

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

---

Open Access Publications

---

2010

## Lipids driving protein structure? Evolutionary adaptations in Kir channels

Nazzareno D'Avanzo

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Wayland W.L. Chang

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Shizhen Wang

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Decha Enkvetchakul

*Saint Louis University*

Colin G. Nichols

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\\_access\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs)

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

---

### Recommended Citation

D'Avanzo, Nazzareno; Chang, Wayland W.L.; Wang, Shizhen; Enkvetchakul, Decha; and Nichols, Colin G., "Lipids driving protein structure? Evolutionary adaptations in Kir channels." *Channels*. 4, 3. 139-141. (2010).

[https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\\_access\\_pubs/2783](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2783)

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact [vanam@wustl.edu](mailto:vanam@wustl.edu).

# Lipids driving protein structure?

## Evolutionary adaptations in Kir channels

Nazzareno D'Avanzo,<sup>1,2</sup> Wayland W.L. Cheng,<sup>1,2</sup> Shizhen Wang,<sup>1,3</sup> Decha Enkvetchakul<sup>3</sup> and Colin G. Nichols<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>From the Department of Cell Biology and Physiology; and <sup>2</sup>Center for Investigation of Membrane Excitability Diseases; Washington University School of Medicine; St. Louis, MI USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacological and Physiological Science; Saint Louis University School of Medicine; St. Louis, MI USA

Many eukaryotic channels, transporters and receptors are activated by phosphatidyl inositol bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>) in the membrane, and every member of the eukaryotic inward rectifier potassium (Kir) channel family requires membrane PIP<sub>2</sub> for activity. In contrast, a bacterial homolog (KirBac1.1) is specifically inhibited by PIP<sub>2</sub>. We speculate that a key evolutionary adaptation in eukaryotic channels is the insertion of additional linkers between transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains, revealed by new crystal structures, that convert PIP<sub>2</sub> inhibition to activation. Such an adaptation may reflect a novel evolutionary drive to protein structure; one that was necessary to permit channel function within the highly negatively charged membranes that evolved in the eukaryotic lineage.

Perhaps the most universally recognized regulators of ion channel gating, after membrane voltage, are the phosphorylated phosphatidyl inositols, the archetype being PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> (PIP<sub>2</sub>). In many eukaryotic channels, transporters and receptors, including voltage-gated K channels,<sup>1-4</sup> epithelial Na channels,<sup>5</sup> the transient receptor potential (TRP) family of channels,<sup>6</sup> the Na<sup>+</sup>-Ca<sup>2+</sup> exchanger,<sup>7</sup> and P2X receptor channels,<sup>8</sup> increased PIP<sub>2</sub> in the membrane stimulates activity. Likewise, every member of the eukaryotic inward rectifier potassium channel (Kir or KCNJ) family requires membrane PIP<sub>2</sub> for activity.<sup>9,10</sup> How, and—teleologically—why, PIP<sub>2</sub> activates these channels and transporters has been difficult to assess at the biochemical

level, partly because of the complexity of cell-based systems typically used to study them. Over the past five years, the ability to express and purify active bacterial homologs of inward rectifier channels (KirBacs) has allowed us to study regulation of pure channel protein in lipid bilayers of defined composition, and has led to the surprising realization that, in contrast to the above, these cousins of eukaryotic Kir channels are specifically *inhibited* by PIP<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11,12</sup>

We have suggested that this paradoxical behavior might be the result of missing key residues in the KirBac structure that are crucially involved in PIP<sub>2</sub> binding and transduction in eukaryotic Kir channels.<sup>11</sup> These key residues are located in two short linker regions that connect the large cytoplasmic domain to the transmembrane (TM) pore-forming region of the channel. Alignments of KirBac and eukaryotic Kir sequences (Fig. 1) reveal that each of these linkers is longer by three residues in the eukaryotic Kirs. Additionally, the second linker, between TM2 and the cytoplasmic domain, contains two charged residues which, when mutated, invariably causes loss of PIP<sub>2</sub> activation<sup>13,14</sup> and loss of PIP<sub>2</sub> binding.<sup>15</sup> These three residue insertions are predicted to displace the cytoplasmic domain away from the membrane surface, and as the new structure of the chicken Kir2.2 channel reveals,<sup>16</sup> this is indeed the case (Fig. 2).

How does this displacement convert PIP<sub>2</sub> inhibition of KirBacs into activation of eukaryotic Kir channels? Interactions between the slide helix and the cytoplasmic domain of Kir channels have been


**Key words:** inward rectifier, gating, structure, K<sub>ATP</sub>, PIP<sub>2</sub>, KCNJ, KirBac

Submitted: 04/17/10


Accepted: 04/18/10

Previously published online:  
www.landesbioscience.com/journals/  
channels/article/12129

\*Correspondence to:  
Colin G. Nichols; Email: cnichols@wustl.edu



**Figure 1.** Sequence Alignment of Eukaryotic and Bacterial Inward Rectifier K<sup>+</sup> Channels. Eukaryotic Kir channels have a prominent 3 residue insertion (highlighted in yellow) in both the N- and C-terminal linkers that link the cytoplasmic domain to the transmembrane domains. These insertions, which include key residues for PIP<sub>2</sub> activation of eukaryotic Kir channels, are predicted to displace the cytoplasmic domain away from the membrane surface.



**Figure 2.** Structural Comparison of Bacterial and Eukaryotic Kir channels. Closed-state structures of KirBac1.1 (PDB entry: 1P7B) and chicken Kir2.2 (PDB entry: 3YJC). For clarity, chain A and C TM domains, and chain B and D cytoplasmic domains are shown. Notably the tetrameric assembly of the chicken Kir2.2 soluble domain is rotated ~60° compared to the KirBac1.1 structure, and is displaced away from the cell membrane resulting in minimal interaction between the slide helix and the soluble domain in this structure.

suggested to play a key role in channel gating. Mutations which disrupt this interaction can destabilize the open state and favor channel closure, although the ability of these proteins to bind PIP<sub>2</sub>

remains intact.<sup>17</sup> Thus, we can speculate that the shorter linkers in KirBacs energetically favor interactions between the slide helix and the cytoplasmic domain, leading to opening of the channel in the


absence of PIP<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3). Binding of PIP<sub>2</sub> to KirBacs may act to destabilize this interaction, separating the cytoplasmic domain from the slide helix, leading to channel closure (Fig. 3). In eukaryotic Kir channels, the longer linker would minimize the interaction between the slide helix and the cytoplasmic domain, and thereby keep the channel closed in membranes that lack PIP<sub>2</sub>. However, the PIP<sub>2</sub> head-group can extend up to 17 Å from the surface of the bilayer,<sup>18,19</sup> and PIP<sub>2</sub> binding may pull the cytoplasmic domain back towards the membrane, restoring its interaction with the slide helix to drive channel opening (Fig. 3).

From an evolutionary perspective, the differential PIP<sub>2</sub> regulation of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Kir channels may provide a fascinating illustration of the interplay of ligands and the evolution of protein structure. It is noteworthy that bacterial membranes typically do not contain PIP<sub>2</sub> or other phosphoinositide lipids. Instead, the dominant lipids are phosphatidylethanolamines (PE), and phosphatidylglycerols (PG),<sup>20</sup> in which KirBac channels are active.<sup>11,21</sup> As eukaryotic organisms evolved, PIP<sub>2</sub> and other acidic lipids became increasingly concentrated in plasmalemmal membranes. The unwonted

inhibitory effect of  $\text{PIP}_2$  on KirBac1.1 activity is such that at the predicted  $\text{PIP}_2$  concentrations in mammalian membranes (~1% of phospholipids),<sup>22,23</sup> KirBac-based channel activity would be completely suppressed.<sup>11</sup> By contrast, the requirement for  $\text{PIP}_2$  for activity would render eukaryotic Kir channels inactive in bacterial membranes and in intracellular membranes of the ER and Golgi, which also lack  $\text{PIP}_2$ . It is tempting to speculate that the 3 residue insertions in the cytoplasmic domain-TM domain linkers evolved to allow eukaryotic Kir channels to (i) be functionally active in membranes that evolved to contain  $\text{PIP}_2$  for other critical cellular functions and/or (ii) take advantage of differences in membrane composition of the various cellular compartments, thereby protecting cells from undesirable channel activity during the trafficking process. Given the breadth of eukaryotic membrane proteins that are sensitive to  $\text{PIP}_2$ , this may be a more generally observable evolutionary mechanism. As more genomes are sequenced and advanced lipidomics are employed to resolve the compositions of specific membranes, this hypothesis can be rigorously examined.

## References

- Suh BC, Hille B. Recovery from muscarinic modulation of M current channels requires phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate synthesis. *Neuron* 2002; 35:507-20.
- Zhang H, Craciun LC, Mirshahi T, Rohacs T, Lopes CM, et al.  $\text{PIP}_2$  activates KCNQ channels, and its hydrolysis underlies receptor-mediated inhibition of M currents. *Neuron* 2003; 37:963-75.
- Oliver D, Lien CC, Soom M, Baukrowitz T, Jonas P, et al. Functional conversion between A-type and delayed rectifier  $\text{K}^+$  channels by membrane lipids. *Science* 2004; 304:265-70.
- Bian J, Cui J, McDonald TV. HERG  $\text{K}(+)$  channel activity is regulated by changes in phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *Circ Res* 2001; 89:1168-76.
- Yue G, Malik B, Eaton DC. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate ( $\text{PIP}_2$ ) stimulates epithelial sodium channel activity in A6 cells. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:11965-9.
- Rohacs T, Nilius B. Regulation of transient receptor potential (TRP) channels by phosphoinositides. *Pflügers Arch* 2007; 455:157-68.
- Hilgemann DW, R. Ball, Regulation of cardiac  $\text{Na}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$  exchange and  $\text{K}_{\text{ATP}}$  potassium channels by  $\text{PIP}_2$ . *Science* 1996; 273:956-9.
- Zhao Q, Yang M, Ting AT, Logothetis DE.  $\text{PIP}_2$  regulates the ionic current of P2X receptors and P2X(7) receptor-mediated cell death. *Channels (Austin)* 2007; 1:46-55.
- Fan Z, Makielski JC. Anionic phospholipids activate ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *J Biol Chem* 1997; 272:5388-95.
- Logothetis DE, Jin T, Lupyan D, Rosenhouse-Dantsker A. Phosphoinositide-mediated gating of inwardly rectifying  $\text{K}(+)$  channels. *Pflügers Arch* 2007; 455:83-95.
- Enkvetchakul D, Jeliaskova I, Nichols CG. Direct modulation of Kir channel gating by membrane phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *J Biol Chem* 2005; 280:35785-8.
- Cheng WW, Enkvetchakul D, Nichols CG. KirBac1.1: it's an inward rectifying potassium channel. *J Gen Physiol* 2009; 133:295-305.
- Shyng SL, Cukras CA, Harwood J, Nichols CG. Structural determinants of  $\text{PIP}_2$  regulation of inward rectifier  $\text{K}(\text{ATP})$  channels. *J Gen Physiol* 2000; 116:599-608.
- Lopes CM, Zhang H, Rohacs T, Jin T, Yang J, et al. Alterations in conserved Kir channel- $\text{PIP}_2$  interactions underlie channelopathies. *Neuron* 2002; 34:933-44.
- Soom M, Schonherr R, Kubo Y, Kirsch C, Klinger R, et al. Multiple  $\text{PIP}_2$  binding sites in Kir2.1 inwardly rectifying potassium channels. *FEBS Lett* 2001; 490:49-53.
- Tao X, Avalos JL, Chen J, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the eukaryotic strong inward-rectifier  $\text{K}^+$  channel Kir2.2 at 3.1 Å resolution. *Science* 2009; 326:1668-74.
- Decher N, Renigunta V, Zuzarte M, Soom M, Heinemann SH, et al. Impaired interaction between the slide helix and the C-terminus of Kir2.1: a novel mechanism of Andersen syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res* 2007; 75:748-57.
- Haider S, Tarasov AI, Craig TJ, Sansom MS, Ashcroft FM. Identification of the  $\text{PIP}_2$ -binding site on Kir6.2 by molecular modelling and functional analysis. *EMBO J* 2007; 26:3749-59.
- Sansom MS, Bond PJ, Deol SS, Grottesi A, Haider S, et al. Molecular simulations and lipid-protein interactions: potassium channels and other membrane proteins. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2005; 33:916-20.
- Phung LV, Tran TB, Hotta H, Yabuuchi E, Yano I. Cellular lipid and fatty acid compositions of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains isolated from human and environment in Viet Nam. *Microbiol Immunol* 1995; 39:105-16.
- Sun S, Gan JH, Paynter JJ, Tucker SJ. Cloning and functional characterization of a superfamily of microbial inwardly rectifying potassium channels. *Physiol Genomics* 2006; 26:1-7.
- Xu C, Watras J, Loew LM. Kinetic analysis of receptor-activated phosphoinositide turnover. *J Cell Biol* 2003; 161:779-91.
- McLaughlin S, Wang J, Gambhir A, Murray D.  $\text{PIP}_2$  and proteins: interactions, organization and information flow. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 2002; 31:151-75.



**Figure 3.** Mechanism of  $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$  gating in Kir channels. In prokaryotic KirBac channels, short TM-cytoplasmic domain linkers may permit energetically favorable interactions between the slide helix and cytoplasmic domain to open the channel in the absence of  $\text{PIP}_2$  (top right). The addition of  $\text{PIP}_2$  to the membrane may act to destabilize this interaction, separating the cytoplasmic domain from the slide helix, leading to channel closure (top left). The longer linker in eukaryotic Kir channels minimizes the interaction between the slide helix and cytoplasmic domain in the absence of  $\text{PIP}_2$ , keeping the channel in the closed state (bottom left). Binding of  $\text{PIP}_2$  may recoil the cytoplasmic domain towards the membrane allowing for restored interaction with the slide helix to drive channel opening (bottom right).