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Introduction

Worldwide, there are approximately 750,000 new cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) each year [1]. Although HCC has the 5th highest incidence rate in men and 9th highest incidence rate in women, it has the second highest mortality rate of all cancer types [1]. HCC is traditionally associated with inflammation-inducing risk factors, which promote liver cirrhosis including: chronic hepatitis infections, such as hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV), alcohol abuse, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [2].

However, approximately 20% of patients present with non-cirrhotic HCC in the absence of these risk factors [3]. If diagnosed early, patients with non-cirrhotic HCC maintain adequate liver function, allowing for effective tumor resection with exceptional prognosis when compared to patients with cirrhotic HCC [4]. However, late-stage diagnosis of non-cirrhotic HCC typically presents with larger and more aggressive tumors that are prone to metastasis [5]. Even with extensive tumor resection, approximately 50% of patients relapse within three years post-treatment [6].

Using high-throughput sequencing, researchers have previously characterized the genomic landscape of cirrhotic HCC [7–13]. These studies included whole genome, whole exome, and/or transcriptome sequencing with a focus on analyzing HCC induced by HBV, HCV, and/or cirrhosis. Prior studies, which have evaluated the genomics of cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic HCC, report that among the most significant and recurrent alterations are TERT mutations which typically occur at the promoter region [8,9,14]. Mutations within this region have been observed in a variety of cancer types beyond cirrhotic HCC, suggesting a common role of activating TERT promoter variants in oncogenesis and metastasis [15–17]. TERT expression in terminally differentiated cells promotes telomere maintenance and elongation [18]. Telomere maintenance is required for
late stage cancer propagation with TERT misregulation being harnessed by human cancers to evade mitotic catastrophe and apoptosis [19]. Previous studies have recognized that increases in TERT expression could serve as a proxy for telomere maintenance; however, late-stage tumors exhibit shortened telomeres in comparison to their normal counterparts, due to high turnover rates [20,21]. While counterintuitive, the presence of shortened telomeres in tumors with TERT overexpression is thought to arise in one of two ways. One manner is when somatic cells with critically short telomeres undergo senescence and selective pressure leading to the acquisition of the TERT promoter mutations and regeneration of telomerase to overcome telomeric crisis [22]. Another pathway to shortened telomeres is that a TERT promoter mutation is acquired by the pre-cancerous cell. At first, TERT and telomerase levels are marginal and do not prohibit telomere shortening. Critically short telomeres start accumulating and cells with TERT promoter mutations can then gradually upregulate TERT to stabilize critically short telomeres [23]. Among studies specific to cirrhotic HCC, the putative mechanisms of TERT activation can be divided into three categories: 1) HBV integration events in the TERT promoter [8,24], 2) point mutations (C228T and C250T) in the promoter region mutually exclusive of HBV integration [9,25], and 3) structural variations of the TERT promoter region [8,14].

This study characterizes biomarkers and elucidates recurrent anomalies in non-cirrhotic HCC. We identified somatic variants in 117 tumor samples whereby 52 samples were cirrhotic, 63 samples were non-cirrhotic, and 2 samples had an unspecified cirrhotic status. Using this cohort, we analyzed single nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions and deletions (INDELs), structural variation (SV), copy number variation (CNV), loss of heterozygosity (LOH), differential expression, and viral integration events. This comprehensive approach uncovered the genomic features implicated in non-cirrhotic HCC to improve its diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment.

Methods

Refer to supplementary methods for more details

Sample Procurement

The discovery cohort consisted of 30 primary tumor and adjacent matched non-tumor liver samples obtained through surgical resection from adult patients diagnosed with HCC between 2000 to 2011 at the Washington University School of Medicine. Within this cohort, 13 were male and 17 were female. Additionally, 2 were African American and 28 were Caucasian. None of these samples exhibited evidence of hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) and the non-cirrhotic samples did not show signs of advanced fibrosis. 1 sample was HBV positive and 4 samples were HCV positive according to clinical data. All other samples within the discovery cohort had an unknown clinical etiology. The extension-alpha and extension-beta cohorts had 16 HCC tumors with matched non-tumor liver and 71 tumor-only HCC samples, respectively. Discovery and extension-alpha cohort samples were flash-frozen prior to banking and extension-beta samples were derived from formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) blocks. Across both extension cohorts, 27 were female and 58 were male. Furthermore, 2 were Asian, 13 were African American, and 70 were Caucasian. Within the extension-alpha cohort, two samples were HCV positive, one had chronic cholestasis, and the others had no known clinical etiology. Clinical data for the extension-beta cohort was as follows: 5 had known alcohol use, 8 were HBV positive, 29 were HCV positive, 2 were diagnosed with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), and 6 samples were diagnosed with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). From the extension-alpha cohort, 2 patients did not provide information on race and gender (Table S1). All patient samples were acquired after informed consent to an approved study by the Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board (IRB 201106388).

Sample Preparation and Sequencing

DNA and RNA from samples in the discovery cohort were extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit and Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit, respectively. Whole genome sequencing libraries were constructed using Kapa HYPER kits for use on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. The Ovation RNA-seq System V2 (NuGen Inc) kit was used to generate RNAseq libraries. Resulting barcode libraries were pooled prior to Illumina sequencing. To validate variants identified from WGS, a hybrid capture panel (CAP1) was designed and executed on the Illumina platform to capture fragments from the WGS libraries. The QIAamp DNA Mini kit was used to extract DNA from extension-alpha samples, which was subsequently sequenced using the CAP1 strategy. Finally, CAP1 sequencing was used to identify variants from the DNA extracted from extension-beta samples with the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue kit. A second hybrid capture panel (CAP2) utilized Nimblegen and spiked-in IDT probes that hybridized to the TERT promoter locus and HBV genome (designed against a consensus sequence for 10 common HBV strains, see supplementary methods). CAP2 sequencing was employed on all 117 samples. TERT promoter variants were also detected in the discovery and extension-alpha cohorts with Sanger sequencing. cDNA capture was performed on pooled samples from the extension cohorts.

Sequencing Alignment

WGS and CAP1 data were aligned to GRCh37 via the Genome Modeling System (GMS) using BWA [26,27]. Reads from the CAP2 data were competitively aligned using BWA [27] against the human reference genome (GRCh37) along with ten HBV genotypes for which complete genomes were available. RNAseq data were aligned with bowtie2/tophat and expression was evaluated with cufflinks [28,29]. All raw RNAseq reads from the discovery cohort were also aligned against the HBV genomes for evidence of HBV expression at the RNA level. The predominant HBV strain was determined using relative coverage for competitive alignments. The precise location of the HBV integration site was identified from discordant read pairs from realigning HBV CAP2 reads to GRCh37 and the predominant HBV strain’s genome. A similar procedure was performed for HCV whereby both WGS and RNAseq reads were aligned against six HCV genotypes. The predominant HCV strain was determined using the total read support. To detect AA/V1 and AA/V2 integration, RNAseq reads were competitively aligned using kallisto [30] against AA/V1 and AA/V2 sequences.

Telomere Length Determination

Telomeric tumor: normal read ratios were determined from WGS data using the GMS and visualized in R. A Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test measured the significance of differences between telomere length in tumor and normal samples.

Variant Calling

Somatic variant analysis for single nucleotide variants (SNV) and insertions/deletions (INDEL) were performed on all three cohorts while germline variant analysis for these variants was performed on the discovery and extension-alpha cohort. Several computational tools within and outside of the GMS [31] were employed to facilitate variant calling and subsequent filtering based on variables including variant allele frequency, read count, and predicted pathogenicity.

Structural Variant, Copy Number Variant, and Loss of Heterozygosity Analysis

WGS data from samples within the discovery cohort were analyzed for structural variants (SV), copy number variation (CNV),
and loss of heterozygosity (LOH). Manta [32] was used to identify SV events. Manta-reported breakpoints, along with a 10kb flank were annotated with biomart and ensembl [GRCh37.p13]. Regions of CNV were identified with the CMS and LOH were identified using VarScan2 [31,33]. The DNAcopy circular binary segmentation algorithm generated segments of LOH and CNV, which served as input for GISTIC [34] to conduct a recurrence analysis.

**NanoString nCounter Elements™ Tagsets: NR1H4 Fusion Validation**

Fusion detection algorithms identified samples in the discovery cohort harboring gene fusions from RNAseq data. Fusion predictions involving NR1H4 were validated across all 117 samples using a NanoString nCounter® Elements™ TagSets assay. Sequences for predicted transcripts of the fusion calls that met certain read support criteria (≥10 spanning + encompassing reads and ≥1 spanning read) were sent to NanoString for probe design.

**Survival and Clinical Analysis**

The R “survival” package [35] was used to associate SV-affected genes and CNV/LOH-affected genomic regions with overall survival and recurrence free survival. Only mutated genes and genomic regions occurring in ≥ 4 discovery cohort samples were included in this analysis. A survival analysis was also applied to SNI/INDELs observed in all non-cirrhotic samples from the three cohorts. All Kaplan-Meier survival plots were created in R. Fisher’s exact test was used to test for clinical associations with variables: lympho-vascular space invasion (LVSI), tumor differentiation status, cirrhosis, and liver disease. Samples without relevant clinical data were excluded. Significance was measured with a multiple test correction using the FDR methodology (q-value < 0.05).

**Differential Expression and Pathway Analysis**

Read counts for genes mutated in non-cirrhotic tumors and matched normal samples of the discovery cohort were used by the DEseq2 Bioconductor package [36] to perform differential gene expression analysis using a negative binomial distribution with samples as a blocking factor. Significance was measured with a Wald test and Benjamini & Hochberg multiple test correction (q-value < 0.5). Pathway analysis was performed using log2 differential expression data.

**Results**

**Discovery Cohort**

There were 30 patients included in the discovery cohort with tumors which were surgically resectable. These surgically resectable tumors were untreated, providing the opportunity to study HCC in the absence of chemotherapeutic intervention, which is normally incorporated in the treatment of cirrhotic HCC. Three of the patients within this cohort developed HCC in the setting of cirrhosis, all of which had been previously diagnosed with HCV. The remaining 27 individuals developed non-cirrhotic HCC, two of these individuals were diagnosed with HBV and another two individuals were diagnosed with HCV. To elucidate the genomic landscape of resected, primarily non-cirrhotic HCC, we performed whole genome sequencing (WGS), hybrid capture sequencing (CAP1), and transcriptome sequencing (RNAseq) on these 30 samples (Table 1). WGS failed for one tumor sample in the discovery cohort, therefore the final data for this cohort included WGS and CAP1 data for 29 samples (26 non-cirrhotic, 3 cirrhotic), and RNAseq data for 30 samples (27 non-cirrhotic, 3 cirrhotic). The sequencing analysis revealed a single previously unknown and undiagnosed HBV case with viral integration occurring at the TERT promoter (Figure S1, Table S1). Median haploid coverage for WGS data was 35.6x (range: 28.5–39.3) and 58.4x (range: 46.8–94.4) for normal and tumor samples, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Description of HCC cohorts included within this study.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discovery (N=29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type</td>
<td>Tumor/ Non-tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAseq</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Somatic mutations in the Discovery Cohort**

After filtering, we observed a median mutation burden of 1.31 mutations/Mb (range: 0.033–3.28), comprised of 2,633 SNVs and INDELs across all samples (range: 2–200, median: 77.5, mean=87.8) (Fig. 1, Table S1). These variants were discovered across 2,245 genes with 258 of these genes mutated in more than one sample. Using WGS data from the 26 non-cirrhotic samples, we identified 6 genes that were significantly mutated above background mutation rates according to MuSiC: ALB, APOB, CTNNB1, TP53, RB1, and RPS6KA3 (Fig. 1, Table S1). With regards to all methods of sequencing (WGS, RNAseq, CAP1, and CAP2), the most frequently encountered variant was a SNP in the telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) promoter (C228T; G1295228A), which was identified in 17/30 samples and resulted in overexpression of TERT (Figure S2, Table S2). Within the exome, TP53 was the most recurrently mutated gene and was observed in 8/29 of samples (Table S1). Beta catenin 1 (CTNNB1) was also significantly mutated within this cohort (6/29), whereby the majority of variants occurred at amino acids S37 and S45, both of which reside in a putative GSK3β phosphorylation site in exon 3 (ENST00000349496) (Figure S3) [37]. Frameshift mutations in APOB were observed in 4/29 of samples (Table S1). Mutation signatures using the COSMIC database for the discovery cohort were investigated. Signatures 5 (unknown etiology), 4 (smoking damage association), 16 (unknown etiology), and 12 (liver damage association) were most prevalent and contributed to the overall cohort signature at 23%, 14%, 8%, and 7%, respectively (Figure S4).

**Transcriptome Analysis of the Discovery Cohort**

Differential gene expression analysis performed on the non-cirrhotic samples revealed that 11% of genes, including TERT, were upregulated (4,468/39,392) and 10% of genes, including CTNNB1 and WISP2, were downregulated (4,114/39,392) compared to adjacent non-tumor liver tissue (q-value < 0.1) (Table S1). Comparison of gene log2 fold changes derived from the differential expression analysis revealed the cell cycle pathway as upregulated in the KEGG signaling and metabolism database (q-value ≤ 0.05). Similarly, we observed 16 pathways as down-regulated (q-value ≤ 0.05), most of which are related to metabolic liver processes. Genes such as ADH5 and EHHADH were observed with reduced expression levels and participate in 38% (6/16) of these pathways. Using the Gene Ontology biological process database, we observed 107 pathways as significantly upregulated (q-value ≤ 0.05). The majority of the upregulated pathways were related to cellular division and DNA repair. In addition, 28 pathways were identified as significantly downregulated (q-value ≤ 0.05), many of which were related to liver metabolism (Table S2).

**Telomere lengths in the Discovery Cohort**

When evaluating the samples within the discovery cohort for telomere length at the DNA level, we observed that the majority of tumor samples exhibited shortened telomeres compared to their
paired normal sample (p-value = 0.00011) (Figure S2). One exception was seen in sample HCC16_D, which was distinguished by abnormally high expression of TERT (FPKM=36) (Figure S5).

Copy Number Variants and Loss of Heterozygosity in the Discovery Cohort

We observed recurrent large scale amplification of the q-arm of chromosome 1 in ≥ 50% of the discovery cohort. Similarly, large scale deletions of the p-arms of chromosomes 8 and 17 were found in ≥ 40% of the cohort (Fig. 2). In total, analysis with GISTIC and subsequent manual review revealed 75 unique regions across 17 chromosomes as recurrently amplified and 45 unique regions across 17 chromosomes as significantly deleted (q < 0.05) (Table S1). No significant associations with tumor differentiation status were made (α=0.05). Each CNV and LOH event was tested for their association with overall survival and recurrence free survival but no significant association could be made following multiple test correction. A total of 33 genes identified as recurrently deleted by GISTIC showed concordant decreased expression in tumor samples (Table S1). These include genes previously characterized as relevant to HCC development and progression: HEYL [38] (q-value = 0.032), UQCRH [39] (q-value = 0.032), and MUTYH [40] (q-value = 0.048). A subset of these genes have also been implicated in tumorigenesis, metastasis, and progression of other cancer types and may prove to be relevant for HCC development and progression: RPL11 [41] (q-value = 0.048), UBE2D3 [42] (q-value = 0.032), ARRB1 [43] (q-value = 0.032), ENG [44] (q-value = 0.049), and ABLIM2 [45] (q-value = 0.032).

A GISTIC analysis coupled with manual review identified 8 unique regions exhibiting recurrent LOH affecting the chromosomal arms of 6q, 8p, 13q, and 17p (Fig. 2, Table S1). The 8p and 17p chromosomal arms were most susceptible to LOH, each occurring in ≥ 30% of samples. The 8 genomic regions identified as recurrently affected by LOH contain the coding regions for: TP53, RB1, DLC1, PPN1, ARID1B, LAMA2, and CLU (Table S1).

Structural Variation in the Discovery and Extension Cohorts

We identified 4,745 SV events affecting 3,801 genes across the discovery cohort, of which 737 were deletions, 1,650 were duplications, 450 were inversions, and 1,908 were translocations. Translocations near the TERT promoter region occurred in 2/29 samples, both of which were non-cirrhotic (Figure S5). Additionally, we detected a recurrent fusion involving NR1H4 with a diverse set of gene partners (173 fusion predictions) (Table S1). Evidence in the DNA and RNA for NR1H4 fusions that were partnered with EWSR1, GNP1, and FNI1 was present. Further NanoString nCounter Elements™ Technology was used to assess 21 of these fusion events. NanoString validated the three NR1H4 fusions with supporting DNA. (Fig. 3). One fusion (CDK17-NR1H4) was not validated using NanoString but was called by both Integrate and ChimeraScan leading us to believe that this is another potentially valid NR1H4 fusion product. BLAT alignments revealed that these
Fig. 2. Genome wide CNV and LOH in the discovery cohort Recurrent regions of LOH (A) CNV amplification/deletion (B) and copy neutral LOH (C) are shown for samples within the discovery cohort using the Bioconductor package, GenVisR [83,84]. The proportion of samples with LOH (dark blue), copy number gain (red), and copy number loss (blue) within each chromosomal region are depicted at the top of the panel.
fusions result in sequence frameshifts and thereby likely inactivate the function of \( NR1H4 \).

**Variant Detection in Extension Cohorts**

To further study recurrently mutated genes and discover novel events intrinsic to non-cirrhotic HCC, we employed CAP1 on extension-alpha and extension-beta samples (N=87). In addition to variants identified in the discovery cohort, this extension study elucidated 69 and 1,022 variants in the extension-alpha and extension-beta cohorts, respectively (Figure S6). Using the variants identified with CAP1, we classified a total of 17 genes as significantly mutated (q-value ≤ 0.05) (Table S1). Of the significantly mutated genes in the discovery cohort, all were confirmed as significantly mutated in the extension cohorts with the exception of \( RB1 \) and \( RPS6KA3 \). We tested for differences between mutated genes based on cirrhosis status within all cohorts using CAP1, but we were unable to identify any significant differences (q-value ≤ 0.05).

**Germline mutations in Discovery and Extension-Alpha Cohorts**

Within the discovery cohort and extension-alpha cohorts, there were 4 genes recurrently mutated (≥4 individuals) in germline DNA after filtering, including: \( AL356585.1 \), \( MUC19 \), \( SVIL \), and \( DNAHS \). However, of these 4 genes, 2 are difficult to align to (\( AL356585.1 \) and \( MUC19 \)), and 2 are large genes (\( SVIL \) and \( DNAHS \)). It is likely that these are not true recurrent germline variants and do not contribute to a germline predisposition for non-cirrhotic HCC. When evaluating deleterious calls predicted by four different methods (SIFT [46], Polyphen [47], ClinVar [48], CADD [49]), there were 11 variants that were identified as pathogenic. Of these 11 variants, 5 were in autosomal genes (\( LAMA2 \), \( CYP4V2 \), \( SLC22A5 \), \( BMPR2 \), \( SLC26A4 \)) and 6 were in mitochondrial genes (\( MT-CO1 \) (N=2), \( MT-ND3 \) (N=2), \( MT-CYB \), \( MT-ND1 \)) (Table S1). Upon further manual review in ClinVar, only the variant within \( SLC22A5 \) (rs144547521) is “pathogenic/likely pathogenic” and all of the other variants were either not within ClinVar or have conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity. Additionally, the variants called within mitochondrial genes were not confirmed by an orthogonal technique so it is possible that they are arising from pseudogene copies in the genome. Due to this possibility, these mitochondrial variants are of lower confidence.

**Viral Integration and TERT Promoter Mutation in Discovery and Extension Cohorts**

Viral detection of HBV and HCV in samples from the discovery and extension cohorts was conducted using CAP2. This analysis validated the clinical diagnosis of HBV in 7 of 9 HBV+ HCC samples. Additionally, a clinically undiagnosed sample in the discovery cohort (HCC18-D) was shown to possess HBV infection both at the RNA and DNA level (Table S1). Manual review and BLAT analysis

---

Fig. 3. NanoString validation of \( NR1H4 \) fusions observed in the discovery cohort. A. NanoString count values for fusions \( FNIP1-NR1H4 \), \( NR1H4-EWSR1 \), and \( NR1H4-GNPTAB \) across samples in the discovery cohort. Fusion diagrams are shown for: (B) \( NR1H4-GNPTAB \), (C) \( NR1H4-EWSR1 \), and (D) \( FNIP1-NR1H4 \). E. The CDK17-NR1H4 fusion event was detected by integrase and ChimeraScan but not validated with NanoString.
confirmed that HBV integrated at the TERT promoter locus in 1 out of the 10 HBV positive samples (Figure S1). Given the established role of both HBV integration and TERT deregulation in HCC, a HBV integration so close to the TERT promoter in HCC18_D is strong circumstantial evidence of a driver. However, we did not observe expression of TERT in this sample through our interrogation with StringTree and kallisto. Other studies have found that even when TERT is upregulated, its expression levels are relatively low and therefore may be missed in some cases [50]. Furthermore, while it has been previously reported that TERT promoter mutations and subsequent expression are a common mechanism for HCC tumorigenesis, it has been suggested that TERT alterations may be an early event, and TERT expression may no longer be necessary to prevent cellular apoptosis at this late stage of tumor development [51].

Similarly HCV, a RNA virus, was detected in 5 samples in the discovery cohort, 3 of which confirmed a clinical HCV diagnosis. Within the extension cohort, 29 samples were clinically diagnosed with HCV. Competitive alignments using kallisto [30] were performed to detect integration of AAV1 and AAV2 in samples from the discovery cohort. AAV1 and AAV2 were both detected in one sample. AAV2 was detected in two other samples (Table S1).

Mutations in the TERT promoter region were detected in samples in the discovery and extension cohorts with WGS, Sanger sequencing, and the CAP2 panel using Nimblegen and spiked-in IDT probes. Point mutations in this region (C228T or C250T) were observed in 52.4% of the samples. Samples infected with HCV, determined by clinical assay, were found to be significantly enriched for TERT promoter mutations (p-value = 0.0051, Table S1); however this was no longer significant following a multiple test correction (q>0.05).

Clinical Associations and Survival Analysis

Among non-cirrhotic HCC samples in the discovery cohort, TERT promoter alterations (point mutations, HBV integration, and translocations) were not significantly associated with overall survival or recurrence free survival (Fig. 4). No significant associations were observed between any other variants (SNV/INDEL, CNV, LOH, and SV) and clinical variables including lymphovascular space invasion, tumor differentiation, and tumor predisposition (e.g. HBV/HCV infection, alcohol abuse, cirrhosis, etc.).

Discussion

Genomic Landscape of Non-cirrhotic HCC Largely Resembles that of Cirrhotic HCC

The overarching purpose of this study was to test if genomic differences exist between non-cirrhotic HCC and HCC developed in the background of cirrhosis. Within our non-cirrhotic discovery cohort of 26 patients sequenced by WGS, the median mutation burden and recurrent somatic mutations closely resembled those previously reported in cirrhotic HCC [52]. Recurrently mutated genes in the discovery cohort included TERT (55%), TP53 (28%), CTNNB1 (21%), and APOB (13%), all of which have been previously observed in cirrhotic HCC at similar frequencies [9,52]. We did not find any significant difference when comparing recurrently mutated genes between 52 cirrhotic and 63 non-cirrhotic samples for which cirrhotic status was available using CAP. The LOH events [10,53-56] and CNVs [9,11,56,57] observed within our cohort are similar to those previously observed in cirrhotic HCC using alternative, investigative approaches including SNP array analysis. Within these regions, several genes such as LAMA2, ATK3, EFF1A1, and PFN1 [14,58-60] have been previously investigated in the context of HCC development and progression.

The observed TERT structural variants, which occurred in 2 non-cirrhotic samples, have also been detected in cirrhotic HCC. This study corroborates previous findings of TERT activation via SVs, HBV integration, and point mutations in HCC patients [8,9].

Several studies have associated accumulation of β-catenin with cirrhotic HCC tumorigenesis [61]. However, in cases of HCC with reduced inflammation and fibrosis, researchers have observed an absence of β-catenin accumulation [62]. It is therefore intriguing that despite observing activating mutations within exon 3 of CTNNB1, we observe CTNNB1 downregulation in non-cirrhotic samples of the discovery cohort. Accumulation of β-catenin is possible in the context of CTNNB1 down-regulation since exon 3 mutations serve to prevent the degradation of the β-catenin protein [61]. The majority of other studies observe accumulation of β-catenin in the context of a primarily Asian cohort whereas our cohort represents a western population. Future investigations are required to understand the role of CTNNB1 expression and β-catenin accumulation in non-cirrhotic HCC.
Biological Pathways in Liver are Dysregulated

Investigations into significantly enriched pathways in non-cirrhotic samples from the discovery cohort revealed broad down-regulation of pathways related to liver metabolism (GO [63,64], KEGG [65–67]) and upregulation of pathways involved in cellular division and replication (GO) (Table S2). This is expected given that tumor cells are dividing more frequently and losing normal liver function. We observed downregulation of pathways linked to cytochrome P450 (CYP450) mediated xenobiotic metabolism. Previous investigations of CYP activity and expression in cirrhotic and HBV infected HCC demonstrate that CYP activity is dysregulated in HCC tumor cells [68]. Given the potential for CYPs to facilitate individualized treatment options for HCC patients, it is possible treatment strategies for non-cirrhotic HCC may also involve CYPs.

Survival Analysis Does Not Identify Prognostic Potential for Observed Mutations

A survival analysis was performed on non-cirrhotic samples of the discovery cohort to identify large scale mutational events (SVs, CNVs, and LOH) that may serve as prognostic biomarkers; however, no significant association could be made. The survival analysis was also extended to SNVs and INDELS. TERT promoter alterations have been associated with poor prognosis in cancers such as glioblastomas [15,69] and melanomas [16]. In terms of HCC however, previous reports that investigated TERT promoter status with respect to survival yield conflicting results [25,70]. We found no association between TERT promoter mutations and prognosis. Taken as a whole, it appears activating TERT mutations serve as a common mechanism for tumorigenesis but additional investigations are required to definitively determine whether or not these mutations can serve a prognostic role in patients afflicted with HCC.

Low-frequency, Novel Mutations in APOB and NR1H4 and Novel Structural Variants Identified

In this study, we identified predicted loss of function/damaging somatic and germline APOB mutations in 6/26 non-cirrhotic samples in the discovery cohort (Table S1). Among the somatic APOB mutations, all were observed at a relatively high VAF (>0.25), suggesting these mutations were present early in tumorigenesis and may be present in pre-malignant sites within these tissues. It has been shown that abnormal glycosylation resulting from the formation of bisecting-GlcNAc disrupts APOB function and leads to a fatty liver disease phenotype [71]. We observed APOB mutations outside of a fatty liver disease phenotype suggesting a more prominent role in tumorigenesis.

We also identified a novel recurrent somatic, loss of function, gene fusion event involving NR1H4 in 2 non-cirrhotic samples within the discovery cohort (Table S1). Low sample quality (particularly FFPE samples) and use of RNA-based validation methods may have prevented sensitive detection of these fusion events resulting in underestimation of fusion frequency in additional cases. Biologically, NR1H4 has a well-defined role to prevent the accumulation of bile acid (BA) within the liver, which could otherwise lead to HCC development. In vivo studies have demonstrated that NR1H4 loss predisposes mice to spontaneous hepatocarcinogenesis [72,73] and obstructs hepatocyte regeneration following partial hepatectomy [74]. NR1H4 fusions may therefore represent a novel mechanism of HCC development.

Other novel SV events that were observed in the discovery cohort involved NCKAP5 and WDPCP, both of which play a role in cilia function. NCKAP5L, which is a paralog to NCKAP5, functions to stabilize and strengthen microtubule structure [75]. Additionally, reduced expression of WDPCP has been shown to inhibit proper ciliogenesis in the presence of proinflammatory cytokines [76]. Given the previous association of ciliopathies with cancer, these recurrent structural variants in non-cirrhotic HCC might play a role in tumorigenesis and metastasis [77].

Genotoxic and virologic etiologies partially explain Non-Cirrhotic HCC

Recent work by Zucman-Rossi et al. has outlined etiologies for HCC development [78]. Within our discovery cohort, 23/29 samples exhibited a mutational signature of T->C mutations at an ApTnP context (weight >0.1) (Table 2, Figure S4). These signatures (signature 5,16) have been associated with genotoxic injury and were previously observed in HCC patients with high alcohol and tobacco consumption. We do not have data on tobacco consumption; however, most of these cases did not have reported alcohol consumption (17/23), which suggests that another unknown factor may be contributing to this observation. Among these 23 samples, a non-cirrhotic case exhibited a signature consistent with aflatoxin exposure (signature 24) and harbored an R249S mutation in TP53. Interestingly this case was clinically diagnosed with HBV, which has been suggested to have a synergistic effect with aflatoxin exposure, facilitating HCC development [78,79]. In addition, we observed a single HCV positive case which also possessed signatures 5 and 16. It is curious that while HCV is a predisposing factor for liver cirrhosis, and this patient exhibited cirrhosis, etiologies associated with signatures 5 and 16 are not typically associated with cirrhosis [78]. With the exception of a final HBV case, we could not identify an etiology for the remaining 5 samples. The dysregulation of liver metabolism identified in the pathway analysis may represent a cause or symptom of the etiologies leading to tumorigenesis. This could apply to the unknown etiologies in the remaining samples, suggesting liver dysfunction is necessary for disease progression. Emerging evidence suggests that HCC can develop in the context of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) or NASH, even in the absence of advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis [80–82]. Our understanding and recognition of the clinical features associated with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Possible Etiology</th>
<th>cirrhosis</th>
<th>ET01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCC1_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC2_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC3_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC4_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC5_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC6_D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC7_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC8_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC9_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC10_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC11_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC12_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC13_D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC14_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC15_D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC16_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC17_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC18_D</td>
<td>HBV,Sig5:ApTnPAX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC19_D</td>
<td>Sig16:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC21_D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC22_D</td>
<td>HCV,Sig5:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC23_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC24_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC25_D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC26_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC27_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC28_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC29_D</td>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC30_D</td>
<td>Sig5:ApTnPX,Sig16:ApTnPX</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NASH and NAFLD has evolved. Therefore, it is likely that patients were affected by these conditions, but not clinically diagnosed at the time, within our discovery cohort. Further research is needed to elucidate the relationship between etiologies of HCC and their association with liver metabolism.

Conclusion

It has been observed that the underlying etiologies contributing to tumorigenesis of non-cirrhotic and cirrhotic HCC are unique [78]. Despite distinct evolutions of these tumor subtypes, our findings describe a convergence of both subtypes onto a similar genomic landscape during disease progression. This genomic similarity suggests in vitro and in vivo models for investigating HCC biology may be relevant to both HCC subtypes for advanced disease. Clinically, genomic-based diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment strategies that were previously established in patients with cirrhotic disease may also be extended to patients with progressed non-cirrhotic HCC.
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