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Anatomic determinants of sacral dysmorphism and implications for safe iliosacral screw placement
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**Background:** Upper sacral segment dysplasia increases the risk of cortical perforation during iliosacral screw insertion. Dysmorphic sacra have narrow and angled upper osseous corridors. However, there is no validated definition of this anatomic variation. We hypothesized that pelves could be quantitatively grouped by anatomic measurements.

**Methods:** One hundred and four computed tomography (CT) scans and virtual outlet views of uninjured pelves were analyzed for the presence of the five qualitative characteristics of upper sacral segment dysplasia. CT scans were reformatted to measure the cross-sectional area, angulation, and length of the osseous corridor. Principal components analysis was used to identify multivariable explanations of anatomic variability, and discriminant analysis was used to assess how well such combinations can classify dysmorphic pelves.

**Results:** The prevalences of the five radiographic qualitative characteristics of upper sacral segment dysplasia, as determined by two reviewers, ranged from 28% to 53% in the cohort. The rates of agreement between the two reviewers ranged from 70% to 81%, and kappa coefficients ranged from 0.26 to 0.59. Cluster analysis revealed three pelvic phenotypes based on the maximal length of the osseous corridor in the upper two sacral segments. Forty-one percent of the pelves fell into the dysmorphic cluster. The five radiographic qualitative characteristics of dysmorphism were significantly more frequent (p < 0.007) in this cluster. A combination of upper sacral coronal and axial angulation effectively explained the variance in the data, and an inverse linear relationship between these angles and a long upper sacral segment corridor was identified. A sacral dysmorphism score was derived with the equation: (first sacral coronal angle)\(^1\) + (first sacral axial angle). An increase in the sacral dysmorphism score correlated with a lower likelihood of a safe transsacral first sacral corridor. No subjects with a sacral dysmorphism score >70 had a safe transsacral first sacral corridor.

**Conclusions:** Sacral dysmorphism was found in 41% of the pelves. The major determinants of sacral dysmorphism are upper sacral segment coronal and axial angulation. The sacral dysmorphism score quantifies dysmorphism and can be used in preoperative planning of iliosacral screw placement.

**Perioperative implications:** Percutaneous iliosacral screw fixation has been widely adopted as a safe method for treatment of unstable pelvic ring injuries. The use of iliosacral screws is increasing, probably as a result of increased training in the technique, an increase in the incidence of pelvic ring injuries, and increased survival of patients with unstable pelvic ring injuries.

Published series have demonstrated successful outcomes of percutaneous iliosacral screw fixation. These studies have shown high rates of radiographic and clinical success, but also a risk of neurovascular injury. The structures most directly at risk are the sacral nerve roots, which lie in tunnels directly superior and inferior to the target osseous corridor. Also at risk are the lumbar nerve roots along the anterior cortex and the spinal canal posteriorly. The constriction zone of the osseous corridor has been likened to a vestibule, and avoidance of neurologic or vascular injury by the fixation device depends on passing the screw without a cortical breach. Understanding variations in the three-dimensional anatomy of the pelvis is essential for safe and effective iliosacral screw placement.

**Disclosure:** None of the authors received payments or services, either directly or indirectly (i.e., via his or her institution), from a third party in support of any aspect of this work. One or more of the authors, or his or her institution, has had a financial relationship, in the thirty-six months prior to submission of this work, with an entity in the biomedical arena that could be perceived to influence or have the potential to influence what is written in this work. No author has had any other relationships, or has engaged in any other activities, that could be perceived to influence or have the potential to influence what is written in this work. The complete Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest submitted by authors are always provided with the online version of the article.
the sacrum is essential to prevent misplacement of an iliosacral screw.

Certain unstable fracture patterns, such as vertical sacral fractures, are at higher risk of displacement after iliosacral fixation\(^{11,20}\). Obtaining fixation in the contralateral cortex by placing the screw in a transiliac transsacral fashion can increase the strength of fixation\(^{20,21}\). In order to pass a transsacral screw safely, it must traverse the ipsilateral and contralateral osseous corridors, which must be of sufficient size and of complementary orientation to allow screw placement without a cortical breach\(^5\). A change in trajectory of only 4° can result in cortical perforation\(^7\). Concise understanding of this aspect of sacral anatomy and its corresponding fluoroscopic landmarks enables safe placement of percutaneous iliosacral screws\(^{2,23-26}\).

**Upper sacral segment dysplasia** refers to a sacral phenotype in which the size and orientation of the upper sacral segment does not allow safe passage of a transiliac, transsacral screw\(^7\). The morphology of the osseous corridor in upper sacral segment dysplasia has been previously described as having five associated qualitative characteristics identifiable on an outlet radiograph\(^{18-20}\). Patients with the qualitative characteristics of upper sacral segment dysplasia have narrower and more angulated upper sacral segments\(^9\). Despite this appreciation for the presence of variations in pelvic morphology, to our knowledge no objective or quantifiable definition has been developed to differentiate dysmorphic from non-dysmorphic pelvises. The purpose of this study was to identify the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of sacral morphology that are most predictive of the presence of an osseous corridor for fixation and to use these identified characteristics to specifically define upper sacral segment dysplasia.

### Quantification of the Osseous Safe Corridor

Each CT scan was reformatted along the axis of the sacrum to obtain cross-sectional imaging of the first and second sacral osseous corridor, or "safe zone" for iliosacral screw placement (Fig. 1). Measurements included coronal and axial angulation, cross-sectional area, and length of a 10-mm-diameter osseous corridor in the first and second sacral segments. Coronal angulation was measured as the angle subtended by a line drawn perpendicular to the axis of the osseous corridor and a line connecting the top of the iliac crests. Axial angulation was measured as the angle subtended by a line drawn perpendicular to the axis of the osseous corridor and a line connecting the posterior iliac spines. The cross-sectional area of the corridor was the least of three measurements of a best-fit oval on contiguous slices perpendicular to the axis of the sacral osseous corridor on axial reformats. The maximum length of iliosacral screw that could be used safely was measured by the longest line that could be drawn along the axis of the osseous corridor with no less than 5 mm of distance to the cortex on either side of the line in the coronal reformats. A 10-mm-diameter corridor perpendicular to the axis of the safe zone was chosen as a conservative size for passage of an iliosacral screw\(^{26,27}\). The maximum length of this safe corridor was determined for the first and second sacral segments, and cluster analysis was used to test the hypothesis that pelvises would group according to these variables.

### Qualitative Analysis

Volumetric holoography was used to create virtual outlet images for qualitative analysis. In outlet reconstructions, neutral horizontal rotation was corrected by aligning lumbar spinous processes with the symphysis pubis. The vertical rotation was adjusted to align the superior cortex of the pubis with the second sacral segment body. Two orthopaedic traumatologists reviewed each outlet reconstruction and determined the presence or absence of each of the radiographic qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism\(^{21}\): (1) an upper sacral segment not recessed in the pelvis, (2) the presence of mammillary processes, (3) an acute alar slope, (4) a residual disc between the first and second sacral segments, and (5) noncircular upper sacral neural foramina. The axial CT scan was reviewed for the presence or absence of a "tongue-in-groove" sacroiliac morphology.

### Statistical Analysis

Clinical, demographic, anthropometric, and anatomic characteristics were compared by using means and proportions. Interobserver reliability of qualitative clinical criteria for upper sacral segment dysplasia\(^22\) was measured on the basis of agreement rates and calculation of kappa coefficients. Weighted kappa coefficients were used for characteristics with more than two categories. Hierarchical cluster analysis was used to test the hypothesis that sacra would cluster by measurable anatomic factors. The goal of this analysis was to identify higher-order groupings of subjects based on all collected anthropometric and anatomic information.

Next, we assessed which collection of traits explain membership into identified clusters using principal components analysis. The goals of principal components analysis are to extract the most important data from a complex data set, compress the data by keeping only important information, simplify description of the data, and analyze structure of observations and variables\(^{23}\). The number of components retained was based on scree plot analysis and eigenvalues greater than one\(^11\). The results of the cluster analysis were mapped against the variables determined to be most significant by principal components analysis. Model reduction

### Materials and Methods

We analyzed a consecutive series of 104 uninjured pelvises for which computed tomography (CT) scans had been obtained during a two-month period in 2010 at a level-I trauma center. CT was conducted with a GE LightSpeed VCT sixty-four-slice scanner (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin) with a collimation of 64 X 1.25 mm. Images were processed on an Advantage Workstation 4.4 (GE Healthcare). Institutional review board approval was obtained.

Inclusion criteria included an age over eighteen years, and imaging included the lowest rib-bearing vertebra and the entire pelvis. Exclusion criteria included any pelvic ring injury, radiographic contrast medium or implants obscuring the lumbosacral junction, lumbar scoliosis of >20°, or spina bifida. Collected demographic data included age, sex, race, height, weight, and diagnosis for which the CT scan had been ordered.

### Table I Qualitative Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>% of Pelves with Finding</th>
<th>Agreement (%)</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper sacral segment not recessed in pelvis</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mamillary bodies</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misshapen sacral foramen</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual disc</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute alar slope</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{2,23-26}\)
was used to determine which variables within the components accounted for the majority of variance. Linear discriminant analysis identified which variables were most important in discriminating between morphologic subgroups. STATA/SE statistical software (College Station, Texas) was used for the analysis.

Source of Funding
Funding for this study was provided by the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California San Francisco. There were no external sources of funding.

Results
One hundred and four consecutive CT scans of uninjured pelvises were analyzed. The demographics of the study population were 60% female, an average age of forty-nine years (range, eighteen to eighty-nine years), 29% Latino, 26% Asian, 22% white, 18% black, and 5% other. The average height was 165 cm (range, 147 to 196 cm), average weight was 81 kg (range, 50 to 169 kg), and average body mass index (BMI) was 29 kg/m² (range, 19 to 50 kg/m²). Indications for imaging were flank/abdominal/back pain (65%), hematuria (22%), trauma (3%), and other indications (10%).

Qualitative Analysis
Outlet views were constructed with use of three-dimensional volumetric holography. Two orthopaedic trauma surgeons independently reviewed the outlet views and an axial CT scan and determined in binary fashion whether each of the six qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism were present. The prevalences of the characteristics as determined by the two reviewers ranged between 28% to 53%. The agreement rates ranged from 75% to 81%, and the kappa values ranged from fair to moderate (Table I). The highest kappa values were for the presence of mammillary bodies and acute alar slope.

In the quantitative analysis, minimal cross-sectional area, coronal and axial angulation, and maximum estimated iliosacral screw length were significantly greater in the first sacral segment than in the second (p < 0.001 for all; Table II). Each
subject was measured bilaterally, and there were no differences in any measurements between sides.

In the multivariate analysis, three distinct clusters emerged on the basis of the length of the measurable 10-mm-diameter safe osseous corridor (see Appendix). In the majority phenotype (Cluster 1), there was a measurable safe corridor for passage of a long screw in the first sacral segment. The safe corridor measured >120 mm in the first sacral segment in all subjects in this cluster. In the dysmorphic phenotype (Cluster 2), insertion of a long screw was not possible in the first sacral segment but was possible in the second segment. In all subjects in this cluster, the measurable safe corridor was short in both sacral segments. The length of the measurable osseous 10-mm-diameter corridor and the angulation of the corridor in the coronal and axial planes varied significantly among the three clusters (p < 0.001 for all). The mean measurements of length and angulation of the first sacral segment are presented in Table III.

The principal components analysis identified the main determinants of morphologic variability. The first component stressed the importance of anatomic relationships in the lumbar spine and sacrum, including the number of ribbed lumbar vertebrae, number of sacral foramina, second sacral cross-sectional area, first sacral coronal and axial angulation, second sacral coronal angulation, and pelvic incidence. The second component was characterized by racial characteristics, with Latino race carrying the highest factor loading.

Variables that factored significantly in the first two components were then assessed, with use of discriminant analysis, for a linear combination that separated the three clusters. Model reduction performed in hierarchical fashion resulted in a significant discriminant function (p < 0.0001). The most efficient explanation of variance of data (74% accuracy) was achieved with reduction to the two variables of first sacral coronal angulation and first sacral axial angulation. Logistic regression derived a linear relationship between the combination of first sacral coronal and axial angles and the ability to place a transsacral screw in the first sacral segment. This linear combination was best represented by the equation: -0.122(first sacral coronal angle) – 0.268(first sacral axial angle). The calculated area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) for this equation was 0.93. We then simplified this relationship without decreasing the AUROC. We propose a sacral dysmorphism score as a means to accurately predict the presence of a long safe osseous corridor in the first sacral segment: sacral dysmorphism score = (first sacral coronal angle) + 2(first sacral axial angle).

When the overall cohort is divided by quintile, there is an inverse relationship between the magnitude of the sacral dysmorphism score and the probability of the presence of a long safe osseous corridor in the first sacral segment (see Appendix). The higher this score, the less likely there is to be a safe transsacral corridor. There were no safe transsacral corridors in any subject with a dysmorphic score >70.

Principal components analysis did not show any qualitative characteristics to be determinants of variability. However, when they were compared by cluster, the five qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism identified on the outlet images were found to be present significantly more frequently in the dysmorphic cluster (p < 0.007) (Fig. 2). The sixth characteristic, “tongue-in-groove” sacroiliac morphology,
was not significantly more frequent in the dysmorphic cluster ($p = 0.107$).

**Case Example**
The clinical utility of the sacral dysmorphism score is demonstrated in Figure 3. A twenty-eight-year-old woman was struck by a light-rail car, resulting in a lateral compression pelvic ring injury with a transforaminal sacral fracture, bilateral pubic and ischial ramus fractures, and a left femoral fracture. Her sacral dysmorphism score was 87. After closed reduction, iliosacral screws were placed into the first and second sacral segments. A short screw that ended in the S1 vertebral body was used, to

![Fig. 3](image)

Case example. A twenty-eight-year-old woman with a lateral compression pelvic ring injury with a transforaminal sacral fracture, bilateral pubic and ischial ramus fractures, and a left femoral fracture. 1A: The holographic reconstruction outlet shows qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism. 1B: The three-dimensional reconstruction outlet view. 2A and 2B: The CT scan was reformatted along the axis of the sacrum. On the basis of the axial and coronal reformats of the first sacral segment, the sacral dysmorphism score was calculated as 87. 2C and 2D: Axial and coronal reformats of the second sacral segment show a transosseous corridor with little angulation. 3A, 3B, and 3C: On the basis of preoperative planning, screw fixation in both the first and the second sacral segment was employed, with the screw placed in a transsacral fashion in the second sacral segment but not in the upper sacral segment.

### TABLE II Quantitative Measures of Safe Zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean and Standard Deviation</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Sacral Segment</td>
<td>Second Sacral Segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum cross-sectional area ($mm^2$)</td>
<td>$417.4 \pm 81.1$</td>
<td>$213.3 \pm 87.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal angulation (deg)</td>
<td>$22.6 \pm 11.1$</td>
<td>$5.2 \pm 4.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial angulation (deg)</td>
<td>$11 \pm 10.5$</td>
<td>$3.4 \pm 4.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum iliosacral screw length (mm)</td>
<td>$119.2 \pm 35.7$</td>
<td>$128.1 \pm 20.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multivariate analysis produced clustering of our population into three distinct phenotypes determined by the estimated screw length. We propose that sacral dysmorphism is present when a transsacral screw cannot be safely passed in the first sacral segment but can be safely placed in the second segment. Previous authors have defined this pattern\textsuperscript{28,29}. Using this definition of sacral dysmorphism, we found a 41% prevalence of the condition. This is at the higher end of the prevalences reported in other studies\textsuperscript{29,36}; a possible explanation for that is the ethnic composition of our cohort, with a 73% prevalence of minority ethnicities. In the principal components analysis, Latino, African American, and Asian ethnicity were identified as significant determinants of variation in sacral morphology. Additional research and larger sample sizes are warranted to explore variation in sacral morphology by ethnicity.

Coronal and axial angulations of the corridor in the first sacral segment are the main determinants of variation in sacral morphology. Gardner et al. previously reported greater coronal and axial angulations in dysmorphic sacra\textsuperscript{37}. In our study, coronal and axial angulations were the main determinants of clustering by the length of a safe osseous corridor.

We did not find the qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism to be significant determinants of variability. This is likely due to the high prevalence of each characteristic within the cohort. When the dysmorphic and non-dysmorphic clusters were compared, there was a significantly greater frequency of each of the five radiographic characteristics within the dysmorphic cluster. This suggests that the qualitative characteristics correlate with dysmorphism but no single characteristic or combination of characteristics defines dysmorphism. The tongue-in-groove characteristic, seen on axial CT, is a less reliable marker for

Discussion

Awareness of upper sacral segment dysplasia has increased, but we are not aware of any published definition of this entity. The purpose of this study was to construct a surgically relevant description of sacral morphology based on quantifiable parameters and discern correlates with the anatomic variations observed. This study showed that (1) qualitative definitions based on outlet radiographs are moderately reliable and valid in diagnosing upper sacral segment dysplasia, (2) the length of an osseous corridor for a 10-mm-diameter iliosacral screw in each of the first and second sacral segments is the criterion by which sacra most tightly cluster, and (3) multivariable combinations of anatomic and racial features explain much of the variation in clinical phenotype. We found that axial and coronal angulation of the upper sacral segment can accurately discriminate dysmorphic sacra.

Routt et al. first described the qualitative characteristics of upper sacral segment dysplasia\textsuperscript{2}. Six qualitative characteristics were associated with the dysmorphic sacrum; five are best seen on an outlet-view radiograph. The sixth is a tongue-in-groove appearance of the sacroiliac articulation on a CT axial cut (Fig. 4). Other studies have shown that patients with all of these characteristics have a more narrow and angled osseous corridor in the first sacral segment compared with those who exhibit none of these characteristics\textsuperscript{23,24,29}. Using these criteria to adjust operative planning, surgeons can achieve percutaneous fixation of pelvic fractures with low rates of neurovascular injury, ranging from 0% to 1\%\textsuperscript{25}.

We studied a large cohort of uninjured pelves to represent the general population. The prevalence of each qualitative characteristic in our cohort was between 28% to 53%, with high agreement rates (70% to 80%) and moderate agreement according to the kappa analysis (0.29 to 0.59). The prevalence of sacral dysmorphism in our subjects was similar to those in prior studies, in which the prevalences ranged from 30% to 50\%\textsuperscript{27,29}.

![Image](Image)

An example of the tongue-in-groove characteristic, seen on axial CT imaging. The term is an analogy derived from woodworking, in which boards are brought together by using an interlocking tongue from one board into a groove on the other. In the larger image, there is a protrusion of the ilium (tongue) that fits into a concavity on the sacrum. In the inset image, the characteristic is not present. We found that there was no significant difference in the prevalence of the tongue-in-groove characteristic between the dysmorphic and nondysmorphic clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable/Cluster</th>
<th>Mean and Standard Deviation</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corridor length (mm)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Majority</td>
<td>155.2 ± 12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Dysmorphic</td>
<td>87.9 ± 10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Minority</td>
<td>86.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal angulation (deg)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Majority</td>
<td>15.8 ± 8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Dysmorphic</td>
<td>29.6 ± 9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Minority</td>
<td>23.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial angulation (deg)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Majority</td>
<td>5.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Dysmorphic</td>
<td>18.5 ± 9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Minority</td>
<td>14.9 ± 10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dysmorphism. Our study provides a quantitative means of determining dysmorphism, through use of CT reformats, measurement of the true axial and coronal angulation of the corridor, and calculation of the sacral dysmorphism score.

The sacral dysmorphism score is calculated by the summation of the first sacral segment coronal angulation and twice the first sacral segment axial angulation. The sacral dysmorphism score correlates with the presence of a safe transsacral osseous corridor, and provides an objective way to preoperatively plan iliosacral screw fixation.

In our study, the higher the sacral dysmorphism score, the lower the likelihood of the presence of a safe transsacral osseous corridor. No patient with a dysmorphic score higher than 70 had an osseous corridor that traversed the sacrum. We propose that the sacral dysmorphism score can assist the surgeon in determining whether it is technically appropriate to place a transsacral screw when treating a pelvic fracture.

In practice, surgeons often target the screw oblique to the axis of the first sacral corridor in order to achieve a transsacral screw. This practice can increase the maximum iliosacral screw length that can be used, but at the expense of a functional decrease in the surrounding osseous corridor and increase in the risk of cortical perforation. We measured the length of an osseous corridor along the safe zone axis of 10 mm in diameter. Ten millimeters was chosen to provide 1 to 2 mm of circumference around a 6.3 to 8-mm-diameter screw, and has been previously established as a reasonably “safe”-diameter corridor by experienced surgeons. The smallest cross-sectional area that we measured in a first sacral osseous corridor was 206 mm². In comparison, an 8-mm iliosacral screw has a cross-sectional area of 50.2 mm². Therefore, while passage of a transsacral screw was considered “unsafe” for nearly half of the subjects on the basis of a combination of corridor diameter and angulation, none had a unilateral corridor that was too small for passage of an 8-mm screw. It is likely that patients in the minority group (Cluster 3) were classified as such because of our conservative 10-mm threshold and not because of a substantive difference in first sacral coronal or axial angulation. Table III demonstrates that these angles for the minority cluster fall between those in the majority and dysmorphic groups, suggesting that they represent an average of these larger groups; however, subjects were probably assigned to the minority group because of the diminutive size (small safe-zone cross-sectional areas) of their pelves. If an experienced surgeon passes an 8-mm-diameter or narrower screw at an angle not along the axis of the osseous corridor, it would be technically possible to pass it in a transsacral fashion without cortical perforation in an unknown percentage of the subjects for whom we determined the screw passage to be “unsafe.”

Our findings can be adapted in practice by all surgeons who apply instrumentation to the sacrum. We recommend that CT scans obtained to evaluate pelvic fractures be reformatted along the axis of the sacrum, and that the sacral dysmorphism score be calculated to quantify the morphology of the upper sacral segment prior to iliosacral screw fixation. Future clinical research is recommended to validate and test the ability to use reformatted CT imaging and the sacral dysmorphism score to plan screw length and angulation. The complex three-dimensional morphology of the sacral osseous corridor presents a challenge to surgeons applying instrumentation, and may represent an ideal application of computer navigation technology.

In conclusion, upper sacral segment dysplasia can be defined as a constellation of morphological features that increase the risk of cortical perforation of a transsacral screw, potentially leading to neurovascular injury. The two most important quantitative characteristics that determine upper sacral segment dysplasia are coronal and axial angulation of the first sacral segment. Patients with upper sacral segment dysplasia more frequently show the qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism on pelvic outlet radiographs. The presence of the five qualitative characteristics of sacral dysmorphism on the outlet image should prompt closer preoperative attention to the morphology of the first sacral segment. The sacral dysmorphism score determined from CT reformats along the plane of the sacrum can be used to estimate the presence of an unsafe corridor. A high sacral dysmorphism score correlates with anatomy that precludes the safe placement of a transsacral screw. We recommend use of these CT reformats and the sacral dysmorphism score in preoperative planning to direct the choice of technique for placement of iliosacral screws.

Appendix

A table showing the sacral dysmorphism scores by quintile in the cohort and a figure demonstrating the three clusters graphed according to the first and second sacral corridor lengths are available with the online version of this article as a data supplement at jbjs.org.
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