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Insulin resistance and atherosclerosis

Clay F. Semenkovich

Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Lipid Research, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

Considerable evidence supports the association between insulin resistance and vascular disease, and this has led to wide acceptance of the clustering of hyperlipidemia, glucose intolerance, hypertension, and obesity as a clinical entity, the metabolic syndrome. While insulin resistance, by promoting dyslipidemia and other metabolic abnormalities, is part of the proatherogenic milieu, it is possible that insulin resistance itself in the vascular wall does not promote atherosclerosis. Recent findings suggest that insulin resistance and atherosclerosis could represent independent and ultimately maladaptive responses to the disruption of cellular homeostasis caused by the excess delivery of fuel.

Insulin resistance is strongly associated with atherosclerosis and frequently coexists with common proatherogenic disorders; this relationship is not new. Until the 1700s, disease was thought to be caused by “humors,” a concept dating from the time of Hippocrates. The year 1761 was notable for the publication of De Sedibus et Causis Morborum per Anatomen Indagatis, a landmark work establishing the anatomic basis of multiple diseases. Using a combination of clinical histories and autopsies, Morgagni identified the association between intraabdominal obesity, hypertension, abnormal metabolism, and extensive atherosclerosis (1). The notion of a syndrome linking metabolic derangements, obesity, and vascular disease went unnoticed until the early 20th century, when Himsroth raised the possibility that insulin insensitivity could have metabolic consequences (2). This was followed by the observation made in the 1950s that hypertriglyceridemia is as common as hypercholesterolemia in individuals with coronary heart disease (3), the discovery by Yalow and Berson in the 1960s that diabetes can occur in the setting of hyperinsulinemia (4), and data from Reaven and colleagues showing that myocardial infarction survivors tend to be insulin resistant and hypertriglyceridemic (5). Associations with low HDL cholesterol and hypertension followed, and Reaven integrated this information in his 1988 Banting Lecture (6) when he coined the term “syndrome X” for the insulin resistance syndrome. This rubric evolved to be known as the metabolic syndrome.

The general thesis received the imprimatur of the National Cholesterol Education Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III in 2001 with the establishment of discrete criteria for the metabolic syndrome. Currently, the syndrome is defined by the presence of 3 or more of the following: hypertriglyceridemia (≥150 mg/dl), low HDL cholesterol (<50 mg/dl in women, <40 mg/dl in men), hypertension (≥130/85 mmHg), increased waist circumference (>35 inches in women, >40 inches in men), and elevated fasting glucose (≥100 mg/dl). The WHO has similar criteria that include a prerequisite of diabetes, impaired glucose metabolism, or documented (by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp) insulin resistance. The syndrome has its own ICD-9 code (277.7), afflicts between 20% and 25% of adults in the US alone, and is associated with coronary heart disease (7) as well as increased mortality (8).

Dyslipidemia in insulin resistance

The lack of appropriate insulin signaling, especially in peripheral tissues such as adipose cells, results in abnormal lipid metabolism that consistently produces a proatherogenic phenotype (Figure 1). Long before people with insulin resistance develop abnormalities of glucose metabolism, circulating FFA levels increase. Impaired insulin signaling leads to loss of suppression of lipolysis (a G protein–coupled process likely mediated by a recently discovered enzyme distinct from hormone-sensitive lipase; ref. 9) and perhaps defective storage of fatty acids in adipocytes (10). The excess provision of lipids from a variety of sources (circulating FFAs originating in fat, endocytosis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, and de novo lipogenesis) leads to the posttranslational stabilization of apoB, the major apolipoprotein of VLDL, which enhances the assembly and secretion of VLDL particles (reviewed in ref. 11). Insulin signaling, through PI3K-dependent pathways, also promotes the degradation of apoB. Thus, a combination of excess delivery of fatty acids and limited degradation of apoB explains the hypertriglyceridemia characteristic of insulin resistance. Insulin resistance also decreases lipoprotein lipase activity, the major mediator of VLDL clearance, which may make a smaller contribution to elevated triglycerides in this setting. VLDL is metabolized to remnant lipoproteins and LDL, both strongly associated with atherosclerotic risk. LDL cholesterol levels are usually not elevated in insulin resistance, but remnants are increased and LDL composition is altered. The role of small dense LDL in mediating vascular disease is unresolved.

Increased concentrations of triglyceride-rich VLDL particles contribute to abnormal HDL metabolism in insulin resistance (11). Cholesteryl ester transfer protein mediates the exchange of cholesteryl esters in HDL for triglycerides in VLDL, resulting in cholesteryl ester–enriched VLDL and triglyceride-enriched HDL. The presence of increased triglyceride makes the latter particle a better substrate for hepatic lipase, which may be increased in insulin resistance, and HDL particles decrease because of enhanced metabolism.

A large body of evidence implicates the high-triglyceride, low-HDL phenotype in atherosclerosis (12). Accelerated atherosclerosis in the setting of insulin resistance could thus result from the direct entry of atherogenic VLDL-derived particles into the vasculature, or decreased availability of HDL particles to participate in unloading of cholesterol from the vasculature, known as reverse cholesterol transport.

Hyperglycemia and hypertension

In addition to fueling potentially proatherogenic dyslipidemia, insulin resistance increases glucose. The lack of insulin signaling decreases transport of glucose into peripheral tissues such
review series

Figure 1
Systemic insulin resistance produces a proatherogenic lipid phenotype. Early in the course of systemic insulin resistance, FFAs increase because of loss of the suppressive effects of insulin on lipolysis in adipocytes. Fatty acids are transported to the liver, where the availability of lipid stabilizes the production of apoB, the major apolipoprotein of VLDL particles. Decreased insulin signaling dampens degradative pathways for apoB, which also contributes to increased VLDL production. Lipoprotein lipase, found in the endothelium of peripheral capillaries and rate-limiting for the clearance of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, is decreased in insulin resistance. Hence, hypertriglyceridemia in insulin resistance (reflecting elevated VLDL particles) occurs because of a combination of increased VLDL production and decreased VLDL clearance. VLDLs are metabolized to remnant lipoproteins, which can promote atheroma formation. The presence of increased VLDL particles also affects HDL metabolism. Triglycerides in VLDL are transferred to HDL through the action of cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP). This process results in a triglyceride-enriched HDL particle that is more rapidly cleared from the circulation, leaving fewer HDL particles to accept cholesterol from the vasculature.

as muscle and fat and increases endogenous glucose production by the liver. Elevated plasma glucose is sensed by the pancreatic β cell, which increases insulin secretion to compensate for hyperglycemia, resulting in circulating hyperinsulinemia. With continued stimulation of insulin secretion caused by peripheral insulin resistance, β cell hypertrophy occurs, and over time the β cell fails to secrete insulin normally (13). The cause of β cell dysfunction is unclear, but increased fatty acids, increased glucose, or both may contribute. Ongoing β cell failure ultimately leads to type 2 diabetes.

Many people with insulin resistance have elevated levels of glucose, though not to the arbitrary level that constitutes a diagnosis of diabetes. Epidemiologic evidence shows a progressive relationship between cardiovascular disease and glycemia beginning at a fasting glucose level of 70 mg/dl and a hemoglobin A1C level of 5%, values well below those seen in diabetes (14, 15, S1).

The relationship between insulin resistance and hypertension is not as clear. Infusion of fatty acids into the portal vein activates the sympathetic nervous system and elevates blood pressure in rodents (16). Obesity alone is associated with activation of the sympathetic nervous system, which can increase sodium reabsorption (17). Obesity is also associated with elevated leptin levels, which may elevate blood pressure (18). Underappreciated is the fact that intraabdominal obesity in insulin resistance could affect kidney function and blood pressure through direct compressive effects. The prevalence of insulin resistance among patients with hypertension is less than in those with hypertriglyceridemia or hyperglycemia (19).

Beneficial vascular interventions in insulin resistance
Treating insulin-resistant patients with statins (LDL-lowering agents) or angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (blood pressure–lowering agents) decreases atherosclerotic complications.
These benefits accrue despite the fact that LDL cholesterol levels are not usually elevated in insulin resistance and hypertension is less closely associated with insulin resistance than are other metabolic abnormalities. Results of illustrative trials are shown in Table 1. The Heart Protection Study (20, 21) compared simvastatin with placebo in more than 20,000 adults with either known atherosclerosis or diabetes. Total mortality was significantly decreased in patients receiving statin therapy. In a subset analysis of the 5,348 people with type 2 diabetes in the study, vascular events were significantly decreased with statin therapy. Benefits were seen in the substantial number of these patients with LDL cholesterol levels below 116 mg/dl. The Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS) compared atorvastatin with placebo in 2,838 patients with type 2 diabetes without known atherosclerosis (22). The primary endpoint of vascular events was significantly decreased with statin therapy, and total mortality was nearly significantly decreased with treatment. The Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease (LIPID) trial was a secondary prevention trial comparing pravastatin with placebo that demonstrated decreased mortality with statin treatment. It included 940 patients with impaired fasting glucose (23), likely an insulin-resistant cohort since mean triglycerides in this group were over 150 mg/dl. Vascular events were significantly decreased in this group.

The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) trial (24) compared the ACE inhibitor ramipril with placebo in over 9,000 patients with either vascular disease or diabetes. Total mortality was decreased in those receiving ramipril. Treatment decreased vascular events among the 3,577 participants with diabetes. Ramipril also decreased the onset of new diabetes, an unexplained observation (suggesting that ACE inhibition causes insulin sensitization that protects the β-cell from continued hypersecretion) that is also seen in other studies of ACE inhibition (25). The Losartan Intervention for Endpoint Reduction in Hypertension (LIFE) trial compared treatment with an angiotensin receptor blocker (losartan) versus a β-blocker (atenolol) in over 1,000 patients with diabetes and hypertension (26). Total mortality and the vascular events were decreased in the losartan group.

### Rationale for modulating insulin resistance to treat atherosclerosis

Given the plausible relationships among insulin resistance, lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism, and atherosclerosis, it was reasonable to pursue strategies that would treat insulin resistance itself to address multiple coexisting abnormalities. This approach was supported by several studies showing a relationship between insulin levels and cardiovascular risk. The Paris Prospective Study, which included over 7,000 males (27); a study of over 1,000 males in Finland (28); and the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT) (29) reported positive relationships between insulin levels and atherosclerotic events. However, at least 3 studies in the US (reviewed in ref. 30) failed to confirm this relationship, and 1 actually reported an inverse relationship between insulin levels and vascular disease. More direct support for the involvement of insulin resistance in atherosclerosis came from the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). This study tested the hypothesis that insulin sensitivity was associated with atherosclerosis and detected an inverse relationship between carotid intima-media thickness and insulin sensitivity. This finding, however, was tempered by the fact that this relationship between carotid thickness and insulin sensitivity was present in some ethnic groups but not others and was no longer significant after correction for glucose tolerance (31). Recent reports suggest that the metabolic syndrome (with its implied insulin resistance) does not appear to impart vascular disease risk exceeding its components (32). In both the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study and the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (33, S2), vascular disease was related to components of the metabolic syndrome but not uniquely to the syndrome itself. The presence of the metabolic syndrome appears to predict new cases of diabetes but is inferior to the Framingham Risk Score as a predictor of clinical events related to atherosclerosis (34, S2).

A concrete conceptual framework for developing new therapies was provided by the discovery of PPARs in the early 1990s. PPARs (37) are ligand-activated nuclear receptors that form heterodimers with the retinoid X receptor to affect the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism, and
The Journal of Clinical Investigation.

Modulation of PPARs and insulin sensitivity in humans

Despite these encouraging results, findings with PPAR activation in humans to treat atherosclerosis have been mixed (Table 2). Several studies have used PPARα agonists to decrease vascular endpoints. The first, the WHO cooperative trial on primary prevention of ischemic heart disease, used clofibrate. Initial results reported in 1978 showed a significant decrease in nonfatal myocardial infarction but no significant effect on death from ischemic heart disease (43). However, follow-up studies published in 1980 and 1984 revealed significant increases in total mortality in those treated with clofibrate (44, 45). No specific cause of death could be identified. This unsettling observation has not yet been explained.

The Helsinki Heart Study used another fibrate, gemfibrozil, and reported decreased myocardial infarctions and cardiac death with treatment (46). Overall, there were 45 deaths with gemfibrozil and 42 with placebo. In the Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial (VA-HIT), gemfibrozil also decreased myocardial infarctions and nearly significantly decreased death due to coronary heart disease (47). Overall, there were 198 deaths with gemfibrozil and 220 with placebo. A larger study of patients with similar lipid characteristics, the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study, used a different fibrate and found no significant effect of PPARα activation on cardiac endpoints (48). Overall, there were 161 deaths with bezafibrate and 152 with placebo. Very recently, the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study compared the effects of fenofibrate and placebo in 9,795 patients with type 2 diabetes, some with previous cardiovascular disease but most without. Fenofibrate lowered triglycerides as well as LDL cholesterol and elevated HDL cholesterol, all potentially beneficial, but did not decrease the number of patients reaching the primary endpoint of coronary events (49). Several adverse endpoints appeared to be more likely with PPARα activation in this insulin-resistant cohort. Overall, there were 356 deaths with fenofibrate and 323 with placebo. Interpretation of this study is complicated by differential use of statins in the study groups (51).

Currently, the results of 1 clinical study that address the role of PPARγ activation in atherosclerotic endpoints are available. The Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial in Macrovascular Events (PROACTIVE) was a secondary prevention trial that compared the effects of pioglitazone and placebo in 5,238 patients with type 2 diabetes and known vascular disease (50). The use of this insulin sensitizer lowered glucose as well as triglycerides, elevated HDL cholesterol, and lowered blood pressure but failed to affect the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Major outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHO cooperative trial</td>
<td>Clofibrate</td>
<td>Decrease in coronary events in this primary prevention trial; no effect on vascular disease death; increase in total mortality (P &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki Heart Study</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil</td>
<td>Decrease in vascular events and vascular death in this primary prevention trial; no effect on total mortality (rate [per 1,000]: 21.9 for gemfibrozil, 20.7 for placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA-HIT</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil</td>
<td>Decrease in vascular events in this secondary prevention trial; nearly significant decrease in vascular death (P &lt; 0.07); no effect on total mortality (9.9% deaths for gemfibrozil, 10.9% deaths for placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIP study</td>
<td>Bezafibrate</td>
<td>No effect on vascular events; apparent benefit in post hoc analysis of subset of patients with triglycerides over 200 mg/dl; no effect on total mortality (10.4% deaths for bezafibrate, 9.9% deaths for placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELD study</td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
<td>No effect on primary endpoint of coronary events; decreased secondary endpoint of total cardiovascular events (P = 0.035); nonsignificant increase in coronary heart disease mortality and total mortality (rate: 14.2 for fenofibrate, 12.9 for placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROACTIVE</td>
<td>Pioglitazone</td>
<td>No effect on primary endpoint of all vascular events in this secondary prevention trial; decreased secondary endpoint of death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, CVA (P = 0.027); no effect on total mortality with fewer overall deaths in pioglitazone group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA-HIT, Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial; BIP, Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention; FIELD, Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes; PROACTIVE, Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial in Macrovascular Events.

inflammation. Their potential role in insulin resistance is logical, since their endogenous ligands are postulated to be fatty acids. The first PPAR identified, PPARα, is the target of fibrates, drugs known to lower triglycerides and increase HDL cholesterol, 2 of the defining components of the metabolic syndrome. PPARγ is the target of thiazolidinediones, drugs that lower glucose and substantially enhance insulin sensitivity.

For both PPARα and PPARγ, data support the concept that pharmacologic activation of these receptors provides vascular benefits. Treatment of insulin-resistant ob/ob mice and Zucker diabetic rats with a PPARα agonist decreased adiposity and lowered insulin levels (36). Clamp studies in a lipotoxic mouse model showed enhanced insulin sensitivity at the liver with PPARα agonist treatment (37). Administration of a PPARα activator to mice, especially in the presence of a transgene for apoA-1 (a major component of HDL), decreased experimental atherosclerosis (38). One study in humans reported a decreased incidence of new cases of type 2 diabetes in insulin-resistant patients treated with bezafibrate, suggesting that PPARα activation would enhance insulin sensitivity (39). Treatment of male LDL receptor-null mice with 2 different PPARγ agonists or the PPARγ-specific agonist GW7647 decreased experimental atherosclerosis and inhibited foam cell formation (40, 41). In another mouse model, PPARα but not PPARγ agonists decreased atherosclerosis (42).

Table 2
Summary of trials using PPAR activation
Potential strategies in development

Pharmacologically reversing insulin resistance in people with obesity and diabetes may ultimately be shown to decrease vascular disease. However, approaches to alleviating insulin resistance (especially in the absence of therapeutic lifestyle interventions that involve achieving a negative energy balance) may actually accelerate atherosclerosis. Antagonism of cannabinoid-1 (CB1) receptors is one strategy that may be used in treating patients with insulin resistance. CB1 receptors are generally found in the brain, and their activation has complex effects on feeding, metabolism, and addictive behaviors. CB2 receptors are found on immune cells including monocytes, a critical cell in atherosclerosis. Rimonabant is a CB1 receptor blocker that appears to improve features of the metabolic syndrome and bring about favorable changes in markers for insulin resistance (56, S3), albeit with side effects that include nausea, depression, and anxiety. The pharmacology of drugs that interact with these receptors is complex, and CB1 antagonists have also been shown to have inverse agonist activity at CB2 receptors (57). Since activation of CB2 receptors (which are abundant in human and mouse atherosclerotic lesions) decreases atherosclerosis and macrophage activation in mice (58), it is possible that, like the use of other agents directed toward insulin resistance, CB1 blockade could improve parameters associated with insulin resistance without decreasing atherosclerotic complications.

While several types of vascular cells are involved in atherosclerosis, the monocyte/macrophage appears to be central to the initiation and evolution of the vascular lesion. Inactivation of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, a potent chemokine agonist for monocytes, or its receptor CCR2 decreases vascular disease in mice (59, 60). Mice with defects in the differentiation of monocytes into macrophages because of M-CSF deficiency are protected from atherosclerosis (61). Macrophage development is also controlled in part by protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B). PTP1B, an ER-based phosphatase that causes insulin resistance by reversing tyrosine phosphorylation of the insulin receptor and decreasing downstream PI3K activity (62), suppresses macrophage development and activation (63). Inactivation of PTP1B enhances insulin sensitivity in mice, an observation that has prompted the search for small-molecule inhibitors of this phosphatase. One prediction...
is that these agents would lower glucose and lipid levels but promote the activation and development of macrophages, which would accelerate atherosclerosis or perhaps nullify vascular benefits of improved glucose and lipid profiles.

**Could insulin resistance in the vasculature be beneficial?**

Since current pharmacologic therapies aimed at decreasing insulin resistance do not yet appear to provide large decreases in atherosclerotic complications, could it be that insulin resistance in the vasculature is actually a beneficial adaptive response? There is precedent for dampening hormone signaling in response to stress. With both chronic illness in the outpatient setting and severe illness in hospitalized patients, levels of triiodothyronine (the active form of thyroid hormone) decrease (64). Administration of thyroid hormone in the setting of illness has not been shown to improve outcomes (65, 84), and there is evidence suggesting that the low-thyroid state could be a beneficial adaptive response in terms of protein catabolism (66).

Expression of the inflammatory cytokine TNF-α is increased in obesity and causes insulin resistance by interfering with tyrosine phosphorylation of the insulin receptor as well as insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) (67). Given the inflammatory nature of atherosclerosis, most have assumed that TNF-α is proatherogenic, but inactivation of one of the receptors for this cytokine in mice increases atherosclerosis (68). TNF-α increases macrophage expression of a key protein responsible for unloading cholesterol and presumably limiting atherosclerosis, ATP-binding cassette-A1, in a manner that appears to be dependent on NF-kB, a transcription factor that coordinates inflammatory responses (69). These results are consistent with the observation that inactivation of NF-kB increases vascular disease in mice (70) and raise the possibility that the vasculature may attempt to limit the acquisition of cholesterol through processes related to insulin resistance.

Insulin resistance is the consequence of disruption of signaling pathways initiated by the tyrosine kinase activity of the insulin receptor. There is abundant cross-talk between signals generated by the insulin receptor and other growth factor receptors such as PDGFR that converge on MAPK pathways (71). Both the insulin receptor and PDGFR ultimately cause phosphorylation of S6c, although with different time courses (72). Both associate with PTP1B (73), the phosphatase that dampens signaling. PDGF, like insulin, is capable of stimulating glucose transport when sufficient PDGFs are present (74). Imatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that affects a number of targets including PDGFR, the KIT receptor, and BCR-ABL (S5), decreases cholesterol-induced atherosclerosis in a model of accelerated smooth muscle migration (75). Thus, global resistance to growth factor signaling that might occur in the setting of insulin resistance could serve to attenuate vascular disease.

Systemic insulin resistance, which increases levels of glucose and lipids, is not desirable. However, insulin resistance in cells directly relevant to atherosclerosis may have different effects. Conflicting data address this issue in mouse models. Inactivation of the insulin receptor in cells of myeloid lineage decreases atherosclerosis in apoE-null mice (76). Macrophage deficiency of IRS-2, the major insulin receptor substrate in this cell type, also decreases vascular disease in apoE-null mice (76), suggesting that vascular insulin resistance could be beneficial. In another model, LDL receptor–null mice, macrophage deficiency of the insulin receptor had no effect on initial lesion formation (77). However, lesions in these animals became more complex at later time points because of accelerated apoptosis occurring in the setting of increased ER stress (see below). These data suggest that insulin resistance could have differential effects on lesion initiation, propagation, and plaque rupture.

PI3Ks, enzymes that generate phosphorylated lipid signaling molecules after stimulation by the insulin receptor and other receptors, exist in several isoforms. PI3Kγ is found in hematopoietic cells, especially monocytes and macrophages, and its inhibition by small molecules has beneficial effects on inflammation in addition to lifespan in models of systemic lupus and rheumatoid arthritis (78, 79), diseases associated with accelerated atherosclerosis. Modulation of the activity of this kinase in appropriate models of vascular disease could help clarify the role of insulin signaling in vascular disease.

**Mitochondrial stress**

An alternative view of the relationship between insulin resistance and atherosclerosis is that they share a common etiology but each follows an independent course. The common etiology may be organelle stress in response to nutrient excess occurring in mitochondria, the nucleus, and the ER (Figure 2).

Mitochondria are the major source of ATP production in animals. Production of ATP results in the production of reactive oxygen species as electrons are transferred from nutrients to molecular oxygen. This process, known as respiration, takes place at the inner mitochondrial membrane and is responsible for most of the generation of reactive oxygen species such as superoxide that are implicated in atherosclerosis. In addition to its proximity to reactive oxygen species, the mitochondrial genome may be particularly susceptible to oxidative damage because of its lack of histones and a deficient mismatch repair system. This combination could be responsible for heteroplasmy, the presence of both normal and mutated mitochondrial DNA in tissues (80). Mitochondrial dysfunction may be involved in skeletal muscle insulin resistance. Expression of genes critical for mitochondrial function, such as the gene encoding PGC-1α, is decreased in humans with insulin resistance (81). Energy production is impaired in the muscle of insulin-resistant subjects (82). Recent findings also implicate mitochondrial dysfunction in atherosclerosis.

As is noted above, insulin resistance causes circulating fatty acids to increase. Increased oxidation of fatty acids by aortic endothelial cells was recently reported to accelerate production of superoxide by the mitochondrial electron transport chain (83). This effect was associated with proatherogenic vascular effects, and prevented by either blocking of the release of fatty acids from adipose tissue or inhibition of mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation, consistent with a role for increased mitochondrial metabolism in vascular disease.

Human atherosclerotic samples obtained during vascular surgery show greater mitochondrial DNA damage than nonatherosclerotic samples obtained from age-matched transplant donors (84). Mitochondrial damage precedes the development of atherosclerosis and tracks with lesion extent in apoE-null mice, and mitochondrial dysfunction caused by heterozygous deficiency of a superoxide dismutase (SOD2) increases atherosclerosis and vascular mitochondrial damage in the same model (84).

Blood vessels destined to develop atherosclerosis may be characterized by inefficient ATP production due to the uncoupling of respiration and oxidative phosphorylation. Blood vessels have regions of hypoxia (85), which is known to lower the ratio of state 3 (phosphorylating) to state 4 (nonphosphorylating) respiration (S6). The
presence of abnormal mitochondria in smooth muscle cells is an early signal of human atherosclerosis (S7). Atherosclerosis-susceptible pigeons have uncoupled mitochondrial respiration at lesion-prone sites (86). Human atherosclerotic lesions have been known for decades to be deficient in essential fatty acids (87), a condition that causes respiratory uncoupling (88, 89) and atherosclerosis (90).

The inducible expression of uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), the prototypical inner mitochondrial membrane anion transporter found in brown fat, in the vasculature increases atherosclerosis and many genes of downregulated genes in the aging human brain have striking prone sites (86). Human atherosclerotic lesions have been known for decades (90). Mitochondrial dysfunction resulting from UCP1 expression in blood vessels causes renin-dependent hypertension (90), and a mitochondrial mutation associated with hypertension has been described in humans (91). Uncoupling increases respiration, which might account for evidence of increased oxidative modifications. For reasons that are unclear, brown fat, the tissue defined by respiratory uncoupling, encases chest and neck blood vessels in humans (92).

Most fatty acid oxidation, which is promoted by PPARα activation, occurs in mitochondria. Mitochondrial effects could explain why PPARα-deficient mice are protected from diet-induced insulin resistance and atherosclerosis (93) as well as glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance and hypertension (94). Caloric restriction, which have insulin resistance and usually die before the age of 15 from coronary or peripheral vascular disease (98, 99). A progressive smooth muscle cell defect characterizes mice carrying the mutation most commonly found in humans (100). Progeroid disorders are associated with the accumulation of a farnesylated form of prelamin A that disrupts DNA repair (101), and clinical features are ameliorated in mice with a drug that inhibits farnesylacylation (102). Statins, inhibitors of HMG-CoA reductase, also decrease farnesylacylation, which could implicate enhanced genomic maintenance in the benefit people with diabetes derive from statins even when cholesterol levels are low (21). Patients with Werner syndrome, caused by a mutation in a DNA helicase (103), have insulin resistance and vascular disease in addition to other serious problems, including malignancies (104, 105). Ataxia telangiectasia is particularly interesting, because the protein mutated in this disease, ATM, is a kinase that activates the tumor suppressor p53 (106). p53 is found in atherosclerotic lesions from humans and rabbits (107, 108), and p53-null mice have accelerated atherosclerosis (109, 110). The protein most commonly mutated in Cockayne syndrome, CSB, appears to be involved in multiple processes, including transcription, nucleotide excision repair, and base excision repair (111). The mechanisms by which DNA maintenance defects in these disorders lead to atherosclerosis and insulin resistance are unknown.

**ER stress**

ER stress also represents a potential common etiology for insulin resistance and atherosclerosis. Both dietary and genetic models of obesity disrupt normal protein folding in the ER, leading to stress signals mediated in part by JNK (112). One of the JNK isoforms, JNK2, has been shown to be proatherogenic in macrophages (113), and cholesterol trafficking to the ER activates JNK2 in macrophages (114). Saturated fatty acids may induce ER stress (115). Recent data are consistent with lipid loading causing ER stress, which induces cleavage of the ER-bound transcription factor CREBH, allowing this activated protein to migrate to the nucleus and produce a systemic inflammatory response by increased expression of acute-phase response genes (116). As is noted above, deficiency of the insulin receptor in macrophages increases the ER stress response and apoptosis in a mouse model of atherosclerosis (77).

**Additional potential mechanisms**

Surprising results from long-term follow-up of type 1 diabetes subjects in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial suggest that glucose control may provide vascular-disease benefits exceeding those

---

**Table 3**

DNA damage disorders associated with insulin resistance and atherosclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Molecular defect</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson-Gilford progera</td>
<td>De novo point mutation in lamin A gene resulting in truncated protein</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, growth retardation, lipodystrophy, osteoporosis, hair and skin abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner</td>
<td>Mutation in WRN, a DNA helicase</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis, malignancies, insulin resistance, central adiposity, dyslipidemia, autoimmunity, osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxia telangiectasia</td>
<td>Defect in ATM, a protein kinase with p53 as a substrate</td>
<td>Cerbellar ataxia, skin and eye telangiectasias, malignancies, immune deficiency, impaired growth, insulin resistance, atherosclerosis in heterozygotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockayne</td>
<td>Mutations in CSA and CSB, involved in DNA repair</td>
<td>Neurodegeneration, ataxia and mental retardation, atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, improved growth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
achieved with lipid and blood pressure lowering (117). These benefits are particularly interesting because they were seen in individuals who were subjected to a remote period of intense glucose control, implying that the vasculature has “memory,” with adverse events perhaps reflecting metabolic states from years before. It is unclear whether this effect represents decreased initiation of lesions during the period of optimal control that is reflected years later as these lesions propagate. If this is relevant to insulin resistance, individuals could show benefits years after periods of optimal glucose control. One potential explanation is that these periods of improved glucose control alter the host/bacteria relationship. Periodontal disease is common in diabetes (118) and has been linked to atheroma formation in humans (119), and colonization of mice with a periodontal disease pathogen promotes atherosclerosis (120), possibly through an interaction with TLR2 and TLR4 (proatherogenic components of the innate immune system). It is possible to inhibit this pathway to treat atherosclerosis (123) in people with insulin resistance.

Fatty acids are central to the metabolic disturbances that characterize insulin resistance and atherosclerosis, in part because they drive increased production of lipoproteins by the liver. In addition to delivering lipids to macrophages to induce cellular stress, these lipid particles may modulate the immune system to promote atherosclerosis. Hyperlipidemia appears to promote the retention of apoptotic debris in the vasculature, thereby enhancing the inflammatory response (124). ApoE (a key component of VLDL particles elevated in insulin resistance) appears to be capable of efficiently activating T cells by delivering lipid antigens, which likely participate in atherosclerosis, to CD1 molecules (125).

Conclusions

Insulin resistance appears to be a simple but deceptive explanation for the development of atherosclerosis in people with obesity and diabetes. Systemic insulin resistance has proatherogenic effects. But treatment with insulin sensitizers to decrease vascular disease has yielded mixed results, perhaps because insulin resistance in the vasculature may not promote atherosclerosis. Until the mechanisms underlying insulin resistance and atherosclerosis are better understood, optimal therapy for overweight patients at risk for vascular disease should include interventions easy to recommend and difficult to realize: eat less and exercise more.

Note: References S1–S9 are available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI29024DS1.
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