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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T) is an antibody-drug conjugate targeting DLL3, a Notch pathway ligand highly expressed on SCLC cells. Rova-T was evaluated alone or in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy (cisplatin or carboplatin combined with etoposide [CE]) in frontline treatment of extensive-stage SCLC.

Methods: One cycle of CE pre-enrollment was permitted (later mandated). The following four cohorts were enrolled: Rova-T monotherapy (0.3 mg/kg, every 6 [q6] wk × 2; cohort 1; n = 4); Rova-T induction (0.3 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) followed by CE every 21 days (q21) × 4 (cohort 2; n = 5); Rova-T (0.1 or 0.2 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) overlapping with CE q21 × 4 (cohort 3; n = 14); and Rova-T maintenance (0.3 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) after CE q21 × 4 (cohort 4; n = 3).

Results: A total of 26 patients were dosed (cohort 3: 14; cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined: 12). Median age was 66 years, and 73% had Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 1. In cohort 3, seven patients (50%) had Rova-T monotherapy (0.3 mg/kg, every 6 [q6] wk × 2; cohort 1; n = 4); Rova-T induction (0.3 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) followed by CE every 21 days (q21) × 4 (cohort 2; n = 5); Rova-T (0.1 or 0.2 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) overlapping with CE q21 × 4 (cohort 3; n = 14); and Rova-T maintenance (0.3 mg/kg, q6 wk × 2) after CE q21 × 4 (cohort 4; n = 3).

Results: A total of 26 patients were dosed (cohort 3: 14; cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined: 12). Median age was 66 years, and 73% had Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 1. In cohort 3, seven patients (50%) had...
confirmed objective responses, with a median progression-free survival of 5.2 months and median overall survival of 10.3 months. Compared with cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined, cohort 3 had lower frequency of some Rova-T–related adverse events of special interest, such as pleural effusion (0 versus 33%), pericardial effusion (0 versus 17%), ascites (0 versus 8%), peripheral edema (36% versus 42%), generalized edema (0 versus 8%), pneumonia (7% versus 25%), and hypoalbuminemia (0 versus 17%).

**Conclusions:** Lower Rova-T doses may be associated with lower incidence of some Rova-T–related adverse events of special interest. Rova-T 0.2 mg/kg plus CE (cohort 3) was tolerable; however, there was no clear efficacy benefit of adding Rova-T to CE.

© 2021 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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**Introduction**

Although response rates to initial chemotherapy are high (60%–80%) in extensive-stage SCLC (ES SCLC), most patients have a relapse with a median overall survival (OS) of approximately 10 months.1–3 The recently approved immune checkpoint inhibitors, atezolizumab and durvalumab, in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy have improved survival marginally (12–13 mo) in the frontline setting.4,5 Thus, there is a high unmet need to provide more effective therapies in the frontline ES SCLC setting.

DLL3, an atypical Notch receptor ligand, is highly expressed on the surface of greater than 80% of SCLC and neuroendocrine carcinoma cells, but it is not detectable on normal tissue.6,7 Rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T) is a first-in-class antibody-drug conjugate composed of a DLL3-targeting IgG1 monoclonal antibody tethered to a toxic DNA crosslinking agent and a protease-cleavable linker.6,8 Rova-T was found to have encouraging to modest activity in relapsed or refractory patients with ES SCLC,8,9 which prompted its evaluation in the frontline setting. Here, we report the safety and efficacy of Rova-T alone and in a series or combination with carboplatin or cisplatin combined with etoposide (CE) in the frontline treatment of ES SCLC.

**Materials and Methods**

This phase 1, multicenter, open-label study (NCT02819999) enrolled four cohorts after induction with one cycle of CE once every 21 days, which was initially permitted and later mandated. Rova-T was administered as monotherapy (cohort 1), in series (cohorts 2 and 4), or in combination with CE (cohort 3) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Additional details regarding study design, regimens and dosing, protocol amendments, planned sample size, and methodology are included in the Supplementary Data.

Key inclusion criteria included age more than or equal to 18 years, histologically or cytologically confirmed ES SCLC, with a response of stable disease or better after the prestudy CE cycle per the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 1, and absent or treated central nervous system metastases. High DLL3 expression (≥75% DLL3-positive tumor cells using the Ventana DLL3 (SP347) antibody by central immunohistochemistry assessment) in archived or representative tumor material was required per initial protocol but not mandated later. The planned enrollment was approximately 34 patients. The primary end point was safety, and the secondary end points included efficacy and pharmacokinetic (PK) assessment of Rova-T in the presence of CE.

This study was approved by local institutional review boards and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. All patients provided written informed consent.

**Results**

On February 14, 2018, the Safety Monitoring Committee recommended closing cohorts 1, 2, and 4 to allow for collection and evaluation of data in a timely manner. On the basis of preliminary safety and efficacy data, cohort 3, consisting patients receiving lower doses of Rova-T (0.1 mg/kg or 0.2 mg/kg) in combination with CE, was selected for further evaluation.

**Patient Characteristics**

At a data cutoff of June 28, 2019, a total of 28 patients were randomized in phase 1A; 26 patients were dosed (Supplementary Table 1) and comprised the full analysis set. One patient from cohort 4 received prestudy CE but discontinued the study before receiving Rova-T; this patient was included in the analysis. Patient baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

**PK and Safety**

Dose-normalized PK parameters maximum plasma concentration observed (C_{max}) and area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time 0 to infinity (AUC_{inf}) for Rova-T in cohort 3 were within the range observed in cohorts 1 and 2 and in previous Rova-T studies8,10 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Coadministration of Rova-T plus CE had no marked effect on Rova-T exposure.
Study drug doses by cohort are found in Supplementary Table 1. The reasons for study drug discontinuation and study discontinuation are listed in Supplementary Table 2. Grade greater than or equal to 3 treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) occurred in 13 patients (93%) in cohort 3 and 12 patients (83%) in cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined. In cohort 3, grade greater than or equal to 3 TEAEs occurring in greater than or equal to two patients included neutropenia (43%), thrombocytopenia (29%), anemia (21%), and fatigue, febrile neutropenia, pulmonary embolism, and leukopenia (14% each) (Table 2).

Any-grade drug-related TEAEs occurred in 14 patients (100%) in cohort 3 and 10 patients (83%) in cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined. Common drug-related TEAEs in cohort 3 were fatigue (71%); neutropenia, photosensitivity reaction, and thrombocytopenia (43% each); anemia, decreased appetite, and dysgeusia (36% each); constipation (29%); and leucopenia, nausea, peripheral edema, and pruritus (21% each) (Table 2).

Serious TEAEs are found in Supplementary Table 4. Compared with cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined, cohort 3 had a higher frequency of skin and subcutaneous disorders versus cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined, particularly photosensitivity reactions (43% versus 17%) and pruritus (21% versus 8%). Nevertheless, the severity of photosensitivity reaction and pruritus was similar between the two groups, with most of these AEs being of grade 1 or 2. Compared with cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined, cohort 3 also had a higher frequency of other toxicities (any grade), such as neutropenia (43% versus 25%; grade 3: 43% versus 17%), febrile neutropenia (25% versus 0; grade 3: 14% versus 0), thrombocytopenia (43% versus 17%; grade 3: 29% versus 0), and hematuria, conjunctival hemorrhage, and gastrointestinal hemorrhage (7% each versus 0; no grade 3 AEs in either group), many of which are often associated with chemotherapeutic regimens.

The proportion of patients who had dose reductions, treatment interruptions, and discontinuations owing to TEAEs is found in Supplementary Table 6. One patient (Rova-T 0.2 mg/kg; cohort 3) experienced a grade 3 dose-limiting toxicity of bullous dermatitis (considered drug related). Two patients experienced grade 5 AEs: encephalopathy (0.1 mg/kg, drug related) and cardiac arrest (0.2 mg/kg, unrelated to the drug). The patient with encephalopathy had grade 4 neutropenia, despite prophylactic filgrastim support; the investigator attributed the grade 5 event to Rova-T as a sequela of sepsis owing to neutropenia.

**Efficacy**

Response rates for cohorts 1, 2, and 4 are summarized in Supplementary Table 7. Table 3 and Table 1.
Supplementary Figure 3 show the efficacy outcomes for cohort 3; the confirmed objective response rate (ORR) was 63% at 0.1 mg/kg Rova-T and 33% at 0.2 mg/kg Rova-T. Three patients in the 0.2 mg/kg Rova-T subset of cohort 3 discontinued the study before confirmatory computed tomography imaging for response assessment, a prerequisite for ORR but not for best overall response, which likely contributed to a marked discrepancy between ORR (33%) and best overall response (83%) in this subgroup. The week 6 computed tomography scans of these three patients revealed a partial response (one patient discontinued owing to grade 1 fatigue [grade 2 fatigue; grade 4 neutropenia and leukopenia recorded earlier], one owing to grade 3 bullous dermatitis, and one patient died of cardiac arrest [not drug related]).

## Table 2. Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in Greater Than or Equal to 20% of Patients in Cohort 3 and Cohorts 1, 2, and 4 Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event, n (%)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 by Rova-T Dose</th>
<th>Cohort 3 Combined</th>
<th>Cohorts 1, 2, and 4 Combined</th>
<th>Overall N = 26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 mg/kg n = 8</td>
<td>0.2 mg/kg n = 6</td>
<td>(0.1 + 0.2 mg/kg) n = 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any Grade</td>
<td>Any Grade</td>
<td>Any Grade</td>
<td>Any Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ Grade 3</td>
<td>≥ Grade 3</td>
<td>≥ Grade 3</td>
<td>≥ Grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>5 (63) 1 (13)</td>
<td>5 (83) 1 (17)</td>
<td>10 (71) 2 (14)</td>
<td>7 (58) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>5 (63) 5 (63)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>6 (43) 6 (43)</td>
<td>3 (25) 2 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>4 (50) 3 (38)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>5 (36) 3 (21)</td>
<td>5 (42) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>4 (50) 0</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>7 (50) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>4 (50) 3 (38) 2 (33) 1 (17)</td>
<td>6 (43) 4 (29) 2 (17)</td>
<td>8 (31) 4 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased appetite</td>
<td>3 (38) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>5 (36) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>4 (29) 0</td>
<td>0 0 4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased weight</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>2 (17) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>5 (36) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysgeusia</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>5 (36) 0</td>
<td>1 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>2 (25) 2 (25) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (14) 2 (14)</td>
<td>0 0 2 (8) 2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomagnesemia</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>1 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>6 (50) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosensitivity reaction</td>
<td>2 (25) 4 (67) 1 (17)</td>
<td>6 (43) 1 (7) 2 (17)</td>
<td>1 (8) 8 3 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>2 (25) 2 (25) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (14) 2 (14)</td>
<td>1 (8) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>2 (25) 0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>1 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>4 (33) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>4 (33) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33) 2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 2 (14)</td>
<td>1 (8) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>2 (17) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>5 (42) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>1 (13) 1 (13) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7) 1 (7)</td>
<td>3 (25) 2 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive cough</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>3 (21) 0</td>
<td>4 (33) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1 (13) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 3 (25)</td>
<td>0 3 (12) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>1 (7) 0</td>
<td>3 (25) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophosphatasemia</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33) 1 (17)</td>
<td>2 (14) 1 (7)</td>
<td>0 2 (8) 1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ALT</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>2 (17) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased AST</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>2 (17) 1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased blood ALP</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased lacrimation</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant neoplasm progression</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 3 (25)</td>
<td>3 (25) 3 (25)</td>
<td>3 (12) 3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (14) 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 (8) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper abdominal pain</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 3 (25) 1 (8)</td>
<td>3 (12) 1 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.
**Discussion**

In this study, Rova-T was evaluated as a monotherapy at 0.3 mg/kg for 2 doses and at lower doses (0.1 mg/kg or 0.2 mg/kg × 2 doses) in combination with CE. We found that Rova-T at lower doses, in combination with CE (cohort 3), was generally tolerable and manageable.

There was a higher frequency of Rova-T–related AESI, such as pleural effusion, pericardial effusion, ascites, edema, dizziness, hypoalbuminemia, and pneumonia in cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined compared with cohort 3. In addition, compared with previous studies, such as TRINITY, that tested Rova-T 0.3 mg/kg (every 6 wk × 2), lower doses of Rova-T plus CE (0.1 or 0.2 mg/kg) given in this study had no occurrence of AESI, such as pleural effusion (0 versus 28%), pericardial effusion (0 versus 12%), and hypoalbuminemia (0 versus 12%), and a slightly lower frequency of peripheral edema (21% versus 26%). Many of these AESI required protocol-specified risk management in previous studies. In contrast, the incidence of hematologic AESI (thrombocytopenia and neutropenia) was much higher in cohort 3 compared with cohorts 1, 2, and 4 combined compared with cohort 3.

Compared with normal tissues, DLL3 is selectively expressed on SCLC cells and continues to represent an attractive target in SCLC for antibody-drug conjugates. Compared with normal tissues, DLL3 is selectively expressed on SCLC cells and continues to represent an attractive target in SCLC for antibody-drug conjugates. HPN328 and AMG-757 are DLL3-targeted bispecific T-cell engagers that currently are currently evaluated in phase 1 trials in SCLC. It remains to be seen if these novel approaches targeting DLL3 will prove to be more successful than Rova-T or current therapies in ES SCLC.
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