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ABSTRACT

Recent advances in molecularly targeted modular designs for in vivo imaging applications has thrusted open possibilities of investigating deep molecular interactions non-invasively and dynamically. The shifting landscape of biomarker concentration and cellular interactions throughout pathological progression requires quick adaptation of imaging agents and detection modalities for accurate readouts. The synergy of state of art instrumentation with molecularly targeted molecules is resulting in more precise, accurate and reproducible data sets, which is facilitating investigation of several novel questions. Small molecules, peptides, antibodies and nanoparticles are some of the commonly used molecular targeting vectors that can be applied for imaging as well as therapy. The field of theranostics, which encompasses joint application of therapy and imaging, is successfully leveraging the multifunctional use of these biomolecules [1,2].  

Sensitive detection of cancerous lesions and accurate assessment of treatment response has been transformative for patient management. Particularly, since bone metastasis is one of the dominant causes of morbidity and mortality in cancer patients, imaging can be hugely impactful in this patient population. The intent of this review is to highlight the utility of molecular positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in the context of prostate and breast bone metastatic cancer, and multiple myeloma. Furthermore, comparisons are drawn with traditionally utilized bone scans (skeletal scintigraphy). Both these modalities can be synergistic or complementary for assessing lytic- and blastic- bone lesions.

1. Introduction

Breast [3] and prostate [4] cancer has high propensity to metastasize to bone, which is a significant contributor of morbidity. Multiple myeloma is another cancer that originates in the bone marrow and causes multiple osteolytic lesions in the skeleton [5]. There is an unambiguous negative correlation between bone metastasis and survival [6]; necessitating efficient methods to detect bone metastasis in a timely manner. Advancements in molecular imaging approaches has improved accuracy of diagnosis, staging and therapy response [7–9]. Clinical imaging of bone, including the bone marrow utilizes a spectrum of imaging modalities such as: X-ray based radiological planar and tomographic (computed tomography (CT)) imaging, high-spatial resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and nuclear imaging (single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET)). Each of these approaches has its advantages and limitations. It is desirable that the imaging modality is sensitive, specific and able to capture the heterogeneous biology of the disease. Bone metastasis can lead to osteoblastic or osteolytic lesions [10], and multiple myeloma is characterized by diffuse as well as focal spread in the bone marrow, including lytic bone lesions. This review is specifically focused on molecular PET and bone scans for investigating bone metastasis in breast and prostate cancer, and multiple myeloma. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a modality that provides high spatial resolution in the osseous tissue. However, MRI is beyond the scope of this review and best reviewed elsewhere.

2. Discussion

X-ray based imaging modalities are excellent for evaluation of bone, as the opacity of bone relative to other soft tissues generates considerable contrast. In addition, X-ray based scans are high-throughput, readily available, and overall, well tolerated by the patient. While X-ray based imaging has historically been fundamental for detecting a
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Molecule</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose ($^{18}$F-FDG)</td>
<td>99mTc half-life ($t_{1/2}$): 109.8 min</td>
<td>Positron Emission Tomography (PET) imaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $^{18}$F-sodium fluoride ($^{18}$F-NaF) | PET imaging | $^{18}$F-FDG targets metabolically active cells including tumor lesions and inflammatory cells. Quantification of bone turnover. Radioactive fluoride ion ($^{18}$F) is incorporated into hydroxyapatite, and its uptake reflects osteoblastic activity and bone perfusion. $^{99}$mTc-MDP targets bone formation associated with malignant bone lesions. $^{99}$mTc-HDP for SPECT imaging. $^{99}$mTc-sodium fluoride ($^{18}$F-NaF) PET imaging. $^{18}$F-fluciclovine PET imaging. $^{18}$F-FDG PET imaging. $^{18}$F-FDG PET with contrast-enhanced CT or bone scintigraphy. $^{18}$F-FDG PET plus contrast-enhanced CT or bone scintigraphy. $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT for postoperative surveillance. $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT combined had 98.6 % sensitivity, 99.2 % specificity, 99.4 % positive predictive value (PPV), and 99.5 % negative predictive value (NPV). Sensitivity of $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT versus bone scintigraphy in breast cancer patients after primary treatment is important in monitoring and detecting local recurrences and distant metastasis as early as possible [15]. The study by Jung et al compared $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT with conventional imaging for detection of local recurrence or distant metastasis during postoperative surveillance of patients with stage II or greater breast cancer (1,611 patients) [16]. Conventional imaging included mammography, breast ultrasound, whole-body bone scintigraphy, and chest radiography. Conventional imaging alone had 75.4 % sensitivity, 98.7 % specificity, 93.4 % positive predictive value (PPV), and 94.3 % negative predictive value (NPV). $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT alone had 97.5 % sensitivity, 98.8 % specificity, 95.4 % PPV, and 99.4 % NPV. Sensitivity of $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the sensitivity of conventional imaging. Conventional imaging and $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT combined had 98.6 % sensitivity, 98.2 % specificity, 96.7 % PPV, and 99.7 % NPV. $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT versus bone scintigraphy in breast cancer patients rather than early-stage breast cancer patients and not all PET/CT-positive or conventional imaging-positive lesions were histopathologically confirmed. The results ultimately suggest that $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT serves as a highly sensitive and effective imaging modality for postoperative surveillance of breast cancer patients. $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT versus bone scintigraphy in breast cancer Bone scintigraphy is the conventional method used to detect bone metastases in oncologic patients. Also referred to as skeletal scintigraphy or bone scan, this is a common nuclear medicine modality that widely uses the radionuclide Technetium-99m ($^{99}$mTc) complexed to a diphosphonate, either methylene diphosphonate (MDP) forming $^{99}$mTc-MDP or hydroxydiphosphonate (HDP) forming $^{99}$mTc-HDP for SPECT scan [17]. Van Es et al compared $^{18}$F-FDG PET with $^{99}$mTc bone scintigraphy for clinical management of metastatic breast cancer [18]. They retrospectively compared management recommendations based on bone lesion assessment by $^{18}$F-FDG PET plus contrast-enhanced CT or bone scintigraphy. $^{99}$mTc-99mTc complexed to a hydroxydiphosphonate (HDP) forming $^{99}$mTc-HDP for SPECT imaging. $^{99}$mTc-HDP for SPECT imaging.
87% by patients (39% by contrast-enhanced CT, 26% by bone scintigraphy, and 3,473 unequivocal bone lesions were identified in 102 evaluated patients but five, metastatic breast cancer was pathologically diagnosed, non-rapidly progressive metastatic breast cancer of all subtypes. Scans were performed shortly before the start of first-line therapy. In all 102 patients, an additional one patient (1%; 95% CI, 0%–5%). In 26% (95% CI, 19%–36%) of patients, an additional 18F-FDG PET study was requested, because bone scintigraphy provided insufficient information. Overall, these data supported the use of 18F-FDG PET as a primary imaging modality for assessment of bone lesions in newly diagnosed metastatic breast cancer.

3.4. 18F-FDG PET versus 18F-NaF PET in breast cancer

A positron-emitting radiopharmaceutical that is used to image bones is 18F-sodium fluoride (18F-NaF) [19,20]. 18F-NaF has near perfect single pass retention in the bone and is rapidly cleared from plasma in a biexponential manner. Its osseous uptake is due to chemisorption with exchange of 18F ion for OH ion on the surface of the hydroxyapatite matrix of bone forming fluorapatite and then migration of 18F ion into the crystalline matrix of bone. Its binding to serum protein is minimal and is rapidly cleared through genitourinary tract resulting in quality images with high bone-to-background ratio in a shorter time [21]. The significance of synergistic evaluation of 18F-FDG PET and 18F-NaF PET for predicting time to skeletal-related events (sSRE), time to progression (TTP), and overall survival (OS) prospectively in patients with bone-dominant metastatic breast cancer starting new systemic therapy. The guiding hypothesis was that serial 18F-FDG PET and 18F-NaF PET would provide complementary measures of activity of breast cancer bone metastases, and that each might predict response to therapy. The study involved baseline 18F-FDG PET and 18F-NaF PET (scan1) that were completed before initiation of new systemic therapy. Follow-up 18F-FDG PET and 18F-NaF PET (scan2) were completed at the discretion of the treating physician following therapy. Because the average 18F-FDG uptake in bone versus soft-tissue metastases is low, the study included Modified PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors (mPERCIST) using a lean body mass adjusted standardized uptake (SULpeak) greater than 1.5 × the value of normal liver. The study found that patients with metabolic response (CR, PR, and stable disease) experienced significant prolongation in tSRE (47.6 vs 4.6 mo) and TTP (14.1 vs 3.8 mo). 18F-FDG PET uptake changes assessed by mPERCIST were strongly associated with clinical outcomes of interest.

3.5. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) PET in breast cancer

A paradigm shift in the imaging agent design has been introduced with the use of antibodies as targeting vectors. Imaging agents based on intact antibodies and antibody fragments are uniquely specific for their targets, which confers high signal to background. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a biomarker of breast cancer whose expression could guide precision therapies. For example, breast cancer patients with HER2-positive malignancies can receive HER2-targeted therapy that is more effective treatment in this group of patients. It is important to note that HER2-positive metastases can still occur in patients with HER2-negative primary breast cancer. Paradoxically, not all patients with HER2-positive disease respond to HER2-targeted therapy. This has been attributed in part to the limitations of tissue
biopsy and in vitro assays in detecting the HER2 status considering breast cancer heterogeneity. In addition, HER2 status can change during the course of disease. Ulaner et al evaluated whether imaging with a HER2-targeted PET tracer could detect HER2-positive metastases in patients with HER2-negative primary breast cancer [24]. The study examined nine patients, all of whom were confirmed to have HER2-negative primary breast cancer. Molecular PET using $^{89}$Zr-trastuzumab, a radiolabeled HER-2 monoclonal antibody, demonstrated potential sites of HER2-positive metastases in five patients (3 had bone metastasis) and biopsy confirmed HER2-positive metastases in two of these five patients. In the other three patients, there was no evidence to suggest the presence of HER2-positive metastases, and $^{89}$Zr-trastuzumab PET/CT results were classified as false positive. One of the study subjects, with pathologically demonstrated ER-positive/HER2-negative primary invasive ductal carcinoma (Fig. 1A), when imaged with $^{89}$Zr-trastuzumab PET/CT demonstrated multiple suggestive osseous foci (Fig. 1B). The most avid foci were in the right ilium and right proximal femur (SUVmax, 5.9). Biopsy of the right ilium demonstrated equivocal HER2 findings. The immunohistochemistry results were equivocal (Fig. 1C) because of incomplete membranous staining in a small percentage of the cells. Given the equivocal results, further testing was performed with the MSK-IMPACT assay [25]. The change in ERBB2 on MSK-IMPACT was 2.6-fold (Fig. 1D) [25]. Therefore, this $^{89}$Zr-trastuzumab focus was considered true-positive for a HER2-positive distant metastasis [26]. The patient was then switched to treatment with trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and docetaxel and was followed up with $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT, which showed a decrease in the size and $^{18}$F-FDG avidity of the liver and nodal metastases, as well as a decrease in the $^{18}$F-FDG avidity of the osseous lesions, representing a partial response to treatment (Fig. 2A and 2B). The results indicate that despite the small sample size $^{89}$Zr-trastuzumab PET/CT has the potential to play a vital role in detecting HER2-positive metastases in patients with HER2-negative primary breast cancer, ultimately allowing these patients to receive critical life-lengthening treatment. Moving forward, HER2-targeted imaging may be beneficial in determining whether HER2-negative primary breast cancer patients could benefit from HER2-targeted therapy.

4. Prostate cancer

4.1. $^{18}$F-sodium fluoride PET versus bone scintigraphy

Overall, $^{18}$F-NaF [27] PET/CT has shown to be superior to $^{99m}$Tc-based tracers in detection of osseous metastatic disease. Apolo et al demonstrated that $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT successfully detected more bone lesions than conventional bone scintigraphy ($^{99m}$Tc-MDP) in 69 % of patients [28]. Conventional bone scintigraphy determined lesions to be benign in six patients and indeterminate in fourteen patients; however, Na$^{18}$F PET/CT determined all of these lesions to be malignant.

Fig. 2. Patient from Fig. 1 underwent HER2-targeted therapy after biopsy had demonstrated HER2 amplification in osseous metastasis. Maximum-intensity projections from $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT studies before (A) and after (B) 3 mo of systemic treatment including trastuzumab and pertuzumab demonstrate treatment response. Originally published in JNM [Ref 24].
Fig. 3. (A) Progressive disease on Na\(^{18}\)F PET/CT in 67-\(\_\)y-old man with postdocetaxel metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer whose PSA level increased on treatment with abiraterone acetate (6 mo) and cabazitaxel (12 mo). Sequential Na\(^{18}\)F PET/CT scans detected multiple new skeletal lesions. Image intensities were equally adjusted. (B) Improved disease on Na\(^{18}\)F PET/CT in 66-\(\_\)y-old man with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who had PSA response to docetaxel chemotherapy. Sequential Na\(^{18}\)F PET/CT scans showed significant decrease in uptake in right pelvic skeletal lesion. Image intensities were equally adjusted. Originally published in JNM [Ref 28].
Additionally, the pre-therapy $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT detected malignant bone lesions in 40% of the patients who had negative conventional bone scintigraphy, confirming this modality’s use for early detection of metastases. A case study example is presented in Fig. 3. Regarding survival, the degree of increased $^{18}$F-NaF uptake assessed by standardized uptake value (SUV) was linked with shorter patient survival and a higher risk of death. In essence, there was a significant correlation between the baseline number of malignant lesions and SUV changes in the follow-up $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT scan, and patient survival. These results indicated that $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT is better than the $^{99m}$Tc-based tracer in detecting bone metastases, especially early in the course of disease. Along the same lines, Witthofs et al compared the accuracy of bone scintigraphy with $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT in detecting bone metastases in breast cancer and prostate cancer patients [29]. Their study demonstrated that $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT was significantly better than bone scintigraphy in detecting bone metastases ($p < 0.0001$). $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT had 76% sensitivity, 84.2% specificity, and 80% accuracy, whereas bone scintigraphy had 44.8% sensitivity, 79.2% specificity, and 60% accuracy. $^{18}$F-NaF PET/CT accurately detected metastatic disease in 32 of 33 patients (97%), with only one false-positive; in contrast, whole-body bone scintigraphy correctly diagnosed 28 of 33 (85%) patients. This study demonstrates that $^{18}$F-NaF is more accurate and sensitive than whole-body bone scintigraphy for detecting bone metastases in breast and prostate cancer patients.

4.2. Molecular tracers other than $^{18}$F-FDG in prostate cancer

There are other molecular PET tracers that can be complementary or more effective than $^{18}$F-FDG as not all cancers are FDG avid [30]. As an example, $^{18}$F-FDCPYL-PET has demonstrated low sensitivity in evaluating prostate cancer. Following initial treatment, biochemical recurrence is a significant problem. The ability to determine the extent and location of recurrence is of great relevance for guiding treatment. Choline is a precursor in the synthesis of the phospholipid phosphatidylcholine, which is an important part of the cell wall membrane and is involved in transmembrane signaling. Prostate cancer cells have up-regulated choline kinase, which induces an elevated uptake of choline for synthesis of phospholipids. Once inside the cell, choline is phosphorylated and trapped [31]. $^{11}$C-choline and $^{18}$F choline ($^{18}$F-FCH) have been used in a limited fashion in clinical and research studies respectively to detect prostate cancer recurrence.

4.3. $^{11}$C-choline PET versus bone scintigraphy in prostate cancer

Select studies have compared the relevance of $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT with bone scintigraphy in detecting bone metastases. In a retrospective analysis involving seventy-eight patients with biochemical progression of prostate cancer, Picchio et al, demonstrated higher specificity of $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT for detecting bone metastasis [32]. Furthermore, they found more equivocal findings with bone scintigraphy ($^{99m}$Tc-MDP) than $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT. Garcia et al assessed concordance between $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT and bone scan with $^{99m}$Tc-HDP for the detection of bone metastases in sixty-two prostate cancer patients [33]. Overall they found good concordance, with $^{11}$C-choline detecting more sites of metastatic bone involvement than bone scintigraphy, and bone scintigraphy detecting more benign lesions than PET/CT. Kitajima et al independently compared $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT and bone scintigraphy ($^{99m}$Tc-MDP) for detection of bone metastases in twenty-one patients with prostate cancer [31]. While the sample size is small, they concluded that overall $^{11}$C-choline PET/CT had greater sensitivity and accuracy than

Fig. 4. Anterior maximum-intensity projections for both $^{18}$F-DCCPyl (A) and Na$^{18}$F PET/CT (B) demonstrate single bone lesion (arrowheads) suggestive of metastatic disease in right eighth rib. Extensive abnormal uptake in spine on Na$^{18}$F PET image was attributed to degenerative change by central reviewers. Originally published in JNM [Ref 34].
bone scintigraphy for detection of bone involvement in patients with prostate cancer. The study highlighted the differences in either tracer uptake due to the osteoblastic or osteoclastic nature of the bone lesion and/or due to the treatment status.

4.4. Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) PET

A promising strategy to evaluate prostate cancer both at initial diagnosis and recurrence is the radiolabeled prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) such as $^{18}$F-$^{68}$Ga and $^{99m}$Tc-PSMA radiotracers. PSMA is a cell surface glycoprotein that exhibits carboxypeptidase and folate hydrolyase enzymatic activities. PSMA is expressed at low rates in normal prostate but is found to be overexpressed in intermediate and high risk primary and metastatic prostate cancers, making it an effective diagnostic and therapeutic biomarker. Bone metastasis is one of the common metastatic sites for prostate cancer, necessitating evaluation of soft tissue and osseous lesions.

Rowe et al compared the sensitivity of PSMA-targeted PET radiotracers ($^{18}$F-DCFPy) and $^{18}$F-NaF PET in detecting prostate cancer bone metastases respectively (Fig. 9) [34]. The study included a total of sixteen subjects, fifteen of whom were imaged with $^{18}$F-DCFPy followed by $^{18}$F-NaF PET. $^{18}$F-DCFPy yielded 96.5 % of bone lesions being definitely positive, 1.0 % being equivocally positive, and 10 % definitely negative. The definitely 10 negative consisted of 80 % sclerotic, 20 % were infiltrative or marrow-based. $^{18}$F-NaF PET yielded 95.8 % of bone lesions being definitely positive, 1.0 % equivocally positive and 3.2 % definitely negative. The definitely 10 negative bone lesions on $^{18}$F-NaF PET consisted of 92.3 % infiltrative or marrow-based and 7.7 % lytic. For the definitively or equivocally positive lesions, the $^{18}$F-DCFPy median maximum SUV (SUVmax) was 7.4 and the $^{18}$F-NaF PET SUVmax was 18. Ultimately, the sensitivity of $^{18}$F-DCFPy-PET and $^{18}$F-NaF PET were almost the same in detection of bone lesions. A benefit of $^{18}$F-DCFPy-PET was in providing additional information about the soft tissue disease.

In another study, Zhang et al compared $^{99m}$Tc-PSMA single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)/CT with $^{99m}$Tc-MDP SPECT/CT in the detection of bone metastasis in prostate cancer [35]. In their study of seventy-four men with pathologically confirmed prostate cancer, $^{99m}$Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT and $^{99m}$Tc-MDP SPECT/CT scans were performed at an average interval of 12.1 (range, 1–14) days. The proportion of “typical metastasis” versus “equivocal metastasis” during the analysis of bone metastases detected by the two imaging methods was 26.3:1 (PSMA) and 2.9:1 (MDP) (P = 0.005). Furthermore, $^{99m}$Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT was superior to $^{99m}$Tc-MDP SPECT/CT in the detection of bone metastases in prostate cancer, especially for small lesions and in patients with low PSA levels. In their study, $^{99m}$Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT led to a change in management to a more individualized therapy modality for 11 of 74 men (14.9 %).

4.5. $^{18}$F-fluciclovine PET for prostate cancer bone metastasis

The intracellular transport of amino acids is upregulated in prostate cancer [36]. Fluorine-18 ($^{18}$F) fluciclovine (anti-1-amino-3-$^{18}$F-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid ($^{18}$F-FACBC)) is an FDA- and EMA-approved amino acid (leucine) analogue used for imaging recurrent prostate cancer [37]. $^{18}$F-FACBC has been successful in visualizing prostate cancer recurrence with bone metastasis, which was missed by conventional CT [38]. $^{18}$F-FACBC is transported equally by the sodium-independent system and the sodium-dependent ASC (alanine, serine, and cysteine)-system, both of which are linked to aggressive tumor behavior and decreased survival rates. The presence of the tracer in both transport systems implies heavy uptake into tumors with upregulation of the two amino acid transport systems. Bo et al compared the diagnostic performance of Fluciclovine PET/CT and $^{99m}$Tc-MDP bone scan in detecting bone metastases in patients with metastatic prostate cancer [39]. In a retrospective study of 106 patients, they showed that sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value for bone scan was 79 %, 86 %, 45 % and 96 %, respectively; and 100 %, 98 %, 89 % and 100 % in $^{18}$F-fluciclovine PET/CT, respectively. $^{18}$F-fluciclovine PET/CT detected more bone metastases than the bone scan and did not miss any lesions detected by the bone scan. A comprehensive review of $^{18}$F-fluciclovine PET/CT clinical data is covered by Chau et al. [40]. Overall, Chau et al conclude that the mechanism of uptake action likely confers $^{18}$F-fluciclovine superiority over $^{99m}$Tc-bone scintigraphy. The superiority is in visualizing cancer lesions in the marrow at early time points as compared to bone scintigraphy that images bone involvement in growing cancer lesions.

5. Molecular imaging in multiple myeloma

In multiple myeloma, molecular imaging of bone marrow is particularly advantageous in staging, detecting minimal residual disease and evaluating response to therapy [41,42]. Molecular PET offers a high degree of specificity for providing molecular and metabolic information in multiple myeloma patients [41]. Advanced hybrid systems such as PET/MRI that combine PET molecular data and MRI functional and anatomic data are highly promising in multiple myeloma. Regardless, $^{18}$F-FDG/CT remains the key hybrid modality for multiple myeloma patients.

Multiple myeloma pathogenesis entails the infiltration of malignant monoclonal plasma cells in the bone marrow. Classic imaging techniques such as $^{99m}$Tc-MDP bone scintigraphy are not particularly sensitive, making them insufficient in detecting multiple myeloma bone lesions. This is because multiple myeloma lesions are primarily osteolytic [43].

$^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT is commonly used for evaluation of multiple myeloma considering its predominantly bone marrow involvement early in the course of disease. Sachpekidis et al evaluated quantitative data on kinetics and distribution patterns of $^{18}$F-FDG with regard to pelvic bone marrow plasma cell infiltration in multiple myeloma patients (n = 52) [44]. $^{18}$F-FDG parameters (SUVmax, SUVaverage, K1, influx, and fractal dimension) were significantly higher (p < 0.01) in multiple myeloma lesions in comparison with the reference tissue. PET/CT demonstrated four patterns of uptake: negative, focal, diffuse, and mixed (focal/ diffuse). Patients with a mixed pattern of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake had the highest mean plasma cell infiltration rate in their bone marrow, whereas those with negative PET/CT demonstrated the lowest bone marrow plasma cell infiltration. There were significant (p < 0.01) correlations between focal disease and SUVaverage (r = 0.914), SUVmax (r = 0.790), and influx (r = 0.829) as well as between influx and SUVaverage (r = 0.711). Ultimately, there was significant correlation between $^{18}$F F-DG parameters and bone marrow malignant plasma cell infiltration rate.

A recent review by Bezzi et al. [45] evaluated the prognostic value of $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT in evaluating treatment response and minimal residual disease (MRD), and the possible implications of $^{18}$F-FDG-PET/CT in recurrence. Some of the key highlights of this article are: (1) Higher SUVmax more focal bone lesions and extramedullary disease were associated with poor overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS), (2) Molecular imaging can assist with stratification of patients with stage II and unfavorable prognosis for newer therapies such as immunotherapies, (3) Presence of paramedullary disease [identified by imaging] is associated with lower level of PFS, (4) There is a need for better refinement of PET-quantitative measures such as better thresholding for assessing metabolic tumor volume, (5) There is value in incorporating imaging data together with molecular analyses (such as cDNA, epDNA), (6) Normalization of PET/CT after induction and pre-maintenance are positive prognostic factors for PFS and OS, (7) There is complementarity between PET and bone marrow MRD techniques (such as multiparametric flow cytometry approaches, the next generation flow and next generation sequencing) and concordant negativity should be evaluated as a surrogate for outcome prediction.
Finally, given the heterogeneous manifestation of multiple myeloma, there is vibrant interest in developing new and effective molecularly targeted tracers [46]. One such tracer currently under development in the Shokeen and Dehdashti Labs at Washington University is [64Cu]Cu-CB-TE1A1P-LLP2A (64Cu-LLP2A), for imaging the expression of integrin very late antigen-4 (VLA4) (Fig. 5) [47]. Integrin very late antigen-4 (VLA4) is expressed in multiple myeloma cells and pathogenic inflammatory microenvironmental cells, making it a biomarker of interest [48–50]. In a phase I first-in-human study, the tracer was determined to be safe for use in humans [47]. While the optimal use of this tracer will be determined in future Phase II studies, this work demonstrates the potential of imaging markers that interact with the bone and bone marrow microenvironment.

6. Conclusion

Bone metastasis is a common occurrence in metastatic breast and prostate cancer. Metastatic bone lesions severely affect the bone homoeostasis, tilting the balance in the favor of tumor cells. This vicious cycle not only leads to pain and complications, but also therapeutic challenges. Nuclear imaging of the bone and bone marrow can hugely benefit patient management by accurately determining the extent of bone involvement and heterogeneity of disease. Specifically the use of bone scintigraphy using bone targeted radioactive molecules and PET imaging using cancer targeted molecular vectors are powerful methods for capturing the spatial distribution, bone involvement and heterogeneity of disease. The select research studies included in this review show the diverse options of imaging methods that are effective at providing information specific to the tumor biology and disease burden.
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