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Background: Diagnostic evaluation of rotator cuff muscle quality is important to determine indications for potential operative repair. Ultrasonography has developed into an accepted and useful tool for evaluating rotator cuff tendon tears; however, its use for evaluating rotator muscle quality has not been well established. The purpose of this study was to investigate the diagnostic performance and observer reliability of ultrasonography in grading fatty degeneration of the posterior and superior rotator cuff muscles.

Methods: The supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles were prospectively evaluated with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasonography in eighty patients with shoulder pain. The degree of fatty degeneration on MRI was graded by four independent raters on the basis of the modified Goutallier grading system. Ultrasonographic evaluation of fatty degeneration was performed by one of three radiologists with use of a three-point scale. The two scoring systems were compared to determine the diagnostic performance of ultrasonography. The interobserver and intraobserver reliability of MRI grading by the four raters were determined. The interobserver reliability of ultrasonography among the three radiologists was determined in a separate group of thirty study subjects. The weighted Cohen kappa, percentage agreement, sensitivity, and specificity were calculated.

Results: The accuracy of ultrasonography for the detection of fatty degeneration, as assessed on the basis of the percentage agreement with MRI, was 92.5% for the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles and 87.5% for the teres minor. The sensitivity was 84.6% for the supraspinatus, 95.6% for the infraspinatus, and 87.5% for the teres minor. The specificity was 96.3% for the supraspinatus, 91.2% for the infraspinatus, and 87.5% for the teres minor. The agreement between MRI and ultrasonography was substantial for the supraspinatus and infraspinatus (kappa = 0.78 and 0.71, respectively) and moderate for the teres minor (kappa = 0.47). The interobserver reliability for MRI was substantial for the supraspinatus and infraspinatus (kappa = 0.76 and 0.77, respectively) and moderate for the teres minor (kappa = 0.59). For ultrasonography, the interobserver reliability was substantial for all three muscles (kappa = 0.71 for the supraspinatus, 0.65 for the infraspinatus, and 0.72 for the teres minor).

Conclusions: The diagnostic performance of ultrasonography in identifying and grading fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles was comparable with that of MRI. Ultrasonography can be used as the primary diagnostic imaging modality for fatty changes in rotator cuff muscles.

Level of Evidence: Diagnostic Level II. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

Fatty degeneration is a detrimental change in the muscles of the rotator cuff and is a negative prognostic factor in rotator cuff surgical reconstruction. Knowledge of the presence and extent of fatty changes of the rotator cuff muscles is useful clinical information to guide the treatment options for individuals affected by rotator cuff tears. Magnetic resonance imaging is the gold standard for the evaluation of fatty degeneration. Ultrasound has developed into a useful modality for the evaluation of rotator cuff tendon tears; however, its use for evaluating fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles is not well established. The purpose of this study was to investigate the diagnostic performance and observer reliability of ultrasonography in grading fatty degeneration of the posterior and superior rotator cuff muscles.

Methods: The supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles were prospectively evaluated with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasonography in eighty patients with shoulder pain. The degree of fatty degeneration on MRI was graded by four independent raters on the basis of the modified Goutallier grading system. Ultrasonographic evaluation of fatty degeneration was performed by one of three radiologists with use of a three-point scale. The two scoring systems were compared to determine the diagnostic performance of ultrasonography. The interobserver and intraobserver reliability of MRI grading by the four raters were determined. The interobserver reliability of ultrasonography among the three radiologists was determined in a separate group of thirty study subjects. The weighted Cohen kappa, percentage agreement, sensitivity, and specificity were calculated.
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imaging (MRI) has become the standard imaging modality for identifying and quantifying the amount of fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff musculature. Ultrasonography has been used for many years in the evaluation of rotator cuff tendon tears and has an accuracy for this purpose that is comparable with that of MRI. Ultrasonography can also be used to identify fatty degeneration; however, the associated diagnostic performance and reliability have not yet been determined for the three posterior rotator cuff muscles. The purpose of this study was to investigate the diagnostic performance and reliability of ultrasonography in detecting and grading fatty degeneration of the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles, using MRI as the reference standard. We hypothesized that ultrasonography would demonstrate diagnostic performance and reliability in the detection and grading of fatty degeneration that were comparable with those of MRI.

Materials and Methods

Study Subjects

Institutional review board approval was obtained for the study prior to patient recruitment. Eighty-three patients were prospectively enrolled from the shoulder and elbow or sports clinics at our institution. An initial sample size of 100 was chosen on the basis of an a priori power analysis that assumed the performance of an equivalence test. However, because of a refinement of the study goals, this statistical test was not ultimately used in the analysis. Recruitment was stopped when the final number of eighty-three patients was reached because of logistical barriers that included substantial recruitment difficulties. All patients who were approached for the study had initially presented with a painful shoulder and were suspected clinically to have pathology of the rotator cuff tendons. Patients who had previously had an MRI of the shoulder performed at our institution or were scheduled for an MRI for clinical reasons were recorded. The rotator cuff status was categorized on the basis of the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles were examined as a group of individuals who use this system in clinical practice. A single T1-weighted oblique sagittal section within 1 cm medial to the spinoglenoid notch was chosen for the grading of the grading scale. This image was copied from each patient's MRI examination and placed into a PowerPoint slide. Patient names were removed from all images, and the images were then numbered sequentially from one to eighty-three. The file preparation was performed by rater 3, who was blinded to the report of the radiology examination. The file was then sent by e-mail to each of the raters, who graded the images independently. The amount of fatty degeneration was graded according to the modified Goutallier five-point grading scale, grade 0 = no fatty deposits, grade 1 = some fatty streaks, grade 2 = less fat than muscle, grade 3 = as much fat as muscle, and grade 4 = more fat than muscle. Additionally, a direct comparison with the three-point ultrasonography grading scale was performed by collapsing the five-point MRI grading scale to a three-point scale. The interobserver reliability among all four raters was determined with the kappa statistic for multiple observers. Intraobserver reliability was also determined for raters 2 and 3 by repeat grading of the magnetic resonance images. The images were reordered into a different PowerPoint file by an independent party and presented to these raters in a mixed, blinded fashion more than two weeks after the initial grading. The final MRI grade of each muscle was obtained by calculating the mean grade of the four raters and rounding to the nearest integer number.

Ultrasonography

Shoulder ultrasonography was performed in a standardized manner, as previously described, by one of three radiologists (S.A.T., W.D.M., and N.D.) with extensive experience in musculoskeletal ultrasonography. The radiologist who performed the sonogram was blinded to the patient's MRI results. All ultrasonographic examinations were performed with an Elega (Siemens Medical Systems, Issaquah, Washington), Antares (Siemens), IU22 (Philips, Bothell, Washington), or E9 (GE, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) scanner and a variable high-frequency linear-array transducer (7.5 to 15 MHz). The biceps, subscapularis, supraspinatus, and teres minor tendons were examined as previously described. To evaluate for fatty degeneration, the echogenicity and architecture of each muscle were examined with use of a three-point scale, which was modified from the scale previously described by Strebel et al. (Table 1). The echogenicity of the supraspinatus was determined in comparison with the...
The echogenicity of the overlying trapezius. The echogenicity of the infraspinatus and that of the teres minor were determined in comparison with the overlying deltoid. The architecture was determined on the basis of the visibility of the intramuscular tendons and of the normal muscle pennate pattern. When necessary, the contralateral muscles were scanned to detect subtle asymmetry. The mean of the grades for echogenicity and architecture was calculated to determine a single grade (0 to 2) for the extent of fatty degeneration of each rotator cuff muscle. Figure 2-A provides an example of a normal infraspinatus muscle, Figure 2-B represents muscle with grade-1 fatty degeneration, and Figure 2-C represents muscle with grade-2 fatty degeneration.
The interobserver reliability of ultrasonography in the grading of fatty degeneration was determined by randomized blinded examination of a separate group of thirty individuals (sixty shoulders). Twenty-six of these thirty individuals were participants in a different study in which the natural history of rotator cuff tears in 196 individuals with a symptomatic rotator cuff tear in one shoulder and an asymptomatic cuff tear in the contralateral shoulder were studied. These twenty-six individuals were selected by a person not involved in the reliability portion of the present study to represent a broad spectrum of degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles. The other four participants were volunteers with asymptomatic shoulders. The status of the rotator cuff tendons and musculature was not known to the radiologist prior to the examination. The radiologist scanned the patients in a random order and was blinded to the results from the other two radiologists. The side examined first was also randomized. The supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles in the sixty shoulders were evaluated by all three radiologists.

Statistical Methods
The agreement between ultrasonography and MRI for the detection and grading of the degree of fatty degeneration was determined with use of two different grading scales. First, the three-point ultrasonography grading scale was compared with the MRI grading scale that had been collapsed from a five-point to a three-point scale on which grade 0 = Goutallier grades 0 and 1, grade 1 = Goutallier grade 2, and grade 2 = Goutallier grades 3 and 4. This division of MRI grades has been used in other studies. It is also based on the clinical experience of the authors, which revealed that clinically relevant fatty degeneration is greater than Goutallier grade 1 and that grade-3 and grade-4 degeneration are treated similarly. Agreement between the two modalities for grading the degree of fatty degeneration was assessed with use of the weighted Cohen kappa (κ) coefficient. The kappa coefficient represents the fraction of agreement beyond that expected by chance, and it accounts for the magnitude of the disagreement between grades. Second, both MRI and ultrasonography grades were collapsed to a dichotomous scale (i.e., absence or presence of fatty infiltration) to investigate the agreement on the presence of fatty degeneration. Goutallier MRI grades 0 and 1 and ultrasonography grade 0 became “absence,” Goutallier grades 2, 3, and 4 and ultrasonography grades 1 and 2 became “presence.”

The ultrasonography and MRI classifications were tabulated, and agreement between modalities was assessed on the basis of the percentage agreement (i.e., accuracy), agreement adjusted for the agreement expected by chance (i.e., κ), sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, and positive predictive value, using MRI as the reference criterion. Interobserver and intraobserver reliability were assessed with use of the weighted Cohen kappa coefficient. The kappa values were interpreted with use of the guidelines suggested by Landis and Koch: 0 = poor, 0 to 0.20 = slight, 0.21 to 0.40 = fair, 0.41 to 0.60 = moderate, 0.61 to 0.80 = substantial and 0.81 to 1.0 = almost perfect agreement. Although the adequacy of agreement should be interpreted on the basis of the gravity of the context-specific consequences of errors, these divisions provide useful benchmarks. The data are presented as the estimate and the accompanying 95% confidence interval (CI). The data analysis was performed with use of published statistical software.

Source of Funding
Funding for this study was received from the National Institutes of Health (grant R01 AR051026-01A1). This funding was used to perform approximately thirty of the shoulder ultrasonography examinations and to support the statistical assistance needed for the study.

Results
Agreement Between Ultrasonography and MRI in Detection and Grading of Fatty Degeneration
The comparisons between the MRI and ultrasonographic muscle grading are summarized in Tables II, III, and IV. For the supraspinatus muscle, the agreement between the three-point ultrasonography and MRI scales (Table III) was κ = 0.78 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.90). When the dichotomous scales were used...
(Table IV), the agreement was $\kappa = 0.83$ (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.96). The percentage agreement for the dichotomous scales was 92.5%, the sensitivity was 84.6% (95% CI, 65.1% to 95.6%), the specificity was 96.3% (95% CI, 87.2% to 99.6%), the positive predictive value was 91.7% (95% CI, 73.0% to 99.0%), and the negative predictive value was 92.9% (95% CI, 82.7% to 98.0%).

For the infraspinatus muscle, the agreement between the three-point ultrasonography and MRI scales was $\kappa = 0.71$ (95% CI, 0.59 to 0.83) (Table III). With the dichotomous scales, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Echogenicity†</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Isoechoic to the overlying muscle</td>
<td>Clearly visible intramuscular tendons and identifiable muscle pennate pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slightly increased echogenicity compared with the overlying muscle</td>
<td>Partially visible intramuscular tendons and muscle pennate pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Markedly increased echogenicity compared with the overlying muscle</td>
<td>No discernible intramuscular tendons or muscle pennate pattern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Strobel et al.10. †The trapezius was used as a reference for determining the echogenicity of the supraspinatus, and the deltoid was used for determining the echogenicity of the infraspinatus and teres minor.

![Fig. 2-A](image1)

**Fig. 2-A** Long-axis ultrasonographic view showing a grade-0 (normal) infraspinatus muscle (arrow). Note the well-defined central tendon. **Fig. 2-B** Ultrasonographic view showing a grade-1 infraspinatus muscle (moderate fatty degeneration). The central tendon and muscle fibers are less clearly distinguished than in Figure 2-A, and the muscle reveals increased echogenicity. **Fig. 2-C** Ultrasonographic view showing a grade-2 infraspinatus muscle (severe fatty degeneration). The central tendon and muscle fibers seen in Figure 2-A are no longer visible.
agreement was $\kappa = 0.83$ (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.96) (Table IV). The percentage agreement for the dichotomous scales was 92.5%, the sensitivity was 95.6% (95% CI, 78.0% to 99.9%), the specificity was 91.2% (95% CI, 80.7% to 97.1%), the positive predictive value was 81.5% (95% CI, 61.9% to 93.7%), and the negative predictive value was 98.1% (95% CI, 89.9% to 99.9%).

For the teres minor muscle, the agreement between the three-point ultrasonography and MRI scales was $\kappa = 0.47$ (95% CI, 0.25 to 0.70) (Table III). With the dichotomous scales (Table IV), the agreement was $\kappa = 0.52$ (95% CI, 0.27 to 0.77). The percentage agreement for the dichotomous scales was 87.5%, the sensitivity was 87.5% (95% CI, 47.4% to 99.7%), the specificity was 87.5% (95% CI, 77.6% to 94.1%), the positive predictive value was 43.8% (95% CI, 19.8% to 70.1%), and the negative predictive value was 98.4% (95% CI, 91.6% to 99.9%).

### TABLE II Classification with the Three-Point Ultrasound and Five-Point MRI Grading Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Ultrasound Grade</th>
<th>MRI Grade (Goutallier)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraspinatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teres minor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III Classification with the Three-Point Ultrasound and Three-Point MRI Grading Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Ultrasound Grade</th>
<th>MRI Grade (Goutallier)</th>
<th>0 (Goutallier 0, 1)</th>
<th>1 (Goutallier 2)</th>
<th>2 (Goutallier 3, 4)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraspinatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teres minor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interobserver and Intraobserver Reliability of MRI

The interobserver reliability among all four raters had a weighted kappa of 0.76 (95% CI, 0.72 to 0.80) for the supraspinatus, 0.77 (95% CI, 0.74 to 0.81) for the infraspinatus, and 0.59 (95% CI, 0.51 to 0.66) for the teres minor. The intraobserver agreement was determined for raters 2 and 3. Rater 2 exhibited a weighted kappa of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.83 to 0.96) for the supraspinatus, 0.80 (95% CI, 0.72 to 0.89) for the infraspinatus, and 0.75 (95% CI, 0.62 to 0.89) for the teres minor. Rater 3 exhibited a weighted kappa of 0.77 (95% CI, 0.68 to 0.86) for the supraspinatus, 0.84 (95% CI, 0.77 to 0.91) for the infraspinatus, and 0.71 (95% CI, 0.53 to 0.90) for the teres minor.

Interobserver Reliability of Ultrasonography

Using the three-point grading scales, the weighted kappa of the three radiologists was 0.71 (95% CI, 0.61 to 0.81) for the supraspinatus, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.56 to 0.74) for the infraspinatus, and 0.72 (95% CI, 0.64 to 0.80) for the teres minor.

Discussion

As a diagnostic imaging tool for rotator cuff muscles, ultrasonography has many potential benefits over MRI. In addition to its well-known advantages of being less expensive, well tolerated, and reliable in patients with metallic implants or claustrophobia, it provides a dynamic and global evaluation of the cuff muscles in real time, whereas MRI provides a static and more limited evaluation of the cuff muscles and does not image the more medial aspect of the muscle bellies. The present study was performed to investigate the diagnostic performance and reliability of ultrasonography for detecting and grading fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles, using MRI as the reference standard.

On the basis of the dichotomous scales, ultrasonography had excellent agreement with MRI for the detection of fatty degeneration in the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles (κ = 0.83 for both) and moderate agreement for the teres minor muscle (κ = 0.52). With the three-point scales, there was substantial agreement for the supraspinatus and infraspinatus (κ = 0.78 and 0.71, respectively) and moderate agreement for the teres minor (κ = 0.47). It should be noted that the level of agreement between ultrasonography and MRI was substantially higher than the agreement between MRI and computed tomography (CT) reported by Fuchs et al. In that study, the mean weighted kappa value was 0.45 for both the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles. Although the original Goutallier grading system was based on axial CT images and the agreement between CT and MRI was not satisfactory, MRI has become the accepted modality for the diagnosis and grading of fatty degeneration. The agreement between ultrasonography and MRI in the present study was better than the reported agreement between CT and MRI.
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infraspinatus in our study. These findings were comparable with
the interobserver reliability of CT reported in the study of Fuchs et al., in which the reliability was 0.72 for the supraspinatus muscle and 0.69 for the infraspinatus. Williams et al. also studied
the interobserver reliability of CT for fatty degeneration of the
supraspinatus muscle and reported kappa coefficients of 0.48 to
0.59. Although it is not possible to directly compare the dif-
f erent grading systems in these studies, our findings suggest that
the interobserver reliability of ultrasonography may be at least
comparable with those of CT and MRI.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to investigate
the diagnostic performance of ultrasonography in grading
fatty degeneration of the teres minor muscle. The quality of
the teres minor is an important prognostic factor for func-
tional outcome following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty
and latissimus dorsi transfer in patients with an irreparable
rotator cuff tear. In the present study, the percentage agree-
ment between ultrasonography and MRI for the teres minor
(87.5%) was slightly lower than that for the supraspinatus and
infraspinatus (92.5% for each). The agreement with MRI was
moderate (κ = 0.47) for the teres minor with use of the three-
point scales although the interobserver reliability for ultra-
sonography was substantial (κ = 0.72). Although these data are
supportive of ultrasonography grading of the teres minor muscle,
the statistical assessment must be considered thoughtfully
since the prevalence of fatty changes in the teres minor muscle is
relatively low. The limited number of subjects made it difficult to
quantify the discriminatory ability of ultrasonography. The
kappa value may not be the best measure for these data and
should be interpreted cautiously since chance agreement is high,
and kappa is reduced accordingly, when the prevalence is very
high or very low. In contrast to the kappa value, however, the
percentage agreement does reflect substantial observer accuracy.

One of the differences between ultrasonography and
MRI is that ultrasonography uses the overlying muscles as its
reference for grading fatty degeneration, whereas MRI takes
into account only the absolute ratio of fat to muscle tissue
within a given muscle. It is a well known phenomenon that
aging muscles accumulate fat, and it is not uncommon
to see fatty streaks in the deltoid and trapezius muscles in the
MRIs of elderly patients. This is a possible source of the
discrepancy between ultrasonography and MRI in distinguishing a
grade-1 muscle from a grade-0 muscle.

Ultrasonography and MRI have certain intrinsic limita-
tions that should be mentioned. First, ultrasonography relies
much more on the experience and skills of the operator than
MRI and CT do, and it has a long, steep learning curve. An
experienced radiologist may not be available to carry out this
examination. Ultrasonography is also difficult to perform in
obese patients because of the low penetration rate of ultrasound
into the deep tissue. Additionally, ultrasonography cannot eval-
uate the subscapularis muscle because of its medial location, and
this limits the scope of ultrasonography as a comprehensive imaging
modality for rotator cuff pathology.

MRI, in contrast to ultrasonography, is a static examina-
tion. This is epitomized by the use of the Goutallier grading
system, which utilizes a single parasagittal image from which the
grade of fatty degeneration is determined. MRI is also limited by
the presence of metallic implants, which can generate scatter that
causes the images to be unreadable. Furthermore, most shoulder
MRI examinations do not image the entirety of the rotator cuff
musculature on sagittal images, as the medial aspect of the
muscle bellies is usually not fully visualized. Motion artifact can
also be a problem with MRI examinations, and this can become
very problematic in restless or claustrophobic patients.

This study was designed to evaluate the diagnostic per-
formance of ultrasonography, compared with MRI, for grading
fatty degeneration of the posterior rotator cuff muscles. Our
findings showed that ultrasonography was comparable in ac-
curacy with MRI, which is the accepted gold standard. How-
ever, it is notable that ultrasonography may in fact be better
than MRI because of its capability of evaluating the cuff mus-
cles globally from their insertions to their origins in real time.
Overall, these findings suggest that ultrasonography could be
used as the primary imaging modality for the evaluation of not
only tears but also fatty infiltration of the rotator cuff. Future
studies comparing the muscles in their entirety using MRI and
ultrasonography are needed to confirm this.

There are certain limitations inherent in the present study.
Intraobserver reliability of ultrasonography was not investigated in
this study since it was deemed impractical to ask patients to return
for a second ultrasonographic examination. Also, it was thought to
be technically difficult to blind the radiologists. A second limita-
tion is that the subscapularis muscle, a critical rotator cuff muscle,
was not examined in this study. A third limitation involves the
collapse of the MRI scale. In order to directly compare the five-
point MRI scale with the three-point ultrasonography scale, the
five-point scale was collapsed, based on previous literature and the
authors’ clinical experience. The authors acknowledge that this
reduction may have introduced bias, and the effects of such bias on
the reliability are unknown. Lastly, there is also a limitation in-
volving the data analysis utilizing the dichotomized scales; the
agreement statistic for the dichotomized scales may be artificially
inflated simply as a result of the dichotomization process.

In summary, the diagnostic performance and observer
reliability of ultrasonography were comparable with those of
MRI. In addition to ultrasonography’s well-known advantages of
being less expensive, being well tolerated by patients, requiring
less time for the examination, and being reliable in patients with
metal implants or claustrophobia, it provides dynamic and global
evaluation in real time. The satisfactory diagnostic performance
shown in the present study suggests that ultrasonography can be
used as a primary diagnostic imaging modality for the rotator
cuff muscles.
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