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ABSTRACT

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by an asymptomatic period of amyloid-β (Aβ) deposition as insoluble extracellular plaque, intracellular tau aggregation, neuronal and synaptic loss, and subsequent cognitive dysfunction and dementia. A growing public health crisis, the worldwide prevalence of AD is expected to rise from 46.8 million individuals affected in 2015 to 131.5 million in 2050. Sleep disturbances have been associated with increased future risk of AD. A bi-directional relationship is hypothesized between sleep and AD with sleep disturbances as either markers for AD pathology and/or a mechanism mediating increased risk of AD. In this review, the evidence in humans supporting this complex relationship between sleep and AD will be discussed as well as the therapeutic potential and challenges of treating sleep disturbances to prevent or delay the onset of AD.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by an asymptomatic period of amyloid-β (Aβ) deposition as insoluble extracellular plaque, intracellular tau aggregation, neuronal and synaptic loss, and eventual cognitive dysfunction and dementia (Bateman et al., 2012; Jack et al., 2013; Vos et al., 2013). Although classically diagnosed by cognitive symptoms, AD is increasingly defined by imaging and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers for Aβ, tau, and neurodegeneration (Jack et al., 2016). Substantial evidence supports that amyloid deposition begins ~15–20 years before cognitive impairment (i.e., during an asymptomatic or “preclinical” stage of AD) (Price and Morris, 1999; Sperling et al., 2011). Age is the greatest risk factor for AD with the risk doubling every 5 years after the age of 65 (Jorm and Jolley, 1998). With the global population ≥ 60 years old expected to increase from 12.2% in 2015 to 21.2% in 2050, the prevalence of AD is also expected to rise from 46.8 million individuals affected in 2015 to 131.5 million in 2050 (Prince et al., 2015).

Sleep disturbances have been associated with future risk of both cognitive impairment and AD pathology. For example, older women self-reporting ≤5 h of sleep/night had worse cognitive performance over the subsequent two years compared to those who slept 7 h/night (Tworoger et al., 2006). In another study, sleep efficiency (a measure of sleep quality defined as total sleep time/time in bed) was significantly lower in cognitively normal older adults with amyloid deposition (i.e., amyloid-positive) compared to those who were amyloid-negative (Ju et al., 2013). Differences in sleep parameters, such as sleep efficiency, between symptomatic individuals with and without AD pathology raise an important question of what came first: the sleep disturbance or AD pathology. A major challenge in the field has been determining the causal relationship between sleep and AD.

Further complicating investigations of the relationship between sleep and AD, reports of daytime sleepiness and other sleep-related symptoms also increase with normal aging (Smagula et al., 2016). Multiple measures of sleep architecture change during normal aging. In one study, sleep efficiency decreased significantly from an average of 85.7% (standard deviation (SD) 8.3) in individuals ≤54 years old to an average of 79.2% (SD 10.1) in individuals > 70 years old (p < 0.001) (Redline et al., 2004). In the same study, the percent of the night spent in non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep stage 3 or slow wave sleep (SWS) decreased significantly from 11.2% (95% confidence intervals (CI) 9.9–12.6) in men ≤54 years old to 5.5% (95% CI 4.5–6.5) in men > 70 years old while women remain in the range of 14–17% across the same time period. An increased number of nighttime awakenings, increased time in NREM sleep stage 1 (N1) or drowsiness, earlier waking times, and decreased sleep spindles have also been found to change with age (Redline et al., 2004). Further, sleep disorders such as
sleep-disordered breathing (e.g., obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)), insomnia, restless legs syndrome/periodic leg movement disorder (RLS/PLMD), and REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) also produce sleep-related symptoms and increase with age (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1991a; Ancoli-Israel et al., 1991b; Asplund, 1996; Bliwise, 2005; Hoch et al., 1990; Phillips et al., 2000; Schenck et al., 1986).

An additional complication to defining the relationship between sleep and AD is that sleep-wake activity may be measured via multiple modalities, such as sleep questionnaires and logs, actigraphs, and studies based on electroencephalography (EEG) to measure electrical activity in the brain to differentiate sleep and wake states. Each of these methods have advantages and disadvantages, and studies in humans associating sleep disturbances with future risk of cognitive impairment have used each of them. Sleep questionnaires or logs may be easily deployed to large numbers of participants, but rely on subjective self-report of sleep activity and quality. More objective measures, such as actigraphy, are quantitative but rely on rest-activity rhythms as a surrogate for sleep-wake activity. Attended polysomnography is the gold standard for sleep monitoring, but may be cost-prohibitive and inconvenient for participants. EEG-based ambulatory devices are increasingly available for at-home sleep monitoring, but provide a more limited number of EEG channels than polysomnography.

Given the long period of asymptomatic preclinical AD, sleep disturbances are hypothesized to be either markers for AD pathology and/or a mechanism mediating increased risk of AD (i.e., a bi-directional relationship, Fig. 1) (Brown et al., 2016a; Carroll and Macauley, 2019; Anzola-Lopez et al., 2019b; Asplund, 1996; Bliwise, 2005; Hoch et al., 1990; Phillips et al., 2000; Schenck et al., 1986).

For instance, individuals ≥60 years old who self-reported long sleep duration ≥11 h/night had lower Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores compared to those who slept 7 h/night; individuals with short sleep duration of < 7 h did not have lower cognitive function (Faubel et al., 2009). In contrast, a study of 28,670 community-dwelling older adults aged 50–85 years found that self-reported sleep durations of 3–4 h or ≥ 10 h were associated with greater odds of having memory impairment on the delayed word recall test (Xu et al., 2011). Multiple studies have replicated these results suggesting that the relationship between self-reported total sleep time and risk of memory impairment is not linear in older adults (Ding et al., 2020; Ferrie et al., 2011; Kronholm et al., 2009; Loerbroks et al., 2010; Westwood et al., 2017). Studies using self-reported sleep problems have also implicated other sleep measures such as daytime napping and excessive daytime sleepiness as predictors of cognitive decline (Keage et al., 2012).

Total sleep time measured by actigraphy, an objective measure of sleep-wake activity, in 2932 women ≥65 years old did not find a relationship with cognitive performance on the MMSE (Blackwell et al., 2006). However, in this study decreased sleep efficiency < 70% correlated with cognitive impairment (MMSE < 26) compared to older women with sleep efficiency ≥ 70%. These findings suggest that sleep quality (i.e., higher sleep efficiency or less time awake after sleep onset) rather than total sleep time is a critical factor. Studies using actigraphy have also found that increased time awake after sleep onset in cognitively normal older adults moderated the relationship between amyloid deposition and memory performance on the selective reminding test (Molano et al., 2017) as well as immediate and delayed memory (Wilckens et al., 2018). Self-report of sleep duration and other sleep parameters is subjective and may account for inconsistencies between the studies using self-reported measures and those measuring sleep-wake activity more objectively. Simultaneous measurement of objective, such as polysomnography and actigraphy, and subjective sleep parameters are needed to hone in on key measures of disturbed sleep that predict future risk of cognitive impairment. For example, a study of 25 cognitively normal and 25 mildly impaired older adults found that subjective sleep responses, like the number of nighttime awakenings and difficulty sleeping after waking, predicted SWS fragmentation in cognitively normal individuals but did not in those who were mildly impaired (Hita-Yañez et al., 2013). Studies like this will help to target the appropriate sleep measurements and populations to screen for future risk of cognitive impairment.

Further, sleep disorders such as OSA, PLMD, and insomnia have also been associated with future cognitive dysfunction (Leng et al., 2016; Osorio et al., 2011; Yaffe et al., 2011). Older women with greater than moderate or severe OSA, for example, have an increased risk of cognitive impairment over 5 years (adjusted odds ratio of 1.85) compared to those with mild or no OSA (Yaffe et al., 2011). Periodic leg movements ≥30 times per hour was associated with greater decline in

![Fig. 1](image-url) Hypothetical model of the relationship between sleep and Alzheimer's disease. Multiple factors, including aging, sleep disorders, and environmental factors, lead to sleep disturbance and increased wakefulness at night. Decreased sleep increases the production of Aβ and release of tau, as well as decreased clearance from the CSF, promoting the formation of amyloid plaques and tau pathology. Tau phosphorylation is also altered by sleep loss. Sleep disturbance may modulate effects of inflammation and metabolic dysfunction on Aβ and tau levels as well as promote neurodegeneration. Neurodegeneration from amyloid plaques and tau pathology results in synaptic/neuronal damage that feedbacks to cause sleep disturbances. Orexin is a neuropeptide that promotes wakefulness and has been found to increase amyloid pathology. TST: Total sleep time; SE: sleep efficiency; SWS: slow wave sleep; Aβ: amyloid-β; NFTs: neurofibrillary tangles.
cognitive function in community-dwelling older men (Leng et al., 2016) while the presence of insomnia in 346 cognitively normal older adults resulted in a 2.39 odds ratio of progressing to AD over approximately 7 years (Osorio et al., 2011).

Markers for AD pathology are also correlated with sleep disturbances in cognitively normal older adults, suggesting that preclinical AD pathology may be the cause of disrupted sleep. In cognitively normal older adults, for instance, increased amyloid deposition measured by both positron emission tomography (PET) and CSF Aβ42 levels has been associated with self-reported short sleep duration (Spira et al., 2013), self-reported excessive daytime sleepiness (Carvalho et al., 2018), longer self-reported sleep latency (Branger et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2016b), poorer self-reported sleep quality (Sprecher et al., 2015; Sprecher et al., 2017), obstructive sleep apnea (Sharma et al., 2018) and decreased sleep efficiency and increased nap frequency measured by actigraphy (Ju et al., 2013). Further, NREM slow wave activity (SWA) was found to be decreased in cognitively normal individuals with amyloid deposition (Mander et al., 2015). In another study that included both cognitively normal and mildly impaired older adults, NREM SWA also decreased with amyloid and tau pathology although the magnitude of the effect for tau pathology was greater (Lucey et al., 2019). This finding is similar to those seen in P301S mice the develop tau pathology and were found to have decreased NREM SWA (Holth et al., 2017). Finally, reduced sleep spindles and slow oscillation–spindle coupling are polysomnographic markers that appear sensitive to early tau pathology (Kam et al., 2019; Winer et al., 2019). Longitudinal studies measuring these sleep parameters in older adults with detailed cognitive assessments and AD biomarkers are needed to further define the causal nature of these relationships. Experimental or investigational studies would also help to clarify these relationships, but are challenging to perform due to night-to-night sleep variability and variability in how sleep may be measured. These problems could potentially be addressed by measuring sleep and cognition over multiple days, although this will increase participant burden and study costs.

3. Sleep disturbance as a promoter of Alzheimer's pathology

3.1. Correlation of sleep-wake activity, Aβ, and tau

Aβ is a peptide produced when amyloid precursor protein (APP) is cleaved by β-secretase and γ-secretase (Selko, 2001). Aggregation and accumulation of Aβ is widely hypothesized to be a necessary, but not definitive, factor in AD pathogenesis (Hardy and Selko, 2002; Karran and De Strooper, 2016). Amyloid deposition in the brain is concentration-dependent (Meyer-Luehmann et al., 2003), therefore mechanisms that increase Aβ levels are likely to promote amyloid plaque formation. For example, over-production of Aβ in individuals with autosomal dominant AD (Potter et al., 2013) or Down syndrome (Englund et al., 2007) increase the risk of developing amyloid deposition by increasing the concentration of Aβ in the brain is exposed to over time.

Tau is primarily an intracellular protein that modulates microtubule stability with phosphorylated tau (p-tau) reducing microtubule binding (Bramlett et al., 1993; Kellogg et al., 2018; Lindwall and Cole, 1984). P-tau promotes assembly of tau into tangles that aggregate as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), insoluble paired helical filaments associated with neuronal loss and cognitive symptoms (Alonso et al., 2001; Buerger et al., 2006). Kinases and phosphatases phosphorylate and dephosphorylate tau at multiple sites. For instance, different sites of tau, including serine-202 (S202) and threonine-217 (T217), are phosphorylated by a variety of kinases, such as CDK5 and GSK-3β (Liu et al., 2002; Lund et al., 2001). In AD, tau aggregation begins in the entorhinal cortex as part of normal aging and then spreads to the hippocampus and surrounding regions (Braak and Braak, 1991). Although this propagation of tau pathology is not well-understood, this transition can be detected by tau imaging changes in the inferior temporal lobe by PET scan a few years before cognitive decline (Brier et al., 2016) as well as increases in the CSF of total and phosphorylated forms of tau that mark neuronal injury beginning ~8–10 years prior to symptomatic onset (Craig-Schapiro et al., 2010; Fagan et al., 2007; Jack et al., 2010).

Soluble forms of Aβ and tau, the proteins critical to AD pathogenesis, change in CSF with sleep-wake activity (i.e., as a diurnal pattern). Longitudinal sampling of interstitial fluid (ISF) in mice via microdialysis catheters and CSF in humans via indwelling lumbar catheters found that Aβ and tau increase during wakefulness and decrease during sleep (Barthélémy et al., 2020b; Holth et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2009). Interestingly, in humans CSF Aβ and tau levels also linearly increase in concentration over time with serial sampling via lumbar catheter. A similar linear increase in CSF AD biomarkers with repeated lumbar punctures performed 3 days apart (Olsson et al., 2019). Although this linear rise is not completely understood, it has been associated with CSF sampling frequency and volume suggesting that sampling alters fluid dynamics in the central nervous system (Li et al., 2012; Lucey et al., 2015; Slats et al., 2012). Given AD fluid biomarker variability from changes in sleep-wake activity and CSF sampling rates, these factors need to be taken into account when designing and interpreting studies using frequent CSF sampling to measure pharmacodynamic effects of drugs or sleep interventions.

The correlation between sleep, Aβ, and tau suggested that manipulation of sleep-wake activity, such as through sleep deprivation or pharmacologically increased or enhanced sleep, would change Aβ and tau levels. In mice, sleep deprivation increased ISF Aβ concentrations and after 21 days increased amyloid deposition as insoluble plaque (Kang et al., 2009). Subsequent studies in humans showed that 1-night of sleep deprivation and selective disruption of SWS increased CSF Aβ by 10–30% (Ju et al., 2017; Lucey et al., 2018; Ooms et al., 2014). Sleep deprivation in humans or chemogenetically-induced increased wakefulness in mice increases the concentration of tau in mouse ISF, human CSF, and human plasma up to 50% (Barthélémy et al., 2020b; Benedict et al., 2020; Holth et al., 2019).

4. Sleep-wake activity affects the production/release of Aβ and tau

Increased production or release of Aβ and tau from neurons is one mechanism leading to this diurnal pattern. Studies in mice found that Aβ and tau are released during synaptic/neuronal activity (Cirrito et al., 2005; Kamenetz et al., 2003; Yamada et al., 2014). Other proteins released with neuronal activity in mice, such as α-synuclein (Yamada and Iwatsubo, 2018), are also increased with sleep deprivation in humans (Barthélémy et al., 2020b; Holth et al., 2019) while proteins that are not released with neuronal activity, such as glial fibrillary acidic protein and neurofilament light chain, are not (Holth et al., 2019). Additionally, serial ISF sampling for up to 168 h via intracerebral microdialysis in humans with acute brain injury showed that the concentration of Aβ increased with improved neurological status (i.e., increased synaptic/neuronal activity (Brody et al., 2008)) and brain regions with higher levels of neuronal activity are more likely to develop amyloid deposition in both mice (Bero et al., 2011) and humans (Buckner et al., 2005; Sheline et al., 2010; Sterling et al., 2009). Neuronal activity changes with sleep-wake states. For instance, cerebral metabolic rates in humans measured by glucose utilization on 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET studies are similar during wake and REM sleep, but decrease by 43.8% during N3 or slow wave sleep (Dang-Yu et al., 2010; Maquet et al., 1990). These studies support that the observed fluctuations in CSF Aβ during serial sampling are due to changes in neuronal activity, and that a likely consequence of increased neuronal activity in specific brain regions is increased amyloid deposition. Therefore, increased wakefulness during sleep periods is hypothesized to increase amyloid deposition via increased Aβ production from neuronal activity.

Sleep-mediated changes in tau concentrations are most likely due to altered release rather than production based on the findings that the
half-life of tau is ~23 days in humans after translation (Sato et al., 2018) but the half-life is on the order of hours after tau is released into the brain ISF or CSF (Yanamandra et al., 2017). In comparison, the half-life of CSF Aβ is ~9 h (Patterson et al., 2015). Further, tau peptides corresponding to truncated forms of tau from the mid-domain region (151–221 peptide) were the major tau fragments measured by mass spectrometry (MS) in the CSF of acutely sleep-deprived humans (Barthélémy et al., 2020b). No signal was detected for peptides after residue 290 including the 396–406 peptide (full length tau has 441 peptides). Further, neuronal activity releases truncated forms of tau while full length tau is released with neuronal injury (Sato et al., 2018). These findings support that increased release rather than increased production accounts for the rise in tau concentration during acute sleep deprivation.

Further evidence in humans supports that increased production of Aβ and increased release of tau are critical factors driving the changes in these proteins with sleep-wake activity. First, soluble amyloid precursor protein (APP) metabolites that form upstream from Aβ also fluctuate in human CSF with a diurnal pattern and this supports that active cleavage of APP is occurring with changes in sleep-wake activity (Dobrowolska et al., 2014). Second, stable isotope labeling kinetics (SILK) studies in cognitively normal middle-aged adults under different sleep conditions found that increased Aβ production was the necessary and critical factor affecting changes in Aβ concentration during overnight sleep deprivation (Lucey et al., 2018). SILK uses amino acids labeled with stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen to measure in vivo production and clearance rates of proteins involved in neurodegenerative disorders including Aβ, tau, and superoxide dismutase (Bateman et al., 2006; Crisp et al., 2015; Paterson et al., 2019; Sato et al., 2018).

4.1. Sleep-wake activity affects the clearance of Aβ and tau

Decreased clearance during sleep is another mechanism hypothesized to increase soluble CSF Aβ and tau concentrations. According to this mechanism, bulk fluid flow (i.e., the “glymphatic” system) transports solutes from the ISF to the CSF (Iliff et al., 2012) which are subsequently cleared from the brain through dural lymphatics (Patel et al., 2019). During sleep, fluid flow through the glymphatic system in mice increases potentially leading to greater clearance of soluble Aβ (Xie et al., 2013) and has been implicated in increasing tau pathology in a mouse model of traumatic brain injury (Iliff et al., 2014). In mice, the glymphatic system also becomes more impaired with age (Kress et al., 2014), the greatest risk factor for AD. Clearance of Aβ from the brain is further impaired after aggregation of insoluble amyloid plaques acts as a “sink” to retain Aβ in the brain (Patterson et al., 2015); this process is not known to be affected by sleep.

Multiple studies support that the water-channel protein, aquaporin-4, mediates the glymphatic clearance mechanism. Deletion of aquaporin-4 in mice reduced ISF solute clearance, including Aβ, and resulted in the accumulation of Aβ and tau in sleep-deprived mice (Iliff et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2020). Glymphatic clearance of brain lactate is also reduced in aquaporin-4 knock out mice (Lundgaard et al., 2017). Studies of autopsied human brains found that loss of aquaporin-4 peri-vascular localization, and therefore potentially reduced clearance, was associated with greater amyloid burden and increasing Braak stage (Zeppenfeld et al., 2017). Additionally, variations in the human aquaporin-4 gene modulate both the progression of cognitive decline in AD (Burfeind et al., 2017) and the relationship between sleep and amyloid deposition (Rainey-Smith et al., 2018).

4.2. Sleep-wake activity affects tau phosphorylation

Interestingly, overnight sleep deprivation affects phosphorylation of each tau form differently (i.e., it is site-specific) in CSF collected from acute sleep-deprived cognitively normal middle-aged adults. For example, phosphorylated threonine-181 (pT181) and the pT181/T181 ratio did not change with sleep deprivation. In contrast, the ratio of phosphorylated S202 (pS202) to S202 (pS202/S202) declined in sleep-deprived participants compared to controls while the ratio of tau phosphorylated at T217 (pT217) to T217 (pT217/T217) increased 15–20% during sleep deprivation (Barthélémy et al., 2020b). Recent work from the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network found that in individuals with dominantly inherited AD pT217 increases approximately 21 years prior to their estimated age of symptom onset and at approximately the time amyloid deposition begins (Barthélémy et al., 2020a). pT181 begins to increase a few years later at approximately 19 years prior to estimated age of symptom onset. These findings suggest that sleep deprivation increases phosphorylated tau forms that are seen in the very earliest stages of AD pathogenesis.

The mechanism for how sleep deprivation alters p-tau is unknown but possible explanations have been proposed (Barthélémy et al., 2020b). Sleep deprivation may alter physiologic processes that modulate site-specific phosphorylation of tau and lead to tau hyperphosphorylation. Different sites of tau, such as serine-202 (S202) and threonine-217 (T217), are phosphorylated by multiple kinases, including CDK5 and GSK-3β (Liu et al., 2002). Site-specific differences in tau phosphorylation, including increased T217, as well as kinase and phosphatase activity were observed in the hippocampus of fasting mice (Li et al., 2006; Planal et al., 2001). Also in mice, sleep loss increased phosphorylation of the brain proteome, including kinases such as microtubule affinity regulating kinase 2 (MARK2) (Wang et al., 2018). MARK2 is activated by phosphorylation (Kosuga et al., 2005) and in turn phosphorylates tau and inhibits tau-microtubule interactions (Augustinack et al., 2002). Additional studies in animal models show that protein phosphorylation is altered by changes in synaptic activity such as during sleep (Brüning et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019). Activation or deactivation of kinases and phosphatases during sleep-wake activity may lead to tau hyperphosphorylation and account for the observed differences in truncated p-tau forms. Alternatively, release of p-tau from neurons may be dependent on the specific site of phosphorylation. If so, then decreased CSF p-tau forms may result from increased intracellular aggregation, such as NFTs, similar to CSF Aβ42 concentrations in the presence of amyloid plaques.

4.3. Tau spreading and other potential mechanisms

A recent study found that prolonged sleep deprivation in mice promoted spreading of tau pathology in the locus coeruleus and may represent a possible mechanism for how sleep disturbance promotes AD pathogenesis (Holth et al., 2019). Trans-Synaptic transmission of tau protein, similar to the spread of prions in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, is a hypothesized mechanism to explain tau propagation since neurons with tau pathology are anatomically connected (Frost and Diamond, 2010; Wu et al., 2016). Several lines of evidence support a prion-like transmission of tau with soluble tau spreading through the interstitial fluid and seeding new aggregates (DeVos et al., 2018; Mudher et al., 2017). Tau is released extracellularly during neuronal activity both in vivo and in cultured cells (Sato et al., 2018; Yamada et al., 2014). In both in vitro and in vivo studies, exogenous tau aggregates are imported into neurons and act as “seeds” to induce the aggregation of other tau proteins. Injection of tau aggregates as “seeds” induces the spreading of tau pathology from the injection site to synaptically connected brain regions. For instance, transgenic mice that express human tau only in the entorhinal cortex were found to have tau aggregates composed of human tau and endogenous mouse tau in brain regions downstream in the synaptic circuit such as the dentate gyrus, CA fields of the hippocampus, and cingulate cortex (Wang et al., 2017). Since no expression of human tau was detected in these regions, human tau in these areas should derive from the entorhinal cortex.

Other potential mechanisms for sleep deprivation to increase Aβ is by increased stress, disrupted circadian rhythms, or increased...
inflammation. Both acute stress (Kang et al., 2007) and disrupted circadian clock function (Kress et al., 2018) have been found to increase ISF Aβ and amyloid deposition in mice. A recent study measured CSF and plasma cortisol rhythms in sleep-deprived participants compared to non-sleep-deprived individuals (Blattner et al., 2020). Cortisol is both a marker of stress, such as from motion sickness (Eversmann et al., 1978) or delirium (Pearson et al., 2010), and has an endogenous circadian rhythm (Weitzman et al., 1971). No significant group differences were found, strongly suggesting that increased stress or disrupted endogenous circadian rhythms as measured by cortisol do not account for the rise in CSF Aβ concentrations under sleep deprivation conditions.

Finally, sleep disturbances affect inflammation and metabolism that are also risk factors for Alzheimer’s disease (Carroll and Macauley, 2019; Irwin and Vitiello, 2019). Individuals with poor sleep quality are at increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes (Kawakami et al., 2004) and individuals with type 2 diabetes who have untreated OSA were found to have worse glucose control (Aronsohn et al., 2010). Further, studies in mice showed that hyperglycemia modulates Aβ concentrations and neuronal activity (Macauley et al., 2015) connecting back to mechanisms we have discussed for how sleep disturbances may increase AD risk. For inflammation, sleep disturbances and long sleep duration, but not short sleep duration, were associated with higher levels of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 in humans (Irwin et al., 2016). In chronically sleep restricted rats, levels of inflammatory factors such as interleukin-1β, tumor necrosis factor-α, and nitric oxide were increased and positively correlated amyloid deposition in the brain (Liu et al., 2020), suggesting that sleep loss increased inflammation and that this increase was further increased in the presence of AD pathology. Although further investigations are needed, inflammation and metabolic dysfunction are both highly promising and biologically plausible potential mechanisms linking sleep and AD risk.

5. **Sleep as a modifiable risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease**

A key question is whether increased or enhanced sleep will decrease Aβ and tau concentrations, and potentially decrease the risk of developing AD. Since neuronal activity is lowest during SWS and disrupting SWS increased CSF Aβ, enhanced SWS is a proposed target to lower CSF Aβ concentrations and potentially prevent or delay AD. Unfortunately, acute treatment for 1-night with sodium oxybate, a GABA-B receptor agonist known to increase SWS, did not lower CSF Aβ concentrations compared to controls (Lucey et al., 2018) suggesting that drugs working through this mechanism will not be effective treatments to lower CSF Aβ and/or that this effect is dependent on different neurotransmitter networks. Additional therapies that increase SWS, such as acoustic stimulation, are promising but their effect on CSF Aβ have not been tested in humans (Grimaldi et al., 2020).

OSA is a common and treatable sleep disorder where frequent respiratory events occur during sleep and lead to sleep disturbance. OSA is also a risk factor for AD and has recently been shown to modify CSF AD biomarkers. A study of 20 middle-aged patients with untreated OSA found that CSF Aβ42/40 was negatively correlated with the number of respiratory events per hour of sleep (Liguori et al., 2019). Treatment of OSA with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy in 18 participants for 1–4 months showed that the greater the reduction in sleep-related respiratory events after treatment with CPAP, the greater the reduction in CSF Aβ and tau concentrations from their pre-treatment baselines (Ju et al., 2019). Although further investigation is needed, these studies strongly suggest that treating OSA has the potential to reduce AD risk.

Another potential target for intervention to decrease CSF Aβ and potentially other AD biomarkers to prevent/delay AD is the orexin system. Orexin-A and orexin-B (also known as hypocretin-1 and hypocretin-2) are wake-promoting neuropeptides of 33 and 28 amino acids encoded by a common precursor polypeptide, prepro-orexin (Tsujino and Sakurai, 2009). Neurons producing orexin are exclusively localized to the perifornical area and the lateral and posterior hypothalamic area and project to the brainstem nuclei, amygdala, hippocampus, and cerebral cortex (Date et al., 1999; Elias et al., 1998; Nambu et al., 1999; Peyron et al., 1998). Orexins bind to two G protein-coupled receptors, orexin receptor 1 (OXR1) and orexin receptor 2 (OXR2) (Tsujino and Sakurai, 2009). The orexin system regulates sleep-wake activity, feeding behavior, energy homeostasis, and the reward system (Tsujino and Sakurai, 2009). Orexin deficiency causes narcolepsy, a sleep disorder resulting in excessive daytime sleepiness, sleep paralysis, sleep-related hallucinations, and cataplexy (Kryger et al., 2005).

Substantial evidence supports a role for the orexin system in the development of amyloid deposition. In humans, patients with narcolepsy (i.e., with orexin deficiency) have reduced CSF Aβ, tau, p-tau, and amyloid deposition on amyloid PET compared to age- and sex-matched controls (Gabelle et al., 2019; Jenum et al., 2017). Further, knocking out the orexin gene in amyloid precursor protein (APP) transgenic mice led to increased sleep time and a marked decrease in amyloid pathology in the brain while over-expression of orexin in the hippocampus did not (Roh et al., 2014). In contrast, increasing wakefulness by rescue of orexin neurons in APP/PS1 mice lacking orexin increased the amount of Aβ pathology in the brain. Additional studies in APP transgenic mice that develop amyloid deposition found that treatment with a dual orexin receptor antagonist, almorexant, decreased soluble Aβ concentrations while intra-cerebroventricular administration of orexin increased them (Kang et al., 2009). Further, prolonged treatment with almorexant for 8 weeks decreased amyloid deposition; this effect was recently replicated in mice with suvorexant, a dual orexin receptor antagonist approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of insomnia (Zhou et al., 2020). Although the effect of a dual orexin receptor antagonist on soluble CSF Aβ and tau or amyloid deposition in the brain has not been tested in humans, these findings strongly suggest that blocking orexin will modulate amyloid pathology in the brain.

6. **Future directions**

Extensive evidence implicates sleep disturbances as both a marker for AD pathology and future risk of developing AD, and suggests that improving disturbances in sleep-wake activity could prevent/delay the onset of AD. A major unanswered issue is if an intervention to improve sleep will decrease CSF Aβ, tau, and p-tau over the long-term and ultimately slow/halt AD pathogenesis. To address this issue, it is critical to answer several questions.

First, when do sleep disturbances begin in AD relative to the development of pathology and clinical symptoms? For instance, do sleep disturbances precede or follow the development of amyloid deposition? The Aβ diurnal oscillation, particularly Aβ42, attenuates in the presence of amyloid pathology in mice (Roh et al., 2012) and humans with autosomal dominant AD (Roh et al., 2012) and sporadic AD (Lucey et al., 2017). This is presumably due to Aβ42 aggregating as insoluble plaque rather than clearing to the CSF and suggests that decreasing Aβ concentrations by treating sleep disturbances may not alter the trajectory of amyloid deposition once an individual is amyloid-positive (i.e., sleep therapy would need to be used as primary prevention of AD). Furthermore, the effect of improved sleep on tau or p-tau in the presence of amyloid deposition is not known. If tau and/or p-tau were decreased with improved sleep in amyloid-positive individuals, then treating sleep disturbances in this population may reduce progression to symptomatic AD (i.e., sleep therapy could be used as secondary prevention of AD). Although current evidence supports that sleep disturbances begin during preclinical AD, longitudinal studies are needed to establish these temporal relationships.

Second, what sleep disturbances need to be treated? Establishing what sleep disturbance(s) and the age range when it is critical to measure as a marker for AD or to target for intervention is a major issue for the field to address. As discussed above, sleep disorders (e.g. insomnia, obstructive sleep apnea), sleep symptoms (e.g. daytime
sleepiness), and sleep parameters (e.g. sleep efficiency, NREM SWA) have all been associated with AD. Sleep complaints, such as insomnia and daytime sleepiness, are common in older adults and are potentially due to numerous causes. In addition to primary sleep disorders such as obstructive sleep apnea and insomnia, sleep disturbances in the elderly are common, multifactorial, and may be due normal aging, medical comorbidities, polypharmacy, psychosocial and cognitive factors, or a combination ([Fragoso and Gill, 2007; Pack et al., 2006]). For instance, self-reported health and social factors were recently found to increase the likelihood of older adults reporting short sleep duration (Scarlett et al., 2020). Future investigations will likely be needed to evaluate specific sleep disturbances and their relationship with AD.

Third, does a sleep intervention decrease CSF Aβ or tau sufficiently to alter the trajectory of AD pathogenesis? Studies in mice revealed that pharmacological reduction of CSF Aβ by 20–25% decreased amyloid plaque formation and growth ([Yan et al., 2009]). In humans, the APP mutation A673T decreases Aβ approximately 40% in vitro and is protective against AD ([Jonsson et al., 2012]). To be effective, sleep interventions will most likely need to decrease CSF Aβ as a similar amount.

Fourth, what is the mechanism(s) mediating the relationship between sleep and AD? Is it increased production/release of Aβ and tau, altered tau phosphorylation, increased tau spreading between neurons, or an alternative possibility such as increased inflammation, metabolic dysfunction, or synaptic damage? Future studies need to test multiple fluid biomarkers beyond just Aβ and tau for AD, including inflammatory markers, markers of synaptic function, and markers of metabolism to explore these potential mechanisms.

If sleep is ultimately found to be a reliable marker for AD risk or a potential target for intervention, then effective delivery of sleep therapies will be essential to prevent/delay the onset of AD throughout the population. Following successful early phase I and II studies translating findings from animal models to humans, subsequent research will need to focus on phase III clinical trials and eventually translation to patients and clinical practice. Unfortunately, implementation research is under-developed in sleep medicine. Improving translation to patients and clinical practice. Unfortunately, implementation research needs to increase to the study of osteoporotic fractures. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 61, 405–410.

Blattner, M.S., et al., 2020. Increased CSF Aβ during sleep deprivation in healthy middle-aged adults is not due to stress or circadian disruption. J. Alzheimers Dis. 75, 571–582.
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