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Cardiac Biomarkers During Cancer Therapy
Practical Applications for Cardio-Oncology

Jose A. Alvarez-Cardona, MD, Kathleen W. Zhang, MD, Joshua D. Mitchell, MD, Vlad G. Zaha, MD, PhD, Michael J. Fisch, MD, Daniel J. Lenihan, MD

Combined modality approaches to cancer treatment have dramatically improved clinical outcomes. At the same time, there has been increased attention to acute, chronic, and late effects of treatment, including the management of cardiac disease in patients with cancer (1). It is recognized that there are limited sensitivity and specificity of traditional cardiovascular (CV) testing, such as electrocardiography (ECG) and transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), for early detection of myocardial injury. Therefore, there is a compelling need to improve risk prediction and to detect subclinical disease at a potentially reversible stage to allow implementation of cardioprotective strategies and improve outcomes (2). Cardiac blood-based biomarkers continue to be explored to improve the detection and long-term monitoring of subclinical cardiotoxicity.

In order for a biomarker to be useful, it should be accurate, be easy to measure, and provide important information relative to treatment outcome. A prognostic biomarker forecasts the likely course of a disease irrespective of treatment, whereas a predictive biomarker forecasts the likely response to a specific treatment (3). It is important to understand the clinical implications of an abnormal cardiac biomarker and how this information can inform treatment decisions. Figure 1 shows 4 different profiles (I, II, III, and IV) in which traditional cardiac biomarkers, natriuretic peptides (N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide [NT-proBNP] or B-type natriuretic peptide [BNP]) and troponins, can help identify the development of a CV adverse event during cancer therapy. In general, an elevated natriuretic peptide (NP) level represents hemodynamic congestion, whereas an abnormal troponin is a marker of myocardial injury. Although the specific CV conditions a patient may experience with contemporary cancer therapy are broad (Figure 1), cardiac biomarkers can indicate ongoing stress and injury. The following cases illustrate how cardiac biomarkers may be used to guide clinical decision-making in cardio-oncology.

**CASE 1: PREDICTION AND DETECTION OF CARDIOTOXICITY**

A 73-year-old woman with stage IVB diffuse large B-cell lymphoma was scheduled for 6 cycles of rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin (anticipated cumulative dose 280 mg/m²), vincristine, prednisone, and CC-122 (an experimental pleiotropic pathway modifier that is an immune modulatory therapy), and 4 cycles of intrathecal methotrexate. Prechemotherapy evaluation showed a left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) of 53%, global longitudinal strain (GLS) −15.5% (normal −18% to −22%), and grade I diastolic dysfunction on TTE, NT-proBNP elevation at 864 pg/ml (normal <300 pg/ml), and...
undetectable troponin I (Figure 1) (profile II). Her total cholesterol was 250 mg/dl and low-density lipoprotein was 133 mg/dl, and chest computed tomography revealed substantial coronary calcifications. Her age, hyperlipidemia, anticipated high-dose exposure to anthracyclines (>250 mg/m²), coronary calcification, and underlying heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) placed her at high risk for cardiotoxicity. Carvedilol, rosuvastatin, and aspirin 81 mg were initiated before and continued through chemotherapy (1,4). Furosemide was prescribed for evidence of volume overload and HF. At 3 months, LVEF remained unchanged, but NT-proBNP increased to 2,963 pg/ml, and troponin I had become minimally elevated at 0.05 ng/ml (normal <0.03 ng/ml) indicating congestion and potential injury, prompting adjustment of furosemide and carvedilol dosing. Upon completion of 6 months of chemotherapy, the LVEF decreased to 45% (GLS not obtained), NT-proBNP had improved to 795 pg/ml, but troponin I was persistently elevated (0.22 to 0.25 ng/ml) on serial assessment (profile IV), with an unchanged ECG. Lisinopril was added to optimize CV therapy. The differential diagnosis included myocardial ischemia, anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity, and CC-122-related myocarditis, and a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI) was performed showing a mildly depressed LVEF (43%) with late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) in the right coronary artery territory suggestive of prior myocardial infarction. Subsequent left heart catheterization showed mild, nonobstructive multivessel coronary artery disease and elevated LV end-diastolic pressure at 18 mm Hg. The combination of a elevated troponin and LGE on cMRI is consistent with myocardial injury, probably related to anthracycline chemotherapy especially in the absence of significant coronary artery disease. It is currently unknown whether there are important CV effects of CC-122. Twelve months after initiating anthracycline-based chemotherapy and with optimal cardioprotective therapy, her LVEF normalized (67%), but GLS did not (~11.9%). NT-proBNP remained modestly elevated at 440 pg/ml and troponin was undetectable (profile II).

This case illustrates how cardiac biomarkers may help with CV risk stratification before and during cardiotoxic cancer therapy (4). Although this patient’s LVEF fully recovered at 1-year post-chemotherapy, medical optimization is an essential priority to allow the most effective cancer therapy to occur. Given the biomarker elevation in this case, cardioprotective therapy and heightened surveillance for progressive cardiac dysfunction are recommended (1).

**LEARNING POINTS**

- Elevated pre-chemotherapy cardiac biomarkers can detect underlying myocardial injury and stress, and help with risk stratification and medical optimization before and during cancer therapy (5).
- NPs and troponin may detect congestion and injury during and after anthracycline-based cardiotoxic cancer therapy, independent of detectable changes in LVEF (6).

**CASE 2: DETECTION AND MONITORING OF IMMUNOTHERAPY-RELATED CARDIOTOXICITY**

A 48-year-old African-American woman with stage IV lung adenocarcinoma was initially treated over a 4-year period with right lower lobe wedge resection followed by sequential carboplatin/pemetrexed and radiation therapy. She was ultimately switched to atezolizumab, a programmed cell death 1 receptor (PD-L1) inhibitor, due to suboptimal treatment response. Cardiac testing was not obtained before initiation on immunotherapy. Approximately 2 months later, she developed dyspnea and edema. TTE showed severe global hypokinesis with LVEF 28%, GLS ~5%, normal right ventricular size and function, and a small-to-moderate pericardial effusion without tamponade physiology. Biomarker testing included troponin I of 0.35 ng/ml, NT-proBNP 3,863 pg/ml, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) 55.2 mg/dl (normal <3 mg/dl) (Figure 1) (profile IV). The ECG was unrevealing. A cMRI was not feasible, so an endomyocardial biopsy (EMBx) was performed showing focal, mild cardiomyocyte damage with mild lymphoplasmacytic inflammation consistent with myocarditis (Figure 1). Atezolizumab was permanently discontinued, and she was treated with methylprednisolone 1 g intravenously daily for 3 doses, followed by a slow taper of prednisone. In light of her depressed LVEF, she was started on carvedilol and sacubitril-valsartan. One month later, troponin I was undetectable, and NT-proBNP and hsCRP both decreased to 574 pg/ml and 17.7 mg/dl, respectively. cMRI at this time showed a persistently reduced LVEF at 21% and no evidence of LGE. The patient continued on guideline-directed HF therapy including spironolactone as well as prednisone with resolution of her HF symptoms. On a 6-month follow-up TTE, LVEF had increased to 37% and GLS improved to ~8.5%.

Immunotherapy-related adverse events, including myocarditis, are uncommon, but potentially serious.
Although establishing the diagnosis of myocarditis frequently requires EMBx, cardiac biomarkers are usually elevated due to ongoing myocardial injury and may be supportive of the diagnosis. Achieving NT-proBNP <1,000 pg/ml on HF therapy has previously been associated with subsequent improvements in LVEF and more substantial reductions in LV volumes in patients with systolic HF (7). In the absence of permanent myocardial damage or ongoing exposure to cardiotoxic therapies, this patient’s improved biomarker response to optimal HF treatment correlated with improved symptoms and an improved LVEF.

**LEARNING POINTS**
- Troponin and NPs have potential utility for the diagnosis of immunotherapy-related adverse events.
- NT-proBNP response to HF therapy has been shown to be prognostic of cardiac recovery.

**CASE 3: PROGNOSIS IN THE TREATMENT OF CARDIOMYOPATHY**

A 65-year-old man was diagnosed with HFpEF after presenting with worsening lower extremity edema and dyspnea. His NT-proBNP (1,060 pg/ml) and troponin I (0.22 ng/ml) levels were both elevated, and his ECG demonstrated low QRS voltages and a pseudoinfarct pattern (Figure 1) (profile IV). cMRI showed mild LVEF depression (47%), diffuse biventricular wall thickening, biaxial enlargement, and extensive subendocardial and transmural LGE. Serum lambda free light chain level was 8.0 mg/dl, kappa free light chain 0.64 was mg/dl, and the kappa/lambda ratio was...
Bone marrow biopsy showed 20% lambda-restricted plasma cells, and an EMBx confirmed the diagnosis of AL cardiac amyloidosis. He was treated with 4 cycles of cyclophosphamide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone. Upon completion of therapy, NT-proBNP rose to 1,295 pg/ml and then decreased to 656 pg/ml 1 year later, whereas troponin T remained minimally elevated between 0.02 and 0.03 ng/ml (normal <0.01 ng/ml) (profile IV). Serum kappa and lambda free light chain levels normalized after chemotherapy. He remains on spironolactone and torsemide with stable New York Heart Association functional class II HF symptoms and relative hypotension since the original diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. No further chemotherapy is planned.

Cardiac biomarkers, specifically NT-proBNP and troponin T, have been incorporated into the prognostic staging system for AL amyloidosis since 2012 \(^{(8)}\). Abnormal values in a patient with HFP EF and oncologic response to AL therapy requires further investigation.

In summary, the use of cardiac biomarkers as a tool for monitoring during cancer therapy has expanded greatly in recent years; however, there continues to be a need to establish the firm threshold for an abnormal value and the requisite action that should result from early detection of cardiac damage or stress.
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