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N-methyl-d-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) are glutamate-gated ion channels that are critical to the regulation of excitatory synaptic function in the CNS. NMDARs govern experience-dependent synaptic plasticity and have been implicated in the pathophysiology of various neuropsychiatric disorders including the cognitive deficits of schizophrenia and certain forms of autism. Certain neurosteroids modulate NMDARs experimentally but their low potency, poor selectivity, and very low brain concentrations make them poor candidates as endogenous ligands or therapeutic agents. Here we show that the major brain-derived cholesterol metabolite 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol (24(S)-HC) is a very potent, direct, and selective positive allosteric modulator of NMDARs with a mechanism that does not overlap that of other allosteric modulators. At submicromolar concentrations 24(S)-HC potentiates NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in rat hippocampal neurons but fails to affect AMPAR or GABAAR receptors (GABAARs)-mediated responses. Cholesterol itself and other naturally occurring oxysterols present in brain do not modulate NMDARs at concentrations ≤ 10 μM. In hippocampal slices, 24(S)-HC enhances the ability of subthreshold stimuli to induce long-term potentiation (LTP). 24(S)-HC also reverses hippocampal LTP deficits induced by the NMDAR channel blocker ketamine. Finally, we show that synthetic drug-like derivatives of 24(S)-HC, which potently enhance NMDAR-mediated EPSCs and LTP, restore behavioral and cognitive deficits in rodents treated with NMDAR channel blockers. Thus, 24(S)-HC may function as an endogenous modulator of NMDARs acting at a novel oxysterol modulatory site that also represents a target for therapeutic drug development.

Introduction

N-methyl-d-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) are heterotetrameric ligand-gated ion channels implicated in forms of synaptic plasticity, such as long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD), thought to underlie learning and memory (Bashir et al., 1991; Asztyely et al., 1992; Cui et al., 2004; Traynelis et al., 2010). NMDARs have been implicated in the pathophysiology of several neuropsychiatric disorders including schizophrenia, depression, Alzheimer’s disease, and epilepsy (Li and Tsien, 2009; Zorumski and Izumi, 2012) and many of these disorders are associated with deficits in cognitive function. Because NMDAR channel blockers, such as ketamine and phencyclidine (PCP) produce psychotic symptoms and cognitive deficits (Luby et al., 1959) mimicking schizophrenia in humans (for review, see Tamminga, 1998; Coyle, 2006), there has been considerable interest in discovering drugs that augment NMDAR function to treat the debilitating negative and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia (Tamminga, 1998; Coyle, 2006). Recent clinical studies of NMDAR enhancers appear to support this strategy (Coyle, 2006).

NMDARs are regulated by various natural substances including neuroactive steroids (Williams, 2009). Neuroactive steroids are natural or synthetic steroids that directly and rapidly modulate ligand-gated ion channels, such as GABAAR-type receptors (GABAARs) or NMDARs to alter inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmission (Majewska et al., 1986; Paul and Purdy, 1992). Among these, the neurosteroid pregnenolone sulfate (PREGS) has been studied as a potential endogenous NMDAR modulator (Belelli and Lambert, 2005). PREGS is a positive and negative
allosteric modulator of NMDARs and GABA-ARs, respectively (Wu et al., 1991; Park-Chung et al., 1999; Horak et al., 2004). PREGS enhances LTP in hippocampal slices (Sliwinski et al., 2004), enhances memory, and reverses memory impairment induced by NMDAR blockers in rodents (Flood et al., 1992; Mathis et al., 1994). However, PREGS is a relatively weak NMDAR modulator in vitro (Wu et al., 1991) and is essentially undetectable in rodent brain (Liere et al., 2009). Nonetheless, these findings have prompted efforts to find other steroidal NMDAR modulators.

In a preliminary report, Madau et al. (2009) identified other steroid-like NMDAR-positive allosteric modulators (PAMs). The oxysterol derivative 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol (24(S)-HC), is a highly selective and potent NMDAR PAM. We show that 24(S)-HC interacts with a privileged NMDAR binding site that is distinct from PREGS. We also identify synthetic derivatives of 24(S)-HC that selectively and potently modulate NMDAR function. These data suggest that 24(S)-HC may serve as a natural modulator of NMDARs, acting at a novel oxysterol regulatory site that is a potential target for therapeutic drug development.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and solutions**

Oxysterols were prepared as concentrated stocks in 100% DMSO; working solutions contained ≤0.1% DMSO. SGE-201 (Madau et al., 2009), previously described as an intermediate in the synthesis of steroids, was synthesized as described previously (Plattner and Pataki, 1943; Mourinho et al., 1978). Briefly, SGE-201 was prepared in four steps from commercially available 3α, 6α-dihydroxy-5β-cholan-24-oic acid. First, the methyl ester was formed, followed by tosylation of the 3α- and 6α-hydroxyl groups. In a single pot under mild basic conditions, the C5/C6 double was formed after elimination of the 6-tosylate and the 3α-hydroxy groups. In a single pot under mild basic conditions, the C5/C6 double was formed after elimination of the 6-tosylate and the 3α-hydroxy groups. The resulting product was purified by column chromatography. The resulting product was then subjected to Dess–Martin oxidation of the 3β-hydroxy to the ketone, followed by methyl Grignard addition yielded SGE-301 in two steps. SGE-201 and SGE-301 were characterized by liquid chromatography (LC)/MS and 1H-NMR as described.
in patent: WO2013/036835A1 and were ≥95% pure. 24(S)-HC and all other steroidal derivatives were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids or Steraloids.

**Whole-cell recording**

Hippocampal cultures were prepared using previously reported methods (Mennerick et al., 1995). Whole-cell and excised-patch recordings were made using an Axopatch 200B amplifier ( Molecular Devices) at room temperature from primary dissociated cultures of mouse (see Fig. 1) or rat (see Figs. 2–5, 7) hippocampal neurons from either sex (days in vitro 5–13) grown as mass cultures on or on substrate microdots to elicit current EPSC/IPSCs (Mennerick et al., 1995). Bath solutions for the screening studies in Figure 1 contained the following (in mM): 140 NaCl, 3 CaCl₂, 10 glucose, 10 HEPES, 0.2 CaCl₂, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH 7.25. NBQX (1 μM), D-APV (25 μM), and gabazine (10 μM) were included as needed to isolate relevant currents. Membrane potential was typically clamped to ~70 mV, and saline solution contained 0.5 μM glycine and was nominally Mg²⁺-free. Whole-cell pipette solutions for the screening studies in Figure 1 contained the following (in mM): 140 cesium methanesulfonate, 4 NaCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH 7.3, and the same solution was used for excised outside-out patch recordings. For evoked current recordings, potassium gluconate replaced cesium methanesulfonate. For application of drugs to whole cells and to excised patches, a multi-barrel solution exchange system with common delivery tip was used (Warner Instruments). The common tip was placed 0.5 mm from the center of the microscope field. Solution exchange times were 120 ± 4 ms (10–90% rise) estimated from the rise of junction currents at the tip of an open patch pipette. Experiments were performed at room temperature, and quantification of whole-cell peak current response was used for all figure summaries.

Outside-out membrane patches were excised from DIV2-5 hippocampal neurons. Currents were sampled at 8 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz. NPo values were calculated by idealization of traces using QUB software (University of Buffalo). Perfusion buffer for patch experiments included 2 μM NBQX, 0.5 μM tetrodotoxin, and 10 μM gabazine.

Electrophysiological recordings obtained from heterologous cells expressing NMDA receptors were obtained as follows. HEK 293 cells stably expressing human GluN1 (transcript variant NR1-3, RefSeq: NM_007327.1, NP_015566) were transiently transfected with a plasmid expressing human GluN1 (transcript variant NR1-3, RefSeq: NM_007327.1, NP_015566) or adult (P120) Sprague Dawley rats purchased from Harlan (Izumi and Zorumski, 1999). Hippocampal slices were prepared from juvenile [postnatal day (P)30–32] or adult (P120) Sprague Dawley rats purchased from Harlan (Izumi and Zorumski, 1999). Rats were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Slices were cut transversely into 500 μm slices containing gassed ACSF and maintained for at least 1 h at 30°C before experiments (Tokuda et al., 2010).

At the time of study, slices were transferred individually to a submerged recording chamber. Experiments were performed at 30°C with continuous bath perfusion of ACSF at 2 ml/min. Extracellular recordings were obtained from the apical dendritic layer of the CA1 region (stratum radiatum) for analysis of population EPSPs. EPSPs were measured by their maximal slopes and were monitored by applying single stimuli to the Schaffer collateral pathway every 60 s at half-maximal intensity (estimated from baseline input-output curves). After establishing stable responses for at least 10 min, LTP was induced using a standard 100 Hz 1 s high-frequency stimulus (HFS). This HFS produces reliable LTP at P30, but is subthreshold for LTP at P120 resulting only in short-term potentiation (STP) under the ionic conditions used (Izumi and Zorumski, 1999). The magnitude of LTP was determined 60 min following HFS. Signals were digitized and analyzed using PCLAMP software (Molecular Devices).

Slice data are expressed as percentage of baseline control responses (set at 100%). In these studies, n represents the number of slices studied in a given condition, and, unless stated otherwise, data were normalized with respect to initial control responses. Points in the graphs without error bars have SEM smaller than the symbol size. Statistical comparisons are based on analysis of input/output curves at baseline and 60 min following HFS, and represent the degree of change at the half-maximal point on the input/output curves compared with baseline responses. Slice statistical analyses were performed using commercial software (SigmaStat 3.11; Systat Software). For slice studies, if a test of equal variance failed, the nonparametric Mann–Whitney rank sum test was applied.

**Bioanalytical methods**

Plasma and brain concentrations of SGE-201 and SGE-301 were determined by LC-MS/MS. Briefly, brain samples were homogenized with 3 volumes (v/w) of PBS, pH 7.4, before analysis. One-hundred milliliters internal standard (dicyclofenac 200 ng/ml) was added to aliquots of plasma (30 μl) or brain (200 μl) and extracted with 1 ml of methyl tert-butyl ether. The extracts were centrifuged and a portion of the organic phase
was removed and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen. The extracts were reconstituted in 60 μl of methanol/water for analysis. A 20 μl aliquot was chromatographed on a Waters Atlantis C18 column eluting with a gradient of methanol/water/0.2% formic acid. SGE-201 was detected using positive ion electrospray ionization and mass transitions of 371.3/353.3 on a Sciex API 4000 Q-Trap. The limit of quantitation was typically 0.5 ng/ml for plasma and 4 ng/g for brain. SGE-301 was quantitated following the same tissue extraction procedure. The reconstituted samples were chromatographed on a Waters Acquity BEH C18 column with a methanol/water/0.2% formic acid solvent gradient. SGE-301 was detected using positive ion electro spray ionization with mass transitions of 385.3/95.0. The limit of quantitation was typically 2 ng/ml for plasma and 40 ng/g for brain.

Behavioral methods

MK-801 impaired Y-maze spontaneous alternation task. Male Swiss CD-1 mice (7–8 weeks, N = 17–19 per treatment group) were used to assess spontaneous alternation behavior in the Y-maze test (Hefco et al., 2003). The maze was constructed from clear Plexiglas with arms at 120° angles and with dimensions in cm: 57 long, 17 wide, and 35 high. Each mouse was placed in the center of the maze at the start of the study and allowed to move freely for 10 min. An alternation is defined as sequentially entering all three arms without reentry into a previously visited arm. Percentage alternation was calculated as follows: (alternations/total entries − 2) × 100. One hour before testing mice received an intraperitoneal injection of vehicle (25% hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin in PBS) or SGE-201 (3, 10, and 30 mg/kg) in a volume of 10 ml/kg. MK-801 was administered 30 min before testing (0.25 mg/kg in water, i.p.). Data are reported as the mean ± SEM and data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc comparisons.

Subchronic PCP model of schizophrenia. Male Long–Evans rats (160–220 g, N = 12–15 per treatment group) were group housed in a climate controlled environment (22 ± 2°C, 12 h light/dark cycle) with ad libitum access to food and water. PCP (5 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich) or vehicle (0.9% saline) was administered twice daily for 7 d (intraperitoneally) followed by a 7 d washout period (Grayson et al., 2007; Snigdha and Neill, 2008). On day 13, rats were habituated to the test chamber (90 × 90 × 40 cm square arena; 60 lux) for 10 min. On day 14, rats received acute intraperitoneal administration of SGE-301 (3, 10, or 30 mg/kg) or vehicle (30% Captisol + 0.01% Tween 80) 60 min before testing social interaction, or risperidone as a positive control (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.; 60 min pretreatment). Social interaction (SI) was tested during the light phase by placing each rat into the test chamber with an unfamiliar naïve male rat (Snigdha and Neill, 2008). Spontaneous SI was monitored by an observer blind to treatment for 10 min. The time spent engaged in active nonaggressive social behavior (defined as sniffing, following, grooming, kicking, mounting, wrestling, boxing or crawling over/under) was recorded. After SI testing, rats received an additional 7 d washout period before novel object recognition (NOR) testing. On day 20 the rats were habituated to the NOR chamber (60 × 60 × 40 cm; 60 lux) for 10 min (Grayson et al., 2007). On day 21, rats received acute intraperitoneal administration of SGE-301 (1, 3, or 10 mg/kg), vehicle (30% Captisol + 0.01% Tween 80) or risperidone as a positive control (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) 60 min before NOR training, where each rat is allowed a maximum of 6 min to accumulate 8 s of exploration on each of two identical objects (familiar objects). After a 30 min retention interval, rats were placed back into the arena with one familiar and one novel object and the duration of object exploration was scored manually by an observer blind to treatment for 3 min. For NOR, the discrimination ratio was calculated by dividing the time spent exploring the novel object by the total object exploration time in the test session. This measure corrects for variance in total exploration. With this ratio, 0.5 = chance performance (equal exploration of novel and familiar objects); 1 = only novel object exploration, and 0 = only familiar object exploration. All behavioral data are reported as the mean ± SEM and were analyzed with ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc comparisons.

Results

24(S)-Hydroxycholesterol is a potent NMDAR modulator

To determine whether endogenous oxysterols modulate NMDARs, we initially screened a series of naturally occurring oxysterols (and structurally related sterols and steroids; Fig. 1A, B) at 10 μM in neonatal mouse hippocampal neurons using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology (Fig. 1B, C). Comparators were SGE-201 (10 μM) and PREGS (50 μM) (Fig. 1D). Remarkably, 24(S)-HC, one of the most abundant endogenous cholesterol metabolites in brain, significantly potentiated NMDAR-mediated currents (Fig. 1B, C). 24(S)-HC did not alter membrane current on its own in the absence of NMDA. None of the other oxysterol liver X receptor (LXR) ligands, including 22(R)-HC and 20(S)-HC, affected NMDARs at concen-
trations $\approx 10 \, \mu M$ (Fig. 1B–D). Cholesterol itself was also inactive at concentrations $\approx 10 \, \mu M$ (Fig. 1B).

The concentration-response relationship of NMDAR modulation by 24(S)-HC and SGE-201 was explored by preincubating hippocampal neurons for 40 s in increasing concentrations of compound before agonist application (Fig. 2). Both oxysterols potentiated NMDA-induced currents at submicromolar concentrations (Fig. 2A, B). Interestingly, 24(S)-HC was active at concentrations well below those measured in the CNS (Meljon et al., 2012). SGE-201 was $\sim 5$- to 10-fold more potent than 24(S)-HC (Fig. 2B). We synthesized another synthetic analog, SGE-301, designed to be more bioavailable than 24(S)-HC or SGE-201 by introduction of a 3α-methyl group (Fig. 1A), and evaluated its activity in subsequent experiments (see below).

Although we did not fully explore the pharmacological mechanisms of oxysterol modulation, an interesting aspect of potentiation is that it did not appear to depend strongly on agonist or coagonist concentration. Normalized to baseline responses, SGE-201 potentiation of responses of hippocampal neurons to a near saturating concentration of 300 $\mu M$ NMDA was 2.8 ± 0.6 ($N = 5$). Under comparable conditions, the potentiation of responses to 10 $\mu M$ NMDA (below the EC$_{50}$; Patneau and Mayer, 1990) was 2.5 ± 0.8 ($N = 5$, $p > 0.05$). Similarly, potentiation did not strongly depend on coagonist concentration. Potentiation of responses to 10 $\mu M$ NMDA in 0.5 $\mu M$ glycine was similar to potentiation in 10 $\mu M$ glycine (2.1 ± 0.2 vs 2.4 ± 0.3, $p > 0.05$, $N = 7$).

Oxysterols modulate NMDARs with slow kinetics

In pilot experiments we found that 24(S)-HC and SGE-201 required preincubations $>20$ s to reach maximal effect, and once established, potentiation was poorly reversible. It is possible that the slow onset of potentiation and slow reversibility observed for these ligands could result from a direct receptor interaction that involves membrane partitioning or cell permeation (Akk et al., 2005). Alternatively, potentiation could involve indirect modulation by second messengers or transcriptional regulation. Both of these alternative mechanisms have precedent in explaining the effects of oxysterols in other signaling pathways (Kalaany and Mangelsdorf, 2006; Nachtergaele et al., 2012). Furthermore, it appeared that macroscopic desensitization was augmented in the presence of oxysterol (Fig. 3A), a phenomenon that represents additional kinetic complexity and could reflect a mixed potentiating/inhibiting action similar to PREGS (Horak et al., 2004). If the slow oxysterol actions require rate-limiting partitioning that equilibrates with a receptor binding site, potentiation might be rapidly reversed by applying γ-cyclodextrin, a scavenger of membrane neurosteroids and sterols (Ohtani et al., 1989; Akk et al., 2005). We found that γ-cyclodextrin effectively reversed poten-
tiation of NMDARs by SGE-201 but much less so for 24(S)-HC (Fig. 3A, B). Although this result could suggest distinct mechanisms of NMDAR potentiation by SGE-201 and 24(S)-HC, it is also possible that the natural oxysterol may not as efficiently form inclusion complexes with \(\gamma\)-CDX, or may partition at a site inaccessible to \(\gamma\)-CDX. To test the former possibility, we prepared solutions of 0.5 mM 24(S)-HC or 0.5 mM SGE-201 with 1 mM \(\gamma\)-CDX. If oxysterols effectively bind \(\gamma\)-CDX, the mixture should result in a lower free oxysterol concentration, and brief exposures to the mixtures should reduce potentiation compared with control exposures in the absence of \(\gamma\)-CDX. Consistent with this expectation, SGE-201 potentiation was reduced compared with a control solution containing no \(\gamma\)-CDX (Fig. 3C, D). By contrast, the effect of a subsaturating 24(S)-HC concentration was unaffected by \(\gamma\)-CDX premixing (Fig. 3D). These results are consistent with the idea that 24(S)-HC fails to effectively bind \(\gamma\)-CDX, explaining the resistance to \(\gamma\)-CDX extraction of 24(S)-HC.

24(S)-Hydroxycholesterol shares a common mechanism of action with SGE-201 and SGE-301 but not with known lipophilic positive modulators

To test more directly whether 24(S)-HC shares a common mechanism of action...
with synthetic oxysterol analogues and (or) shares a mechanism with the known lipophilic modulators PREGS or arachidonic acid, we incubated neurons for >5 min in 10 μM 24(S)-HC, and then challenged cells with agonist plus another modulator to probe occlusion (Fig. 4). 24(S)-HC preincubation did not significantly occlude PREGS or arachidonic acid potentiation but prevented further SGE-201 potentiation and potentiation by the 3α-methyl analog SGE-301. These latter two results demonstrate a shared mechanism of action between natural 24(S)-HC and the synthetic analogues and also demonstrate that preincubation in vented further SGE-201 potentiation and potentiation by the 3α-methyl analog SGE-301 on GluN2A-containing receptors expressed in HEK cells. Each of the three analogues (Fig. 6A; 0.1 and 1 μM) significantly potentiated NMDA responses, with the synthetic analogues exhibiting stronger effects than the natural oxysterol (Fig. 6B).

We also used recombinant receptors transiently expressed in HEK cells to evaluate subunit dependence of oxysterol effects. PREGS exhibits strong GluN2 subunit selectivity, potentiating GluN2A and GluN2B responses, and inhibiting responses from GluN2C and GluN2D-containing NMDARs (Malayev et al., 2002; Horak et al., 2006). By contrast, we found no qualitative difference in subunit dependence of oxysterol potentiation. SGE-201 significantly potentiated responses from all subunit combinations (Fig. 6C,D), and potentiation values did not differ significantly among the GluN2 subunits. Thus, these results further distinguish the actions of oxysterols from the known modulator PREGS.

**Oxysterols show robust NMDAR PAM activity in excised membrane patches**

Recently PREGS was found to induce NMDAR receptor insertion into the plasma membrane as part of its mechanism of potentiation (Kostakis et al., 2013), although PREGS also modulates NMDARs in excised membrane patches where insertion is unlikely (Bowlby, 1993; Abd Rachman et al., 2001). To determine whether oxysterol modulation primarily involves receptor insertion, potentially explaining its slow onset and poor reversibility, we explored the effects of SGE-201 in excised outside-out membrane patches from hippocampal neurons. In support of direct allosteric modulation, but contrary to expectations for receptor insertion, we found that SGE-201 robustly potentiated NMDAR channel activity in excised outside-out membrane patches (Fig. 5). All-point histograms revealed that potentiation increased channel number and (or) open probability but did not discernibly increase single-channel current (Fig. 5B). Evaluation of NPo values from eight patches showed quantitative increases consistent with potentiation values for whole-cell NMDA currents. Given that the potentiation in outside-out patches, where receptor insertion is extremely unlikely, is quantitatively similar to whole-cell potentiation, it appears that 24(S)-HC mainly modulates NMDARs through a mechanism that does not involve receptor insertion or transcription. Although the results do not completely exclude a membrane-delimited second messenger mechanism, the data are most consistent with binding to a direct modulatory site.

**Oxysterols modulate recombinant NMDARs**

Because native cells are heterogeneous in their NMDAR subunit combination, we examined oxysterol modulation of recombinant receptors to gain additional insight into selectivity. We first evaluated 24(S)-HC and the synthetic analogues SGE-201 and SGE-301 on GluN2A-containing receptors expressed in HEK cells (Fig. 6A, B). Each of the three analogues (Fig. 6A; 0.1 and 1 μM) significantly potentiated NMDA responses, with the synthetic analogues exhibiting stronger effects than the natural oxysterol (Fig. 6B).

**24(S)-Hydroxycholesterol is a selective NMDAR modulator**

The selectivity of 24(S)-HC for NMDARs was evaluated by examining evoked EPSCs and IPSCs in cultured hippocampal neurons. 24(S)-HC potentiated pharmacologically isolated, evoked NMDAR EPSCs with characteristic slow onset and offset (Fig. 7A). Unlike neurosteroid GABAergic PAMs (Harrison et al., 1987) or AMPAR PAMs (Nagarajan et al., 2001), oxysterol analogues primarily augmented NMDAR EPSC peak amplitude, rather than decay kinetics (Fig. 7C). On average 10–90% decay values were increased by 32 ± 11% and 33 ± 10% for 24(S)-HC and SGE-201, respectively (p = 0.02 and 0.06). By comparison, neither AMPAR EPSCs nor GABA<sub>R</sub> PSCs were significantly affected by 24(S)-HC or by SGE-201 (Fig. 7B, D, E). Insensitivity of GABA<sub>R</sub> Rs to 24(S)-HC is instructive because GABA<sub>R</sub> Rs are exquisitely sensitive to other neurosteroid-like molecules, including PREGS (Akk et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2002).
24(S)-Hydroxycholesterol and SGE-201 enhance synaptic plasticity

Do the potentiating effects of 24(S)-HC and SGE-201 on NMDAR function at synapses influence synaptic plasticity? Consistent with evoked EPSC results in Figure 7, we found no effect of oxysterols on baseline AMPAR field fEPSPs from adult (P120) rat hippocampal slices. However, both SGE-201 and 24(S)-HC transformed a normally subthreshold stimulus into a stimulus that persisted for over 1 h. The effects of 24(S)-HC were concentration-dependent with marginal effects at 0.1 μM and robust LTP at 1 μM (Fig. 8B). Similar to results in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 2), SGE-201 was ~10-fold more potent than 24(S)-HC (Fig. 8).

In addition to enhancing LTP induction by weak tetanic stimulation in the adult hippocampus, both 24(S)-HC and SGE-201 reversed LTP deficits induced by pretreatment with the NMDAR channel blocker ketamine in hippocampal slices from juvenile (P30) rats (Fig. 9). In these experiments, pretreatment of slices with 1 μM ketamine for 30 min results in LTP inhibition that is observed subsequent to ketamine washout and that persists for >2 h. In the presence of 24(S)-HC or SGE-201, tetanic stimulation produced LTP that was sustained for over 1 h in ketamine-pretreated slices. Similar results were obtained with SGE-301 (data not shown).

SGE-201 and SGE-301 reverse behavioral deficits induced by NMDAR channel blockers

Given the ability of SGE-201 and SGE-301 to enhance LTP induction in vitro, we determined whether systemic administration of these agents could reverse deficits in rodent behavioral models. In contrast to 24(S)-HC, systemic administration of SGE-201 or SGE-301 results in good systemic and brain concentrations (Fig. 10A). The brain concentration of SGE-301 is improved when compared with SGE-201 (Fig. 10A, inset), as are its potency and intrinsic efficacy in potentiating NMDA receptor function (e.g., Fig. 6B).

The ability of SGE-201 to reverse MK-801-induced impairment in spatial working memory was assessed in a Y-maze spontaneous alternation task in mice. In this test, animals must recall which arms of the Y-maze they have previously explored in a trial, with an alternation consisting of successive entry into each of the three arms of the maze without re-entry into a previously visited arm. Acute administration of the NMDAR channel blocker, MK-801, significantly reduces the performance of animals in this task, as measured by percentage of alternations during a fixed testing interval. Treatment with SGE-201 produced a significant reversal of MK-801-induced deficits at 3 and 10 mg/kg, intraperitoneally (p < 0.0005 and p < 0.05, respectively; Fig. 10B). SGE-201 did not produce a significant effect on the overall number of arm entries in the Y-maze task (p > 0.05).

In rats, subchronic (7 d) administration of the NMDAR channel blocker, PCP (5 mg/kg, bid, i.p.) results in several behavioral deficits that persist for up to 14 d following cessation of treatment (Grayson et al., 2007; Snigdha and Neill, 2008). In the current study, at 7 d following cessation of PCP treatment, the duration of time spent in active social interaction was significantly (p < 0.001) reduced in PCP-experienced rats (32 ± 3 s) compared...
with vehicle-treated rats (62 ± 4 s; Fig. 10C). Acute administration of SGE-301 (3 and 10 mg/kg i.p.) significantly (p < 0.001 and p < 0.05, respectively) increased time spent in active social interactions in PCP-experienced rats (57 ± 4 s and 48 ± 3 s, respectively) compared with vehicle-treated PCP-experienced rats (32 ± 3 s; Fig. 10C). Administration of risperidone (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) also significantly (p < 0.05) increased time spent in active social interactions (51 ± 3 s) when compared with vehicle-treated PCP-experienced rats (data not shown).

Subchronic administration of PCP also significantly impaired performance in the NOR task when tested 14 d following cessation of PCP treatment (Fig. 10D). Due to their natural proclivity for novelty, rodents normally spend more time exploring the novel object in the test session, as demonstrated by the vehicle-treated group. Novel object preference was eliminated in PCP-experienced rats, an effect that was significantly reversed by acute administration of SGE-301 at 1 and 3 mg/kg intraperitoneally (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively). Risperidone also improved novel object preference in PCP-experienced rats (p < 0.001, data not shown). SGE-301 had no significant effect on locomotor activity in PCP-experienced rats during the NOR task (p > 0.05).

Discussion

The synthesis of 24(S)-HC, the major cholesterol metabolite in brain, is critical to brain cholesterol metabolism and turnover (Lund et al., 2003; Russell et al., 2009). Unlike cholesterol, 24(S)-HC is membrane-permeable and readily enters the peripheral circulation, where it is subsequently metabolized and excreted (Lütjohann et al., 1996; Lund et al., 2003). 24(S)-HC has been measured at very high concentrations in brain (10–20 μg/g tissue or ~25 μM) in a variety of mammalian species (Smith et al., 1972; Meljon et al., 2012). Russell et al. (2009) have identified the highly conserved cytochrome P450 enzyme (cholesterol 24-hydroxylase, CYP46A1) responsible for synthesis of 24(S)-HC from cholesterol (Lund et al., 1999). This enzyme is expressed predominantly in the endoplasmic reticulum of neuronal cell bodies and dendrites, but not axons (Ramirez et al., 2008).

Importantly, cholesterol 24-hydroxylase deficiency disrupts hippocampal LTP and memory acquisition in mice (Kotti et al., 2006) and this disruption of hippocampal LTP is rescued by geranylgeraniol diphosphate, a downstream nonsteroidal isoprenoid metabolite of mevalonate (Kotti et al., 2008). Our data suggest that 24(S)-HC may also directly impact synaptic plasticity by modulating postsynaptic NMDARs in an autocrine manner near sites of 24(S)-HC synthesis (Ramirez et al., 2008). Interestingly, the potency of 24(S)-HC at NMDARs is almost 10-fold greater than at LXR, the other major target of 24(S)-HC (Janowski et al., 1996; Kalaany and Mangelsdorf, 2006), and the potency of 24(S)-HC at NMDARs in our study may represent an underestimate given the slow onset time of oxysterol action. Other potent LXR agonist oxysterols, such as 22(R)-HC and 20(S)-HC, are not active NMDAR modulators (Fig. 1B), and neither SGE-201 nor SGE-301 are LXR agonists (data not shown). Combined with the excised membrane patch data in Figure 5, these observations indicate that LXRs do not mediate the modulatory effects of 24(S)-HC on NMDARs.

Oxysterols are now well recognized as important signaling molecules that interact with various soluble intracellular as well as membrane-bound receptors. In addition to serving as ligands for LXRa in a variety of tissues (Janowski et al., 1996; Kalaany and Mangelsdorf, 2006), oxysterols bind with relatively high affinity to membrane proteins including Insig proteins (Radhakrishnan et al., 2007) and oxysterol-binding proteins (Banerji et al., 2010), which act as oxysterol/cholesterol sensors to regulate cholesterol synthesis and lipid metabolism. Oxysterols also activate the Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway (Corcoran and Scott, 2006) by binding to and allosterically activating the seven trans-membrane protein Smoothened which mediates signal transduction by Hh ligands (Nachtergaele et al., 2012). Thus, like steroids, oxysterols can subserve cell signaling functions by binding to either soluble or membrane-bound receptors and via both transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms.

Our data on 24(S)-HC and NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission are reminiscent of previous work on neuroactive steroids (Paul and Purdy, 1992), which directly enhance or inhibit synaptic transmission at GABA-ARs (Majewska et al., 1986; Paul and Purdy, 1992) or NMDARs (Wu et al., 1991). PREGs, one purported neurosteroid (Valle et al., 1997), potentiates NMDARs (Wu et al., 1991) and inhibits GABA-ARs (Akk et al., 2001). Moreover, direct administration of PREGs to the CNS of rodents has been reported to improve learning and memory and to reverse the amnestic effects of NMDAR blockers (Flood et al., 1992; Mathis et al., 1994). Nonetheless, PREGs seems unlikely to play a significant role in the physiological regulation of NMDARs as it is a relatively weak NMDAR PAM (EC50 ≥ 50 μM) (Wu et al., 1991) and its presence in rodent brain is controversial (Liere et al., 2009). Given its potency and high brain concentrations, 24(S)-HC is a more compelling candidate to modulate NMDARs under physiological or pathophysiologic conditions. Further work however will be required to determine whether 24(S)-HC modulates NMDARs under physiological conditions. The availability of potent CYP46A1 inhibitors (Shafaffi et al., 2010) and CYP46A1-deficient mice (Lund et al., 2003) should facilitate these studies. Nonetheless, regardless of a physiologic role for 24(S)-HC in regulating NMDAR function, it appears that the novel oxysterol NMDAR modulatory site described here represents a potential target for drug discovery. The potencies of 24(S)-HC and its closely related synthetic analogs SGE-201 (Madau et al., 2009) and SGE-301 attest to the striking selectivity of 24-hydroxylated oxysterols for NMDARs. Figure 1A shows that these compounds bear significant structural similarity, particularly with respect to the 24-hydroxyl group of the sterol side chain (at C-17) and the steroid backbone. The lack of any measurable activity of other endogenous oxysterols at NMDARs (Fig. 1B) as well as additional compounds synthesized in an attempt to optimize the drug-like properties of this series (data not shown), further supports the specificity of these 24(S)-hydroxylated oxysterols for NMDARs. Importantly, synthetic oxysterol derivatives, like SGE-201 and SGE-301, have desirable in vivo drug-like properties, including excellent brain concentrations after parenteral dosing (Fig. 10A). The reversal of NMDAR blocker-induced impairment of cognitive and social behavior following treatment with SGE-201 or SGE-301 suggests that this novel oxysterol NMDAR modulatory site could serve as a target for designing drugs to treat a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders where augmenting NMDAR function may be of therapeutic benefit.
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