

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

---

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

---

10-3-2022

## Effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine for preventing COVID-19 hospitalizations and progression to high disease severity in the United States

Nathaniel M Lewis

*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) COVID-19 Response Team*

Hilary M Babcock

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Jennie H Kwon

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

et al.

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa\\_4](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4)



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

**Please let us know how this document benefits you.**

---

### Recommended Citation

Lewis, Nathaniel M; Babcock, Hilary M; Kwon, Jennie H; and et al., "Effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine for preventing COVID-19 hospitalizations and progression to high disease severity in the United States." *Clinical infectious diseases*. 75, Supplement\_2. S159 - S166. (2022).  
[https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa\\_4/826](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/826)

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact [vanam@wustl.edu](mailto:vanam@wustl.edu).

# Effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Vaccine for Preventing COVID-19 Hospitalizations and Progression to High Disease Severity in the United States

Nathaniel M. Lewis,<sup>1,6</sup> Wesley H. Self,<sup>2</sup> Manjusha Gaglani,<sup>5,6</sup> Adit A. Ginde,<sup>3</sup> David J. Douin,<sup>3</sup> H. Keipp Talbot,<sup>2</sup> Jonathan D. Casey,<sup>2</sup> Nicholas M. Mohr,<sup>4</sup> Anne Zepeski,<sup>4</sup> Shekhar A. Ghamande,<sup>5</sup> Tresa A. McNeal,<sup>5</sup> Nathan I. Shapiro,<sup>7</sup> Kevin W. Gibbs,<sup>8</sup> D. Clark Files,<sup>8</sup> David N. Hager,<sup>9</sup> Arber Shehu,<sup>9</sup> Matthew E. Prekker,<sup>10</sup> Heidi L. Erickson,<sup>10</sup> Michelle N. Gong,<sup>11</sup> Amira Mohamed,<sup>11</sup> Nicholas J. Johnson,<sup>12</sup> Vasisht Srinivasan,<sup>12</sup> Jay S. Steingrub,<sup>13</sup> Ithan D. Peltan,<sup>14</sup> Samuel M. Brown,<sup>14</sup> Emily T. Martin,<sup>15</sup> Arnold S. Monto,<sup>15</sup> Akram Khan,<sup>15</sup> Laurence W. Busse,<sup>16</sup> Caitlin C. ten Lohuis,<sup>16</sup> Abhijit Duggal,<sup>17</sup> Jennifer G. Wilson,<sup>18</sup> Alexandra June Gordon,<sup>18</sup> Nida Qadir,<sup>19</sup> Steven Y. Chang,<sup>19</sup> Christopher Mallow,<sup>20</sup> Carolina Rivas,<sup>20</sup> Hilary M. Babcock,<sup>21</sup> Jennie H. Kwon,<sup>21</sup> Matthew C. Exline,<sup>22</sup> Adam S. Lauring,<sup>23</sup> Natasha Halasa,<sup>2</sup> James D. Chappell,<sup>2</sup> Carlos G. Grijalva,<sup>2</sup> Todd W. Rice,<sup>2</sup> Jillian P. Rhoads,<sup>2</sup> Ian D. Jones,<sup>2</sup> William B. Stubblefield,<sup>2</sup> Adrienne Baughman,<sup>2</sup> Kelsey N. Womack,<sup>2</sup> Christopher J. Lindsell,<sup>2</sup> Kimberly W. Hart,<sup>2</sup> Yuwei Zhu,<sup>2</sup> Katherine Adams,<sup>1</sup> Manish M. Patel,<sup>1</sup> and Mark W. Tenforde;<sup>1</sup> IVY Network Collaborators

<sup>1</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) COVID-19 Response Team, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; <sup>2</sup>Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; <sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, University of Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, USA; <sup>4</sup>University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA; <sup>5</sup>Baylor Scott & White Health, Temple, Texas, USA; <sup>6</sup>Texas A&M University College of Medicine, Temple, Texas, USA; <sup>7</sup>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>8</sup>Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA; <sup>9</sup>Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; <sup>10</sup>Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; <sup>11</sup>Montefiore Healthcare Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA; <sup>12</sup>University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA; <sup>13</sup>Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>14</sup>Intermountain Medical Center and University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; <sup>15</sup>School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; <sup>16</sup>Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; <sup>17</sup>Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; <sup>18</sup>Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA; <sup>19</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Ronald Reagan-UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA; <sup>20</sup>University of Miami, Miami, Florida, USA; <sup>21</sup>Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; <sup>22</sup>Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA; and <sup>23</sup>School of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

**Background.** Adults in the United States (US) began receiving the adenovirus vector coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine, Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson [Janssen]), in February 2021. We evaluated Ad26.COV2.S vaccine effectiveness (VE) against COVID-19 hospitalization and high disease severity during the first 10 months of its use.

**Methods.** In a multicenter case-control analysis of US adults ( $\geq 18$  years) hospitalized 11 March to 15 December 2021, we estimated VE against susceptibility to COVID-19 hospitalization (VEs), comparing odds of prior vaccination with a single dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine between hospitalized cases with COVID-19 and controls without COVID-19. Among hospitalized patients with COVID-19, we estimated VE against disease progression (VEp) to death or invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), comparing odds of prior vaccination between patients with and without progression.

**Results.** After excluding patients receiving mRNA vaccines, among 3979 COVID-19 case-patients (5% vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S) and 2229 controls (13% vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S), VEs of Ad26.COV2.S against COVID-19 hospitalization was 70% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 63–75%) overall, including 55% (29–72%) among immunocompromised patients, and 72% (64–77%) among immunocompetent patients, for whom VEs was similar at 14–90 days (73% [59–82%]), 91–180 days (71% [60–80%]), and 181–274 days (70% [54–81%]) postvaccination. Among hospitalized COVID-19 case-patients, VEp was 46% (18–65%) among immunocompetent patients.

**Conclusions.** The Ad26.COV2.S COVID-19 vaccine reduced the risk of COVID-19 hospitalization by 72% among immunocompetent adults without waning through 6 months postvaccination. After hospitalization for COVID-19, vaccinated immunocompetent patients were less likely to require IMV or die compared to unvaccinated immunocompetent patients.

**Keywords.** COVID-19; vaccine effectiveness; viral vector vaccines.

## BACKGROUND

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), resulted in more than 7 million hospitalizations and 890 000 deaths in the United States through January 2022 [1]. In February 2021, the SARS-CoV-2 adenovirus vector vaccine (Ad26.COV2.S) from Johnson & Johnson (Janssen), became the third of 3 vaccines (in addition to BNT162b2 from Pfizer-BioNTech and mRNA-1273 from

Correspondence: N. M. Lewis, Influenza Prevention and Control Team, Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop 46 24/7, Atlanta, Georgia 30329 (pha6@cdc.gov).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2022;75(S2):S159–66

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2022. This work is written by (a) US Government employee(s) and is in the public domain in the US.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac439>

Moderna in December 2020) to be made available in the United States (US) for adults aged  $\geq 18$  years under Emergency Use Authorization by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [2]. This vaccine employs a recombinant, replication-incompetent adenovirus serotype 26 (Ad26) vector encoding a full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike protein [3] and has been shown in clinical trials [4, 5] and early observational studies [6, 7] to be effective in preventing severe COVID-19.

Most people vaccinated against COVID-19 in the United States have received 1 of the 2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccines (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273). However, approximately 18 million doses of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine have been administered in the United States through February 2022 [1]. Less frequent use of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine may be due to later authorization than mRNA vaccines, limited availability in many locations, lower estimated vaccine effectiveness (VE) compared with mRNA vaccines [6], or early reports of adverse events including thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome (TTS) [8, 9], leading to a temporary suspension of use from 13–23 April 2021, followed by resumed use with a warning about the risk of rare blood clotting events [9]. In December 2021, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued a preferential recommendation for the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 mRNA vaccines over the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine for primary and booster vaccination [10].

Due to its comparatively limited use, real-world evaluations of VE for the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine against COVID-19 hospitalization [6] have been lacking compared to those for mRNA vaccines [11–14]. However, in the United States, the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine continues to have a role in preventing COVID-19, including for persons with a contraindication to receipt of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines [9]. In addition, Johnson & Johnson plans to donate almost 100 million doses of Ad26.COV2.S internationally through the COVAX program led by the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations, Gavi Vaccine Alliance, and the World Health Organization [15]. Therefore, evaluations to assess the real-world effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine against severe COVID-19 are important. Ongoing use of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine in the US population since February 2021 means that evaluations of VE against hospitalization with sufficient sample size to be meaningful are now possible. In this analysis, we evaluated 2 forms of vaccine effectiveness [16] of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine: (1) effectiveness in preventing susceptibility to COVID-19-associated hospitalization (VEs), and (2) effectiveness against the progression of COVID-19 to death or invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) within 28 days of hospitalization (VE against disease progression [VEp]) as a measure of potential disease attenuation among vaccinated patients with COVID-19.

## METHODS

During 11 March 2021 to 15 December 2021, adults admitted to 21 hospitals comprising the Influenza or Other Viruses in the Acutely Ill Network (IVY Network) and who received testing for SARS-CoV-2 were enrolled. The analysis comprised the timeframe during which the SARS-CoV-2 Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant predominated (March–June 2021) followed by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.617.2 and AY sublineages) variant (July–December 2021), and just before Omicron became the main circulating variant. VEs against COVID-19 hospitalization was evaluated for adults who received a single dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine  $\geq 14$  days before illness onset. Methods have been described in detail elsewhere [6, 12–15]. In brief, case-patients had COVID-19–like illness and received a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result (nucleic acid amplification test [NAAT] or antigen test) within 10 days of illness onset. Control patients were either “test-negative” controls hospitalized with signs or symptoms of an acute respiratory illness but testing negative for SARS-CoV-2 by NAAT, or “syndrome-negative” controls hospitalized without signs or symptoms of an acute respiratory illness and testing negative for SARS-CoV-2 by NAAT. Using standardized case report forms, interviews with patients or proxies were used to collect demographic and clinical characteristics and electronic medical record (EMR) searches were performed to collect information about underlying medical conditions. Prior COVID-19 vaccination was verified primarily through source documentation (including state vaccination registries, EMRs, and vaccination record cards) and additionally through self-report including date and location of vaccination.

For patients hospitalized with COVID-19, we collected data on their clinical course until the outcome that occurred earliest: death, hospital discharge, or 28 days after hospital admission if still admitted. Disease severity was classified by dividing COVID-19 case patients into those who experienced death or required IMV (ie, a composite measure we refer to as progression to high disease severity) and those who did not (ie, no progression to high disease severity). Medical condition categories were defined using standardized definitions and obtained through electronic medical record (EMR) review by trained surveillance personnel. Persons with immunocompromising conditions were defined as those with 1 or more of the following: active solid organ cancer; active hematologic cancer (such as leukemia, lymphoma, or myeloma); human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection without AIDS; AIDS; congenital immunodeficiency syndrome; previous splenectomy; prior solid organ, stem cell, or bone marrow transplant; use of immunosuppressive medication; systemic lupus erythematosus; rheumatoid arthritis; psoriasis; scleroderma; or inflammatory bowel disease, including Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis (Supplementary Table 1).

In this analysis of the effects of a single dose AD.26.COVS vaccine, participants who were vaccinated with a single dose AD.26.COVS vaccine and those who were unvaccinated were analyzed. Enrolled participants who received other vaccine products, such as an mRNA vaccine, or multiple doses of AD.26.COVS were excluded from this analysis. VEs against COVID-19-associated hospitalization was estimated using multivariable logistic regression, comparing the odds of being vaccinated with a single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine among case-patients vs controls, adjusting for potential confounders including admission date (biweekly intervals), geographic region (10 Health and Human Services regions), age group (18–49, 50–64, or  $\geq 65$  years), sex, and self-reported race and Hispanic ethnicity. VEs were calculated as  $(1 - \text{adjusted odds ratio}) \times 100\%$ . Results were stratified by age group, presence of 0 vs  $\geq 1$  chronic medical conditions, presence of 0 vs  $\geq 1$  immunocompromising conditions, variant period (Alpha vs Delta), and time since receipt of the vaccine (14–90 days, 91–180 days, and  $>180$  days postvaccination). VEP against progression to high disease severity was estimated by restricting analysis to patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Here we assessed the association between progression to death or IMV and prior vaccination with Ad26.COVS using multivariable logistic regression. These models adjusted for age group, sex, race, and Hispanic ethnicity, and number of chronic medical conditions, and results were stratified by age group and presence of 0 vs  $\geq 1$  immunocompromising conditions. VEP was calculated as  $(1 - \text{adjusted odds ratio}) \times 100\%$ . Analyses were conducted using R (Vienna, Austria) and STATA (College Station, Texas, USA). This activity was reviewed by CDC and conducted in line with applicable federal law and CDC policy, for example, 45 C.F.R. part 46.102(l)(2), 21 C.F.R. part 56; 42 U.S.C. §241(d); 5 U.S.C. §552a; 44 U.S.C. §3501 et seq.

## RESULTS

A total of 12 524 adults were enrolled in the IVY study from 11 March through 15 December 2021. Of these, 6316 were excluded because they met one or more exclusion criteria for the current analysis, most commonly because they received a mRNA vaccine product ( $n = 6038$ ) as a primary or booster dose. A total of 6208 adults, including 3979 cases with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (5% vaccinated with Ad26.COVS) and 2229 controls without COVID-19 (13% vaccinated with Ad26.COVS,  $P < .002$ ) met inclusion criteria for this analysis. Compared with control patients, case patients were younger (median age [interquartile range {IQR}]: 53 [40–64] vs 56 [42–66],  $P = .002$ ), more likely to be employed (48% vs 26%,  $P < .001$ ) and to be employed as a healthcare worker specifically (5% vs 3%,  $P = .003$ ) and less likely to be a long-term care facility resident (2% vs 4%,  $P < .001$ ), to have been

hospitalized for any cause during the past year (24% vs 57%,  $P < .001$ ) to have  $\geq 1$  chronic medical condition (68% vs 85%,  $P < .001$ ), to currently use tobacco (11% vs 28%,  $P < .001$ ), or to report a prior laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (3% vs 12%,  $P < .001$ ). Median (IQR) number of days from vaccine dose 1 to illness onset was greater for case patients (140 [85–193]) compared with control patients (127 [69–183])  $P = .0049$ ) (Table 1).

### VEs Against Hospitalization With COVID-19

Overall adjusted VEs (95% confidence interval [CI]) for a single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine against COVID-19 hospitalization was 70% (63–75%) and was lower in patients who were immunocompromised (55% [31–72%]) than those who were immunocompetent (72% [64–77%]). Among immunocompetent patients, VEs was higher (75% [67–82%]) among patients aged 18–64 years than for those aged  $\geq 65$  years (66% [50–77%]). VEs was similar between periods in which the Alpha variant (68% [43–83%]) and Delta variant (72% [64–78%]) predominated and remained similar 14–90 days (73% [60–82%]), 91–180 days (71% [59–80%]), and  $>180$  days (70% [53–81%]) postvaccination. Finally, VEs were higher in patients without chronic medical conditions (86% [74–93%]) than those with  $\geq 1$  chronic medical condition (64% [54–73%]) (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 2).

### VEp Against Progression to High Disease Severity

Of 3979 COVID-19 case patients, 3940 (95%) had complete data on clinical outcomes. Among these patients, COVID-19 patients vaccinated with a single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine were less likely to experience many severe clinical outcomes compared with unvaccinated COVID-19 patients, including invasive mechanical ventilation (18% vs 24%,  $P = .0304$ ), non-invasive ventilation (12% vs 17%,  $P = .050$ ), high-flow oxygen therapy (30% vs 40%,  $P = .011$ ), and vasopressor use (16% vs 23%,  $P = .025$ ). Vaccinated COVID-19 patients were less likely to experience high disease severity, defined as the composite of death or IMV, than unvaccinated COVID-19 patients (20% vs 27%,  $P = .030$ ). VEP (95% CI) was 36% (7–56%) overall, and similar for immunocompetent patients (46% [18–65%]), patients aged 18–64 years (31% [11–58%]) and patients aged  $\geq 65$  years (47% [4–71%]). No VEP was observed among immunocompromised patients (–29% [–186–42%]) (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

Vaccination with a single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine reduced the risk of COVID-19 hospitalization by more than two-thirds; this was higher in immunocompetent persons compared with those who were immunocompromised. Protection against hospitalization was also sustained and stable through 6 or more

**Table 1. Characteristics of Patients Vaccinated With One Dose of Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson), COVID-19 Case Patients and Control Patients, IVV Network**

| Characteristic, n/N (%)  | Total (n = 6208) | Case Patients (n = 3979) | Control Patients (n = 2229) | P-Value |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>Clinical Group</b>  |                  |                          |                             |         |
| Vaccinated with 1 dose of Ad26.COV2.S                            | 478/6208 (7.7)   | 192/3979 (4.8)           | 286/2229 (12.8)             | <.001   |
| <b>Age in years</b>  |                  |                          |                             |         |
| Median (IQR)   | 54 (41–65)       | 53 (40–64)               | 56 (42–66)                  | .002    |
| 18–49 years  | 2481/6208 (40.0) | 1638/3979 (41.2)         | 843/2229 (37.8)             | .006    |
| 50–64 years  | 2103/6208 (33.9) | 1348/3979 (33.9)         | 755/2229 (33.9)             |         |
| ≥65 years  | 1624/6208 (26.2) | 993/3979 (25.0)          | 631/2229 (28.3)             |         |
| Female sex   | 2939/6208 (47.3) | 1873/3979 (47.1)         | 1066/2229 (47.8)            | .569    |
| <b>Race/ethnicity<sup>b</sup></b>                                |                  |                          |                             |         |
| Non-Hispanic White   | 3208/6208 (51.7) | 1985/3979 (49.9)         | 1223/2229 (54.9)            | <.001   |
| Non-Hispanic Black   | 1515/6208 (24.4) | 937/3979 (23.5)          | 578/2229 (25.9)             |         |
| Hispanic, any race   | 1083/6208 (17.4) | 787/3979 (19.8)          | 296/2229 (13.3)             |         |
| Non-Hispanic, all other races                                    | 286/6208 (4.6)   | 192/3979 (4.8)           | 94/2229 (4.2)               |         |
| Unknown  | 116/6208 (1.9)   | 78/3979 (2.0)            | 38/2229 (1.7)               |         |
| <b>US Census Region<sup>c</sup></b>                              |                  |                          |                             |         |
| Northeast  | 894/6208 (14.4)  | 592/3979 (14.9)          | 302/2229 (13.5)             | .407    |
| South  | 2565/6208 (41.3) | 1624/3979 (40.8)         | 941/2229 (42.2)             |         |
| Midwest  | 1451/6208 (23.4) | 922/3979 (23.2)          | 529/2229 (23.7)             |         |
| West   | 1298/6208 (20.9) | 841/3979 (21.1)          | 457/2229 (20.5)             |         |
| LTCF Resident  | 159/5963 (2.7)   | 76/3821 (2.0)            | 83/2142 (3.9)               | <.001   |
| Employed   | 1987/4959 (40.1) | 1513/3126 (48.4)         | 474/1833 (25.9)             | <.001   |
| Healthcare worker  | 222/4959 (4.5)   | 161/3126 (5.2)           | 61/1833 (3.3)               | .003    |
| ≥1 previous hospitalization in the last year                     | 2075/5714 (36.3) | 882/3633 (24.3)          | 1193/2081 (57.3)            | <.001   |
| ≥1 chronic medical condition <sup>d</sup>                        | 4619/6207 (74.4) | 2716/3978 (68.3)         | 1903/2229 (85.4)            | <.001   |
| Current tobacco use  | 935/5345 (17.5)  | 382/3382 (11.3)          | 553/1963 (28.2)             | <.001   |
| Self-reported previous laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection | 381/6207 (6.1)   | 108/3978 (2.7)           | 273/2229 (12.2)             | <.001   |
| Days from vaccine dose 1 to illness onset, median (IQR)          | 135 (75–185)     | 140 (84.5–193)           | 126.5 (69–183)              | .049    |

Data are no./total and no. (%) except where indicated.

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IQR, interquartile range; LTCF, long-term care facility; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

<sup>a</sup>Hospitals by region were Northeast: Baystate Medical Center (Springfield, MA), Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA), Montefiore Medical Center (Bronx, NY); South: Vanderbilt University Medical Center (Nashville, TN), University of Miami Medical Center (Miami, FL), Emory University Medical Center (Atlanta, GA), Johns Hopkins Hospital (Baltimore, MD), Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center (Winston-Salem, NC), Baylor Scott and White Health (Temple, TX); Midwest: University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics (Iowa City, IA), University of Michigan Hospital (Ann Arbor, MI), Hennepin County Medical Center (Minneapolis, MN), Barnes-Jewish Hospital (St. Louis, MO), Cleveland Clinic (Cleveland, OH), Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center (Columbus, OH); West: Stanford University Medical Center (Stanford, CA), UCLA Medical Center (Los Angeles, CA), UCHHealth University of Colorado Hospital (Aurora, CO), Oregon Health and Science University Hospital (Portland, OR), Intermountain Medical Center (Murray, UT), University of Washington (Seattle, WA).

<sup>b</sup>Racial and ethnic groups were reported by the patient or proxy.

<sup>c</sup>Northeast: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Midwest: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin; South: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia; West: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.


<sup>d</sup>Chronic medical conditions were obtained through medical chart review by trained personnel and classified by condition category specified in the table; a full list of conditions is included in [Supplementary Table 1](#).

months postvaccination. Moreover, among patients hospitalized with COVID-19, prior vaccination with Ad26.COV2.S, compared with being unvaccinated, was associated with a lower risk of severe outcomes including a reduced composite risk of IMV or death.

The overall VEs against hospitalized COVID-19 observed in this analysis (70%) was similar to the vaccine efficacy against severe–critical COVID-19 (75%) demonstrated in a phase III randomized-controlled trial (RCT) of the 1-dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine [5]. As severe outcomes are rare in clinical trials and adults with more severe underlying medical conditions are frequently under-represented in RCTs, results from this study and other post-marketing observational studies are

critical to understanding the real-world effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines. Importantly, among immunocompetent COVID-19 case-patients vaccination was associated with a lower risk (by 46%) of progressing to severe outcomes including death or IMV compared to unvaccinated patients. These results are largely consistent with a cohort study of US veterans that also found a substantial reduction in severe outcomes including death among Ad26.COV2.S vaccine recipients [7]. Although the VE estimates for Ad26.COV2.S are lower than those observed for mRNA COVID-19 vaccines [6, 11–14], the vaccine still provides substantial protection against severe COVID-19 with little waning for at least six months. Vaccines using Ad26-based vectors can be stored long-term






**Figure 1.** Vaccine effectiveness against susceptibility to COVID-19 hospitalization (VEs) for single dose Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) COVID-19 vaccine overall and by subgroups, IVY Network. Vaccine effectiveness models for immunocompetency, variant period, time since vaccination, and conditions were adjusted for admission date (in biweekly intervals), US Health and Human Services Region, self-reported race and Hispanic ethnicity, age (18–49, 50–64, and ≥65 years of age), and sex. The model for age group adjusted for age in years as a continuous variable. Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; VE, vaccine effectiveness.

either frozen or at 2–8°C, enabling product distribution through existing vaccine supply chains. These vaccines have also been shown to be stable inside of syringes and needles, and when subjected to agitation or temperature excursions [17]. Importantly, real-world effectiveness of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine could still vary across regions based on preexisting immunity to Ad26 and its effects on vaccine immunogenicity [17], and further evaluation will be necessary.

The Ad26.COV2.S vaccine showed stable VE over time including among those patients >180 days from vaccination (100% of which became ill during the period of Delta variant predominance), suggesting stability of VE over the period predating Omicron variant circulation and reinforcing that the protection for ≥6 months observed in clinical trials [5] generalizes to a real-world setting with a high prevalence of medically complex patients. In addition, VEs for the Ad26.COV2.S

vaccine was similar during the Delta-predominant period and Alpha-predominant periods, suggesting that protection was observed across 2 different SARS-CoV-2 variants [18, 19], although it may have been reduced against Omicron given the reduced effectiveness observed for mRNA vaccines [20]. Although a second dose of Ad26.COV2.S, or alternatively, an mRNA vaccine, ≥2 months from the first dose is now recommended for recipients of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine [17, 21], our results showed that a single dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine remained reasonably effective against the Delta variant over time from vaccination and across periods of different variant predominance. This minimal waning observed here reinforces preexisting evidence of durable humoral and cellular immune responses to ad26 vaccines, which use a non-replicating viral vector that enters the nucleus of cells to induce an immune response [22].



**Figure 2.** Vaccine effectiveness against progression to invasive mechanical ventilation or to death within 28 days (VEp) for single dose Ad26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson) COVID-19 Vaccine among adults hospitalized with COVID-19, IVY Network. Vaccine effectiveness models adjusted for age, sex, race, and Hispanic ethnicity, and number of underlying medical conditions (as 0, 1, 2, 3, or  $\geq 4$  categories of conditions). The model for age group adjusted for age in years as a continuous variable. Abbreviation: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

We also found that Ad26.COV2.S vaccination attenuated the likelihood of progression to severe disease among those who were hospitalized with COVID-19 despite vaccination. Although VEp against progression to high disease severity was substantial for immunocompetent persons, the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine appeared ineffective in preventing progression to high severity in immunocompromised patients.

Data are lacking on differences in disease attenuation between immunocompromised and immunocompetent populations. However, a growing body of literature suggests that immunocompromised patients, including transplant recipients and patients with cancer, have diminished humoral and cellular immune responses to mRNA and inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines [23–25]. Our results suggest that the immune response

to a single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine among immunocompromised patients may be insufficient to attenuate disease severity in patients who have been hospitalized with COVID-19.

Our findings must be considered in the context of several limitations. First, this analysis focused exclusively on protection from a single dose of the Ad26.COVS vaccine and did not evaluate multiple doses of the vaccine or the Ad26.COVS vaccine combined with mRNA vaccine doses. Additional doses of vaccine would likely increase vaccine effectiveness [17, 21]. Second, although we found overall lower VE for patients with immunocompromising conditions, this analysis was not powered to look at VE for specific immunocompromising conditions. Third and relatedly, it is possible that the types of immunocompromising conditions reported among patients included in this analysis who received the Ad26.COVS vaccine were different than those who received mRNA vaccines (ie, due to different vaccine indications for different conditions) and could have affected VEs and VE<sub>p</sub> for this subgroup. Fourth, while we did not assess for waning of VE over time since vaccination within periods of different variant predominance, no meaningful decline in VE was observed for either metric. Fifth, VE associated with newly emergent variants was not assessed. Sixth, VE was not assessed against SARS-CoV-2 infection or mild illness. Finally, although VE estimates were adjusted for relevant potential confounders, residual confounding is possible.

## CONCLUSIONS

A single dose Ad26.COVS vaccine provided substantial protection against severe COVID-19 throughout 2021 in this real-world observational study, consistent with vaccine efficacy reported in clinical trials of Ad26.COVS conducted in 2020. Although the observed vaccine effectiveness for Ad26.COVS was lower than that described for mRNA COVID-19 vaccines, a single dose of Ad26.COVS did result in strong protection against severe COVID-19 for at least 6 months in immunocompetent populations. The Ad26.COVS vaccine is an important alternative to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines for those who are unable to receive an mRNA vaccine.

## Supplementary Data

[Supplementary materials](#) are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

## Notes

**Disclaimer.** The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Financial support.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention funded this work through payments to each participating institution.

**Supplement sponsorship.** This article appears as part of the supplement “Vaccines, Variants, and Vigilance: Strengthening the COVID-19 Public Health Response Through Partnerships and Collaborations,” supported by the Infectious Diseases Society of America through Cooperative Agreement NU50CK000574 with the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** M. G.: CDC; Baylor Scott & White Health (BSWH) US Flu/COVID VE Network, HAIVEN, Synergy studies; CDC-Abt: BSWH FluVax Trial and RECOVER-PROTECT Cohort Studies; CDC-Westat: BSWH VISION COVID-Flu VE Study; Janssen: BSWH Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) Severity App Birth Cohort Study; Co-Chair of Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee—Texas Pediatric Society—Texas Chapter of American Academy of Pediatrics. A. G.: National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Defense; Faron Pharmaceuticals; AbbVie; D. Douin: NIH/National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) Institutional Training Grant. J. C.: NIH and Department of Defense grants unrelated to this work. T. A. M.: Panelist for Society of Hospital Medicine Updates in Heart Failure; Board member for Scott & White Clinic Physicians Board of Directors. K. G.: NECTAR Executive Committee member ACTIV4-HT; D. C. F.: Cytovale consulting fees; Medpace Data Safety Monitoring Board. D. N. H.: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) participant in the ACTIV4d-Host Tissue Trial; Chair DSMD—SAFE EVICT Trial of VIT C in COVID-19. M. N. G.: Grants from NHLBI and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); Consulting fees for Endpoint for scientific advisory panel; Honoraria—Medicine Grand Rounds from Westchester Medical Center; Support for attending ATS Board of Directors meeting; Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) for Regeneron study and REPLENISH trial. N. J. J.: IH grants paid to University of Washington Royalty Research Fund; Department of Defense grants; Medic One Foundation grants; WA Department of Health expert testimony payment; DSMB for Opticyle, Inc.; Chair, ACEP Critical Care Section. I. P.: NIH grants; Regeneron Pharmaceuticals grant; Intermountain Research & Medical Foundation grant; Asahi Kasei Pharma grant; Janssen Pharmaceuticals grant. S. B.: NIH grants and Department of Defense grants; DSMB for Hamilton ventilators and NYU. E. T. M.: Merck grant. A. S. M.: NIH grant. A. K.: NIH grants; United Therapeutics grants; Eli Lilly grants; Johnson & Johnson—BOA Medical—4DMedical research grants; rA. D.: Center PI for PETAL network through NHLBI grant; on steering committee for A Lung technologies. J. G. W.: NIH/NHLBI funding for ACTIV-3 and ACTIV-4 trials; American Board of Internal Medicine—member of Critical Care Exam Committee. S. Y. C.: Consulting fees for PureTech Health and Kiniska Pharmaceuticals; Honoraria for La Jolla. H. M. B.: CDC grant for HCP COVID vaccine effectiveness. J. H. K.: NIAID grant. M. C. E.: Abbott Labs honoraria for speaking on ASPEN on nutrition in critical illness from COVID. A. L.: grants from CDC, NIH, and Burroughs Wellcome Fund; consulting fees from Sanofi on antiviral drugs and for Roche on baloxavir trial steering committee. N. H.: grants from NIH, Quidel, and Sanofi; Honoraria for speaking at CME event at AAP. C. G. G.: grants from Campbell Alliance/Syneos Health, Sanofi, CDC, AHRQ, NIH, and FDA; consulting fees from Merck, Pfizer, and Sanofi-Pasteur. T. W. R.: grants from NIH, Department of Defense and AbbVie; consulting fees for Cumberland Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Cytovale, Inc.; DSMB for Sanofi, Inc.; Immediate Past President—ASPEN; Stock in Cumberland Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for consulting work. W. B. S.: NIH training grants. C. J. L.: grants from NIH, Department of Defense; CDC; bioMerieux; Entegron, Inc.; Endpoint Health; AbbVie; Patents for risk stratification in sepsis and septic shock issued to Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center; DSMBs for clinical trials for Study Principal Investigators; Association for Clinical and Translational Science (Executive Committee, Immediate Past President, and Board of Directors); stock options in Bioscape Digita. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

## References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID data tracker. Available at: <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/>. Accessed 28 September 2021.



2. Oliver SE, Gargano JW, Scobie H, et al. The advisory committee on immunization practices' interim recommendation for use of Janssen COVID-19 vaccine—United States, February 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:329–32.
3. Livingston EH, Malani PN, Creech CB. The Johnson & Johnson vaccine for COVID-19. *JAMA* **2021**; 325:1575.
4. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Shukarev G, et al. Interim results of a phase 1–2a trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* **2021**; 384:1824–35.
5. Sadoff J, Gray G, VandeBosch A, et al. Final analysis of efficacy and safety of single-dose Ad26.COV2.S. *N Engl J Med* **2022**; 386:847–60.
6. Self WH, Tenforde MW, Rhoads JP, et al. Comparative effectiveness of Moderna, Pfizer-BioNTech, and Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) vaccines in preventing COVID-19 hospitalizations among adults without immunocompromising conditions—United States, March–August 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:1337–43.
7. Cohn BA, Cirillo PM, Murphy CC, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccine protection and deaths among US veterans during 2021. *Science* **2022**; 375(6578):331–6.
8. Shay DK, Gee J, Su JR, et al. Safety monitoring of the Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) COVID-19 vaccine—United States, March–April 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:680–4.
9. MacNeil JR, Su JR, Broder KR, et al. Updated recommendations from the advisory committee on immunization practices for use of the Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) COVID-19 vaccine after reports of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome among vaccine recipients—United States April 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:651–6.
10. Oliver SE, Wallace M, See I, et al. Use of the Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) COVID-19 vaccine: updated interim recommendations from the advisory committee on immunization practices—United States December 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2022**; 71:90–5.
11. Tenforde MW, Patel MM, Ginde AA, et al. Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines for preventing Covid-19 hospitalizations in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* **2021**; 74:1515–24.
12. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Naioti EA, et al. Sustained effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines against COVID-19 associated hospitalizations among adults—United States, March July 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:1156–62.
13. Lewis NM, Naioti EA, Self WH, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA vaccines against COVID-19 hospitalization by age and chronic medical conditions burden among immunocompetent US adults, March–August 2021. *J Infect Dis* **2022**; 225: 1694–700.
14. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Adams K, et al. Association between mRNA vaccination and COVID-19 hospitalization and disease severity. *JAMA* **2021**; 326:2043–54.
15. Johnson & Johnson. Almost 100 million doses of Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine to be donated to lower income countries through COVAX. Available at: <https://www.jnj.com/almost-100-million-doses-of-johnson-johnson-covid-19-vaccine-to-be-donated-to-low-income-countries-through-covax>. Accessed 2 February 2022.
16. Halloran ME, Longini IM, Struchiner CJ. Overview of vaccine effects and study designs. In: Halloran ME, Longini IM, Struchiner CJ. Design and analysis of vaccine studies. Statistics for biology and health. London, UK: Springer; **2010**:19–45.
17. Moore JP. Approaches for optimal use of different COVID-19 vaccines: issues of viral variants and vaccine efficacy. *JAMA* **2021**; 325:1251–2.
18. Puranik A, Lenehan PJ, Silvert E, et al. Comparison of two highly effective mRNA vaccines for COVID-19 during periods of Alpha and Delta variant prevalence. *BioRxiv* 069187 [Preprint]. August 11, 2016 [cited 2017 Jan 12]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1101/069187>.
19. Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant. *N Engl J Med* **2021**; 385:585–94.
20. Accorsi EK, Britton A, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Association between 3 doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and symptomatic infection caused by the SARS-CoV-2 omicron and Delta variants. *JAMA* **2022**; 327:639–51.
21. Mbaeyi S, Oliver SE, Collins JP, et al. The advisory committee on immunization practices' interim recommendations for additional primary and booster doses of COVID-19 vaccines—United States, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2021**; 70:1545–52.
22. Custers J, Kim D, Leyssen M, et al. Vaccines based on replication incompetent Ad26 viral vectors: standardized template with key considerations for a risk/benefit assessment. *Vaccine* **2021**; 39:3081–101.
23. Bruminhent J, Setthaudom C, Chaumdee P, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral and cell-mediated immune responses after immunization with inactivated COVID-19 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients (CVIM 1 study). *Am J Transplant* **2022**; 22:813–22.
24. Stock PG, Henrich TJ, Segev DL, Werbel WA. Interpreting and addressing sub-optimal immune responses after COVID-19 vaccination in solid-organ transplant recipients. *J Clin Invest* **2021**; 131:e151178.
25. Lustig Y, Sapir E, Regev-Yochay G, et al. BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine and correlates of humoral immune responses and dynamics: a prospective, single-centre, longitudinal cohort study in health-care workers. *Lancet Resp Med* **2021**; 9: 999–1009.