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Effects of Regional Versus General Anesthesia on Outcomes After Total Hip Arthroplasty

A Retrospective Propensity-Matched Cohort Study

Mohammad A. Helwani, MD, Michael S. Avidan, MBBCh, Arbi Ben Abdallah, PhD, Dagmar J. Kaiser, MD, John C. Clohisy, MD, Bruce L. Hall, MD, and Heiko A. Kaiser, MD

Institution performed at the Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

Background: Many orthopaedic surgical procedures can be performed with either regional or general anesthesia. We hypothesized that total hip arthroplasty with regional anesthesia is associated with less postoperative morbidity and mortality than total hip arthroplasty with general anesthesia.

Methods: This retrospective propensity-matched cohort study utilizing the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) database included patients who had undergone total hip arthroplasty from 2007 through 2011. After matching, logistic regression was used to determine the association between the type of anesthesia and deep surgical site infections, hospital length of stay, thirty-day mortality, and cardiovascular and pulmonary complications.

Results: Of 12,929 surgical procedures, 5103 (39.5%) were performed with regional anesthesia. The adjusted odds for deep surgical site infections were significantly lower in the regional anesthesia group than in the general anesthesia group (odds ratio [OR] = 0.38; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.20 to 0.72; p < 0.01). The hospital length of stay (geometric mean) was decreased by 5% (95% CI = 3% to 7%; p < 0.001) with regional anesthesia, which translates to 0.17 day for each total hip arthroplasty. Regional anesthesia was also associated with a 27% decrease in the odds of prolonged hospitalization (OR = 0.73; 95% CI = 0.68 to 0.89; p < 0.001). The mortality rate was not significantly lower with regional anesthesia (OR = 0.78; 95% CI = 0.43 to 1.42; p > 0.05). The adjusted odds for cardiovascular complications (OR = 0.61; 95% CI = 0.44 to 0.85) and respiratory complications (OR = 0.51; 95% CI = 0.33 to 0.81) were all lower in the regional anesthesia group.

Conclusions: Compared with general anesthesia, regional anesthesia for total hip arthroplasty was associated with a reduction in deep surgical site infection rates, hospital length of stay, and rates of postoperative cardiovascular and pulmonary complications. These findings could have an important medical and economic impact on health-care practice.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level III. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.
physical status classification as well as cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, and diabetes are associated with higher risks of death and postoperative complications. Total hip arthroplasty is performed either with regional anesthesia, typically spinal anesthesia, or with general anesthesia, depending on the discretion of the anesthesiology team, surgeon preference, and patient choice. There is a lack of robust evidence regarding postoperative outcomes to inform the choice of anesthetic technique. Regional anesthesia has been hypothesized to decrease postoperative complications by reducing sympathetic activation and inflammation, by preventing venous stasis, and by avoiding tracheal intubation and positive pressure ventilation. However, to our knowledge, the influence of anesthetic type on clinical outcomes has not been studied with large clinical trials, and the investigations that have been done have produced conflicting results. In 2006, Mauermann et al. performed a meta-analysis of studies carried out from 1966 to 2005 and found lower rates of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism following total hip arthroplasties done with regional anesthesia compared with those done with general anesthesia. They found no difference in transfusion requirements between the groups, whereas other studies showed blood transfusion requirements to be lower with regional anesthesia. Most of these positive studies were conducted years ago and may not reflect current practice. Over the last two decades, anesthesia care, surgical techniques, and postoperative care have improved, resulting in decreased perioperative morbidity and mortality despite increasing patient age and comorbidities. While several recent studies utilizing large databases have suggested that regional anesthesia is superior for hip fracture surgical procedures, we are aware of only two studies of elective total hip arthroplasty that suggested that regional anesthesia (spinal or epidural) might be associated with lower rates of in-hospital complications and ninety-day mortality.

Minimizing complications of total hip arthroplasty is an important public health concern. Despite the mounting evidence that regional anesthesia might be associated with improved outcomes, current American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) data show that general anesthesia is still chosen for the majority of total hip arthroplasties. We therefore conducted a propensity-matched analysis to test the hypothesis that use of regional anesthesia decreases deep surgical site infection rates, hospital length of stay, postoperative mortality, and rates of cardiovascular and respiratory complications compared with general anesthesia in patients treated with total hip arthroplasty.

**Materials and Methods**

The ACS NSQIP is conducted under institutional review board approval at Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Washington University in St. Louis. The present study was performed with use of the preexisting and deidentified Participant Use Data File and thus was exempt from further review. The STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) checklist for observational studies was used to guide the methods of this study and to structure this manuscript.

We conducted a retrospective cohort study with prospectively collected data on adults undergoing elective total hip replacement from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2011, who were part of the ACS NSQIP. The purpose of the ACS NSQIP is to provide risk-adjusted clinical outcome data to participating hospitals to drive effective quality improvement. The database consists of 135 perioperative variables and thirty-day postoperative morbidity and mortality outcomes for patients treated with non-cardiac surgery.

Dedicated data personnel collect, validate, and submit the data at each site after rigorous uniform training and examination. Per ACS NSQIP guidelines, all variables, including complications, have predefined definitions and specifications (http://site.acsnsqip.org). During the submission of data from each institution, user information prompts are implemented to ensure completeness, uniformity, and validity of the data. Data integrity measures, including site visits, conference calls, an annual meeting of participants, interrater reliability site visits, and auditing, are regularly performed to safeguard the reliability and validity of the system's data. Several studies have demonstrated the high quality and validity of the ACS NSQIP database; the combined results of audits revealed an overall disagreement rate of approximately 1.8% for all variables.

At the end of the calendar year 2011, 316 hospitals were included in the data set; 49% of them were classified as “academic/teaching” and 51%, as “non-teaching.” There were three types of participation in this study period: classic, targeted, and essential. Classic programs have the least missing data on baseline characteristics of interest, so they were included for the analysis in this study (see details below).

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

We queried the ACS NSQIP database using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes for primary total hip replacement (CPT 27130) and conversions to or revisions of total hip arthroplasties (CPT 27132, 27134, 27137, and 27138). All patients who received any regional anesthesia (spinal or epidural) or general anesthesia for primary or revision total hip arthroplasty in the classic programs were included. Demographic data, comorbidities, medical history, and surgical characteristics, including the type of procedure performed, were obtained for all identified patients. Case exclusion criteria were cases from non-classic programs as those programs had not collected data on ten of the thirty-two baseline variables, an age under sixteen years, preoperative mechanical ventilation as regional anesthesia is not an option when mechanical ventilation is used, preoperative coma, and no report of the type of anesthesia or use of a type other than spinal, epidural, or general anesthesia (Fig. 1).

**Outcomes and Interpretation**

Outcomes of interest were deep surgical site infection within thirty days after surgery and hospital length of stay from the day of the surgery until discharge. Hospital length of stay was used as a continuous variable, and it was also used as a categorical variable—i.e., prolonged hospital length of stay (yes or no), defined as longer than the average plus two standard deviations (SD) of the mean. Furthermore, the mortality rate as well as the rates of cardiovascular and pulmonary complications within thirty days after surgery were assessed. Cardiovascular complications and pulmonary complications were composite outcomes of other ACS NSQIP-defined events. A cardiovascular complication was defined as the occurrence of myocardial infarction, stroke, deep venous thrombosis, or pulmonary embolism. A pulmonary complication was defined as the occurrence of pneumonia or respiratory failure requiring intubation as specified within ACS NSQIP.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared between the regional and general anesthesia groups by using Pearson chi-square tests for all categorical variables. Possible selection bias was addressed by performing propensity score matching. The propensity score for each individual is defined as the probability of receiving the treatment (regional anesthesia) given the patient's baseline characteristics and comorbidities (Table I). This incorporated thirty-two variables, including demographic variables and comorbidities (some of the thirty-two variables were combined to obtain a total of twenty-five baseline variables used for matching).

Multiple matching models with different matching ratios and calipers were evaluated to select a matching model with the overall best balance and the
Smallest reduction in the number of treated patients (regional anesthesia group). Nearest-neighbor matching with replacement with up to two controls (general anesthesia group) for each treated patient (regional anesthesia group) was used with a caliper of 0.05 SD of a patient’s predicted propensity score. Nearest-neighbor matching selects, for each treated individual i, the control individual with the smallest distance in propensity score from individual i. To account for the fact that some treated cases could be matched to only one control or one control could be used multiple times, weights were generated to correct for this disproportion. Every treated patient received a weight of one, and the weights for the matched control units were calculated by \( \frac{n_{\text{treated}}}{n_{\text{controls}}} \), where \( n_{\text{treated}} \) and \( n_{\text{controls}} \) were the number of treated and control units in stratum i. The control group weights were then scaled to sum to the number of uniquely matched control units. Following propensity score matching, the balance of the matched treated and control groups was evaluated by calculating variance ratios and absolute values of the standardized differences in means as well as by performing the Hotelling test, which is a generalization of the Student t statistic used in multivariate hypothesis testing.

Unadjusted analyses were conducted for all outcomes before and after propensity score matching. To depict the influence of propensity score matching on the differences in outcomes, the t test and chi-square test were used after matching as deemed appropriate. Hospital length of stay was analyzed as a continuous outcome and as a binary outcome as prolonged hospital length of stay (a stay longer than the geometric mean ratio was examined as an effect size estimate.

Adjust for specific covariates. Those covariates were defined a priori on the basis of clinical relevance reported in previous studies. The number of covariates per logistic regression model was restricted to at least ten events per covariate to decrease the likelihood of overfitting.

As an age of greater than ninety years was not reported as a continuous variable in the ACS NSQIP data, we categorized age into three groups with comparable sizes.

Conditional multivariable logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between the type of anesthesia and deep surgical site infection, thirty-day mortality, and cardiovascular and pulmonary complications by using data from the matched patient cohort. A proportional hazard Cox regression analysis was performed to determine whether regional or general anesthesia had a significant influence on hospital length of stay.

Goodness of fit for the logistic regression was assessed with the log likelihood ratio and the Wald and Hosmer-Lemeshow tests. For the proportional hazards Cox regression, we checked the proportionality of hazards by testing for non-zero slopes in a generalized linear regression of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals on functions of time, and additionally graphically by eye. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant in all applied tests. As the type of procedure (that is, primary or revision) may affect the anesthesia type selection, an interaction term was included in the regression models but was removed later as it was not significant. SPSS software (version 21; IBM, Armonk, New York) was used for data handling, and R (version 2.15.3; Institute of Statistics and Mathematics, R Foundation of Statistical Computing) with the packages Matchit and Survey was used for statistical analyses.

Source of Funding
There was no external funding source for this study.

Results
From 2007 to 2011, 20,606 total hip arthroplasties were included in the NSQIP registry. Of these, 12,929 were from...
# Characteristic of Patients Receiving Regional or General Anesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS NSQIP-Specified Factors</th>
<th>Before Propensity Score Matching</th>
<th>P Value After Matching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional</strong> (N = 5103 [39.5%])</td>
<td><strong>General</strong> (N = 7826 [60.5%])</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of total hip replacement</td>
<td>4583 (89.8)</td>
<td>6331 (80.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>520 (10.2)</td>
<td>1495 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristics</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1674 (32.8)</td>
<td>2807 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤60 yr</td>
<td>1545 (30.3)</td>
<td>2289 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70 yr</td>
<td>1884 (36.9)</td>
<td>2730 (34.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70 yr</td>
<td>2865 (56.1)</td>
<td>4273 (54.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>2238 (43.9)</td>
<td>3553 (45.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1674 (32.8)</td>
<td>2807 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2865 (56.1)</td>
<td>4273 (54.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td>3916 (76.7)</td>
<td>6527 (83.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2238 (43.9)</td>
<td>3553 (45.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1187 (23.3)</td>
<td>1299 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative health and comorbidities</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>3042 (59.6)</td>
<td>4425 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>1756 (34.4)</td>
<td>2806 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40 kg/m²</td>
<td>305 (6)</td>
<td>595 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40 kg/m²</td>
<td>2990 (58.6)</td>
<td>4627 (59.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (on medication)</td>
<td>316 (6)</td>
<td>595 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>471 (9.2)</td>
<td>696 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>126 (2.5)</td>
<td>252 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>240 (4.7)</td>
<td>361 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>28 (0.5)</td>
<td>55 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure symptoms within 1 mo</td>
<td>458 (9)</td>
<td>798 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction within 6 mo or angina within 1 mo</td>
<td>8 (0.2)</td>
<td>32 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revascularization (angioplasty or coronary artery bypass graft)</td>
<td>33 (0.6)</td>
<td>80 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>33 (0.6)</td>
<td>80 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke or transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>256 (5)</td>
<td>461 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>7 (0.1)</td>
<td>34 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid use</td>
<td>154 (3)</td>
<td>325 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic inflammatory response syndrome or sepsis preoperatively</td>
<td>33 (0.6)</td>
<td>108 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding disorder</td>
<td>100 (2)</td>
<td>340 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion: &gt;4 units in 72 hr before surgery</td>
<td>13 (0.3)</td>
<td>40 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disseminated cancer</td>
<td>45 (9)</td>
<td>798 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy or radiation therapy</td>
<td>47 (0.9)</td>
<td>80 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA classification†</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>2971 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4</td>
<td>2131 (41.8)</td>
<td>3768 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated surgical site</td>
<td>254 (5)</td>
<td>331 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10% loss of body weight in last 6 mo</td>
<td>19 (0.4)</td>
<td>49 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional status: dependent</td>
<td>427 (8.4)</td>
<td>630 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea on exertion or at rest</td>
<td>355 (7.0)</td>
<td>616 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the number of patients with the percentage in parentheses. †One of the 5103 cases was not matched (used in the analysis).
classic programs with no missing perioperative variables of interest (Fig. 1). There were 10,914 primary hip arthroplasties (84.4%) and 2015 revision procedures (15.6%). Regional anesthesia was used for 5103 (39.5%) of the procedures, and general anesthesia was used for 7826 (60.5%). The regional anesthesia was spinal in 4377 (85.8%) of the cases, epidural in 126 (2.5%), and “unspecified regional” in the remaining 600 (11.8%). The rate of regional anesthesia in the non-classic programs was 39.1%, and the rate of general anesthesia was 60.9%.

Before propensity score matching, sixteen of the twenty-five baseline factors differed significantly between the two anesthesia groups. There were no significant differences between the groups after 1:2 matching and use of a caliper of 0.05 (Table I). All regional anesthesia cases except one (5102 cases) were matched to 5396 general anesthesia cases.

Deep surgical site infections were significantly less common after regional anesthesia than after general anesthesia (0.22% compared with 0.57%), with an odds ratio (after matching) of 0.38 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.2-0.7; p < 0.01). Deep surgical site infections were also associated with revision arthroplasties, age, and insulin-dependent diabetes (Tables II and III).

The unadjusted average hospital length of stay, after matching, was shorter after regional anesthesia (geometric mean and SD, 3.2 ± 1.6 days) than after general anesthesia (geometric mean, 3.4 ± 1.6 days). Proportionally, this yields an odds ratio (OR) for length of stay of 0.95 (95% CI = 0.93 to 0.97) for regional anesthesia with a mean difference (compared with general anesthesia) of 0.05 (95% CI = 0.03 to 0.07; p < 0.001), which translates to 0.17 day for each total hip arthroplasty (Table II).

The adjusted odds of the hospital length of stay were 8% lower in the regional anesthesia group compared with the general anesthesia group. The odds of a patient having a prolonged hospital length of stay, after we controlled for other factors, was 24% lower in the regional anesthesia group; 5% compared with 6.6% in the general anesthesia group (OR = 0.73; 95% CI = 0.68 to 0.89; p < 0.001).

Thirty-day mortality was a rare event, with an after-matching prevalence of 0.29% in the regional anesthesia group and 0.37% in the general anesthesia group (Table II). In the multivariable analysis, the mortality odds were not significantly lower in the regional anesthesia group (OR = 0.78; 95% CI = 0.43

### TABLE II Unadjusted Outcomes of Regional Versus General Anesthesia Before and After Propensity Score Matching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Before Propensity Score Matching</th>
<th>After Propensity Score Matching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional* (N = 5103)</td>
<td>General* (N = 7826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep surgical site infection</td>
<td>11 (0.2)</td>
<td>61 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital length of stay (days)</td>
<td>3.19 ± 1.61</td>
<td>3.37 ± 1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged hospital length of stay§</td>
<td>256 (5.0)</td>
<td>556 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>15 (0.3)</td>
<td>39 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular complications</td>
<td>44 (0.9)</td>
<td>121 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory complications</td>
<td>23 (0.5)</td>
<td>85 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the number of patients with the percentage in parentheses, except for the hospital length of stay, which is given as the geometric mean and standard deviation in days. †Geometric mean ratio = 6% (95% CI = 4% to 8%). ‡Geometric mean ratio = 5% (95% CI = 3% to 7%). §Prolonged hospital length of stay is defined as longer than the average plus two standard deviations (seven days or longer).
Factors that were significantly associated with increased postoperative mortality were revision total hip arthroplasty (OR = 2.24; 95% CI = 1.12 to 4.48; p < 0.05), age of more than seventy years compared with an age of less than fifty years (OR = 5.94; 95% CI = 2.1-17.1; p < 0.01), ASA class 3 or 4 compared with ASA class 1 or 2 (OR = 2.24; 95% CI = 1.15 to 4.36; p < 0.05), and insulin-dependent diabetes compared with no diabetes (OR = 3.10; 95% CI = 1.1 to 9.02; p < 0.05).

The adjusted odds for cardiovascular complications (OR = 0.61; 95% CI = 0.44 to 0.85) and respiratory complications

![Fig. 3](https://thejournalofboneandjoint.org/v97n3/Fig3.png)

Logistic regression analysis result for outcomes comparing regional anesthesia with general anesthesia after propensity score matching. The diamonds indicate the odds ratios, which show a decreased odds for each outcome with regional anesthesia, and the lines through the diamonds indicate the 95% CI.
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The severity of comorbidities as well as the duration of
surgery, the length of the preoperative hospital stay, and low
hospital volume have been identified as risk factors for deep
surgical site infection following total hip replacement. Preventive measures such as timely administration of antibiotics, maintenance of normothermia, and minimizing transfusions are well known, but previous reports did not show regional anesthesia to have as important an effect on deep surgical site infections after total hip or knee replacement. Possible mechanisms for how regional anesthesia lowers the prevalence of deep surgical site infection might be alterations in immune response and sympathetic blocking effects with concomitant vasodilation improving tissue perfusion and oxygenation.

Hospital length of stay is often used as a proxy for post-
operative complications and resource utilization. The study of
prolonged hospital stay or length-of-stay outliers is essential for
the management and financing of hospitals. We chose to define
a prolonged length of stay as the geometric mean plus two
standard deviations as this approach was superior to three
others in predicting cost. Regional anesthesia was associated
with decreases in all measures related to length of stay. Given
that total hip arthroplasty is such a common surgical procedure,
even a minor decrease in hospital length of stay could have major
resource-savings implications.

Previous studies comparing regional anesthesia and gen-
eral anesthesia have demonstrated conflicting results. Some
studies have not shown benefits, while others have demonstrated
decreased blood loss, fewer respiratory complications, and a
lower risk of thromboembolism with regional anesthesia. In a recent retrospective analysis from the National Joint Registry for England and Wales of ninety-day mortality after total hip replacement, spinal anesthesia, but not epidural anesthesia, was associated with a lower mortality rate. The limitations of some of these studies as guides for current standards of care include relatively low patient numbers and changes in perioperative practice since the collection of the data. Mitsousidis and colleagues reported similar results concerning pulmonary, cardiac, and infectious complications in a large data set of patients who underwent total hip or knee arthroplasty under regional or general anesthesia. That study had potential limitations; the database was administrative rather than medical, 27% of the cases had missing data on anesthesia type, a high percentage of patients received combined regional and general anesthesia, and the reported complication rates were in-hospital.

This study has important limitations. As it was not a randomized controlled trial, despite statistical corrections, unaccounted (unobserved) factors could have biased the results. Similar results might be very different from those associated with fast-track general anesthesia with rapid and predictable effects, but previous reports did not show regional anesthesia to have as important an effect on deep surgical site infections after total hip or knee replacement. Possible mechanisms for how regional anesthesia lowers the prevalence of deep surgical site infection might be alterations in immune response and sympathetic blocking effects with concomitant vasodilation improving tissue perfusion and oxygenation.

Hospital length of stay is often used as a proxy for post-
operative complications and resource utilization. The study of
prolonged hospital stay or length-of-stay outliers is essential for
the management and financing of hospitals. We chose to define
a prolonged length of stay as the geometric mean plus two
standard deviations as this approach was superior to three
others in predicting cost. Regional anesthesia was associated
with decreases in all measures related to length of stay. Given
that total hip arthroplasty is such a common surgical procedure,
even a minor decrease in hospital length of stay could have major
resource-savings implications.

This study has important limitations. As it was not a randomized controlled trial, despite statistical corrections, unaccounted (unobserved) factors could have biased the results. Similar results might be very different from those associated with fast-track general anesthesia with rapid and predictable effects, but previous reports did not show regional anesthesia to have as important an effect on deep surgical site infections after total hip or knee replacement. Possible mechanisms for how regional anesthesia lowers the prevalence of deep surgical site infection might be alterations in immune response and sympathetic blocking effects with concomitant vasodilation improving tissue perfusion and oxygenation.

In conclusion, although the ACS NSQIP utilizes a system-
atic sampling approach that is not guaranteed to provide
a perfect estimate of procedure-type prevalence across the
country, if the data observed here are reflective, then despite
emerging evidence regional anesthesia appears to have been
potentially underutilized during this study period (used for
only 40% of total hip arthroplasties). The results reported here
add credence to the notion that regional anesthesia is associated
with a reduction in deep surgical site infection rates, length of
hospital stay, and postoperative morbidity compared with
general anesthesia. These findings, if replicated in appropriately
designed effectiveness studies, could have an important med-
ical and economic impact on health-care practice.
Appendix

A table showing the results of multivariable logistic regression analyses for hospital length of stay and cardiovascular complications is available with the online version of this article as a data supplement at jbjs.org.
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