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Active Inhibition of Plasma Cell Development in Resting B Cells by Microphthalmia-associated Transcription Factor

Ling Lin, Andrea J. Gerth, and Stanford L. Peng

1Department of Internal Medicine/Rheumatology and 2Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110

Abstract

B cell terminal differentiation involves development into an antibody-secreting plasma cell, reflecting the concerted activation of proplasma cell transcriptional regulators, such as Blimp-1, IRF-4, and Xbp-1. Here, we show that the microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (Mitf) is highly expressed in naive B cells, where it antagonizes the process of terminal differentiation through the repression of IRF-4. Defective Mitf activity results in spontaneous B cell activation, antibody secretion, and autoantibody production. Conversely, ectopic Mitf expression suppresses the expression of IRF-4, the plasma cell marker CD138, and antibody secretion. Thus, Mitf regulates B cell homeostasis by suppressing the antibody-secreting fate.
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Introduction

Terminal differentiation of B cells into plasma cells requires at least three transcription factors (Blimp-1, IRF-4, and Xbp-1) and involves the simultaneous acquisition of a capacity for antibody secretion as well as an inhibition of class switching, somatic hypermutation, and cell cycle progression. During the germinal center reaction, other transcription factors, including bcl-6 or Pax5, antagonize this process, likely to allow for affinity maturation and isotype switching before terminal differentiation. However, whether or not these developmental processes are regulated in naive B cells remains largely undetermined.

The microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (Mitf) is a basic-helix-loop-helix-leucine-zipper protein that has been primarily studied for its critical role in melanocyte function, where it regulates the expression of essential pigment enzymes. Its importance in cellular differentiation likely further extends to multiple areas of cellular proliferation and viability because it also regulates expression of antiapoptotic proteins such as bcl-2, at least in melanocytes, and plays a role in the development and function of mast cells, natural killer cells, and osteoclasts. Previous studies in mi/mi mice, which are functionally Mitf-deficient due to a functionally disabling ΔArg mutation in their DNA binding domain, have suggested that dysfunctional Mitf activity results in defective B cell development. However, given the osteopetrotic phenotype and multiple other anatomical abnormalities of mi/mi animals, it is difficult to discern whether or not their apparently diminished B cell numbers in the marrow and spleen indeed reflects a B cell-intrinsic role for Mitf. Nonetheless, it is tempting to speculate on a role for Mitf in B cells because a review of microarray data in resting and activated B cells suggests that it is highly expressed in resting cells and rapidly diminished in activated cells, suggesting a role in the regulation of B cell activation.

Here, we demonstrate that Mitf plays a critical role in the maintenance of the mature, resting B cell state. Dysfunction of Mitf appears to result in spontaneous differentiation of B cells into plasma cells, as well as autoantibody production. Enforced expression of Mitf in activated B cells represses the plasmacytoid phenotype, suppressing Ig secretion and correlating with the ability of Mitf to repress IRF-4. Thus, Mitf suppresses the antibody-secreting cell fate.

Materials and Methods

Mice. B6C3Fe a/a-Mitf56/J, Rag-2-deficient, and wild-type C57BL/6J mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. To generate wild-type versus mi/mi animals, Mitf+/+ animals were intercrossed and genotyped by PCR on tail DNA using primers...
Mitf Plasmids and Retrovirus. To isolate murine Mitf-M, cDNA from a C57BL/6 day 14 embryo was amplified by PCR using primers 5'-TTTATTGCGTTTATG-3' and 5'-ACCTAGTCTTTATGTTATG-3', which span the ΔArg of the mi mutation (6); sequencing of the product unequivocally distinguished genotype (Fig. 1 F). For chimeras, bone marrow cells from day 0 newborn wild type versus mi/mi animals were adoptively transferred intravenously to six Gy-irradiated Rag-2−/− recipients. Animals were analyzed 6–12 wk after reconstitution.

Immunizations and antihapten responses were performed and determined as described previously (16). All experiments were performed in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines of the Washington University School of Medicine.

Mitf Transductions. To isolate murine Mitf-M, cDNA from a C57BL/6 day 14 embryo was amplified by PCR using primers 5'-AGGCCCTGGTGCTGTAGAG-3' and 5'-CCCAGAGATGACGTTCGTGACGTT-3', which is located in exon 2 (see Fig. 1 A). Viability/cellular ATP content was assessed using the Cell Titer-Glo assay (Promega).

Expression Analysis. Isomeric-specific RT-PCR for Mitf was performed using primers and conditions described previously (15). For real-time PCR detection of Mitf-A, primers 5'-GCCCTTTCTCCTGTTTTTTCAGATT-3' and 5'-AGGCCCTGGTGCTGTAGAG-3' were used on samples analyzed by an ABI PRISM® 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) under standard conditions with specificity reinforced via the dissociation protocol. Other gene-specific primers, including those for IRF-4 (16), have been described previously. Relative mRNA abundance of each transcript was normalized against tubulin as described previously (10). Western blots used a polyclonal goat anti-Mitf (C-17; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Luciferase Assay. An IRF-4 promoter–luciferase construct was generated by PCR from C57BL/6 tail DNA using primers 5'-GGGATACCATCATCAGTGGTT-3' and 5'-AGAGTTACACACCCCTCTTCGCGACT-3', which created a KpnI–BglII fragment containing basepairs –633 to +71 (relative to the transcription start site) of the IRF-4 promoter (17) that could be ligated into the KpnI–BglII sites of TK-luc (14). Sequences were confirmed by routine sequencing. Derivatives with mutant putative Mitf binding sites (CANNNTG) were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange®; Stratagene). For luciferase activity assessment, 10^7 M12 murine B cell lymphoma cells (12) in 400 μl complete RPMI 1640 medium were electroporated in a 0.4-cm cuvette at 280 μF, 975 μF in the presence of 10 μg of luciferase reporter, 40 ng pRL-CMV, and 10 μg pcDNA3 (Invitrogen), pcDNA3-Mif-A, pcDNA3-Mitf-A(AS), or pcDNA3-Mitf-M expression plasmids and returned to cell culture medium. At the indicated times, reporter activity was determined by the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega); relative activity was determined after normalization for Renilla luciferase.

ELISpot Analyses. For quantification of antibody–secretory cells, microtiter plates were coated with 10 μg/ml anti-mouse Ig (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Inc.) in PBS and blocked with 1% BSA. Single cell suspensions of splenocytes or bone marrow cells were incubated in the plates with RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, using limiting dilutions, overnight at 37°C, 5% CO2. Bound antibody was detected with IgM-, IgG1-, or IgG2b–specific alkaline phosphatase–conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Inc.) followed by development with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate (Sigma–Aldrich) in 3% low-melt agarose. Spots were quantified upon examination under a dissecting microscope.

Online Supplemental Material. Table S1 describes B cell populations in native and chimeric mi mice. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20040612/DC1.
Results and Discussion

Identification and Characterization of Lymphoid Mitf (Mitf-L).

Ongoing microarray studies to identify novel regulatory transcription factors in B lymphocytes revealed a significant signal from the 101159_at Affymetrix probe set by resting but not activated B cell cDNA, corresponding to Mitf (references 8, 9, and unpublished data). The expression pattern of Mitf is tissue specific, with specific isoforms formed by alternative promoter activity before distinct first exons to produce melanocyte- (Mitf-M), mast cell– (Mitf-MC), and heart-specific (Mitf-H) transcripts, among others (Fig. 1 A); however, functionally relevant Mitf expression has not been described previously in the lymphoid system.

Standard RT-PCR and Western blot analyses confirmed expression of Mitf in B cells, particularly that its expression is highest in resting, but not activated, cells (Fig. 1 B and C). Interestingly, its expression could not be detected with primers specific for the predominantly studied isoforms, but only by primers within the common exons shared by all known Mitf isoforms. Real-time PCR studies confirmed these observations, demonstrating a suppression of Mitf expression in response to several stimuli, but most prominently in response to polyclonal B cell activators such as LPS or CpG oligonucleotides (Fig. 1 D).

Because the Mitf isoforms are only known to differ at the 5’ end due to alternative promoter use, 5’ RACE was performed to identify the lymphocyte form (Mitf-L), producing a dominant ~600-bp product (Fig. 1 E). Sequencing revealed that the cDNA reflected the use of two Mitf exons previously
Mature B Cell Development Does Not Require Functional Mitf. To determine the role of Mitf in B cells, we examined mi/mi mice (Fig. 1 A and references 6, 7). Here, mi/mi T cell development and function were largely normal, but...
mi/mi animals possessed substantially fewer peripheral B cells (reference 4, Table S1, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20040612/DC1, and unpublished data). However, because the impaired B cell development could have resulted from the animals’ generalized developmental delay (6, 7), we performed bone marrow chimerization from wt/wt versus mi/mi animals into Rag-deficient recipients, confirming genotype by direct sequencing of the mutant region (Fig. 1 F). mi/mi bone marrow cells were clearly capable, surprisingly so, of fully repopulating the B cell populations, both conventional and B1 cells, as evidenced by largely normal flow cytometric profiles for the various B cell subpopulations 8–12 wk after reconstitution (Fig. 2 A and Table S1). Therefore, all subsequent B cell analyses were performed with bone marrow chimeric animals.

Hyperactivation of mi/mi B Cells. Chimeric mi/mi animals developed hypergammaglobulinemia of the IgM but not IgG1 isotype (Fig. 2 B, P < 0.0001). They also developed evidence of humoral autoimmunity, including anti-DNA and rheumatoid factor (anti-IgG) activities (P < 0.0001 for all indicated specificities). However, these likely represented largely nonpathogenic (e.g., anti-ssDNA) rather than pathogenic (e.g., anti-dsDNA) autoantibody responses because mi/mi sera were not reactive with the dsDNA-containing kinetoplast of Crithidia luciliae substrates, nor was there evidence of inflammation in the end-organs of mi/mi chimeric animals (unpublished data).

Interestingly, chimeric mi/mi animals could mount IgM and IgG antihapten responses comparable to their wild-type counterparts when immunized with T-dependent (NP-CGG or TNP-CGG) or T-independent (NP-Ficoll, TNP-Ficoll, or TNP-LPS) antigens, indicating that mi B cells were not hyperreactive per se (unpublished data). Such findings suggested that mi/mi B cells simply undergo spontaneous activation and/or differentiation into plasma cells in vivo, without antigen-driven specificity. Indeed, mi/mi chimeras contained increased numbers of CD138+ B220+ plasma cells in their spleen, as well as IgM antibody-secreting cells in their spleen and bone marrow (Fig. 2, C and D, P < 0.01). In vitro, naive mi/mi B cells spontaneously produced IgM in the absence of external stimuli (Fig. 3 A, P < 0.00001 for both isotypes), albeit it was diminished in comparison to LPS-stimulated B cells, and they spontaneously up-regulated the plasma cell marker CD138 (syndecan-1) in culture (Fig. 3 B, P < 0.0001 comparing mean fluorescence intensities at 2 d). At the same time, mi B cells proliferated less well than their wild-type counterparts (Fig. 3 C, P < 0.01 comparing wild-type to mi at LPS concentrations of 10 and 25 μg/ml), but had increased intracellular metabolic activity, as judged...
Mitf Suppresses Plasma Cell Development

Mitf Suppresses Plasma Cell Development by ATP activity (Fig. 3 D, P < 0.01). These findings, such as increased antibody secretory capacity, metabolic activity, and CD138 expression despite diminished proliferative capacity, are characteristic of plasma cell differentiation (1).

Suppression of B Cell Activation by Mitf via IRF-4. These findings suggested that Mitf may enforce the naive B cell state by suppressing plasma cell differentiation. Retroviral transduction of Mitf-A into LPS-stimulated wild-type B cells suppressed Ig secretion and CD138 expression (Fig. 4, A and B); although control–transduced B cells secreted significant levels of IgM over 2 d in culture, Mitf-A–transduced B cells were largely unable to secrete Ig (P < 0.0001). Also, although ~40–50% of control–transduced B cells up-regulated CD138, <10% of Mitf–transduced B cells did (P < 0.0001). In addition, MHC class II expression remained higher in Mitf-transduced B cells (Fig. 4 B; mean fluorescence intensity 662 ± 43 vs. 853 ± 23, comparing control–to Mitf-transduced cells, respectively; P < 0.001), which was consistent with a block in plasma cell differentiation (1).

To gain insight into the potential mechanism by which Mitf might regulate B cells, we sought to identify target genes regulated by Mitf and, therefore, examined its ability to regulate genes known to participate in plasma cell differentiation. Interestingly, ectopic Mitf significantly suppressed IRF-4 mRNA in LPS-stimulated B cells (Fig. 4 C, P < 0.0001), but not Blimp-1 or Xbp-1 (spliced or unspliced form; reference 19 and not depicted). Indeed, Mitf-A could repress the activity of an IRF-4 promoter construct in the M12 B cell lymphoma cells, using a control, Mitf-A, or Mitf-A antisense (AS) expression construct. Firefly light units were normalized against control Renilla luciferase activity. (E) Naïve B cells from wild-type or miRag-deficient chimeras were incubated in vitro without mitogens in the presence or absence of IRF-4 antisense (AS) or missense (MS) oligonucleotides. After 10 d, supernatants were assessed for IgM secretion by ELISA. Standard deviations reflect triplicate samples performed simultaneously, and are representative of at least three experiments.
the IRF-4 promoter contains two potential Mitf binding sites (CANNTG) at basepairs −449 to −444 and −10 to −4, mutagenesis of both sites failed to abrogate the ability of Mitf to suppress our IRF4 promoter luciferase reporter in M12 cells, and we have been unable to demonstrate binding of Mitf to any portion of the IRF4 promoter by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (unpublished data). Thus, the effects of Mitf on B cell activation clearly require IRF-4, but other genes likely cooperate with and/or are regulated by Mitf to modulate IRF-4 expression.

Mitf in B Cell Development. As such, these data support a model in which Mitf suppresses spontaneous plasma cell differentiation in naive B cells by ultimately inhibiting the expression of IRF-4. As a result, defective Mitf function leads to IRF-4 overexpression and spontaneous plasma cell differentiation, and is interestingly associated with mild humoral autoimmunity. Therefore, defective Mitf activity may contribute to diseases of humoral immune dysregulation, such as autoimmune diseases (i.e., lupus). However, a Mitf defect as a sole cause of such diseases seems unlikely; instead, additional factors, such as T cell help (which is not accentuated in mi animals), are probably required for full-blown autoimmune disease (20). Nonetheless, a particularly intriguing finding in the present paper is the presence of IgG anti-DNA autoantibodies in trigeminal animals (Fig. 2), even though Mitf primarily appears to affect IgM secretion (Figs. 2–4). Perhaps Mitf plays an additional role in the regulation of autoreactive B cells, suppressing their activation and/or subsequent differentiation, and/or enforcing tolerance.

In humans, mutations in Mitf result in the Waardenburg syndrome (WS) type 2a, consisting of hypopigmentation and deafness (for review see reference 2), but to our knowledge, a humoral immune phenotype has not been described in WS, and no mutations in Mitf have yet been found to be associated with antibody-related disorders. Nonetheless, Mitf resides on human chromosome 3 near a known susceptibility locus for lupus (21), and increased IRF-4 activity (22) and abnormal Wnt signaling (23), which modulates Mitf activity (at least in melanocytes; reference 2), have both been observed in multiple myeloma. Therefore, it will be of significant interest to perform a systematic study of antibody responses in WS patients, and to determine if defective Mitf or overactive IRF-4 activity contributes to the perturbed humoral immunity seen in plasma cell dyscrasias or autoimmune diseases.

Interestingly, most functions of Mitf have been attributed to its ability to transactivate target genes (24, 25), but it may act as a transcriptional repressor upon interacting with other transcription factors, such as Pax6 (26). Thus, it is possible that Mitf regulates IRF-4 expression by interacting with transcription factors, such as members of the NF-kB or NF-AT families, that can transactivate the IRF-4 promoter (17), becoming a transcriptional repressor of IRF-4 itself. However, the inability of Mitf to bind to the IRF-4 promoter (unpublished data) suggests that Mitf instead either represses the expression of a factor required to transactivate IRF-4, or more likely transactivates a transcriptional repressor of IRF-4. Regardless, our present findings strongly indicate that active transcriptional repression of IRF-4 by Mitf, via whatever means, is required to prevent spontaneous B cell activation, as well as tolerance loss. Therefore, continued investigation into the mechanisms by which Mitf regulates B cell activation and antibody production will undoubtedly shed insight into the mechanisms of immune tolerance and effector differentiation.
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