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N eurofibromatosis is one of the most common genetic disorders, with type-1 neurofibromatosis having a global prevalence of one in 3000 individuals. Inherited in an autosomal dominant manner, type-1 neurofibromatosis may be known best for its cutaneous manifestations. Café au lait spots and peripheral neurofibromas arise as a result of unchecked proliferation of neural crest-derived melanocytes and Schwann cells, respectively. These superficial lesions are generally benign and are often considered to be purely a cosmetic issue. In contrast, the osteopathological manifestations of type-1 neurofibromatosis are of far greater clinical concern. Spinal deformity, particularly kyphoscoliosis of the thoracic spine, is the most common abnormality (present in 10% to 60% of cases). Although the precise etiology of these spinal abnormalities is not well understood and most are probably multifactorial, a variety of pathologic processes have been implicated. Dural ectasia may result from cerebral spinal fluid pulsations, which lead to dilatation of the weakened dural sac, with erosion of the surrounding vertebral elements as the dural sac enlarges. Peripheral neurofibromas can expand into adjacent ribs, facet joints, pedicles, and paravertebral musculature. Intrinsic pathologic conditions, such as osteomalacia and general mesodermal dysplasia, can also contribute to spinal instability in a more occult fashion. Evidence of these processes on imaging studies includes rib penciling, meningoceles, expanded neural foramina, vertebral scalloping and wedging, and soft-tissue masses. Clinical sequelae, ranging from simple back pain to decreased pulmonary function and quadriplegia, have been associated with the spinal manifestations of type-1 neurofibromatosis. Despite the potential for major neurologic complications, a substantial proportion of patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis exhibit normal neurologic function. In some cases, spinal cord compression is avoided because of an ectatic thecal sac and widened spinal canal. In the absence of dysplastic lesions, the spinal deformity is not likely to decompensate rapidly, and early treatment can be conservative (observation and bracing). When there are dysplastic lesions in the spine, swift progression of the spinal deformity can be expected, and more aggressive surgical intervention is recommended. While surgical arthrodesis and instrumentation is often indicated to prevent or reverse a neurologic deficit, pedicle erosion often precludes the use of pedicle screws for segmental fixation at the involved levels. Furthermore, the osteopenic nature of type-1 neurofibromatosis predisposes patients to higher pseudarthrosis rates after spinal fusion.

While substantially less common than scoliosis and kyphosis, vertebral dislocation has been reported in patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis. Three published cases of thoracic dislocation of the dystrophic subtype were corrected with a combined anterior-posterior surgical approach to attain circumferential spinal fusion. In one of these patients, Kim et al. utilized a pedicle screw/rod spinal instrumentation construct to treat the deformity. Despite the success of staged anterior-posterior spinal procedures, circumferential spinal fusion may be associated with greater surgical morbidity than is a single surgical exposure. Two separate surgical exposures increase operative time and blood loss, especially when one considers the extensive vascularity of neurofibromatous tissue adjacent to the anterior
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aspect of the spine\textsuperscript{17}. Thus, Stone et al.\textsuperscript{10} described the use of posterior-only instrumentation and fusion to correct upper thoracic spontaneous vertebral dislocation associated with dural ectasia in a patient with type-1 neurofibromatosis. Eichhorn et al.\textsuperscript{14} subsequently presented the case of a patient with type-1 neurofibromatosis with severe lumbar dural ectasia without dislocation that was treated with posterior-only fusion with pedicle screw/rod spinal instrumentation.

In this report, we describe two patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis in whom dystrophic spinal deformities were successfully treated with posterior-only pedicle screw-based instrumented spinal fusion and use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) as a biologic agent to achieve solid fusion.

**Case Reports**

**Case 1.** A seventeen-year-old boy with type-1 neurofibromatosis presented with increasing upper back pain, which he had had for several months. He had no neurologic symptoms, and bowel function and bladder function were normal. There was no history of trauma. On physical examination, he

---

Fig. 1

Case 1. Preoperative anteroposterior (A) and lateral (C) radiographs show complete spontaneous dislocation of the upper thoracic spine. Preoperative clinical photographs show café-au-lait spots (B, arrows) and severe cervicothoracic kyphosis with a right-sided prominence (D).

Fig. 2

Case 1. Coronal MRI (A) and CT (B) images show two different planes, axial and coronal, of the dislocated spine in the same cut (the double-plane sign). Three-dimensional reconstruction of the CT scan shows complete dislocation of the spine (C). Axial cut of the CT scan shows the classic double-vertebrae sign of rotational dislocation of the spine.
was found to be a well-developed, lean boy with multiple café-au-lait spots throughout his trunk. He had increased cervical lordosis and severe upper thoracic kyphosis with a right-sided posterior prominence at the cervicothoracic junction (Fig. 1). He was neurologically intact in all extremities with no long-tract signs. Initial radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) scans showed complete spontaneous dislocation of T3 on T4 with marked angular kyphosis and dural ectasia with a widened spinal canal (Figs. 1 and 2). The extent of deformity was such that two different
planes of the spine, axial and coronal, could be visualized on the same MRI and CT cut (Fig. 2, A and B). Also, the classic double-vertebra sign of rotational dislocation of the spine was observed on axial CT images (Fig. 2, D).

The patient underwent halo-gravity traction (with up to 30 lb [14 kg]) to reduce the dislocation. Definitive posterior spinal fusion was then performed with segmental instrumentation with use of lateral mass screws from C4 to C6 and pedicle screws at T1 and from T8 to T12 bilaterally. Dural ectasia and subsequent erosion of pedicles precluded the safe use of pedicle screws from T2 to T7. Therefore, rhBMP-2 (48 mg) was utilized in addition to allograft (50 mL) and autologous iliac bone graft (30 mL) to aid fusion. The patient wore a cervicothoracolumbosacral orthosis for four months postoperatively. At his five-year follow-up visit, radiographs demonstrated intact spinal instrumentation with robust bone formation. He continued to report high satisfaction without pain, deformity, or a neurologic deficit (Figs. 3, 4, and 5).

**Case 2.** A thirty-year-old man with type-1 neurofibromatosis presented with severe back and right lower-extremity pain. The patient recalled no antecedent trauma. On physical examination,
multiple café-au-lait spots were observed. He was neurologically intact in all extremities. Radiographs and a CT myelogram confirmed the presence of severe dural ectasia from L3 to L5 and more extensively throughout the sacrum (Figs. 6 and 7).

Over the next several months, the pain in the right lower extremity continued to worsen, and the patient’s ability to walk gradually declined. Radiographs showed progressive rotatory kyphoscoliosis and multiple vertebral subluxations from L2 to the sacrum. The patient initially underwent halo-gravity traction for a short period of time (two weeks) with a decrease in the lower-extremity pain but negligible correction of the deformity. A posterior spinal fusion with instrumentation was then performed from T12 to the ilium. Extensive dural ectasia and osseous dysplasia precluded the use of pedicle screws from L3 to the sacrum. Furthermore, the need for distal fixation at the ilium prevented the harvesting of an autologous bone graft. Thus, eleven total fixation points were established with six bilateral pedicle screws (from T12 to L2) and five iliac screws, two on the left side and three on the right side. Because of the poor local bone stock, rhBMP-2 (280 mg) was utilized in a compression-resistant matrix carrier (140 mL) at a concentration of 2 mg/mL, and 40 mg/level of rhBMP-2 was applied as previously described by Dimar et al.\textsuperscript{31}. Substantially more rhBMP-2 was used in this case, as compared with the amount used in Case 1 (48 mg), as no autogenous local bone was available to supplement the biologic agent.

The patient was maintained in a thoracolumbosacral orthosis with a thigh cuff for four months postoperatively. We believed that the thigh cuff was an important addition to protect the pelvic portion of the reconstruction. At the two-year follow-up visit, the pain in the back and right lower extremity had fully resolved, and a solid fusion was noted throughout (Figs. 8 and 9). There was no radiographic evidence of deformity progression.
Discussion

Spinal deformity is a common manifestation of type-1 neurofibromatosis. Dural ectasia and other dystrophic lesions have been shown to cause rapid erosion of osseous structures that surround the spinal cord and generate spinal instability, which results in complete dislocation of the spine in severe cases. Most patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis, however, exhibit normal neurologic function even in the setting of complete spinal dislocation, partially as a result of a pathologically ectatic thecal sac and widened spinal canal.

Traditionally, combined anterior and posterior surgical approaches have been employed to achieve circumferential spinal fusion. More recently, treatment of spinal dislocations in patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis with use of posterior-only instrumented fusion has been described. Erosion and weakening of the bone, however, render posterior instrumentation challenging. Dysplastic bone in type-1 neurofibromatosis leads to a high incidence of hook dislodgement. Decreased bone mineral density predisposes the instrumentation construct to screw pullout.

Despite the superior biomechanical properties of pedicle screws compared with hooks and wires and the routine use of pedicle screw instrumentation in many spinal deformity procedures, we are not aware of any reported cases in which pedicle screw-based instrumentation has been described. Erosion and weakening of the bone, however, render posterior instrumentation challenging. Dysplastic bone in type-1 neurofibromatosis leads to a high incidence of hook dislodgement. Decreased bone mineral density predisposes the instrumentation construct to screw pullout.

We circumvented this problem with the off-label use of a biologic agent, rhBMP-2. Use of rhBMP-2 in spinal arthrodesis has been studied extensively and has demonstrated equivalent or better fusion rates than autologous iliac bone graft. Investigations of animals and humans have demonstrated faster fusion with the biologic agent rhBMP-2. We believe that rhBMP-2 allowed faster, more robust bone formation and eventual fusion, either synergistically with the autologous graft (Case 1) or alone (Case 2), and was essential in these challenging cases. It is conceivable that solid fusion could have been achieved without rhBMP-2. However, bilateral harvest of iliac crest bone graft may have been necessary in addition to multilevel anterior spinal fusion. Had there been pseudarthrosis, an anterior fusion to supplement the posterior procedure would have been considered. Although not seen in our patients, there are reports of curve progression even after achievement of solid fusion in patients with type-1 neurofibromatosis, and for this reason, further follow-up is necessary.

Despite the demonstrated efficacy of rhBMP-2, the BMP family of endogenous growth factors has been associated with...
the promotion of tumor formation in animals. Many BMP receptors are upregulated and expressed on cell membranes of certain neoplasms. We are not aware of any reports of BMP-induced cancer in humans, and no definitive association between BMP and the promotion of tumorigenesis or metastasis has been documented. Moreover, the rapid pharmacokinetics of rhBMP-2, with a half-life of only two days, makes tumorigenesis unlikely. Nevertheless, interaction of the rhBMP-2 with hyperproliferative neurofibromata was a potential concern, especially in a young patient (Case 1). For this reason, care was taken to avoid direct contact with neurofibromatous tissue when the rhBMP-2 was applied.

Heterotopic ossification is another rare but known complication associated with rhBMP-2. Neurologic compromise associated with rhBMP-2-induced ectopic bone formation seems to occur primarily during posterior or transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion, procedures in which the dura is exposed to rhBMP-2. In our cases, care was taken to preserve the lamina and avoid exposing the adjacent dura to prevent direct application of rhBMP-2 on neural elements.

Ong et al. recently reported that BMP use during spinal procedures is on the rise and 85% of its application is off-label. In addition to ethical and medical concerns, the question of whether BMP use is justified financially remains unresolved. Some have argued that rhBMP-2 is cost-effective in the long-term. The authors of one study concluded that the up-front initial increased cost of rhBMP-2 compared with that of iliac crest autograft in anterior lumbar interbody fusion would be offset by other medical costs incurred over a two-year period after use of iliac crest autograft. In our two cases, the short-term success with regard to achieving solid fusion without the need for additional anterior procedures resulted from the off-label use of rhBMP-2.

In conclusion, substantial vertebral subluxation or even complete dislocation of the spine can occur in patients with dystrophic type-1 neurofibromatosis whose neurologic function is spared. Following gradual halo-gravity traction, surgical stabilization should be considered for these challenging cases. Posterior-only procedures with pedicle screw-based instrumentation and rhBMP-2 as a biologic adjuvant can be used to achieve fusion and avoid anterior spinal procedures. However, both the risks and the benefits of off-label use of rhBMP-2 should be carefully considered on a case-by-case basis.
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