

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2006

Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry

Taradon Luangtongkum
Ohio State University - Main Campus

Teresa Y. Morishita
Ohio State University - Main Campus

Aaron J. Ison
Ohio State University - Main Campus

Shouxiong Huang
Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Patrick F. McDermott
United States Food and Drug Administration

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Luangtongkum, Taradon; Morishita, Teresa Y.; Ison, Aaron J.; Huang, Shouxiong; McDermott, Patrick F.; and Zhang, Qijing, "Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 72, 5. 3600-3607. (2006).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2023

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Taradon Luangtongkum, Teresa Y. Morishita, Aaron J. Ison, Shouxiong Huang, Patrick F. McDermott, and Qijing Zhang

Effect of Conventional and Organic Production Practices on the Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in Poultry

Taradon Luangtongkum, Teresa Y. Morishita, Aaron J. Ison,
Shouxiong Huang, Patrick F. McDermott and Qijing Zhang
Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006, 72(5):3600. DOI:
10.1128/AEM.72.5.3600-3607.2006.

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://aem.asm.org/content/72/5/3600>

REFERENCES

These include:

This article cites 38 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free
at: <http://aem.asm.org/content/72/5/3600#ref-list-1>

CONTENT ALERTS

Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new
articles cite this article), [more»](#)

Information about commercial reprint orders: <http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>
To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: <http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/>

Effect of Conventional and Organic Production Practices on the Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in Poultry

Taradon Luangtongkum,^{1†} Teresa Y. Morishita,^{1*} Aaron J. Ison,¹ Shouxiong Huang,^{1,2‡} Patrick F. McDermott,³ and Qijing Zhang^{1,2§}

Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, Ohio State University, 1920 Coffey Road, Columbus, Ohio 43210¹; Food Animal Health Research Program, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Ohio State University, 1680 Madison Avenue, Wooster, Ohio 44691²; and Division of Animal and Food Microbiology, Center for Veterinary Medicine, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Laurel, Maryland 20708³

Received 18 June 2005/Accepted 6 March 2006

Intestinal tracts of broilers and turkeys from 10 conventional broiler farms and 10 conventional turkey farms, where antimicrobials were routinely used, and from 5 organic broiler farms and 5 organic turkey farms, where antimicrobials had never been used, were collected and cultured for *Campylobacter* species. A total of 694 *Campylobacter* isolates from the conventional and organic poultry operations were tested for antimicrobial resistance to nine antimicrobial agents by the agar dilution method. Although *Campylobacter* species were highly prevalent in both the conventional and organic poultry operations, the antimicrobial resistance rates were significantly different between the organic operations and the conventional operations. Less than 2% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organically raised poultry were resistant to fluoroquinolones, while 46% and 67% of *Campylobacter* isolates from conventionally raised broilers and conventionally raised turkeys, respectively, were resistant to these antimicrobials. In addition, a high frequency of resistance to erythromycin (80%), clindamycin (64%), kanamycin (76%), and ampicillin (31%) was observed among *Campylobacter* isolates from conventionally raised turkeys. None of the *Campylobacter* isolates obtained in this study was resistant to gentamicin, while a large number of the isolates from both conventional and organic poultry operations were resistant to tetracycline. Multidrug resistance was observed mainly among *Campylobacter* strains isolated from the conventional turkey operation (81%). Findings from this study clearly indicate the influence of conventional and organic poultry production practices on antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* on poultry farms.

Food-borne campylobacteriosis, a major public health concern in the United States and many countries worldwide, is caused mainly by *Campylobacter jejuni* (23). It is estimated that more than 2 million cases of food-borne bacterial diarrhea that occur each year in the United States are caused by *Campylobacter* (3). In other industrialized countries, the numbers of *Campylobacter* infections exceeded those of *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections combined (2). *Campylobacter jejuni* not only is an important cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in humans but also has been associated with Guillain-Barré syndrome, an acute immune-mediated demyelinating disorder of the peripheral nervous system (7, 24). Although most *Campylobacter* infections in humans are associated with ingestion of contaminated or improperly handled/cooked foods as well as milk or dairy products, consumption of undercooked poultry and/or other foods that are cross-contam-

inated with raw poultry meat during food preparation is considered a major risk factor for food-borne campylobacteriosis (4, 7). Since thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp., including *C. jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*, are highly prevalent in chickens and turkeys (29, 34), contamination of poultry carcasses by *Campylobacter* during processing in slaughter houses occurs frequently, resulting in the potential transmission of *Campylobacter* from contaminated poultry meats to consumers.

Over the last decade, the emergence of antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* strains isolated from humans and animals in many countries around the world has increased dramatically (12, 17, 25, 39, 43). In the United States, the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance among *Campylobacter* isolates increased significantly from 1.3% in 1992 to 8% to 13% during 1996 to 1998, and this resistance trend has increased steadily since 1998 (15, 26, 37). In 2001, the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) and Nachamkin et al. found that about 19% to 40% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from humans in the United States were resistant to ciprofloxacin (15, 26). The emergence of antimicrobial resistance, particularly among food-borne pathogens, is in part because of the widespread use of antimicrobial agents in both humans and animals (17, 22, 39, 40, 43).

In conventional production practice, antimicrobial agents can be used for treatment, control, and prevention of the diseases as well as for improvement of growth and feed efficiency of the animals (17, 22, 39, 40). Organic production practice, on the other hand, has restricted the use of anti-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, Ohio State University, 1920 Coffey Road, Columbus, OH 43210. Phone: (614) 292-6924. Fax: (614) 292-4142. E-mail: morishita.1@osu.edu.

† Present address: Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Henry Dunant Road, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

‡ Present address: Department of Genetics, Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 8232, 4566 Scott Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110.

§ Present address: Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Preventive Medicine, Iowa State University, 1116 Veterinary Medicine Complex, Ames, IA 50011.

crobial substances on the farms (11). In addition to being subjected to the strict rules regarding the use of antimicrobial substances, the organic birds must be fed only on organically produced feed and supplements. Moreover, these organic birds must be provided with uncrowded living areas, and they also need to have access to fresh air, sunlight, and the outside environment (11). Although many studies of antimicrobial resistance in conventional poultry operations have been done, relatively little is known about antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* in organic poultry operations. Since no antimicrobials have been used in the organic poultry operations and the demand for organic animal produce has been increasing considerably over the last several years (10), the difference in antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional and organic poultry operations is of interest. In addition, despite the recent advances in understanding the epidemiology of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter*, relatively little is known about the impact of conventional and organic animal production practices on the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter*. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* isolates from both conventionally raised and organically raised broilers and turkeys.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. This study focused on the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* in slaughter-age birds. A total of 345 broiler and 360 turkey intestinal tracts originating from 10 conventional broiler farms and 10 conventional turkey farms were collected from processing plants, which process approximately 200,000 to 300,000 broilers and 17,000 to 21,000 turkeys per week. Since there are only a limited number of large-scale certified organic broiler and turkey farms in Ohio, only five organic broiler farms and five organic turkey farms were included in this study. A total of 355 intestinal tracts of organic broilers and 230 intestinal tracts of organic turkeys were collected from a state-inspected organic processing plant. In general, the whole intestinal tract of conventionally raised turkeys, organically raised broilers, and organically raised turkeys was manually taken out from each carcass by an employee of the processing plant, while the whole intestinal tract of conventionally raised broilers was taken out from each carcass by automated equipment. The samples in this study were collected from August 2000 to November 2002.

Antimicrobial usage data. According to direct interviews with farmers, no antimicrobial agents were used in organic broiler or turkey operations from which the samples were collected. In contrast, antimicrobial agents were used in almost every conventional poultry farm according to direct interviews with farmers or production supervisors. For conventionally raised broilers, gentamicin was the most commonly used antimicrobial. This antimicrobial agent was given to the birds at the hatchery to prevent early mortality due to *E. coli* infections. In addition to gentamicin, lincomycin was also used in some conventional broiler farms to prevent as well as to treat necrotic enteritis in conventionally raised broilers, at a dosage of 2 to 4 g/ton feed for prevention or 64 mg/gallon water for 5 to 10 days for treatment. If these conventional broiler flocks had coccidiosis, they were treated with amprolium at 0.004% in feed continuously or at 0.024% in water for 3 to 5 days. In addition, bacitracin and virginiamycin, which were supplemented in broiler feed at subtherapeutic levels in order to promote growth and improve feed efficiency as well as to prevent and control necrotic enteritis, were also used in these conventional broiler farms. If bacitracin was used for prevention of necrotic enteritis, it was given to the birds at a dosage of 100 mg/gallon water. But, if it was used for control of the disease, this antimicrobial agent was used at a dosage of 200 to 400 mg/gallon water. Virginiamycin was used to prevent necrotic enteritis in these conventionally raised broilers at a dosage of 5 to 15 g/ton feed. For the conventional broiler flocks surveyed in this study, the birds were not exposed to treatments with fluoroquinolones during the production period, according to information obtained from the producers; however, fluoroquinolones were used in the previous flocks of these conventional broiler farms. For conventionally raised turkeys, enrofloxacin was the drug routinely used for flocks with respiratory disease due to *E. coli* infections, while chlortetracycline was used only for the farms that had a high prevalence of fowl

TABLE 1. Antimicrobial test ranges, MIC quality control ranges, and MIC breakpoints used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial agent	Agar dilution test range ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	MIC quality control range of <i>C. jejuni</i> ATCC 33560 ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^b	MIC breakpoint ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^a		
			S	I	R
Ampicillin	0.06–128	N/A ^c	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Tetracycline	0.06–128	1–4	≤ 4	8	≥ 16
Gentamicin	0.06–128	0.5–4	≤ 4	8	≥ 16
Kanamycin	0.25–128	N/A	≤ 16	32	≥ 64
Clindamycin	0.06–128	N/A	≤ 0.5	1–2	≥ 4
Erythromycin	0.06–128	1–8	≤ 0.5	1–4	≥ 8
Ciprofloxacin	0.008–128	0.06–0.5	≤ 1	2	≥ 4
Norfloxacin	0.06–128	N/A	≤ 4	8	≥ 16
Nalidixic acid	0.25–128	8–32	≤ 16		≥ 32

^a MIC breakpoints for enteric bacteria for all agents except norfloxacin were used by the NARMS. MIC breakpoints for *Enterobacteriaceae* for norfloxacin were recommended by the CLSI (formerly NCCLS). S, susceptible strains; I, intermediate strains; R, resistant strains.

^b Tentative agar dilution quality control ranges of *C. jejuni* ATCC 33560 were approved by the CLSI.

^c N/A, no data available.

cholera. As with the conventionally raised broilers, bacitracin was also used as a feed additive and used to control necrotic enteritis in conventionally raised turkeys at a dosage of 400 mg/gallon water for 5 to 7 days.

Bacterial isolation and identification. The intestinal tracts were placed on ice and brought back to the laboratory within 3 h of collection and cultured for *Campylobacter* species. Each cecum was aseptically opened, and cecal contents were streaked onto Campy CVA agar containing cefoperazone, vancomycin, and amphotericin B as selective supplements (BBL Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, MD) with a sterile cotton swab. The inoculated plates were then incubated at 42°C for 48 h in a microaerophilic environment (approximately 5% O₂, 10% CO₂, and 85% N₂) in an anaerobic system jar with gas-generating system envelopes (BBL Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD). Suspect *Campylobacter* colonies were identified by colony morphology characteristics, Gram stain, an oxidase test, a catalase test, and a *Campylobacter* culture plate latex agglutination confirmation test (INDX-Campy [jcl]; PanBio InDx, Inc., Baltimore, MD). The hippurate hydrolysis test was performed to differentiate *C. jejuni* from *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species. From each *Campylobacter*-positive sample, a single colony was used for an antimicrobial susceptibility test. All *Campylobacter* isolates were stored in sterile cryovial tubes containing skim milk and 30% glycerol at –85°C prior to the antimicrobial susceptibility test.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. A total of 694 *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional and organic poultry farms were tested for antimicrobial resistance to nine antimicrobial agents, including ampicillin, tetracycline, gentamicin, kanamycin, clindamycin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, and nalidixic acid, by the agar dilution method (27). All antimicrobial agents were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, except ciprofloxacin (Serologicals Proteins, Inc., Kankakee, IL). The concentrations of most antimicrobial agents tested in this study ranged from 0.06 to 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ except for ciprofloxacin (0.008 to 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and for kanamycin and nalidixic acid (0.25 to 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) (Table 1). Briefly, *Campylobacter* isolates grown on blood agar plates for 48 h were inoculated onto Mueller-Hinton broth and then adjusted to a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard by a colorimeter. A multipoint inoculator (a Cathra replicator system) with 1-mm pins (Oxoid, Inc., Ogdensburg, NY) was used to inoculate approximately 10⁴ CFU of samples onto Mueller-Hinton agar containing a twofold concentration series of antimicrobials and supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood. *Campylobacter jejuni* ATCC 33560 was used as the quality control organism (27). While quality control ranges are not currently available for ampicillin, kanamycin, clindamycin, and norfloxacin, the MIC results for these drugs with *C. jejuni* ATCC 33560 were consistent, falling within a three-dilution range throughout the study. The inoculated plates were incubated in a CO₂ incubator (Thermo Electron Corporation, Marietta, OH) at 42°C for 24 h in a microaerophilic atmosphere of 5% O₂, 10% CO₂, and 85% N₂. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent that completely inhibited the visible growth on the plates. The resistance breakpoints for the antimicrobial agents were as follows: ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for ciprofloxacin and clindamycin, ≥ 8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for erythromycin, ≥ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for tetracycline, gentamicin, and

TABLE 2. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species in conventional and organic broiler and turkey farms

Farm ^a	No. (%) of positive samples/ total no. of samples ^b	No. (%) of positive samples		Major antimicrobial resistance pattern ^d
		<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>C. coli</i> ^c	
CB-1	20 (66.67)/30	20 (100)	0 (0)	TET or FQ
CB-2	14 (56.00)/25	14 (100)	0 (0)	TET-KAN-FQ
CB-3	23 (76.63)/30	23 (100)	0 (0)	TET
CB-4	22 (73.33)/30	22 (100)	0 (0)	TET
CB-5	30 (66.67)/45	23 (76.67)	7 (23.33)	TET-FQ
CB-6	27 (67.50)/40	27 (100)	0 (0)	TET
CB-7	24 (60.00)/40	24 (100)	0 (0)	TET-FQ
CB-8	16 (53.33)/30	16 (100)	0 (0)	TET or TET-FQ
CB-9	11 (44.00)/25	11 (100)	0 (0)	TET or KAN
CB-10	40 (80.00)/50	40 (100)	0 (0)	TET or TET-FQ
Total	227 (65.80)/345	220 (96.92)	7 (3.08)	
OB-1	85 (91.40)/93	53 (62.35)	32 (37.65)	TET
OB-2	82 (88.17)/93	61 (74.39)	21 (25.61)	TET or TET-KAN
OB-3	22 (81.48)/27	13 (59.09)	9 (40.91)	KAN or TET-KAN
OB-4	96 (100)/96	70 (72.92)	26 (27.08)	No resistance ^e
OB-5	32 (69.57)/46	32 (100)	0 (0)	TET
Total	317 (89.30)/355	229 (72.24)	88 (27.76)	
CT-1	20 (66.67)/30	8 (40)	12 (60)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY ^f
CT-2	39 (86.67)/45	7 (17.95)	32 (82.05)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ
CT-3	44 (97.78)/45	17 (38.64)	27 (61.36)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ ^g
CT-4	24 (80.00)/30	16 (66.67)	8 (33.33)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ
CT-5	19 (63.33)/30	11 (57.89)	8 (42.11)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ
CT-6	40 (88.89)/45	26 (65)	14 (35)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ ^g
CT-7	21 (70.00)/30	11 (52.38)	10 (47.62)	TET
CT-8	29 (96.67)/30	16 (55.17)	13 (44.83)	KAN-ERY ^h
CT-9	21 (70.00)/30	12 (57.14)	9 (42.86)	No specific pattern ⁱ
CT-10	42 (93.33)/45	13 (30.95)	29 (69.05)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-FQ ^g
Total	299 (83.06)/360	137 (45.82)	162 (54.18)	
OT-1	40 (93.02)/43	20 (50)	20 (50)	TET
OT-2	42 (100)/42	33 (78.57)	9 (21.43)	TET or TET-KAN
OT-3	88 (93.62)/94	49 (55.68)	39 (44.32)	KAN or TET-KAN
OT-4	1 (5.56)/18	1 (100)	0 (0)	TET
OT-5	30 (90.91)/33	30 (100)	0 (0)	TET-KAN ^j
Total	201 (87.39)/230	133 (66.17)	68 (33.83)	

^a CB, conventional broiler farm; OB, organic broiler farm; CT, conventional turkey farm; OT, organic turkey farm.

^b Number (%) of intestines positive for *Campylobacter* species/number of intestines isolated for *Campylobacter* species.

^c Number (%) of intestines positive for *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species.

^d CLI, clindamycin; ERY, erythromycin; FQ, fluoroquinolones; KAN, kanamycin; TET, tetracycline.

^e None of the *Campylobacter* isolates was resistant to antimicrobial agents tested in this study.

^f Some isolates were also resistant to fluoroquinolones and ampicillin.

^g Some isolates were also resistant to ampicillin.

^h Some isolates were also resistant to fluoroquinolones and tetracycline.

ⁱ No major antimicrobial resistance pattern was observed.

^j Only one isolate from this organic turkey farm was resistant to tetracycline and kanamycin, while the rest of the isolates were susceptible to all antimicrobial agents.

norfloxacin, ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for ampicillin and nalidixic acid, and ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for kanamycin (Table 1) (8, 28). If an isolate was resistant to three or more classes of antimicrobials, it was defined as multidrug resistant.

Statistical analysis. A chi-square test at a *P* significance level of <0.05 (two tailed), with Yates' correction for continuity, was used for comparing the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance rates of *Campylobacter* isolates between conventional and organic operations and between broilers and turkeys.

RESULTS

Prevalence of *Campylobacter*. The prevalence of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species in conventionally

raised broilers was 66%, while the prevalence of these organisms in conventionally raised turkeys was 83%. In terms of the organic poultry production systems, the prevalences of *Campylobacter* spp. in organically raised broilers and organically raised turkeys were 89% and 87%, respectively (Table 2). On the basis of the hippurate hydrolysis test, *C. jejuni* was the predominant *Campylobacter* species in conventionally raised broilers, organically raised broilers, and organically raised turkeys, whereas *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species were the predominant species in conventionally raised turkeys (Table

TABLE 3. MIC distributions and resistance rates of *C. jejuni* isolated from conventional and organic poultry farms^a

Antimicrobial agent	Operation type	No. of isolates inhibited by MIC (µg/ml) of:													MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)	No. (%) of resistant isolates ^d
		0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128		
Ampicillin	Conventional ^b	0	0	0	0	0	5	98	74	33	22	2	4	2	8/32	30 (12.50)*
	Organic ^c	0	0	0	0	1	39	80	24	56	4	4	3	0	4/16	11 (4.58)†
Tetracycline	Conventional	0	8	5	2	6	0	2	4	12	60	51	66	24	64/128	213 (88.75)*
	Organic	0	7	35	42	13	4	0	0	7	15	25	28	35	16/>128	110 (52.13)†
Gentamicin	Conventional	0	0	23	93	122	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/1	0
	Organic	0	0	39	100	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5/1	0
Kanamycin	Conventional			1	0	0	9	72	91	3	1	0	1	62	8/>128	63 (26.25)*
	Organic			0	0	6	20	69	86	1	0	0	0	29	8/>128	29 (13.74)†
Clindamycin	Conventional	0	0	1	37	127	38	4	3	1	25	4	0	0	1/32	37 (15.42)*
	Organic	0	0	1	65	110	30	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1/2	5 (2.37)†
Erythromycin	Conventional	0	0	1	3	54	107	29	4	4	1	1	2	34	2/>128	46 (19.17)*
	Organic	0	2	1	37	71	78	17	0	0	0	0	0	5	1/4	5 (2.37)†
Ciprofloxacin	Conventional	0	45	37	19	10	1	0	20	80	28	0	0	0	8/32	128 (53.33)*
	Organic	1	51	65	49	38	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0.25/1	2 (0.95)†
Norfloxacin	Conventional	0	0	9	58	34	8	0	2	0	1	48	75	5	64/128	129 (53.75)*
	Organic	0	5	77	74	41	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.5/1	2 (0.95)†
Nalidixic acid	Conventional			0	0	2	3	56	29	20	0	1	22	107	128/>128	130 (54.17)*
	Organic			0	0	0	7	135	60	7	0	0	0	2	4/8	2 (0.95)†

^a Thin vertical lines indicate the breakpoint between susceptible and intermediate strains. Thick vertical lines indicate the breakpoint between intermediate and resistant strains (except for nalidixic acid, for which it indicates the breakpoint between susceptible and resistant strains).

^b *C. jejuni* isolates from conventional poultry farms (*n* = 240).

^c *C. jejuni* isolates from organic poultry farms (*n* = 211).

^d Different symbols between operation types (conventional and organic) indicate a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) by a chi-square test with Yates' correction for continuity.

TABLE 4. MIC distributions and resistance rates of *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species isolated from conventional and organic poultry farms^a

Antimicrobial agent	Operation type	No. of isolates inhibited by MIC (µg/ml) of:													MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀ (µg/ml)	No. (%) of resistant isolates ^d
		0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128		
Ampicillin	Conventional ^b	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	58	32	9	2	19	3	16/128	33 (25.78)*
	Organic ^c	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	41	50	0	0	4	0	8/16	4 (3.49)†
Tetracycline	Conventional	0	0	0	1	7	4	2	0	1	0	13	63	37	128/>128	114 (89.06)*
	Organic	0	0	3	19	21	2	0	0	2	14	1	7	46	32/>128	70 (60.87)†
Gentamicin	Conventional	0	0	2	18	107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/1	0
	Organic	0	0	7	37	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/1	0
Kanamycin	Conventional			0	0	0	1	4	9	5	0	0	109	>128/>128	109 (85.16)*	
	Organic			0	0	0	0	10	50	5	1	0	49	8/>128	49 (42.61)†	
Clindamycin	Conventional	0	0	0	5	21	9	4	7	4	72	6	0	0	32/32	93 (72.66)*
	Organic	0	0	0	52	35	19	1	0	1	5	2	0	0	1/2	9 (7.83)†
Erythromycin	Conventional	0	0	2	0	1	3	8	8	6	5	1	2	92	>128/>128	114 (89.06)*
	Organic	0	1	13	17	10	35	24	7	0	0	0	0	8	2/8	15 (13.04)†
Ciprofloxacin	Conventional	0	3	14	10	17	0	1	2	13	60	7	1	0	32/32	84 (65.63)*
	Organic	0	4	38	29	39	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.5/1	1 (0.87)†
Norfloxacin	Conventional	0	0	3	12	20	9	0	2	0	1	37	41	3	64/128	82 (64.06)*
	Organic	0	0	4	70	35	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.5/1	1 (0.87)†
Nalidixic acid	Conventional			0	0	0	0	5	24	17	0	1	22	59	128/>128	82 (64.06)*
	Organic			0	0	0	0	61	45	8	0	0	0	1	4/8	1 (0.87)†

^a Thin vertical lines indicate the breakpoint between susceptible and intermediate strains. Thick vertical lines indicate the breakpoint between intermediate and resistant strains (except for nalidixic acid, for which it indicates the breakpoint between susceptible and resistant strains).

^b *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional poultry farms (*n* = 128).

^c *Campylobacter* isolates from organic poultry farms (*n* = 115).

^d Different symbols between operation types (conventional and organic) indicate a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) by a chi-square test with Yates' correction for continuity.

TABLE 5. Resistance rates of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from different poultry production systems

Antimicrobial agent	No. (%) of resistant strains isolated from ^a :			
	Conventional broiler farms (n = 167)	Organic broiler farms (n = 165)	Conventional turkey farms (n = 201)	Organic turkey farms (n = 161)
Ampicillin	0 A	5 (3.03) A	63 (31.34) B	10 (6.21) A
Tetracycline	141 (84.43) A	99 (60) B	186 (92.54) C	81 (50.31) B
Gentamicin	0	0	0	0
Kanamycin	19 (11.38) A	28 (16.97) A	153 (76.12) B	50 (31.06) C
Clindamycin	2 (1.20) A	9 (5.45) A	129 (64.18) B	5 (3.11) A
Erythromycin	0 A	15 (9.09) B	160 (79.60) C	5 (3.11) D
Ciprofloxacin	76 (45.51) A	0 B	136 (67.66) C	3 (1.86) B
Norfloxacin	77 (46.11) A	0 B	134 (66.67) C	3 (1.86) B
Nalidixic acid	77 (46.11) A	0 B	135 (67.16) C	3 (1.86) B

^a Antimicrobial resistance rates of *Campylobacter* isolates from different poultry production systems are compared by a chi-square test with Yates' correction for continuity. Numbers in the same row with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$), while numbers with the same letters do not differ significantly.

2). In this study, *Campylobacter* spp. could be isolated from every conventional and organic broiler and turkey farm. The prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in conventional broiler farms ranged from 44% to 80%, while the prevalence of these organisms in conventional turkey farms ranged from 63% to 98%. Likewise, the prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. ranged from 70% to 100% in organic broiler farms and 6% to 100% in organic turkey farms (Table 2).

Antimicrobial resistance patterns. The MIC distributions and the MICs at which 50% and 90% of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species were inhibited are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. In general, a wider range of MICs of most antimicrobials was observed mainly among *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional poultry farms than among the isolates from organic poultry farms, except the MIC of gentamicin, for which the lowest concentrations of this antimicrobial agent against *Campylobacter* strains isolated from both operation types were comparable. When the MIC₉₀ and the resistance breakpoint of each antimicrobial agent were compared, the MIC₉₀ values of ampicillin, clindamycin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, and nalidixic acid for *Campylobacter* isolates from conventionally raised broilers and turkeys were higher than their resistance breakpoints, while the MIC₉₀ values of these antimicrobials for the isolates from organically raised broilers and turkeys were lower than the resistance breakpoints. Overall, the MIC₉₀ values of these antimicrobial agents for *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventional poultry farms were higher than those for the strains isolated from organic poultry farms (Tables 3 and 4). Although *Campylobacter* strains isolated from both conventional and organic poultry op-

erations in this study were uniformly susceptible to gentamicin, with an MIC₉₀ of ≤ 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, these *Campylobacter* isolates were highly resistant to tetracycline, with an MIC₉₀ of ≥ 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

One of the most striking findings in this study was the difference in quinolone and fluoroquinolone resistance between *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventional poultry farms and organic poultry farms. Approximately 46% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised broilers and 67% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised turkeys were resistant to ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, and nalidixic acid. In contrast, none of the *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organically raised broilers and less than 2% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organically raised turkeys were resistant to these antimicrobials (Table 5). Compared to *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised broilers and organically raised broilers and turkeys, the isolates from the conventional turkey operation were significantly more resistant to erythromycin, clindamycin, kanamycin, tetracycline, and ampicillin ($P < 0.05$) (Table 5). Regardless of the sources of isolation, none of the *Campylobacter* strains tested in this study were resistant to gentamicin, while more than 80% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised broilers and turkeys and 50% to 60% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organically raised broilers and turkeys were resistant to tetracycline (Table 5). In terms of multidrug resistance, the occurrence of multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter* strains was observed mainly among the isolates from conventionally raised turkeys, with 81% of these isolates showing resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobials (Table 6). Moreover, about 58% of *Campylobacter* isolates

TABLE 6. Major multidrug resistance patterns of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species isolated from conventional and organic poultry operations

Operation type (no. of isolates)	No. (%) of multidrug-resistant strains			Resistance pattern ^b
	<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>C. coli</i> ^a	Total	
Conventional broiler farms (167)	15 (8.98)	0	15 (8.98)	TET-KAN-CIP-NOR-NAL
Organic broiler farms (165)	4 (2.42)	7 (4.24)	11 (6.67)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY
Conventional turkey farms (201)	59 (29.35)	104 (51.74)	163 (81.09) ^c	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY-CIP-NOR-NAL
Organic turkey farms (161)	4 (2.48)	4 (2.48)	8 (4.97)	TET-KAN-CLI-ERY

^a *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species.

^b AMP, ampicillin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CLI, clindamycin; ERY, erythromycin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; NOR, norfloxacin; TET, tetracycline.

^c The prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter* strains in conventionally raised turkeys was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than that of other operation types.

from conventionally raised turkeys were resistant to both erythromycin and ciprofloxacin, whereas none of the *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised broilers and organically raised broilers and turkeys was concomitantly resistant to these antimicrobial agents. When antimicrobial resistance in individual conventional and organic broiler and turkey farms was investigated, tetracycline resistance was the major resistance pattern observed in almost every conventional broiler farm, organic broiler farm, and organic turkey farm (Table 2). Unlike the isolates from conventionally raised broilers and organically raised broilers and turkeys, the majority of *Campylobacter* isolates from 6 out of 10 conventional turkey farms were multidrug resistant to tetracycline, kanamycin, clindamycin, erythromycin, and fluoroquinolones (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study, it is clearly shown that thermophilic *Campylobacter* is highly prevalent in both organic and conventional poultry production systems. However, the antimicrobial resistance rates vary significantly in different production types. In general, conventionally raised broilers and turkeys harbor more antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* strains than organically raised broilers and turkeys, and the differences are obvious with fluoroquinolones. The highest resistance rates and multidrug resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobials are observed mainly among the isolates from the conventional turkey operation.

Although the prevalences of *Campylobacter* species in conventionally raised broilers and organically raised broilers were significantly different ($P < 0.05$), it should be noted that the average ages of the birds at the processing plants were not the same. Since the average market age of these organically raised broilers was about 8 to 12 weeks old, compared to 6 weeks old for conventionally raised broilers, the high prevalence of *Campylobacter* strains in organically raised broilers in part seems to be associated with the increased age of the birds at slaughter. The prevalences of *Campylobacter* species in conventionally raised and organically raised turkeys, on the other hand, were not significantly different ($P = 0.19$). This is likely because conventionally raised turkeys and organically raised turkeys were sent to the processing plants at approximately the same age (18 to 20 weeks). The association between the *Campylobacter* colonization rate and the age of the birds at the processing plant was also noted by other studies, which indicated that the prevalence of *Campylobacter* in poultry elevated when the age of the birds at the processing plant increased (6, 13, 29, 30). Besides the market age of the birds, other factors such as environmental exposure, which is seen particularly in organic poultry operations, can also play a role in the prevalence of *Campylobacter* in poultry (16, 29).

Although *Campylobacter* spp. could be isolated from every conventional and organic poultry farm, it should be noted that the prevalences of these organisms varied among farms. Among *Campylobacter*-positive flocks, *C. jejuni* was the predominant species in both conventional broiler farms and organic broiler farms, although the prevalence of *C. jejuni* in conventionally raised broilers was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than that in organically

raised broilers. The high prevalence of *C. jejuni* in conventionally raised and organically raised broilers was also reported in other studies (5, 6, 13, 16, 42). In contrast, the predominant *Campylobacter* species in the conventional turkey operation was different from that in the organic turkey operation. *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species were the predominant species in conventionally raised turkeys, while *C. jejuni* was the predominant species in organically raised turkeys. Although *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species are the predominant *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised turkeys in this study, it should be noted that the distributions of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species in the conventional turkey operation are remarkably different among studies. As mentioned earlier, about 46% and 54% of *Campylobacter* isolates from conventionally raised turkeys in this study were identified as *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species, respectively, while Wallace et al. reported that almost 100% of *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional turkey flocks were *C. jejuni* (41). In contrast, Smith et al. revealed that 80% to 90% of *Campylobacter* strains colonizing turkey flocks were *C. coli* (36).

A significant difference ($P < 0.001$) in quinolone and fluoroquinolone resistance rates between *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventional poultry operations and organic poultry operations was observed in this study. Since fluoroquinolones are used for therapeutic purpose only, it is not unusual that some conventional broiler and turkey flocks in this study were not treated with these antimicrobial agents. Although no fluoroquinolones were used in the conventional broiler flocks from which the samples were collected, they were used in previous flocks. In addition, because certain quinolone-resistant clones were stable and able to persist on the farms during several rotations even though there had been no selective pressure on that farm for a long period of time (31, 32) and because fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* strains could out-compete fluoroquinolone-susceptible *Campylobacter* strains in the absence of antimicrobial usage (21), it is not surprising that a high fluoroquinolone resistance rate was observed among *Campylobacter* strains isolated from conventionally raised broilers in this study. This finding is consistent with previous studies by Pedersen and Wedderkopp and Price et al., who also reported that fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates continued to persist in the flocks that did not use these antimicrobial agents (31, 32). Since fluoroquinolones have never been used in organic poultry operations, it is not surprising that there was little or no resistance to this class of antimicrobials in *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organic poultry farms.

Compared to *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organic poultry operations, both *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species isolated from conventional poultry operations, particularly the isolates from conventionally raised turkeys, had significantly higher resistance rates ($P < 0.05$) not only to quinolone and fluoroquinolones but also to erythromycin, clindamycin, kanamycin, tetracycline, and ampicillin than the isolates from organically raised poultry. The high prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter* strains observed in almost every conventional turkey farm in this study is interesting, since not all antimicrobial agents to which *Campylobacter* isolates from conventionally raised turkeys were resistant were used in

those conventional turkey farms. However, due to the persistence and transmission of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates, the antimicrobial resistance rate in a particular flock may not be directly correlated with the antimicrobial usage data. The occurrence of multidrug resistance among *Campylobacter* isolates from turkeys was also reported by Lee et al. (18).

The high prevalence of tetracycline resistance in *Campylobacter* isolates from organically raised broilers and turkeys observed in this study is quite interesting. Although tetracycline had never been used in those organic poultry farms, tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter* strains were present in four out of five organic poultry farms surveyed in this study. The high prevalence of tetracycline resistance in *Campylobacter* isolates from the organic production system was also reported by other studies (10, 35). Tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter* strains were not limited to the isolates from organic broilers and turkeys; these strains were also noticed among *Campylobacter* isolates from organic dairy cattle (35). Since tetracyclines have been used as feed additives for livestock and poultry for both therapeutic and subtherapeutic purposes for a long period of time (9, 14), it is possible that *Campylobacter* may have evolutionally become resistant to this class of antimicrobials, leading to the widespread distribution of tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter* in animal reservoirs regardless of the production types. As with tetracycline resistance, the occurrence of kanamycin resistance was also observed in *Campylobacter* strains isolated from organically raised broilers and turkeys. However, these kanamycin-resistant *Campylobacter* strains were present mainly in only two organic poultry farms.

Interestingly, none of the *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* plus other *Campylobacter* species isolated from both conventionally raised and organically raised broilers and turkeys in our study was resistant to gentamicin. This finding is in agreement with previous studies by other research groups (19, 20), who reported that no gentamicin resistance was observed among *Campylobacter* isolates from poultry, except for one study from Spain (33), indicating that 25% of *Campylobacter* strains isolated from broilers were resistant to this antimicrobial. Although gentamicin was the most commonly used antimicrobial in conventionally raised broilers in this study, it was given to the birds at the hatchery by subcutaneous injection in the neck region. Since gentamicin is seldom absorbed in the gut (1) and *Campylobacter* is rarely present in the intestinal tracts of the birds during the first week of life, it is not surprising that the use of gentamicin has little or no impact on the selection of gentamicin resistance in *Campylobacter* species.

In this study, the difference in antimicrobial resistance rates between conventional poultry operations and organic poultry operations was observed mainly among *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* species isolates rather than among *C. jejuni* isolates. Consistent with other findings (5, 19, 33), the high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance, particularly to erythromycin, clindamycin, and kanamycin, in this study was much more common in *C. coli* and other *Campylobacter* strains than in *C. jejuni*. A coresistance between erythromycin and clindamycin among *Campylobacter* isolates was also observed in this study as well as in other studies (19, 33, 38).

In summary, this study revealed significant differences in

antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates between conventional poultry operations and organic poultry operations. The results suggest that the practice of antimicrobial usage in conventional poultry production systems influences the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* organisms in conventionally raised broilers and turkeys. However, antimicrobial usage alone may not be solely responsible for the increased antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* because even in the absence of antimicrobial exposure, a high level of tetracycline resistance was observed in organically raised broilers and turkeys. Similarly, the resistance rates to fluoroquinolones were also high in the surveyed conventional broiler flocks which were not directly exposed to the class of antimicrobials during the entire production period. These observations suggest that antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates are stable and able to transmit and persist in poultry even in the absence of selection pressure. Together, these findings reveal the complex nature of the occurrence and spread of antimicrobial resistance as well as underscore the difficulty in eliminating antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates, especially fluoroquinolone-resistant strains, from conventional poultry productions. In addition, this study also further highlights the need for prudent measures to prevent the occurrence and transmission of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* in the poultry reservoir.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sonya M. Bodeis at the Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, for her technical assistance in this study. We also thank Amna B. El-Tayeb, Elisabeth J. Angrick, and fellow colleagues at the Avian Disease Investigation Laboratory at Ohio State University for their help, advice, and technical support.

This work was supported by National Research Initiative competitive grants 00-51110-9741 and 2003-35212-13316 from the USDA Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service and grant 2003-38640-13225 from the North Central Region program for Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (NCR-SARE).

REFERENCES

- Allos, B. M. 1998. *Campylobacter*, p. 1810–1817. In S. L. Gorbach, J. G. Bartlett, and N. R. Blacklow (ed.), *Infectious diseases*, 2nd ed. W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Allos, B. M. 2001. *Campylobacter jejuni* infections: update on emerging issues and trends. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **32**:1201–1206.
- Altekruse, S. F., N. J. Stern, P. I. Fields, and D. L. Swerdlow. 1999. *Campylobacter jejuni*—an emerging foodborne pathogen. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **5**:28–35.
- Altekruse, S. F., and L. K. Tollefson. 2003. Human campylobacteriosis: a challenge for the veterinary profession. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **223**:445–452.
- Avrain, L., F. Humbert, R. L'Hospitalier, P. Sanders, C. Vernozy-Rozand, and I. Kempf. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* from broilers: association with production type and antimicrobial use. *Vet. Microbiol.* **96**:267–276.
- Berndtson, E., U. Emanuelson, A. Engvall, and M. L. Danielsson-Tham. 1996. A 1-year epidemiological study of campylobacters in 18 Swedish chicken farms. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **26**:167–185.
- Blaser, M. J. 1997. Epidemiologic and clinical features of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections. *J. Infect. Dis.* **176**(Suppl. 2):S103–S105.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2003. The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System—Enteric Bacteria, 2001 annual report. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS), Atlanta, Ga.
- Chopra, I., and M. Roberts. 2001. Tetracycline antimicrobials: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **65**:232–260.
- Cui, S., B. Ge, J. Zheng, and J. Meng. 2005. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* serovars in organic chickens from Maryland retail stores. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**:4108–4111.
- El-Shibiny, A., P. L. Connerton, and I. F. Connerton. 2005. Enumeration and diversity of campylobacters and bacteriophages isolated during the rearing cycles of free-range and organic chickens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**:1259–1266.

12. Engberg, J., F. M. Aarestrup, D. E. Taylor, P. Gerner-Smidt, and I. Nachamkin. 2001. Quinolone and macrolide resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli*: resistance mechanisms and trends in human isolates. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 7:24–34.
13. Evans, S. J., and A. R. Sayers. 2000. A longitudinal study of campylobacter infection of broiler flocks in Great Britain. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 46:209–223.
14. Fallon, R., N. O'Sullivan, M. Maher, and C. Carroll. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from broiler chickens isolated at an Irish poultry processing plant. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 36:277–281.
15. Gupta, A., J. M. Nelson, T. J. Barrett, R. V. Tauxe, S. P. Rossiter, C. R. Friedman, K. W. Joyce, K. E. Smith, T. F. Jones, M. A. Hawkins, B. Shiferaw, J. L. Beebe, D. J. Vugia, T. Rabatsky-Ehr, J. A. Benson, T. P. Root, and F. J. Angulo. 2004. Antimicrobial resistance among *Campylobacter* strains, United States, 1997–2001. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10:1102–1109.
16. Heuer, O. E., K. Pedersen, J. S. Andersen, and M. Madsen. 2001. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of thermophilic *Campylobacter* in organic and conventional broiler flocks. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 33:269–274.
17. Khachatourians, G. G. 1998. Agricultural use of antimicrobials and the evolution and transfer of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 159:1129–1136.
18. Lee, B. C., N. Reimers, H. J. Barnes, C. D'Lima, D. Carver, and S. Kathariou. 2005. Strain persistence and fluctuation of multiple-antimicrobial resistant *Campylobacter coli* colonizing turkeys over successive production cycles. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 2:103–110.
19. Li, C. C., C. H. Chiu, J. L. Wu, Y. C. Huang, and T. Y. Lin. 1998. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *coli* by using E-test in Taiwan. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 30:39–42.
20. Lubber, P., J. Wagner, H. Hahn, and E. Bartelt. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* strains isolated in 1991 and 2001–2002 from poultry and humans in Berlin, Germany. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47:3825–3830.
21. Luo, N., S. Pereira, O. Sahin, J. Lin, S. Huang, L. Michel, and Q. Zhang. 2005. Enhanced *in vivo* fitness of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* in the absence of antimicrobial selection pressure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:541–546.
22. McEwen, S. A., and P. J. Fedorka-Cray. 2002. Antimicrobial use and resistance in animals. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 34:S93–S106.
23. Mead, P. S., L. Slutsker, V. Dietz, L. F. McCaig, J. S. Bresee, C. Shapiro, P. M. Griffin, and R. V. Tauxe. 1999. Food-related illness and death in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5:607–625.
24. Nachamkin, I., B. M. Allos, and T. Ho. 1998. *Campylobacter* species and Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 11:555–567.
25. Nachamkin, I., J. Engberg, and F. M. Aarestrup. 2000. Diagnosis and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Campylobacter* species, p. 45–66. *In I. Nachamkin and M. J. Blaser (ed.), Campylobacter*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
26. Nachamkin, I., H. Ung, and M. Li. 2002. Increasing fluoroquinolone resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni*, Pennsylvania, USA, 1982–2001. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 8:1501–1503.
27. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 2002. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacterial isolated from animals. Approved standard M31–A2. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
28. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 2002. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 12th informational supplement. M100–S12. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
29. Newell, D. G., and C. Fearnley. 2003. Sources of *Campylobacter* colonization in broiler chickens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69:4343–4351.
30. Northcutt, J. K., M. E. Berrang, J. A. Dickens, D. L. Fletcher, and N. A. Cox. 2003. Effect of broiler age, feed withdrawal, and transportation on levels of coliforms, *Campylobacter*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* on carcasses before and after immersion chilling. *Poult. Sci.* 82:169–173.
31. Pedersen, K., and A. Wedderkopp. 2003. Resistance to quinolones in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* from Danish broilers at farm level. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 94:111–119.
32. Price, L. B., E. Johnson, R. Vailes, and E. Silbergeld. 2005. Fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates from conventional and antimicrobial-free chicken products. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 113:557–560.
33. Saenz, Y., M. Zarazaga, M. Lantero, M. J. Gastanares, F. Baquero, and C. Torres. 2000. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* strains isolated from animals, foods, and humans in Spain in 1997–1998. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44:267–271.
34. Sahin, O., T. Y. Morishita, and Q. Zhang. 2002. *Campylobacter* colonization in poultry: sources of infection and modes of transmission. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* 3:95–105.
35. Sato, K., P. C. Bartlett, J. B. Kaneene, and F. P. Downes. 2004. Comparison of prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from organic and conventional dairy herds in Wisconsin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:1442–1447.
36. Smith, K., N. Reimers, H. J. Barnes, B. C. Lee, R. Siletsky, and S. Kathariou. 2004. *Campylobacter* colonization of sibling turkey flocks reared under different management conditions. *J. Food Prot.* 67:1463–1468.
37. Smith, K. E., J. M. Besser, C. W. Hedberg, F. T. Leano, J. B. Bender, J. H. Wicklund, B. P. Johnson, K. A. Moore, M. T. Osterholm, and the Investigation Team. 1999. Quinolone-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in Minnesota, 1992–1998. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 340:1525–1532.
38. Taylor, D. E., and P. Courvalin. 1988. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 32:1107–1112.
39. Threlfall, E. J., L. R. Ward, J. A. Frost, and G. A. Willshaw. 2000. The emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance in foodborne bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 62:1–5.
40. Van den Bogaard, A. E., and E. E. Stobberingh. 1999. Antimicrobial usage in animals: impact on bacterial resistance and public health. *Drugs* 58:589–607.
41. Wallace, J. S., K. N. Stanley, and K. Jones. 1998. The colonization of turkeys by thermophilic campylobacters. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 85:224–230.
42. Wedderkopp, A., E. Rattenborg, and M. Madsen. 2000. National surveillance of *Campylobacter* in broilers at slaughter in Denmark in 1998. *Avian Dis.* 44:993–999.
43. White, D. G., S. Zhao, S. Simjee, D. D. Wagner, and P. F. McDermott. 2002. Antimicrobial resistance of foodborne pathogens. *Microbes Infect.* 4:405–412.