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Species-Specific Transmission of Novel Picornaviruses in Lemurs

Efrem S. Lim, Sharon L. Deem, Ingrid J. Porton, Song Cao, David Wang

ABSTRACT

The roles of host genetics versus exposure and contact frequency in driving cross-species transmission remain the subject of debate. Here, we used a multitaxon lemur collection at the Saint Louis Zoo in the United States as a model to gain insight into viral transmission in a setting of high interspecies contact. Lemurs are a diverse and understudied group of primates that are highly endangered. The speciation of lemurs, which are endemic to the island of Madagascar, occurred in geographic isolation apart from that of continental African primates. Although evidence of endogenized viruses in lemur genomes exists, no exogenous viruses of lemurs have been described to date. Here we identified two novel picornaviruses in fecal specimens of ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta) and black-and-white ruffed lemurs (Varecia variegata). We found that the viruses were transmitted in a species-specific manner (lesavirus 1 was detected only in ring-tailed lemurs, while lesavirus 2 was detected only in black-and-white ruffed lemurs). Longitudinal sampling over a 1-year interval demonstrated ongoing infection in the collection. This was supported by evidence of viral clearance in some animals and new infections in previously uninfected animals, including a set of newly born triplets that acquired the infection. While the two virus strains were found to be cocirculating in a mixed-species exhibit of ring-tailed lemurs, black-and-white ruffed lemurs, and black lemurs, there was no evidence of cross-species transmission. This suggests that despite high-intensity contact, host species barriers can prevent cross-species transmissions of these viruses.

IMPORTANCE

Up to 75% of emerging infectious diseases in humans today are the result of zoonotic transmission. However, a challenge in understanding transmission dynamics has been the limited models of cross-species transmission. Zoos provide a unique opportunity to explore parameters defining viral transmission. We demonstrated that ongoing virus transmission in a mixed lemur species exhibit was species specific. This suggests that despite high contact intensity, host species barriers contribute to protection from cross-species transmission of these viruses. While the combinations of species might differ, most zoological parks worldwide commonly feature mixed-species exhibits. Collectively, this report demonstrates a widely applicable approach toward understanding infectious disease transmission.

The origin of many emerging infectious diseases can be traced to transmissions between humans and nonhuman animals. For example, the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak resulted from the transmission of SARS coronavirus from civets to humans, and the ongoing human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-AIDS pandemic originated from cross-species transmissions of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) from chimpanzees and related primates (1, 2). Host genetic factors, such as cellular receptors and immunity genes, can act as species barriers to viral transmission (3–5). For RNA viruses, it has been proposed that host barriers that share closer genetic similarities between species correspond to the flattened fitness valley that viruses can traverse in their adaptation to new hosts (4, 6). Consequently, species-specific barriers can be overcome by virus evolution through adaptive mutations and neofunctionalization (7–10). Alternatively, it has been argued that high contact rate is the key driver of virus emergence (11–13). However, a major challenge to studying the dynamics of cross-species transmission has been the lack of models in relevant settings. Hence, most studies have relied on prospective inference and reconstruction.

Zoological parks feature collections that house different animal species within an enclosure (i.e., mixed-species exhibits). Mixed-species exhibits benefit both the animals and public visitors by providing a more enriched environment and improving the educational experience (14, 15). Mixed-species exhibits also provide a practical solution to the problem of the limited space available at most zoos. However, this creates an environment where interspecies interactions may occur through physical contact (16).

Lemurs, endemic to Madagascar, are prosimians that diverged from other primates on the African mainland approximately 62 million years ago (17). Lemurs are highly diverse, in part because, unlike African and Asian prosimians that are strictly nocturnal, they evolved in the absence of anthropoid primates (monkeys and apes), branching out to occupy the diurnal and nocturnal niches of the island’s different ecosystems. There are only limited data...
regarding viruses that infect lemurs. Serological studies suggest that lemurs have been exposed to pathogens similar to West Nile virus and lentiviruses (18). Moreover, endogenous gammaherpesvirus, lentivirus, and spumavirus sequences have been identified in lemur genomes (19–23). However, there has been no direct evidence to date of extant exogenous viruses in lemurs.

One Health has been defined as an initiative that aims to merge animal and human health sciences to benefit both (24). Emerging infectious diseases of animals and humans, along with the continued anthropogenic environmental stressors that challenge wildlife and human health, have been the catalyst for the growing One Health approach in the veterinary, medical, and environmental fields (25). Within this framework, mixed-species exhibits provide a unique opportunity to examine viral transmission in a setting of high interspecies contact. In this study, we demonstrated the species-specific transmission of two novel picornaviruses in lemurs housed in single-species and mixed-species exhibits at the Saint Louis Zoo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens. The study was approved by the Saint Louis Zoo’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. A total of 35 fecal specimens were collected during September to October 2012 from ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta), black lemurs (Eulemur macaco macaco), and dumbo lemurs (Eulemur mongoz), black-and-white ruffed lemurs (Varecia variegata), and Coquerel’s sifakas (Propithecus coquereli). Details of the individual species in the collection are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. A fecal specimen from 1 Coquerel’s sifaka was not available at the time of collection. A second set of 33 fecal specimens was collected in September 2013. One ring-tailed lemur and 3 black-and-white ruffed lemurs died since the 2012 collection. Samples from 1 black-and-white ruffed lemur (transferred to another zoo) and 1 black lemur were not available at the time of the 2013 collection.

Sequencing. A subset of specimens from the 2012 collection was subjected to unbiased next-generation sequencing. Fecal specimens were diluted 1:1 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and filtered through a 0.45-μm-pore-size membrane to minimize recovery of intact bacteria. Total nucleic acid was extracted from the filtrate. The sequencing library for the specimen from lemur Mis101308 was prepared using ScriptSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) protocol. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. High-quality reads with no detectable similarity to the reference human genome or NCBI nucleotide database by BLASTn were analyzed by BLASTx alignment against the NCBI nonredundant (nr) protein database as previously described (26), in order to identify divergent viral sequences. Contigs were assembled from viral sequences using Newbler (27).

Amplification of complete genome. PCR primers were designed from contigs assembled from Illumina sequences. The complete genome of lesavirus 1 (the name we propose) was amplified by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) in five overlapping fragments using a SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA), cloned using a standard TruSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) protocol. Total nucleic acid extracted from the specimen from lemur Nai108015 was subjected to random-priming (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Total nucleic acid extracted from the specimen from lemur Mis101308 was prepared using ScriptSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) protocol. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. High-quality reads with no detectable similarity to the reference human genome or NCBI nucleotide database by BLASTn were analyzed by BLASTx alignment against the NCBI nonredundant (nr) protein database as previously described (26), in order to identify divergent viral sequences. Contigs were assembled from viral sequences using Newbler (27).

Phylogenetic analyses. Amino acid sequences of the full-length polyprotein from lesavirus 1 (KM396707), lesavirus 2 (KM396708), hunnivirus A1 (NC_018668), hunnivirus A2 (HM153767), and porcine teschovirus 1 (NC_003985) were aligned by MUSCLE (29). Diversity plots were generated with Simplott (30), employing sliding windows of 250 amino acids in length and a step size of 10 amino acids, with Kimura (2-parameter) correction.

Phylogenetic trees were constructed from alignments of the concatenated 2C3CD and P1 (VP4231) regions from the following picornaviruses: enterovirus A (NC_001612), simian sapovirus (NC_004451), foot-and-mouth disease virus (NC_004004), cosavirus A (NC_012800), bovine rhinovirus B (NC_003983), echovirus Goodchild’s virus (NC_004179), Seneca valley virus (NC_011349), porcine teschovirus 1 (NC_003985), hunnivirus A1 (NC_018668), hunnivirus A2 (HM153767), Aichi virus (NC_001918), salivirus A (GG253930), codicivirus A (HM191922), melogrevirus A (HM751199), human parechovirus (FM178558), duck hepatitis A virus (NC_008250), hepatitis A virus (NC_001489), aquamavirus A (EU142040), avian encephalomyelitis virus (NC_003990), mosavirus A (F973687), mischivirus A (IQ814851), gallivirus A (IQ961913), passerivirus A (GU182406), ocuvirus A (GU182408), rosavirus A (F973686), avisisivirus A (KC465954), and pasivirus A (KM259923). Phylogenies were constructed with PhyML v3.0 (31) by the maximum-likelihood (ML) method using the LG substitution model. A discrete γ distribution of 4 rate categories was used to model heterogeneity among sites. Analyses were performed at least twice, and support for ML trees was assessed by 1,000 nonparametric bootstraps. The best-fit model of protein evolution was determined by ProtTest v 2.4 (32). Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) inference (WAG + I + G + F) was performed with BEAST v1.7.5 (33). A total of 10,000,000 MCMC states were run with a 25% burn-in period under a lognormal relaxed clock and Yule prior. Convergence and mixing were assessed with Tracer v1.5 and AWTY (34, 35). The two methods yielded trees with similar topologies.

For the phylogenetic analysis of cytorhovirus B genes and lesavirus sequences obtained through the screening assay, nucleotide sequences were aligned by Muscle (29) and primer sequences were trimmed from the alignment. A phylogeny was constructed by the neighbor-joining method using
the Jukes-Cantor model of nucleotide substitution and maximum-likelihood method. The two methods yielded similar phylogenies.

**Diagnostic RT-PCR amplification.** Standard precautions to avoid end product contamination were taken for all PCR assays, including the use of PCR hoods and maintaining separate areas for PCR setup and analysis. Seven no-template negative controls were interspersed between the actual samples. OneStep RT-PCR (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used to amplify 5 μl of extracted samples using the following PCR program: 90°C for 35 min, 95°C for 15 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 35°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 21 s, followed by 72°C for 10 min. A consensus-degenerate primer pair, LVScreenF (5’-TTGTAACCATCTTAAGARGAT GAGAC-3’) and LVScreenR (5’-TGTATCCCTGCTCATCAGATRT G-3’), used to screen samples for the presence of lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2, generated a 388-nucleotide (nt) amplicon from the 3D polymerase (3Dpol) region, one of the most highly conserved regions of the genomes. Amplicons were cloned and sequences verified.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The sequences of the complete genomes of lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2, VP1 sequences, amplicons obtained through screening, and cytochrome B amplicon sequences have been entered into the GenBank database under accession numbers KM396707 to KM396752.

**RESULTS**

**Two novel picornaviruses in lemurs.** Fecal specimens (35 from 6 taxa of lemurs at the Saint Louis Zoo) were collected for this study in 2012. The lemurs were housed in 9 single-species exhibits (ring-tailed lemurs, black-and-white ruffed lemurs, Coquerel’s sifakas, mongoose lemurs, a blue-eyed black lemur, and black lemurs) and a mixed-species exhibit (4 ring-tailed lemurs with 4 black-and-white ruffed lemurs and 2 black lemurs in one exhibit) (Fig. 1A). As there have been no known exogenous viruses of lemurs described to date, we first sought to identify viruses associated with lemurs by performing unbiased deep sequencing on total nucleic acid samples extracted from fecal specimens from a subset of lemurs.

From 3,349,958 total sequencing reads in a ring-tailed lemur (Mis101308), we identified 20 reads that had limited sequence identity to picornaviruses previously identified from cattle (contigs) that shared between 37% and 65% amino acid identity to known picornaviruses. From 4004 contigs, we identified 20 reads that had limited sequence identity to picornaviruses (Fig. 1C). The diagram shows the complete genomes of lesavirus 1 (above) and lesavirus 2 (below) confirmed by RACE/RT-PCR. Contigs assembled from the Illumina sequencing reads are indicated in gray. The phylogenetic relationships are shown in Fig. 1B. Contigs of the genome of LV1 (7706 nt) and LV2 (7603 nt) were obtained and verified to be complete genomes of 7,687 nt and 7,593 nt, respectively. The putative 2C proteins had both the GXXGXGKS NTP binding motif (LV1, G1250RPGQRKS; LV2, G1231KPGQRKS) and the DDLXQ helicase activity motif (LV1, D1299DLGQ; LV2, D1280DLQG). Additionally, the GXCG cysteine active site in the 3C protein to lesavirus 2 (red), hunniviruses (light blue and dark blue), and porcine teschovirus 1 (black).

The putative 2C proteins had both the GXXGXGKS NTP binding motif (LV1, G1250RPGQRKS; LV2, G1231KPGQRKS) and the DDLXQ helicase activity motif (LV1, D1299DLGQ; LV2, D1280DLQG). The diagnostic RT-PCR amplification standard precautions to avoid end product contamination were taken for all PCR assays, including the use of PCR hoods and maintaining separate areas for PCR setup and analysis. Seven no-template negative controls were interspersed between the actual samples. OneStep RT-PCR (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used to amplify 5 μl of extracted samples using the following PCR program: 90°C for 35 min, 95°C for 15 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 35°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 21 s, followed by 72°C for 10 min. A consensus-degenerate primer pair, LVScreenF (5’-TTGTAACCATCTTAAGARGAT GAGAC-3’) and LVScreenR (5’-TGTATCCCTGCTCATCAGATRT G-3’), used to screen samples for the presence of lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2, generated a 388-nucleotide (nt) amplicon from the 3D polymerase (3Dpol) region, one of the most highly conserved regions of the genomes. Amplicons were cloned and sequences verified.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The sequences of the complete genomes of lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2, VP1 sequences, amplicons obtained through screening, and cytochrome B amplicon sequences have been entered into the GenBank database under accession numbers KM396707 to KM396752.

**RESULTS**

**Two novel picornaviruses in lemurs.** Fecal specimens (35 from 6 taxa of lemurs at the Saint Louis Zoo) were collected for this study in 2012. The lemurs were housed in 9 single-species exhibits (ring-tailed lemurs, black-and-white ruffed lemurs, Coquerel’s sifakas, mongoose lemurs, a blue-eyed black lemur, and black lemurs) and a mixed-species exhibit (4 ring-tailed lemurs with 4 black-and-white ruffed lemurs and 2 black lemurs in one exhibit) (Fig. 1A). As there have been no known exogenous viruses of lemurs described to date, we first sought to identify viruses associated with lemurs by performing unbiased deep sequencing on total nucleic acid samples extracted from fecal specimens from a subset of lemurs.

From 3,349,958 total sequencing reads in a ring-tailed lemur (Mis101308), we identified 20 reads that had limited sequence identity to picornaviruses previously identified from cattle (contigs) that shared between 37% and 65% amino acid identity to known picornaviruses. From 4004 contigs, we identified 20 reads that had limited sequence identity to picornaviruses (Fig. 1C). The diagram shows the complete genomes of lesavirus 1 (above) and lesavirus 2 (below) confirmed by RACE/RT-PCR. Contigs assembled from the Illumina sequencing reads are indicated in gray. The phylogenetic relationships are shown in Fig. 1B. Contigs of the genome of LV1 (7706 nt) and LV2 (7603 nt) were obtained and verified to be complete genomes of 7,687 nt and 7,593 nt, respectively. The putative 2C proteins had both the GXXGXGKS NTP binding motif (LV1, G1250RPGQRKS; LV2, G1231KPGQRKS) and the DDLXQ helicase activity motif (LV1, D1299DLGQ; LV2, D1280DLQG). Additionally, the GXCG cysteine active site in the 3C protein to lesavirus 2 (red), hunniviruses (light blue and dark blue), and porcine teschovirus 1 (black).
Lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2 define a novel genus in the Picornaviridae family. The Picornaviridae family consists of 26 genera (37). We examined the evolutionary relationship of LV1 and LV2 in the family Picornaviridae. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with Bayesian and maximum-likelihood methods using a concatenated amino acid alignment of 2C and 3CD genes that included representative members from 26 picornavirus genera. Identical topologies were obtained when reconstructed with Bayesian and maximum-likelihood methods. The phylogenetic analyses strongly supported the idea that LV1 and LV2 formed a monophyletic clade and that they be placed sister to hunniviruses (Fig. 2A). These findings were also well supported by phylogenetic re-
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Lesavirus 1 was detected in 6 of 12 (50%) black-and-white ruffed lemurs in the 2013 collection (Fig. 3C). LV1 was not detected in ring-tailed lemurs, black lemurs, a blue-eyed black lemur, mongoose lemurs, or Coquerel’s sifakas. A phylogenetic tree constructed with all LV1 and LV2 sequences overlaid with each host species origin confirmed that all 10 specimens that were positive for LV1 were from ring-tailed lemurs and that all 13 LV2-positive specimens were from black-and-white ruffed lemurs (Fig. 2B). Picornaviruses evolve rapidly due to the presence of the error-prone RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Therefore, we compared the VP1 sequences of LV1 from fecal specimens collected in 2012 and 2013 from the same ring-tailed lemur (Mis101308). A similar analysis was done for the VP1 of LV2 from a black-and-white ruffed lemur (Mah951211) whose results were positive at both time points. The estimated mean rates of LV1 and LV2 VP1 evolution were approximately $9.22 \times 10^{-3}$ and $8.26 \times 10^{-3}$ nucleotide substitutions per site per year, respectively, within the range of previous estimates for enteroviruses (39, 40).

We next examined the virus prevalence in the context of their single-species or mixed-species housing. Examples of both new infection and viral clearance were observed. In one single-species exhibit, a previously LV2-positive black-and-white ruffed lemur tested negative in 2013 (exhibit 3; see Table S1 in the supplemental material). A set of black-and-white ruffed lemur triplets born after the 2012 sampling and kept in a single-species exhibit were all positive for LV2 at the 2013 testing. In the mixed-species exhibit that housed 4 ring-tailed lemurs, 4 black-and-white ruffed lemurs, and 2 black lemurs together for approximately 5 months, both LV1 and LV2 were detected (Fig. 3E). Initially, in 2012, two ring-tailed lemurs were positive for LV1 and one black-and-white ruffed lemur was positive for LV2. In 2013, both LV1-positive ring-tailed lemurs remained positive whereas an additional ring-tailed lemur became infected with LV1. Both black lemurs remained negative for LV1 and LV2. Approximately 2 months after the first sampling, the four black-and-white ruffled lemurs were transferred to a separate exhibit, after which one lemur was found to have acquired LV2 in 2013 and the initially LV2-positive individual died. These observations demonstrated that, even in a high-contact mixed-species exhibit, the viruses were transmitted in a species-specific manner.

A ring-tailed lemur (Geo101895) that was positive for LV1 in 2012 subsequently died prior to the 2013 sampling. Two of the three black-and-white ruffed lemurs (Man105690 and Bon101605) that died prior to the 2013 sampling were positive for LV2 in 2012. An additional positive black-and-white ruffed lemur (And113831) died after the 2013 evaluation. However, the causes of death were different among the 5 lemurs—malignant neoplasia (Geo101895), progressive neurological disease (Man105690,
FIG 3  Species-specific prevalence of lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2. (A) RT-PCR analysis of lesavirus is shown for water (control) or representative specimens found to be negative (Neg) for lesavirus 1 and lesavirus 2 (Gay88020) or positive for lesavirus 1 (Giz107097) or lesavirus 2 (Mah951211). The band corresponds to a 388-nt PCR product. (B) A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree constructed from partial cytochrome B sequences is shown. The alignment was based on sequences from samples found to be positive for either lesavirus 1 (LV1) or lesavirus 2 (LV2) and from samples from representative individuals of other species. Reference sequences from GenBank were included for *L. catta* (LCU53575), *V. variegata* (AB371089), and *P. coquereli* (AF285528). The phylogeny was outgrouped to an aye-aye sequence (DMU53569). Branch labels indicate bootstrap proportion. Individuals in the mixed-species exhibit are marked with an asterisk. *E.m.macaco*, *Eulemur macaco macaco*; *E.m. flavifrons*, *Eulemur macaco flavifrons*; *E. mongoz*, *Eulemur mongoz*; *D. madagascariensis*, *Daubentonia madagascariensis*. (C) The prevalences of LV1 and LV2 in specimens collected in 2012 and 2013 are shown. (D) A phylogeny inferred from the nucleotide sequences of all LV1 and LV2 strains that were screened in the experiments represented in panel C using the neighbor-joining method is shown. Virus sequences are highlighted with the host species origin as either ring-tailed lemur (open boxes) or black-and-white ruffed lemur (gray boxes) as determined by the cytochrome *b* genotype (see panel B). Virus sequences from individuals in the mixed-species exhibit are marked with an asterisk. (E) The prevalences of lemurs in the mixed-species exhibit in 2012 and 2013 are shown. The exhibit consisted of 4 ring-tailed lemurs (circles), 4 black-and-white ruffed lemurs (squares), and 2 black lemurs (triangles). Boxes and squares representing individuals infected by LV1 (gray) and LV2 (black) are shaded. The black-and-white ruffed lemurs were housed separately (Exhibit XI) in 2013. Two previously LV2-infected black-and-white ruffed lemurs died prior to the 2013 sampling (crossed squares).
was enteroviruses and parechoviruses have been found cocirculating in murs, mongoose lemurs, or Coquerel’s sifakas. In other studies, regardless of the prior host origin, we have demonstrated that rooted despite efforts to control their environment. Nonetheless, the possibility that the viruses originated from other host sources at the same diet, suggesting that the presence of the viruses was not simply the result of dietary ingestion. We are unable to exclude the possibility that the viruses originated from other host sources at the same diet, suggesting that the presence of the viruses was not simply the result of dietary ingestion. We are unable to exclude the possibility that the viruses originated from other host sources at the same diet, suggesting that the presence of the viruses was not

DISCUSSION

It is widely accepted that many emerging infectious diseases in humans are the result of zoonotic transmissions. However, the conditions that facilitate or prevent these transmissions are less well understood. This poses an urgent challenge in predicting disease emergence. Here, we investigated the transmission of two previously undescribed picornaviruses in lemurs at the Saint Louis Zoo. We chose lemurs because their species diverged on comparable evolutionary timescales to continental African primates, thus providing a parallel model for primate host genetic divergence. For example, black-and-white ruffed lemurs and ring-tailed lemurs diverged from their common ancestor approximately 26 and 21 million years ago, respectively (41). This evolutionary time scale is comparable to the divergence of the Catarhini parvorder of primates that includes humans, gibbons, great apes, and Old World monkeys. Using the housing organization at the Saint Louis Zoo, we studied viral transmission in a setting of high interspecies contact (mixed-species exhibit) and a setting of minimal interspecies contact (single-species exhibit). Mixed-species exhibits are common in most zoological parks worldwide, and this report illustrates an approach that can be widely applied to other zoo settings to study viral transmission.

The role of host genetic barriers in helping to prevent cross-species transmission and viral adaptation and in determining whether transmission between species is primarily driven by contact intensity remains the subject of debate (4, 6, 13). In this study, we found that both lemur picornaviruses were highly prevalent and species specific in the lemur collection (Fig. 3C and D). LV1 was detected only in ring-tailed lemurs and LV2 only in black-and-white ruffed lemurs; neither virus was detected in black lemurs, mongoose lemurs, or Coquerel’s sifakas. In other studies, enteroviruses and parechoviruses have been found cocirculating between humans and nonhuman primates (42, 43). For example, rhesus macaques and baboons in a multispecies cage at the Dhaka Zoo harbored human enterovirus 112 (43). In contrast, we found that the two lemur viruses were species specific despite cocirculating in an environment of high physical exposure and contact within a mixed-species exhibit (Fig. 3E). A ring-tailed lemur and a black-and-white ruffed lemur in the mixed-species exhibit that were previously negative were positive at the second sampling time, demonstrating that infection could be newly acquired in the exhibit during this time frame. Together, these observations suggest that the lemur species have evolved host barriers to prevent cross-species transmission of these viruses, possibly shaped by selection to survive past pathogenic pressures (44). In addition to understanding the host genetic determinants of species specificity, future work can track the adaptive evolutionary trajectory in the event of cross-species transmission and establishment in the new host species.

All three lemur species in the mixed-species exhibit were fed the same diet, suggesting that the presence of the viruses was not simply the result of dietary ingestion. We are unable to exclude the possibility that the viruses originated from other host sources at the zoo, such as mice and insects that may be commonly encountered despite efforts to control their environment. Nonetheless, regardless of the prior host origin, we have demonstrated that both viruses can be detected in sequential samples in lemurs. Samples collected in 2012 and 2013 showed that the majority of the lemur species collected in 2012 and 2013 showed that the majority of the lemur species that tested positive in 2012 remained positive 1 year later, with viral clearance observed in only a minority of them. It is possible that the viruses cause persistent infection or, alternatively, that there may be clearance followed by reinfection. Additionally, black-and-white ruffed lemur triplets born after the initial sampling were found positive for LV2 in 2013, suggesting that de novo virus infection occurred. The test results from the dam (Lul105694) of the triplets were negative at both time points, suggesting that the infections were the result of horizontal transmission. The host range of these picornaviruses remains to be experimentally determined. This might be difficult to address in vivo, as many lemur species, including the critically endangered black-and-white ruffed lemur and endangered ring-tailed lemur, are threatened with extinction and are the focus of multifaceted conservation efforts (45). However, our studies are noninvasive (fecal collection) and could help assess the potential risk of viral infections on lemur survival.

No exogenous viruses had been described in lemurs prior to this study. The discovery of the lemur picornaviruses raises important questions about infectious causes of morbidity and mortality in lemurs. While we have detected viral nucleic acid in fecal specimens, this finding may not reflect the site of disease or provide clues to the pathogenicity of the virus. For example, poliovirus (a picornavirus) is shed in feces but causes neurologic disease. While four of the five lemurs that died also tested positive for the viruses at the prior evaluation, the causes of death were different among the individuals. Further studies are necessary to determine the potential pathogenicity of these lemur picornaviruses and to better define the epidemiology of infection in captive and wild lemurs.

According to ICTV guidelines for picornavirus taxonomy (37), the criteria for species demarcation are <70% amino acid identity in the P1 and 2C3D regions and genera defined as sharing greater than 40%, 40%, and 50% identity in the P1, P2, and P3 regions, respectively. While the 2C3D comparison of LPV1 and LPV2 falls within the species guidelines, indicating that they belong to the same species, the P1 region identity is lower than the 70% cutoff and indicates that LPV1 and LPV2 should be considered separate species. Based on the P1 divergence and the observed species specificity of infection, we propose that the two viruses are distinct species. Regardless, there is consistent agreement in the broader comparison of the P1, P2, and P3 regions, supporting the suggestion that LV1 and LV2 should be classified within a novel genus in the Picornaviridae family. Thus, we propose the name “Lesavirus” for the novel genus.
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