

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

1-1-2022

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine breakthrough infections among healthcare personnel, December 2020-April 2021

Katelin B Nickel

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Victoria J Fraser

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Hilary M Babcock

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Jennie H Kwon

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

CDC Prevention Epicenters Program

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation





Nickel, Katelin B; Fraser, Victoria J; Babcock, Hilary M; Kwon, Jennie H; and CDC Prevention Epicenters Program, "Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine breakthrough infections among healthcare personnel, December 2020-April 2021." *Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology*. 2, 1. e169 (2022).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/1004

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Concise Communication

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine breakthrough infections among healthcare personnel, December 2020–April 2021

Katelin B. Nickel MPH , Victoria J. Fraser MD , Hilary M. Babcock MD, MPH , Jennie H. Kwon DO, MSCI  and for the CDC Prevention Epicenters Program

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine effectiveness in the early months of vaccine availability was high among healthcare personnel (HCP) at 88.3% for 2-doses. Among those testing positive for severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), those with breakthrough infection after vaccination were more likely to have had a non-work-related SARS-CoV-2 exposure compared to unvaccinated HCP.

(Received 2 August 2022; accepted 17 August 2022)

Healthcare personnel (HCP) are at risk for severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) exposure in work and home settings.^{1,2} In this study, we sought to determine coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine effectiveness. We also describe differences among those positive for SARS-CoV-2 by vaccination status among employees of a large academic medical center and affiliated multihospital healthcare system using real-world operational data.

Methods

We utilized records from the Washington University School of Medicine (WU) and BJC HealthCare System (BJC) COVID-19 Occupational Health Call Center from December 28, 2020, to April 29, 2021, for employees aged ≥ 18 years who called due to SARS-CoV-2 exposure and/or COVID-19 symptoms. WU and BJC employ >40,000 people. The study start date was chosen as December 28, 2020, which was 14 days after COVID-19 vaccine became available at our institution. This study was approved by the WU Human Research Protection Office with a waiver of informed consent.

Information collected during the calls included demographics, employment details, SARS-CoV-2 exposure information, SARS-CoV-2 testing history, symptoms, and COVID-19 vaccine information. For calls resulting in a nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test, results were linked to the

associated call. For this analysis, we grouped repeat calls from an employee within 3 days as a single episode.

COVID-19 vaccine information was self-reported; we categorized employees into 3 mutually exclusive groups. Employees vaccinated with 2 doses received 2 appropriately spaced doses (ie, ≥ 17 days apart) of COVID-19 vaccine ≥ 14 days before the call date. Employees vaccinated with 1 dose had received 1 COVID-19 vaccine dose ≥ 14 days before the call date and had not yet received a second dose by the date of the call (or had received a second dose 0–13 days before the call date). Unvaccinated employees had not received any doses of a COVID-19 vaccine before the call date.

We restricted our study to calls with an associated SARS-CoV-2 PCR test ordered and results obtained ≤ 7 days after the call. We excluded calls with inconsistent reported timing including (1) calls during which an employee reported a SARS-CoV-2 exposure after the call date; (2) calls in which an employee reported symptom onset as >14 days before or >7 days after the call date; and (3) calls in which an employee reported receiving a vaccine before December 14, 2020, because we could not determine whether the COVID-19 vaccine was through a clinical trial or an incorrect date. We also excluded calls from those who reported being vaccinated 0–13 days before the call date so that the unvaccinated group for comparison had not received any vaccine. Finally, we excluded calls from employees who received 2 doses <17 days apart because this deviated from the recommended administration schedule.

Vaccine effectiveness estimates were calculated with the use of relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals from generalized estimating equation (GEE) models to account for multiple calls for some employees. Vaccine effectiveness comparing those who received 1 and 2 doses to unvaccinated individuals, respectively, was calculated as $(1 - RR) \times 100\%$.

We used *P* values generated from GEE models clustered by employee to examine the association between demographics, employee details, SARS-CoV-2 exposure history, and symptoms

Author for correspondence: Katelin B. Nickel, MPH, Washington University School of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine 4253 Clayton Ave, Box 8051, St. Louis, MO 63110. E-mail: Katelin.nickel@wustl.edu.

Cite this article: Nickel KB, et al. (2022). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine breakthrough infections among healthcare personnel, December 2020–April 2021. *Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology*, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2022.299>

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that no alterations are made and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use and/or adaptation of the article.

Table 1. Vaccine Effectiveness Against SARS-CoV-2 PCR Test Positivity Among Healthcare System and Medical School Employees Calling the Occupational Health Call Center between December 28, 2020, and April 29, 2021

COVID-19 Vaccine Dose ^a	SARS-CoV-2 Positive Among Those Vaccinated, No. (%)	SARS-CoV-2 Positive Among Those Unvaccinated, No. (%)	Vaccine Effectiveness, % (95% CI)
Dose 1	46 (6.0)	590 (22.6)	73.7 (65.0–80.2)
Dose 2	23 (2.7)	590 (22.6)	88.3 (82.4–92.2)

Note. CI, confidence interval.

^aComparison group is calls to the COVID-19 Occupational Health Call Center among employees who had received zero doses of a COVID-19 vaccine as of the call date.

by positive versus negative SARS-CoV-2 test results. Among those testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, we compared demographics, employee details, SARS-CoV-2 exposure history, and symptoms by vaccination status using the χ^2 and Fisher exact tests (cf, there

was no clustering by employee). All analyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

In total, 7,736 calls were placed to the COVID-19 call center between December 28, 2020, and April 29, 2021; 4,239 calls were included in the final study cohort after exclusions (Supplementary Material 1). Epidemiologic curves of employees testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 by vaccination status and COVID-19 cases in the St. Louis area are provided in Supplementary Material 2 and 3.

Overall, 82% of calls were from female employees, and the median age was 35 years (interquartile range, 28–46). Overall, the 659 employees who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 were more likely to have had a non-work-related SARS-CoV-2 exposure (39.4% vs 21.1%; $P < .01$) and were more likely to live with a person with COVID-19 (31.1% vs 14.1%; $P < .01$) than the 3,580 employees who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2.

Among employees who called the call center with COVID-19 symptoms or an exposure, the proportion of unvaccinated

Table 2. Comparison by Vaccination Status Among Callers to the Employee Health Call Center Who Tested Positive for SARS-CoV-2 between December 28, 2020, and April 29, 2021

Variable	COVID-19 Vaccination Status			P Value, 2 Doses Versus Unvaccinated	P Value, 1 Dose Versus Unvaccinated	P Value, 2 Dose Versus 1 Dose
	2 Doses, No. (%)	1 Dose, No. (%)	Unvaccinated, No. (%)			
Total No.	23	46	590			
Employee demographics						
Age 18–35 y	8 (34.8)	15 (32.6)	328 (55.6)	.14	<.01	.29
Age 36–49 y	9 (39.1)	11 (23.9)	155 (26.3)			
Age ≥50 y	6 (26.1)	20 (43.5)	107 (18.1)			
Male	4 (17.4)	8 (17.4)	126 (21.4)	.65	.53	1
Potential SARS-CoV-2 exposure						
Had a known, non-work-related SARS-CoV-2 exposure (n=653)	14 (60.9)	25 (54.3)	218 (37.3)	.02	.02	.61
Live with or ongoing contact with person with known or suspected COVID-19 (n=655)	13 (56.5)	24 (53.3)	167 (28.4)	<.001	<.01	.80
On-site work versus remote work (n=655)	22 (95.7)	42 (91.3)	528 (90.1)	.38	.79	.51
Healthcare provider job role (n=647)	18 (78.3)	30 (65.2)	343 (59.3)	.07	.43	.27
COVID-19 symptoms^a						
Cough	11 (47.8)	27 (58.7)	298 (50.5)	.80	.28	.39
Muscle aches	6 (26.1)	12 (26.1)	203 (34.4)	.41	.25	1
Sore throat	8 (34.8)	12 (26.1)	181 (30.7)	.68	.51	.45
Fever	2 (8.7)	2 (4.3)	143 (24.2)	.09	<.01	.47
Loss of smell	5 (21.7)	11 (23.9)	118 (20.0)	.84	.53	.84
Loss of taste	5 (21.7)	9 (19.6)	97 (16.4)	.50	.58	.83
Joint aches	1 (4.3)	7 (15.2)	77 (13.1)	.22	.68	.18
New diarrhea	1 (4.3)	2 (4.3)	44 (7.5)	.57	.43	1
Trouble breathing	1 (4.3)	3 (6.5)	31 (5.3)	.85	.71	.72
Worse diarrhea	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.3)	.78	.69	
Other	17 (73.9)	33 (71.7)	474 (80.3)	.45	.16	.85

Note. Bold indicates statistical significance.

^aCOVID-19 symptoms reported from discrete questions in the occupational-health call-center script. A large proportion of employees reported “other” symptoms as noted in a free-text section.

employees who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 was 22.6% compared to 6.0% among employees who had received 1 COVID-19 vaccine dose and 2.7% among employees who had received 2 COVID-19 vaccine doses (Table 1). Thus, the COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness rates were 73.7% (95% confidence interval [CI], 65.0%–80.2%) for 1 dose of vaccine and 88.3% (95% CI, 82.4%–92.2%) for 2 doses of a COVID-19 vaccine.

Among employees who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, we detected some differences in demographics, exposure, and symptoms by COVID-19 vaccination status (Table 2). Employees who had received either 1 or 2 doses of COVID-19 vaccine who had a COVID-19 breakthrough infection were more likely to have had a non-work-related SARS-CoV-2 exposure and were more likely to live with a person with COVID-19 than unvaccinated employees who tested positive. Employees who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 after receiving 1 vaccine dose were older than unvaccinated employees who tested positive. Employees who received 1 vaccine dose and contracted a breakthrough infection were less likely to report fever at the time of the call compared to unvaccinated employees who tested positive.

Discussion

Using real-world operational data from employees of an academic medical center and affiliated healthcare system, we identified only a small number of COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections and we found high vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection following 1 dose or 2 doses of COVID-19 vaccine. This finding supports other findings of a small proportion of COVID-19 cases being vaccine breakthrough infections in early 2021.³ This finding also supports vaccine clinical trial data^{4,5} and observational studies of vaccination effectiveness among healthcare workers during the same period as our study.^{6,7} We also found that those with a breakthrough infection were more likely to have a non-work-related SARS-CoV-2 exposure and were more likely to be living with someone with COVID-19 than those testing positive who were unvaccinated. These findings suggest that exposure in household settings contributed to most breakthrough infections.

Our study had several limitations. Although we found high vaccine effectiveness in our study period when the original SARS-CoV-2 strain was circulating,⁸ vaccine effectiveness has been shown to vary with subsequent SARS-CoV-2 variants⁹ and to decline over time.¹⁰ Our data source was call center data, which may have included inaccuracies or inconsistencies in responses. Vaccination status was self-reported and was not verified. The call center collected vaccination dates and whether the employee was vaccinated through work or another entity, but not vaccine manufacturer, so it is possible that some employees received a vaccine requiring only 1 dose. However, 95% of employees reported being vaccinated by his or her employer, and the healthcare system was only offering mRNA vaccines (ie, that required 2 doses) during the study period; thus, we examined 2-dose vaccine effectiveness. Our

data provide additional important, HCP-focused evidence to guide future COVID-19 vaccination policies in healthcare settings.

The small number of COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections highlights the importance of vaccination to protect HCP and prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings. These data can be utilized to guide COVID-19 vaccination policies in healthcare settings.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2022.299>.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the call center staff for their hard work in serving as a critical employee resource during the pandemic.

Financial support. This study was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Prevention Epicenters Program (grant nos. U54CK00482 to V.J.F. and BAA 75D301-20-R-68024 contract no. 75D30121C10185 to J.H.K.). J.H.K. is also supported by the NIH National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant no. 1K23AI137321-01A1).

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest related to this article.

References

- Hughes MM, Groenewold MR, Lessem SE, *et al.* Update: characteristics of healthcare personnel with COVID-19—United States, February 12–July 16, 2020. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69:1364–1368.
- Jacob JT, Baker JM, Fridkin SK, *et al.* Risk factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity among US healthcare personnel. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e211283.
- COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections reported to CDC—United States, January 1–April 30, 2021. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70:792–793.
- Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2603–2615.
- Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:403–416.
- Thompson MG, Burgess JL, Naleway AL, *et al.* Interim estimates of vaccine effectiveness of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccines in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare personnel, first responders, and other essential and frontline workers—eight US locations, December 2020–March 2021. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70:495–500.
- Pilishvili T, Gierke R, Fleming-Dutra KE, *et al.* Effectiveness of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine among US healthcare personnel. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:e90.
- The Sewershed Surveillance Project COVID-19 tracking tool. Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services Environmental Public Health Tracking website. <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/f7f5492486114da6b5d6fdc07f81aacf>. Accessed January 31, 2022.
- Fowlkes A, Gaglani M, Groover K, Thiese MS, Tyner H, Ellingson K. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection among frontline workers before and during B.1.617.2 (delta) variant predominance—eight US locations, December 2020–August 2021. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70:1167–1169.
- Tartof SY, Slezak JM, Fischer H, *et al.* Effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine up to 6 months in a large integrated health system in the USA: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2021;398:1407–1416.