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Dynamic Contact Mechanics of the Medial Meniscus as a Function of Radial Tear, Repair, and Partial Meniscectomy

By Asheesh Bedi, MD, Natalie H. Kelly, BS, Michael Baad, BS, Alice J.S. Fox, MS, Robert H. Brophy, MD, Russell F. Warren, MD, and Suzanne A. Maher, PhD

Investigation performed at The Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY

Background: The menisci are integral to normal knee function. The purpose of this study was to measure the contact pressures transmitted to the medial tibial plateau under physiological loads as a function of the percentage of the meniscus involved by the radial tear or repair. Our hypotheses were that (1) there is a threshold size of radial tears above which contact mechanics are adversely affected, and (2) partial meniscectomy results in increased contact pressure compared with that found after meniscal repair.

Methods: A knee simulator was used to apply physiological multidirectional dynamic gait loads across human cadaver knees. A sensor inserted below the medial meniscus recorded contact pressures in association with (1) an intact meniscus, (2) a radial tear involving 30% of the meniscal rim width, (3) a radial tear involving 60% of the width, (4) a radial tear involving 90% of the width, (5) an inside-out repair with horizontal mattress sutures, and (6) a partial meniscectomy. The effects of these different types of meniscal manipulation on the magnitude and location of the peak contact pressure were assessed at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle.

Results: The peak tibial contact pressure in the intact knees was 6 ± 0.5 MPa and 7.4 ± 0.6 MPa at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle, respectively. The magnitude and location of the peak contact pressure were not affected by radial tears involving up to 60% of the meniscal rim width. Radial tears involving 90% resulted in a posterocentral shift in peak-pressure location manifested by an increase in pressure in that quadrant of 1.3 ± 0.5 MPa at 14% of the gait cycle relative to the intact condition. Inside-out mattress suture repair of a 90% tear did not restore the location of the pressure peak to that of the intact knee. Partial meniscectomy led to a further increase in contact pressure in the posterocentral quadrant of 1.4 ± 0.7 MPa at 14% of the gait cycle.

Conclusions: Large radial tears of the medial meniscus are not functionally equivalent to meniscectomies; the residual meniscus continues to provide some load transmission and distribution functions across the joint.

Clinical Relevance: The results of this study support meniscal preservation and repair of medial radial tears.

The menisci are integral to normal knee joint function and play an important role in joint lubrication, load distribution, joint stability, and proprioception. The wedge-shaped menisci increase the congruity between the convex femur and the relatively flat tibia and thereby protect the articular cartilage from excessive axial loads. Radial meniscal tears are not uncommon in young patients, and they often occur at the junction of the body and the posterior horn of the medial meniscus. The treatment of radial meniscal tears is directed at the prevention of future degenerative disease through meniscal preservation whenever feasible. Historically, radial tears extending to the periphery have been believed to result in the reduction of meniscal hoop strength and have been described as functionally equivalent to a total meniscectomy. For this reason, partial meniscectomy—the removal of the damaged, unstable portion of the meniscus—has been the mainstay of surgical treatment. Although the classic teaching has been that only peripheral and longitudinal tear patterns will heal after...
reduction and repair, it is now clear that other tear configurations may heal if given the opportunity by adequate preparation of the meniscal rim, careful suturing, and biological augmentation of healing. It is unclear if there is a "critical size" of radial tears of the medial meniscus that precipitates biomechanically deleterious effects in the ipsilateral compartment. Such information would help to identify those tears that merit a suture repair.

Experimental models offer the opportunity to assess the effect of radial tears and repair on the contact mechanics of the knee. Indeed, degenerative changes associated with meniscectomies have been linked to increased joint contact stress (hereafter referred to as contact pressure) in the adjacent articular cartilage as measured in vitro. To date, however, experimental models have been primarily conducted under static or quasi-static uniaxial loading conditions. Given the sensitivity of joint contact pressures to the magnitude and direction of an applied load, these models do not accurately capture the physiological effect of meniscal repair or meniscectomy on knee contact mechanics. This challenges our ability to evaluate the potential effect of meniscal tears and repair techniques on joint contact mechanics.

The purpose of this study was to measure the contact pressures transmitted to the medial tibial plateau under dynamic physiological loads as a function of the percentage of the meniscus involved by the radial tear or repair. Our hypotheses were that (1) there is a threshold size of radial tears above which contact mechanics are adversely affected, and (2) partial meniscectomy results in increased mean and peak contact pressures compared with those found after meniscal repair. To test these hypotheses, the pressure magnitude, location, and profile, as distributed across the tibial plateau of human cadaver knees, were quantitatively assessed during simulated gait.

Materials and Methods

Approval for use of cadaver specimens was granted by our institutional review board. A four-station load-controlled Stanmore KC Knee Joint Simulator (University College London, Middlesex, United Kingdom) traditionally used to measure wear of polyethylene total knee replacement components was utilized for this experiment. The apparatus is designed so that the femoral component rotates about a fixed, predefined flexion/extension axis, while the tibia can move in six degrees of freedom, which has been shown to allow physiological movement of total joint replacement components and of cadaver knees under gait loading conditions. The simulator can be programmed to simultaneously and dynamically control the axial force, anterior force, posterior force, and rotational moment (internal/external torque), as a function of the applied flexion-extension angle profile, applied to a specimen.

The number of specimens was determined by performing a power analysis of the peak contact pressure on the tibial plateau of four intact knees. We powered the study to detect a 25% increase in peak contact pressure in the presence of a meniscal radial tear, with a power of 80% and an alpha of 0.05. The analysis revealed that a sample size of eight was required to demonstrate significance. Therefore, eight fresh-frozen cadaver knees devoid of any ligamentous or meniscal injury were obtained from female donors (mean age, 61.25 years; range, fifty-seven to sixty-eight years). Skin, subcutaneous fat, muscle, and the patella were removed, with care taken to preserve the cruciate ligaments, collateral ligaments, and capsule. The femur and tibia were then transected approximately 10 cm above and below the joint line, respectively. Under fluoroscopy, a 2.5-mm Kirschner wire was drilled along the epicondylar axis parallel to the joint line. Anteroposterior
and lateral fluoroscopic images were obtained to confirm the accuracy of pin placement. With the knee suspended by means of the transepicondylar wire along the axis of rotation of the simulator, the tibia was centered in the base of the simulator and aligned such that the plateau was parallel to the ground in full extension. (It should be noted that the ability to reproducibly
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**Fig. 2**
Simulator inputs as a function of the percentage of the gait cycle over one loading cycle. The top graph is the axial force loading profile, with identification of the two most prominent peaks in stance, which occur at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle. The bottom three graphs show the input variables of flexion angle (in degrees), rotation torque (in newton-meters), and anterior/posterior (A/P) force (in newtons) provided to the simulator to reproduce normal gait kinematics of the knee.

**Fig. 3**
Representative images illustrating the sequential surgical manipulations of the meniscus. The sensor was attached with use of tabs to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and to the posteroinferior aspect of the capsule. Note that the femur was removed for illustrative purposes only. RT = radial tear.
identify and align the epicondylar axis of each femur was crucial for ensuring physiological motions of the knee joint.) The tibia-fibula complex and the femur were potted into fixtures with polymethylmethacrylate bone cement (Fig. 1, left).

After the cement was cured, the knee was removed from the loading station and an osteotomy at the site of the insertion of the medial collateral ligament on the medial femoral condyle was performed to reproducibly provide repeated access into

Peak contact pressure (Fig. 4-A) and contact area (Fig. 4-B) across the entire sensor under each condition at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle. The bars and I bars represent the mean and standard deviation. *p < 0.05. RT = radial tear.
dicated that the osteotomy led to a change in peak contact pressure of approximately 5%; this observation is similar to that reported by Lee et al.28 and by Martens et al.40, who found that such an osteotomy had little effect on measured joint contact pressure.

The pressures transmitted to the tibial plateau were measured with use of an array of piezoelectric pressure-sensing elements contained within a thin sealed sheet of plastic (4010N; Tekscan, South Boston, Massachusetts)41,42. Each sensor was placed between two layers of Tegaderm adhesive dressing (3M, Minneapolis, Minnesota) to avoid any fluid seepage into the sensor. Plastic augment tabs were fixed along the edges of the sensor to allow suture fixation. Each sensor was conditioned, equilibrated, and calibrated according to the manufacturer’s instructions43. One-centimeter incisions were made in the meniscectibial (coronary) ligaments anteriorly and posteriorly in line with their fibers, allowing the sensor to be passed beneath the medial meniscus and flush with the tibial plateau without detaching the meniscectibial ligaments, meniscofemoral ligaments, or their capsular attachments. The sensor was secured with multiple figure-of-eight 3-0 Ethibond sutures (Ethicon, Somerville, New Jersey) placed through the tibial insertion of the anterior cruciate ligament and the posteroinferior aspect of the knee capsule. Sensor security was tested manually and with precycling on the load-controlled simulator to ensure that there was no shift in its position.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>Mean Contact Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>14% of Gait Cycle</th>
<th>45% of Gait Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% radial tear</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% radial tear</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% radial tear</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside-out horizontal mattress repair</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial meniscectomy</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.14†</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.28†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the mean and standard deviation. †The mean contact pressure after the partial meniscectomy was significantly higher than the values under all other test conditions at both 14% and 45% of the gait cycle (p < 0.01).
within or between test conditions. The pressure sensor was programmed to record data at 9.5 Hz, which was the maximum frequency allowed by the system.

The inputs to the simulator (axial force, flexion-extension, rotational torque, and anterior/posterior force profile) were based on the guidelines of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO number 14243-1), which documents the forces required to simulate gait on the basis of data extracted from telemetry findings for patients with total knee replacement. The two most pronounced peaks in axial force during the stance phase of gait—peak 1, which occurred at 14% of the gait cycle and at 15° of knee flexion, and peak 2,
which occurred at 45% of the gait cycle and at 8° of knee flexion—were identified as targets for evaluation of joint contact pressures (Fig. 2). Precompressed anteroposterior springs (spring constant = 14.5 N/mm²) used to mimic soft-tissue constraints during wear testing were left intact. The simulator was programmed to apply twenty gait cycles at a frequency of 0.5 Hz.

Each of the following test conditions was modeled (Fig. 3): (1) the intact meniscus, (2) a radial tear involving 30% of the meniscal rim width, (3) a radial tear involving 60% of the width, (4) a radial tear involving 90% of the width, (5) an inside-out repair with horizontal mattress sutures, and (6) a partial meniscectomy. The radial tears were consistently created at the junction between the body and the posterior horn with use of a number-15 blade. The radial width of each meniscus was measured at this location with use of a digital caliper device with the meniscocapsular junction defining its peripheral border. The 30%, 60%, and 90% tear widths were premarked with a surgical pen to provide guidelines for the transection distance for each test condition. The partial meniscectomy consisted of minimal adjacent resection to the width of the 90% radial tear with use of a number-15 blade and an arthroscopic resection biter device (Arthrex, Naples, Florida) with smooth contouring of the adjacent edges. Knee specimens were moistened with saline solution for the duration of testing to prevent tissue desiccation.

**Data Analysis**

The data set representing the pressure at each sensing element across the sensor from each test was output to Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington) for analysis. The pressures at all sensing elements were averaged both at 14% and at 45% of the gait cycle for each condition across all eight knees. These data were used to produce colorimetric plots to act as a visual guide for the change in pressure profile as a function of meniscal status. The data were then analyzed to extract peak contact pressure, contact area, and mean contact pressure across each sensor at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle. The values for the last eight gait cycles for each test were averaged. The sensor was then virtually divided into quadrants—anteroperipheral, anterocentral, posteroperipheral, and posterocentral. This analysis allowed identification of quadrant-specific changes in the location and magnitude of pressure as a function of meniscal manipulation.

Statistical analysis was performed with use of SAS 9.1.3 for Windows (Cary, North Carolina). A generalized estimating equations method with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons was used to evaluate differences between multiple test conditions and quadrants. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

**Source of Funding**

Funding was received from the Widgeon Point Foundation, the Leo Rosner Foundation, and the Russell F. Warren Chair. These sources did not play a role in the investigation.

**Results**

**Changes in Contact Pressure and Area Across the Entire Sensor**

The peak tibial contact pressure in the intact knees was 6 ± 0.5 MPa and 7.4 ± 0.6 MPa at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle, respectively. Across the entire medial tibial plateau, the peak contact pressure after the partial meniscectomy was significantly higher than the values under all other test conditions (p < 0.015) at 14% of the gait cycle, but not at 45% of the gait cycle. No significant difference in peak contact pressure was found among the intact meniscus, radial tears involving up to 90% of the rim width, and the inside-out meniscal repair conditions (p ≥ 0.095) (Fig. 4-A).

A significant reduction in the contact area across the entire sensor was noted between the partial meniscectomy and all other test conditions (p ≤ 0.0004) at both loading peaks. No significant difference was noted among the intact meniscus, radial tears involving up to 90% of the rim width, or inside-out meniscal repair conditions (p ≥ 0.17) (Fig. 4-B). Across the entire medial plateau, the mean contact pressure after the partial meniscectomy was significantly higher than the values under all other test conditions at both loading peaks (p ≤ 0.0087) (Table I).
Quadrant-Specific Changes in the Location and Distribution of Contact Pressure

Visual representation of the averaged pressure profile across the eight knees illustrated the effect of the phase of gait on the change of pressure distribution as a function of meniscal manipulation (Fig. 5). At 14% of the gait cycle, for example, the posterior portion of the plateau had higher peak contact pressures than the anterior portion under all meniscal conditions. At 45% of the gait cycle, however, the central aspect of the plateau demonstrated higher pressures than the peripheral quadrants under all conditions except partial meniscectomy.

Quadrant analysis at 14% and 45% of the gait cycle confirmed these findings (Figs. 6-A and 6-B). At 14% of the gait cycle, a 90% tear was associated with a significant increase of 1.3 ± 0.5 MPa in peak contact pressure in the posterocentral quadrant as compared with the values associated with the intact condition. Partial meniscectomy led to a further increase in contact pressure in that quadrant of 1.4 ± 0.7 MPa. No significant differences in peak pressure magnitude in any quadrant were found among the intact, 30% radial tear, and 60% radial tear conditions at 14% of the gait cycle (p > 0.29). No significant differences in peak pressure magnitude in any quadrant were found among the six meniscal conditions at 45% of the gait cycle (p > 0.1). Partial meniscectomy was associated with a significant reduction in the mean contact area in each quadrant, as compared with the values under all other test conditions (p < 0.05), at both peaks. The total contact area was highest in the posterocentral quadrant under all meniscal conditions at 14% of the gait cycle (p ≤ 0.0002) (Table II).

At 14% of the gait cycle, in both posterior quadrants, the mean contact pressure after partial meniscectomy was significantly higher than the values under all other test conditions (p ≤ 0.01). At 45% of the gait cycle, there were no significant differences in the mean contact pressure in any quadrant under any test condition (p > 0.05) (Table III).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to measure the contact pressures transmitted to the medial tibial plateau under dynamic physiological loads as a function of the percentage of the meniscal rim involved by a radial tear and repair. Our hypotheses were that (1) there is a threshold size of radial tears above which contact mechanics are adversely affected, and (2) partial meniscectomy results in increased mean and peak contact pressures compared with those found after meniscal repair. Using a novel, dynamic, in vitro cadaver model, we demonstrated that large radial tears of the medial meniscus extending to 90% of the rim width do not significantly increase the magnitude of mean or peak contact pressures compared with those in the intact meniscus under gait loads. Furthermore, tears of 30% and 60% of the radial width of the meniscus cause no change in the location of the peak pressure. Tears involving 90% of the width of the meniscus caused a posterocentral shift in the location of the peak contact pressure, leading us to accept our first hypothesis. Inside-out mattress suture repair of a 90% tear did not adversely affect contact mechanics but did not restore the location of the pressure peak to that of the intact knee. Partial meniscectomy led to an increase in both mean and peak contact pressures and a further posterior shift in pressure location relative to the values under the 90% torn and repaired conditions, leading us to accept our second hypothesis. These results suggest that large radial tears of the medial meniscus are not equivalent to functional meniscectomies and that, despite an inability to effectively restore hoop stresses, the residual meniscus continues to play a protective role in load transmission across the joint. This finding is important and supports the goal of meniscal preservation and repair of radial tears.

Radial tears of the meniscus are not uncommon in young patients, and an understanding of their effect on contact mechanics is important to help define an appropriate surgical intervention and minimize long-term complications. The body-posterior horn junction of the medial meniscus is a common location for radial tears, accounting for an estimated 28% of all meniscal tears, particularly in the setting of anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. The paucity of evidence-based guidelines for the management of radial tears of the medial meniscus is due in part to the absence of a robust model in which dynamic pressures on the articular surfaces of the joint can be measured as a function of meniscal manipulation. Theoretically, radial tears extending to the periphery result in
loss of hoop tension and have been described as functionally equivalent to a total meniscectomy\(^3\). For this reason, partial meniscectomy has historically been the mainstay of surgical treatment for normal meniscal tears. Despite the benefits of short-term pain relief, partial meniscectomy has been associated with a substantially increased incidence of progressive degenerative changes\(^3\)\(^6\)\(^7\). This finding has emphasized the importance of meniscal preservation whenever feasible. More recently, studies have demonstrated that radial meniscal tears can heal if the meniscal rim is adequately prepared, if the tear edges are tightly sutured together, and if the healing site is augmented with biologically active moieties\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^17\). However, it is unclear if such methods can restore the contact mechanics of the injured meniscus to those of its intact state.

Knee joint loads during daily activities such as walking and stair-climbing are multidirectional and dynamic in nature. During gait, loading includes anterior/posterior and axial forces along with internal/external torques and flexion/extension moments. The contact pressures transmitted across the knee joint are sensitive to the direction and magnitude of loading\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^35\), suggesting that a dynamic test into which physiological joint loads can be programmed is needed to accurately measure joint contact pressures as a function of meniscal manipulation. The model used for this study was based on technology developed to evaluate the wear performance of total knee replacements—i.e., the Stanmore KC Knee Joint Simulator\(^\text{a}\). Unlike static or quasi-static models that provide data at discrete points in the gait cycle, the simulator allows knees to be dynamically and continuously loaded under conditions that simulate gait\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^3\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^4\(^5\). It is a force-controlled apparatus than can be programmed to simultaneously and dynamically control the axial force, anterior force, posterior force, and rotational moment (internal/external torque) as a function of the applied flexion/extension angle profile. Previously used to explore the pressure distribution across ovine knees\(^3\(^6\), and demonstrated to reproduce physiological knee kinematics during gait\(^3\(^7\), the apparatus was further modified for the purposes of this study to accept and load human cadaver knees. Modifications included the development of a method to reproducibly align the epicondylar axis of the femur with the axis of rotation of the machine and the development of new fixtures to keep the joint rigidly fixed throughout testing.

The magnitudes of contact pressure and contact areas in the intact and partly meniscectomized knees in our study are within the ranges measured in static models\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^3\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\(^3\). However, to recognize the dynamic nature of the test, contact pressure magnitude and distribution in our study was assessed at two points in the stance phase of gait (at 14\(^%\) and 45\(^%\) of the gait cycle, which correspond to 15\(^°\) of flexion and 8\(^°\) of flexion, with axial loads of 2280 and 2130 N, respectively). At 14\(^%\) of the gait cycle, the peak pressures were lower and delivered more peripherally in the intact meniscus. With progressively larger tears and after partial meniscectomy, the peak pressure was delivered more centrally on the plateau. At 45\(^%\) of the gait cycle, however, the pressure peaks were distributed centrally on the plateau in the intact condition. With progressively larger tears and after partial meniscectomy, these pressure peaks increased in magnitude and moved posteriorly on the medial tibial plateau. The observed differences in the magnitude, location, and position of peak contact pressure as a function of meniscal manipulation between the two stages in the gait cycle emphasize the importance of assessing contact pressures over a range of load magnitudes and directions.

Meniscal radial tears of up to 60\(^%\) had no effect on the magnitude or location of peak contact pressure across the tibial plateau, while 90\(^%\) tears led to a slight increase in peak pressure magnitude and a posterior shift in the location of the force transmission. These results are in concordance with those in the study by Jones et al., who performed in vitro measurements of circumferential strains in the medial menisci of cadaver knees\(^\text{b}\). Those authors placed strain gauges in the anterior, middle, and posterior sections of the medial meniscus and applied loads of three times body weight at 0\(^°\) and 30\(^°\) of flexion. Interestingly, a reduction in strains was detected an-

### TABLE III Mean Contact Pressure in Each of the Four Quadrants for Each Test Condition at 14\(^%\) and 45\(^%\) of the Gait Cycle*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>Anterophysical</th>
<th>Anterocentral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14(^%) of Gait Cycle</td>
<td>45(^%) of Gait Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30(^%) radial tear</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60(^%) radial tear</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90(^%) radial tear</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside-out horizontal mattress repair</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial meniscectomy</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the mean and standard deviation. †At 14\(^%\) of the gait cycle, the mean contact pressures in both of the posterior quadrants were significantly higher after partial meniscectomy than under any other test condition (p < 0.05). In contrast, there were no significant differences in the mean contact pressure in any quadrant under any test condition at 45\(^%\) of the gait cycle (p > 0.05).
The shift in location of force transmission in our study was not corrected by the inside-out mattress repair of the radial tear and was magnified by the partial meniscectomy. The effect of this shift in location of pressure is unclear, but recent work by Andriacchi and Mundermann\(^{10}\) and Li et al.\(^{11}\) suggested that articular injury may be associated with subtle shifts in load from conditioned to unconditioned zones of articular cartilage rather than with large differences in the absolute magnitude of transmitted loads. The shift in contact location that we observed may also result in higher stress within the cartilage as regions of thin cartilage in the posteroperipheral aspect of the tibial plateau\(^{11}\) are subjected to increased loads.

Our study is not without limitations. While it was performed on the medial meniscus, radial tears of the lateral meniscus are also common. It is possible that the adverse effects of partial meniscectomy on contact mechanics in the medial compartment found in this study may be amplified in the less-congruent lateral compartment. Ongoing studies are being completed to define the influence of lateral meniscal lesions on knee contact mechanics. In addition, only physiological loads during gait were evaluated in our study. It is possible that stair-climbing, deep flexion, and the knee with other daily activities may apply loads that result in different contact-pressure profiles on the medial plateau under each of the tested meniscal conditions. Finally, this study represents the immediate post-injury, post-treatment characteristics of the meniscus and does not simulate the biological reparative response of the tissue with time.

The clinical application of the findings in this study must be approached with some caution. Our results suggest that partial meniscectomy for the treatment of radial tears of the medial meniscus can have a detrimental effect on the contact mechanics of the knee and that mattress suture repair or even benign neglect of the lesion may be biomechanically favorable alternatives. Given that surgical decision-making is influenced by multiple factors, including symptoms, age, activity level, patient expectations, and concomitant injuries, painful symptomatic tears in patients who seek surgical intervention may necessitate surgical intervention. However, our results emphasize the importance of meniscal preservation and remind surgeons to be cognizant of the adverse contact mechanics associated with partial meniscectomy. Our data suggest that, whenever possible, minimal resection with meniscal preservation should be used in the setting of asymptomatic radial tears incidentally identified on arthroscopy.

\[\text{Note: The authors thank the Sports Medicine Fund at The Hospital for Special Surgery for providing financial support, Dr. Timothy Wright for guiding the development of the model, and William Bacone and Michael Robinson for their early contributions.}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Pressure (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteroperipheral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14% of Gait Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.93 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.84 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.71 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.53 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.84 ± 0.47(^{+})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteroentral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14% of Gait Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.67 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.74 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.78 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.27 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15 ± 0.35(^{+})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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