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Bloodstream infections are an important cause of morbidity and mortality. Physician orders for blood cultures often specify that blood specimens be collected at or around the time of a temperature elevation, presumably as a means of enhancing the likelihood of detecting significant bacteremia. In a multicenter study, which utilized retrospective patient chart reviews as a means of collecting data, we evaluated the timing of blood culture collection in relation to temperature elevations in 1,436 patients with bacteremia and fungemia. The likelihood of documenting bloodstream infections was not significantly enhanced by collecting blood specimens for culture at the time that patients experienced temperature spikes. A subset analysis based on patient age, gender, white blood cell count and specific cause of bacteremia generally also failed to reveal any associations.

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) occur more than 200,000 times annually in the United States, with associated mortality rates of 35 to 60% (20, 33, 34). Prompt administration of appropriate antimicrobial therapy plays an important role in reducing the mortality associated with this condition (9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, 26, 31). In patients with bacteremia, the optimization of therapy is ultimately predicated on rapid documentation of positive blood cultures, expeditious performance of in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility tests, and timely reporting of results (2, 23, 33). Numerous factors influence the likelihood of detecting bacteremia. These factors include the volume of blood specimens cultured and the number of blood cultures performed (1, 8, 10, 11, 17, 21, 25, 27, 29). The conventional practice has been to obtain blood specimens at or around the time of a temperature elevation as a means of enhancing the likelihood of documenting bacteremia (5, 32). This practice is based on the principle that the presence of organisms in the intravascular space leads to the elaboration of cytokines, which in turn cause body temperatures to rise.

The value of attempting to time the collection of blood for culture around temperature elevations is complicated by the fact that many patients with bacteremia, especially those that are elderly, may be hypothermic at the time that they are bacteremic or may be unable to mount a febrile response to infection (7). Furthermore, there are numerous causes of fever other than bacteremia, e.g., ischemia, drug reactions, immunological conditions, and malignancy (4). This issue is further complicated by the observation made more than 50 years ago by Bennett and Beeson: bacteremia actually precedes temperature elevations by 1 or 2 h (3). These researchers noted that blood cultures were frequently negative at the time of the temperature spike and concluded that, ideally, blood cultures should be drawn some time prior to elevations in temperature. More recently, Jaimes et al. found that fever was not a useful independent predictor of bacteremia and needed to be considered in light of other factors, such as hypotension, white blood cell (WBC) counts, and the presence or absence of shaking chills (13).

We know of only one previous study that has attempted to objectively address the utility of collecting blood cultures around the time of temperature elevations. Thomson and colleagues found that rates of bacteremia detection were not enhanced by collecting blood cultures at the time that patients were noted to have temperature spikes. This investigation, however, was limited in size, was conducted in only one medical center, and has not been reported in the peer-reviewed literature (30).

In order to systematically determine whether the timing of specimen collection for blood cultures vis-à-vis the occurrence of temperature elevations optimizes the detection of bacteremia and fungemia, we performed a seven-center study, based on retrospective reviews of medical records, among a large number of patients (18 years of age and older) with BSIs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The medical records of 1,436 patients, 18 years of age or older, with significant bacteremia or fungemia in seven different U.S. medical centers were reviewed retrospectively during 2006. Four large, tertiary care, university-affiliated medical centers (the University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics, Iowa City, IA; Johns Hopkins University Medical Center, Baltimore, MD; Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; and the University of....
Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX), two Veterans Affairs medical centers (VA Boston Healthcare System, West Roxbury, MA, and the VA Medical Center, Portland, OR), and one non-university-affiliated tertiary care referral center (the Geisinger Medical Center, Danville, PA) participated in this study. At each participating institution, medical records from 200 to 250 consecutive unique patients with BSIs were reviewed. The clinical significance of blood culture isolates was determined in each participating center according to the criteria used in each center for assessing positive blood cultures.

The first significant positive blood culture obtained from an individual patient was defined as the index positive blood culture (IPBC). The time that the specimen for this culture was obtained was defined as the time of the IPBC (T-IPBC). Three temperatures posted in the patient's medical record, together with the time that they were obtained, were noted: the highest temperature recorded during the 24-h period prior to the T-IPBC, the temperature recorded on the medical record closest to the T-IPBC, and the highest temperature recorded during the 24-h period after the T-IPBC. A time to maximum concentration of drug in serum (T_{max}) was determined as having been recorded when one of these three temperatures was at least 0.5°C above the higher of the other two (19, 24, 28). The following additional information was recorded: the identity of the organism recovered from the IPBC, patient age and gender, and WBC count determined at the time closest to the T-IPBC. Data were collected, and this study was performed in accordance with the dictates of the institutional review board of each participating institution. The significance of differences between various comparison groups was assessed using the Chi-square goodness-of-fit test (6).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the total of 1,436 patients assessed in this study, 67% were men and 33% were women. The average age for all patients was 58.9 years (range, 18 to 97). The organisms recovered from the IBPCs are listed in Table 1. Among all IPBCs in this study, 54.1% yielded gram-positive bacteria, 38.2% gram-negative bacilli, and 7.7% gram-positive cocci.

![Temperature records and T_{max}s from 1,436 patients with bacteremia or fungemia](http://jcm.asm.org/)

**FIG. 1.** Temperature records and T_{max}s from 1,436 patients with bacteremia or fungemia. 1. The percentage of temperatures at the indicated time interval that were T_{max}s.
yielded gram-negative bacteria, 2.6% grew anaerobes, and 5.0% grew yeast. The most commonly recovered organisms were *Staphylococcus aureus* (*n* = 382), coagulase-negative staphylococci (*n* = 160), *Enterococcus* spp. (*n* = 139), *Escherichia coli* (*n* = 196), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*n* = 62), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*n* = 108).

Among the 1,436 episodes of BSI examined in this study, a total of 3,937 temperatures recorded within ±24 h of the T-IPBC were excerpted for analysis. The times these temperatures were recorded relative to the T-IPBC are depicted in Fig. 1. In 933 patients (65%), one of the three temperatures recorded within the 48-h time frame was found to represent a *T* max. The percentage of temperatures recorded at each time interval were 0.619 for *S. aureus*, 0.113 for CONS, 0.389 for *Enterococcus* spp., 0.589 for *E. coli*, 0.368 for *Enterobacter cloacae*, 0.265 for *P. aeruginosa*, and 0.840 for *K. pneumoniae*.

Temperature associations were also analyzed according to specific organisms recovered from IPBCs (Table 3) and according to the specific cause of the bacteremia.

### Table 3. Distribution of *T* maxs at various intervals before and after an IPBC was obtained from 1,436 adult patients in seven different United States medical centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>No. of temps recorded at each time interval (% temps that were a <em>T</em> max) for* a*</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ctr 1</td>
<td>Ctr 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 24 h</td>
<td>27 (11)</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixteen to 24 h</td>
<td>35 (23)</td>
<td>23 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight to 16 h</td>
<td>38 (26)</td>
<td>23 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two to 8 h</td>
<td>78 (21)</td>
<td>77 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or 2 h</td>
<td>31 (3)</td>
<td>38 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirty to 60 min</td>
<td>40 (0)</td>
<td>38 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero to 30 min</td>
<td>41 (2)</td>
<td>44 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-IPBC</td>
<td>16 (38)</td>
<td>28 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero to 30 min</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>33 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirty to 60 min</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td>22 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or 2 h</td>
<td>15 (13)</td>
<td>28 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two to 8 h</td>
<td>62 (26)</td>
<td>70 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight to 16 h</td>
<td>63 (41)</td>
<td>52 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixteen to 24 h</td>
<td>38 (32)</td>
<td>51 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 24 h</td>
<td>36 (28)</td>
<td>30 (40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Distribution of *T* maxs at various intervals before and after an IPBC was obtained from 1,436 adult patients in seven different United States medical centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>No. of temps recorded at each time interval (% temps that were a <em>T</em> max) for* a*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ctr 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 24 h</td>
<td>342 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour prior to 1 h after the T-IPBC</td>
<td>222 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour to &gt;24 h after the T-IPBC</td>
<td>425 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a Ctr, center.
TABLE 4. Distribution of $T_{\text{max}}$s at various intervals before or after an IPBC was drawn in patients with bloodstream infections analyzed with respect to patient age, gender, and WBC count*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>No. of temps recorded at each time interval (% temps that were $T_{\text{max}}$) analyzed according to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 24 h to 1 h prior to the T-IPBC</td>
<td>107 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour prior to 1 h after the T-IPBC</td>
<td>86 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour to &gt;24 h after the T-IPBC</td>
<td>125 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $P$ values for the number of temperatures recorded at each time interval were 0.016 for patients 18 to 30 years old, 0.401 for patients 30 to 65 years old, 0.756 for patients more than 65 years old, 0.240 for males, 0.453 for females, 0.589 for WBC counts of <3,700, 0.634 for WBC counts of 3,700 to 10,500, and 0.260 for WBC counts of >10,500.

One limitation of this study was the fact that we examined only the distribution of temperature elevations during time intervals before and after an IPBC was obtained. It would have been informative to have compared this cohort of patients to a matched cohort of patients whose blood cultures remained negative. The omission of patients with negative blood cultures does not, however, change our overall findings that irrespective of subset analysis, the percentages of temperatures obtained that were found to be $T_{\text{max}}$s were essentially comparable over the entire time period examined, i.e., 24 h before through 24 h after the time an IPBC was obtained.

We conclude from the results of this investigation that it is not necessary in routine practice to collect blood for culture at the time that adult patients are experiencing a temperature elevation as a means for optimizing the detection of bacteremia. In individuals 18 years of age and older, the timing of collection of blood specimens for culture can be predicated on convenience. The emphasis should be on obtaining specimens of adequate volume, the performance of suitable numbers of blood cultures, and the use of strict aseptic technique.
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Volume 46, no. 4, p. 1381–1385, 2008. Page 1383, Table 2, column 9, line 8: “138 (44)” should read “108 (44).”

Page 1383, column 1, line 5 from bottom: “138 patients” should read “108 patients.”

Page 1383, column 1, line 2 from bottom: “138 temperatures” should read “108 temperatures.”