal = 0, and their threshold as a
Figure 10. Homeostasis of dim-light visual processing in Amigo1 KO retinas. A, Temporal receptive fields of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (A1, left, n = 129 cells, n = 3 mice) and Amigo1 KO (A2, right, n = 122 cells, n = 4 mice) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by time to peak, and their averages (A2, ± SEM). B, Spatial receptive fields of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (B1, left) and Amigo1 KO (B2, right) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by size, and their averages (B2, ± SEM). C, Static nonlinearities (or effective-contrast-response functions) of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (C1, left) and Amigo1 KO (C2, right) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by threshold, and their averages (C2, ± SEM). D–I, Cumulative probability distributions of the time to peak (D, WT vs Amigo1 KO, p = 0.89, bootstrapping) and biphasic index (E, p = 0.14, bootstrapping) of temporal receptive fields, size of spatial receptive fields (F, p = 0.22, bootstrapping), and the nonlinearity (G, p = 0.14, bootstrapping), gain (H, p = 0.44, bootstrapping), and threshold (I, p = 0.15, bootstrapping) of the effective-contrast-response functions. J, Temporal receptive fields of all OFF ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (J1, left, n = 87 cells, n = 3 mice) and Amigo1 KO (J2, right, n = 162 cells, n = 4 mice) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by time to peak, and their averages (J2, ± SEM). K, Spatial receptive fields of all OFF ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (K1, left) and Amigo1 KO (K2, right) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by size, and their averages (K2, ± SEM). L–R, Cumulative probability distributions of the time to peak (L, WT vs Amigo1 KO, p = 0.14, bootstrapping) and biphasic index (M, p = 0.22, bootstrapping) of temporal receptive fields, size of spatial receptive fields (N, p = 0.44, bootstrapping), and the nonlinearity (O, p = 0.15, bootstrapping), gain (P, p = 0.14, bootstrapping), and threshold (Q, p = 0.44, bootstrapping) of the effective-contrast-response functions.
percentage of the range of effective stimulus contrasts (−100% to 100%) at which the response reaches 10% of its maximum (Pearson and Kerschensteiner, 2015; Soto et al., 2020).

Experimental design and statistical analysis. Mann–Whitney U tests were used to assess the statistical significance of differences between single-parameter characteristics (e.g., axon territories) of experimental groups, except for categorical variables (e.g., β-gal positive and negative), which were compared by χ² tests. Continuous relationships with multiple measurements (e.g., contrast-response functions) were compared by bootstrapping with 10,000 replicates. Results were considered significant if p < 0.05. The following asterisks were used to indicate significance levels in the figures: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

Results

Horizontal cells express Amigo1

We identified Amigo1 in a screen for genes whose expression is upregulated in the inner nuclear layer during retinal circuit formation (Soto et al., 2013). In situ hybridization detected Amigo1 mRNA in a sparse population of neurons at the outer margin of the inner nuclear layer in addition to cells deeper in the inner nuclear layer and the ganglion cell layer (Fig. 1A). Amigo1 encodes a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain protein (AMIGO1) that belongs to a family of three homophilically interacting cell adhesion molecules (Kuja-Panula et al., 2003). To identify the cell type expressing Amigo1 in the outer part of the inner nuclear layer, we combined β-galactosidase, staining from the Amigo1 KO allele with staining for calbindin and PKCa, markers of horizontal and rod bipolar cells, respectively (Fig. 1B,C). We found β-galactosidase puncta in nearly all calbindin-positive (223/242, n = 4 retinas) and almost no PKCa-positive cells (2/1188, n = 3 retinas, p = 0, χ² test), indicating that horizontal but not rod bipolar cells express Amigo1.

Horizontal cell mosaics are preserved in Amigo1 KO mice

To analyze how AMIGO1 shapes horizontal cell development, we rederived Amigo1 KO mice (Valenzuela et al., 2003). Retinal neurons are regularly spaced in cell-type-specific mosaics that distribute their computational functions evenly across visual space (Reese and Keeley, 2015; Kerschensteiner, 2020). We stained retinal flat-mount preparations from adult postnatal day (P)30 Amigo1 KO mice and wild-type littermates for calbindin to analyze the distributions of horizontal cells. Our results showed that the average density and density recovery profiles (Rodieck, 1991) of horizontal cells are indistinguishable between Amigo1 KO and wild-type mice, indicating that horizontal cells are born, survive, and form mosaics independently of AMIGO1 (Fig. 2A–C).

AMIGO1 promotes horizontal cell axon growth

To assess the contributions of AMIGO1 to neurite growth and connectivity, we sparsely labeled horizontal cells with AAV-

CAG-YFP (Soto et al., 2013, 2018). Horizontal cell axons were significantly smaller in Amigo1 KO than wild-type mice (Fig. 3A–C), whereas horizontal cell dendrites were unaffected by the removal of AMIGO1 (Fig. 3E–G). The densities of horizontal cell axon tips and dendrite clusters, which penetrate rod spherules and cone pedicles, respectively, were unchanged by Amigo1 deletion (Fig. 3D,H) as was the density of axon branches (i.e., fraction of the arbor territory occupied by branches; wild type, 26.0 ± 1.1%, n = 26; Amigo1 KO, 25.2 ± 1.2%; n = 21, p = 1, Mann–Whitney U test). Thus, AMIGO1 selectively promotes the growth of horizontal cell axons, not dendrites, and regulates arbor size independent of connectivity.

To visualize neurite targeting of developing horizontal cells, we crossed Gad1-GFP to Amigo1 KO mice (Chattopadhyaya et al., 2004; Huckfeldt et al., 2009). We observed sparse overshoots of horizontal cell processes into the outer nuclear layer in Amigo1 KO (Gad1-GFP) but not wild-type (Gad1-GFP) littermates. The mistargeted neurites were present from P10 (Fig. 4A–F) and stained for neurofilament (Fig. 4G,H), indicating that in the absence of AMIGO1, developing horizontal cell axons make errors in laminar targeting. The stratification patterns of other neurons and ribbon synapses were indistinguishable between wild-type and Amigo1 KO retinas (Fig. 4L). Rod bipolar cell dendrites did not extend into the outer nuclear layer, indicating that the earlier targeting errors of horizontal cell axons in Amigo1 KO mice do not mislead them.

AMIGO1 transmits signals for axon growth and laminar targeting

AMIGO1 could act as a receptor (i.e., transmitting signals to the cell that expresses it), as a ligand (i.e., eliciting signals in other cells), or both. To distinguish between these alternatives, we generated mice in which horizontal cells produce the RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9 (Cxs7.1Cre R26-LSL-Cas9 mice; Platt et al., 2014; Hirano et al., 2016). We created adeno-associated viruses that express a single-guide RNA targeting Amigo1 and a fluorescent reporter (AAV2/1-U6-sgAmigo1-CAG-tdTomato). With this AAV-CRISPR strategy, we found that Amigo1 deletion in a small subset of horizontal cells phenocopies the selective axon deficits observed in Amigo1 KO mice. The size of axons of infected horizontal cells was reduced, and some branches strayed into the outer nuclear layers (Fig. 5A–C), whereas the number of dendritic and axonal synapses and the size of horizontal cell dendrites was unchanged (Fig. 5D–F). These findings indicate that AMIGO1 promotes axon growth and laminar targeting of the cells that express it (i.e., it acts as a receptor). We tried but failed to restore AMIGO1 expression via AAVs in Amigo1 KO mice. Thus, we cannot confirm that AMIGO1 acts as a ligand, but given its homophilic interaction profile, we think this is likely the case (Kuja-Panula et al., 2003).

Territory matching of rod bipolar cell dendrites in Amigo1 KO mice

Circuit function depends on the ratios in which synaptic partners are combined, which in turn depends on the relative size of their neurite arbors. Whether arbor territories of synaptic partners are actively matched and by what mechanisms is unknown. Horizontal cell axons form tripartite synapses with rod bipolar cell dendrites and rod spherules (Hoon et al., 2014; Kerschensteiner, 2020). We sparsely labeled rod bipolar cells by intravitreal injections of AAV-Gm6-tdTomato (Johnson et al., 2017). Rod bipolar cell dendrites were smaller in Amigo1 KO than wild-type mice.
Figure 11. Homeostasis of bright-light visual processing in Amigo1 KO retinas. A, Temporal receptive fields of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (A1, left, n = 120 cells, n = 3 mice) and Amigo1 KO (A1, right, n = 134 cells, n = 4 mice) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by time to peak, and their averages (A2, ± SEM). B, Spatial receptive fields of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (B1, left) and Amigo1 KO (B1, right) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by size, and their averages (B2, ± SEM). C, Static nonlinearities (or effective-contrast-response functions) of all ON ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (C1, left) and Amigo1 KO (C1, right) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by threshold, and their averages (C2, ± SEM). D–I, Cumulative probability distributions of the time to peak (D, wild type vs Amigo1 KO, p = 0.76, bootstrapping) and biphasic index (E, p = 0.35, bootstrapping) of temporal receptive fields, size of spatial receptive fields (F, p = 0.66, bootstrapping), and the nonlinearity (G, p = 0.73, bootstrapping), gain (H, p = 0.68, bootstrapping), and threshold (I, p = 0.38, bootstrapping) of the effective-contrast-response functions. J, Temporal receptive fields of all OFF ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (J1, left, n = 154 cells, n = 3 mice) and Amigo1 KO (J1, right, n = 184 cells, n = 4 mice) retinas, grouped by mice, sorted by time to peak, and their averages (J2, ± SEM). K, Spatial receptive fields of all OFF ganglion cells recorded in wild-type (K1, left) and Amigo1 KO (K1, right)
(Fig. 6A–C), whereas the density of their connections with rods was unchanged (Fig. 6D). In addition, rod bipolar cell axon size was unaffected by Amigo1 deletion (Fig. 6E–H). Thus, AMIGO1, which is not expressed in rod bipolar cells, selectively promotes the growth of their dendrites to match the arbor territories of their synaptic partners.

**Rods develop independently of AMIGO1**

To test whether transsynaptic effects of AMIGO1 extend to rods, we sparsely labeled them by in vivo electroporation of pNL-EGFP (Matsuda and Cepko, 2007). The density of rods and their spherule sizes were indistinguishable between Amigo1 KO and wild-type retinas (Fig. 7A–D), and, in both genotypes, rod spherules invariably had single synaptic ribbons (data not shown) opposite horizontal cell axon tips (Fig. 7E,F). Thus, the transsynaptic effects of AMIGO1 are specific to rod bipolar cells, and the presynaptic development of rods is independent of AMIGO1.

**Functional homeostasis in Amigo1 KO retinas**

The rod bipolar pathway mediates vision in dim light. In the rod bipolar pathway, horizontal cell axons provide negative feedback to rods, which send feedforward signals to the inner retina via rod bipolar cells. We hypothesized that matching adjustments of horizontal cell axon and rod bipolar cell dendrite territories serve to stabilize the rod bipolar pathway function. We recorded in vivo ERGs from Amigo1 KO mice and wild-type littermates to test this hypothesis. The dark-adapted a- and b-waves, reflecting rod and rod bipolar cell responses, respectively, were unaffected by Amigo1 deletion (Fig. 8A,B). Similarly, the light-adapted b-waves, which measure cone bipolar cell responses to bright stimuli, were indistinguishable between Amigo1 KO and wild-type mice (Fig. 8C,D).

In addition to AMIGO1, AMIGO2 is expressed in the rod bipolar pathway (Soto et al., 2019). To test whether compensatory actions of AMIGO2 cause the functional homeostasis in Amigo1 KO retinas, we generated Amigo1 KO Amigo2 double knockout (DKO) mice. Dark-adapted a- and b-waves and light-adapted a-waves did not differ significantly between DKO mice and wild-type littermates (Fig. 9A–D), indicating that the functional homeostasis of the rod bipolar pathway is independent of AMIGO2.

To probe whether the functional homeostasis extends to the output of the retina, we recorded the light responses of retinal ganglion cells on multielectrode arrays. We presented white noise stimuli at light levels preferentially activating the rod bipolar pathway (mean intensity: 10 R*; intensity range 0–20 R*; Murphy and Rieke, 2006; Pearson and Kerschensteiner, 2015) and analyzed ganglion cell responses using an LN model (Pearson and Kerschensteiner, 2015; Soto et al., 2020). The LN model consists of a linear spatiotemporal filter (i.e., the receptive field) followed by a static nonlinearity that transforms the filtered stimuli (i.e., the effective stimulus contrast) into spike responses. We separated ON and OFF ganglion cells based on their positive and negative contrast preference, respectively (Fig. 10).

The temporal and spatial receptive field components of the ON ganglion cells were indistinguishable between Amigo1 KO and wild-type retinas (Fig. 10A,B,D–F). Their effective contrast responses did not differ significantly in nonlinearity, gain, or threshold (Fig. 10C,G–I). Similarly, the spatial and temporal receptive field components and static nonlinearities of OFF ganglion cells remained stable in the face of Amigo1 deletion (Fig. 10J–R). To test whether functional homeostasis in dim light comes at the expense of the bright-light function of the retina, we next presented white noise stimuli that activate cone pathways (mean intensity, 1000 R*; intensity range, 0–2000 R*). Contrast encoding of ON and OFF ganglion cells at these light levels was unchanged in Amigo1 KO compared with wild-type retinas (Fig. 11). Thus, the matching adjustments of horizontal cell axon and rod bipolar cell dendrite territories appear to stabilize retinal function in dim light without deteriorating retinal function in bright light.

**Discussion**

Here, we discover that horizontal cells express the LRR-domain cell adhesion molecule AMIGO1, which regulates their axon arbor size and, trans-synaptically, rod bipolar cell dendrite growth. AMIGO1 was first identified in a screen for molecules promoting neurite growth in cultured hippocampal neurons (Kuja-Panula et al., 2003), indicating that its function might be conserved across neuron types. AMIGO1 joins a growing list of cues that guide the assembly of the rod bipolar pathway in the outer retina (Hoon et al., 2014; Martemyanov and Sampath, 2017). The rod bipolar pathway mediates all vision near the threshold and is critical for survival, particularly in nocturnal species like mice (Field et al., 2005). The resulting selection pressure forged the complex molecular machinery that builds and maintains the rod bipolar pathway.

AMIGO1 promotes horizontal cell axon growth (Figs. 3, 5), whereas NGL2 constrains it (Soto et al., 2013, 2018). AMIGO1 interacts homophilically and likely transmits signals between neighboring horizontal cells (i.e., homotypic interactions; Kuja-Panula et al., 2003). In contrast, NGL2 on horizontal cell axon tips interacts with NTNG2 in rod spherules (i.e., trans-synaptic interactions; Soto et al., 2013, 2018). In other retinal circuits, homotypic interactions limit arbor growth, and transsynaptic interactions promote it (Lee et al., 2011; Lefebvre et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2017; Soto et al., 2019). In this arrangement, encounters with new synaptic partners sustain arbor growth, which is slowed or altogether stopped when arbor growth encroaches on territories of their neighbors, stabilizing the coverage of synaptic partners by a neuron population (i.e., fixed coverage). In contrast, horizontal cell axons are encouraged to grow by their neighbors (via AMIGO1) and slow as they reach their synaptic quota. This arrangement stabilizes the number of input or output synapses per neuron (i.e., fixed convergence or divergences). Sensitivity to low light is the crucial rod bipolar pathway function and depends directly on...
convergence (Dunn et al., 2006). This may explain why some mechanisms that control arbor size in the rod bipolar pathway (e.g., AMIGO1) prioritize convergence over coverage, and additional mechanisms maintain input homeostasis (Johnson et al., 2017).

Horizontal cell axons provide negative feedback to rods, which feed signals forward to rod bipolar cell dendrites (Thoreson and Mangel, 2012). The strength of the negative feedback depends on the horizontal cell axon size. The strength of rod bipolar cell responses depends on the number of rods contacted by their dendrites and the strength of rod responses (Dunn et al., 2006; Thoreson and Mangel, 2012). Thus, horizontal cell axon size and rod bipolar cell dendrite size are functionally linked. Here, we find that rod bipolar cell dendrites shrink (~83% of wild type) in parallel to horizontal cell axons (~80% of wild type) in Amigo1 KO mice (Fig. 6). Rod bipolar cells do not express AMIGO1. Therefore, territory matching of rod bipolar cell dendrites and horizontal cell axons is mediated by heterophilic interactions of AMIGO1 or transcellular complexes not involving AMIGO1 that sense horizontal cell axon size. AMIGO1 can interact with AMIGO2 (Ju-Kanji-Panula et al., 2003). Rod bipolar cells express AMIGO2, but their dendrites expand in Amigo2 KO mice (Soto et al., 2019). Thus, trans-synaptic interactions between AMIGO1 and AMIGO2 are unlikely to mediate territory matching. The extent to which territory matching generalizes to other retinal pathways and circuits previously in the nervous system remains to be tested.
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