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In Brief
Mao et al. report that E3 ubiquitin ligase CDC20-APC is required for invasiveness, self-renewal, and in vivo tumorigenicity of human glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSCs). CDC20-APC interacts with and regulates SOX2 protein to promote SOX2-dependent transcription and drive GSC invasiveness and self-renewal. Using the Cancer Genome Atlas dataset, the authors find that high CDC20 expression in proneural glioblastomas is associated with shorter overall survival.
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SUMMARY
Glioblastoma harbors a dynamic subpopulation of glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSCs) that can propagate tumors in vivo and is resistant to standard chemoradiation. Identification of the cell-intrinsic mechanisms governing this clinically important cell state may lead to the discovery of therapeutic strategies for this challenging malignancy. Here, we demonstrate that the mitotic E3 ubiquitin ligase CDC20-anaphase-promoting complex (CDC20-APC) drives invasiveness and self-renewal in patient tumor-derived GSCs. Moreover, CDC20 knockdown inhibited and CDC20 overexpression increased the ability of human GSCs to generate brain tumors in an orthotopic xenograft model in vivo. CDC20-APC control of GSC invasion and self-renewal operates through pluripotency-related transcription factor SOX2. Our results identify a CDC20-APC/SOX2 signaling axis that controls key biological properties of GSCs, with implications for CDC20-APC-targeted strategies in the treatment of glioblastoma.

INTRODUCTION
Glioblastoma, the most common malignant primary brain tumor in adults, remains a challenging disease with a poor prognosis (Wen and Kesari, 2008). Increasing appreciation of the cancer cell heterogeneity within glioblastomas has focused attention on a subpopulation of cells called tumor-initiating cells or glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSCs) (Singh et al., 2004). GSCs contribute to overall tumor growth as well as tumor recurrence following chemoradiation, and they exhibit elevated invasive potential compared to their non-stem-cell counterparts (Bao et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2011). GSCs also retain the genetic features of parental tumors, suggesting they are a faithful model system for human glioblastoma (Lee et al., 2006; Pollard et al., 2009).

The anaphase-promoting complex (APC) E3 ubiquitin ligase functions with co-activator CDC20 to drive mitosis (Peters, 2006). CDC20-APC has been viewed as a potential strategic target in several human cancers (Wang et al., 2015). CDC20 mRNA is elevated in glioblastoma compared to low-grade gliomas, and CDC20 immunoreactivity in gliomas correlates with pathological grade, but little is known about the biological roles of CDC20-APC in glioblastoma (Bie et al., 2011; Marucci et al., 2008). Recent studies have revealed unexpected non-mitotic roles for CDC20-APC in the developing mammalian brain, indicating CDC20-APC executes functions beyond the cell cycle (Kim et al., 2009; Puram et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2009). These observations have important ramifications not only for brain development but also raise the possibility that CDC20-APC may function in the aberrant developmental state of GSCs.

Here we report CDC20-APC is required for GSC invasiveness and self-renewal in a manner distinct from its role in cell-cycle control. We identify pluripotency-related transcription factor SOX2 as a CDC20-interacting protein and show CDC20-APC operates through SOX2 to regulate human GSC invasion and self-renewal. Finally, we demonstrate that CDC20-APC is essential for GSC tumorigenicity in orthotopic xenografts and that...
Figure 1. CDC20-APC Controls GSC Invasion and Self-Renewal

(A) GSC lines were subjected to immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies and Hoechst nuclear stain. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(B) B18 GSCs were injected into the right putamen of NOD-SCID mice and animals were sacrificed after 3 months. Sectioned brains were subjected to immunohistochemistry with the indicated antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. White box highlights tumor. Scale bar, 100 µm.

(C) Lysates from GSC lines and normal human astrocytes (NHA) were processed for immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies.

(D) B18 GSCs were transduced with CDC20 RNAi (CDC20i.1 and CDC20i.2) or control scrambled (Scr) lentivirus; 7 days later, cell lysates were subjected to immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. Similar results were seen with control viruses SHC002 and LacZ RNAi (data not shown).

(E) GSCs treated as in (D) were subjected to the in vitro Matrigel transwell assay 6 days after infection. Data represent mean ± SEM. CDC20 knockdown inhibited GSC invasiveness compared to control (ANOVA, p = 0.001 and p < 0.0001 for CDC20i.1 and CDC20i.2, respectively; n = 4).

(F) GSCs transduced with the indicated lentiviruses were subjected to the in vitro Matrigel transwell assay as in (E). Data represent mean ± SEM. CDC20 RNAi decreased GSC invasiveness compared to control (ANOVA, p < 0.003; n = 3). Expression of CDC20-Res rescued the CDC20 RNAi-triggered invasion phenotype (ANOVA, p = 0.003). Vec, control vector virus.

(legend continued on next page)
CDC20 expression has prognostic value in a subset of glioblastoma patients. These results highlight a critical role for CDC20-APC in the maintenance of human GSC function, and they suggest that targeting this pathway in glioblastoma may disrupt the GSC state.

RESULTS

We have generated low-passage patient-derived GSC lines (Table S1), which express neural stem cell markers (Figures 1A and S1A–S1C), exhibit self-renewal in vitro (Figure S1D), and form infiltrative brain tumors in immunocompromised mice (Figures 1B and S1E; Pollard et al., 2009). We examined CDC20 expression by immunoblotting in multiple GSC lines and found increased protein levels in GSCs compared to primary human astrocytes (Figure 1C). To test the role of CDC20 in GSCs, we used RNAi lentiviruses to target human CDC20 (CDC20i.1 and CDC20i.2), which resulted in efficient CDC20 knockdown (Figure 1D). We focused first on invasiveness, a defining clinical feature of gliomas. GSCs transduced with CDC20 RNAi were subjected to an in vitro Matrigel invasion assay, which quantitatively assessed invasion through an extracellular matrix-coated filter (Figure 1E). CDC20 knockdown by two distinct RNAi viruses inhibited GSC invasiveness by 55% and 95%, respectively (Figure 1E).

To demonstrate the specificity of the CDC20 RNAi phenotype, we performed a rescue experiment using rat Cdc20 (herein CDC20), which shares 94.8% amino acid (aa) identity with human CDC20 but harbors four base mismatches within the sequence targeted by CDC20i.2, rendering it insensitive to CDC20i.2 (Figure S2A). The inhibition of GSC invasiveness by CDC20 knockdown was reversed by co-expression of CDC20-Res, demonstrating the specificity of the CDC20 RNAi phenotype (Figure 1F). To test the generalizability of CDC20’s role in GSC invasion, we subjected two additional patient tumor-derived GSC lines to CDC20 knockdown (Figures 1M and 1N). Exposure to APC inhibitor ProTAME also inhibited GSC invasiveness by 45% (Figure 1L; Singh et al., 2004). In complementary experiments, CDC20 overexpression increased the frequency of self-renewing cells by 56% and 89% in two GSC lines, respectively (Figures 1M and 1N). Exposure to APC inhibitor ProTAME also inhibited self-renewal (Figure 1O). Together, these experiments indicate CDC20 operates with the APC to promote GSC invasion and self-renewal.

Next, we asked whether cell-cycle perturbations triggered by CDC20-APC manipulations might be responsible for the observed effects on GSC invasion and self-renewal. Examination of cell-cycle profile revealed little to no change in the distribution of cell-cycle phases in CDC20 knockdown GSCs compared to that of control infected cells (Figure S3A). Additionally, the degree of CDC20 knockdown achieved in these experiments did not significantly alter cellular proliferation by the MTS assay, although ANAPC2 knockdown modestly decreased proliferation (Figure S3B). These data are consistent with the

Thus, through both loss-of-function and gain-of-function approaches, CDC20 is necessary and sufficient for GSC invasion in vitro.

Next, we determined whether CDC20 operates with the APC to control GSC invasiveness. We knocked down APC 2 (ANAPC2), the essential catalytic subunit of the APC, and found that ANAPC2 RNAi inhibited GSC invasiveness in three human GSC lines (Figures 1I, 1J, S2B, and S2C). We also tested whether the interaction between CDC20 and the APC is essential for GSC invasiveness by using a pharmacological inhibitor of the APC, ProTAME, which interferes with the binding of the CDC20 isoleucine-arginine (IR) tail with the APC (Figures 1K and S2F; Zeng et al., 2010). We confirmed exposure to ProTAME disrupts the interaction between CDC20 and APC. CDC20 overexpression increased GSC self-renewal compared to control (unpaired t test, p < 0.0001; n = 3).
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Figure 2. CDC20-APC Interacts with SOX2 through the WD40 Repeat Domain of CDC20

(A) (Top) B18 GSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing the indicated GFP-tagged mutant CDC20 proteins were subjected to live fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar, 25 μm. (Bottom) GSCs treated as above were assessed for invasion 6 days later. Data represent mean ± SEM. Expression of nuclear localized CDC20 (NLS-CDC20), but not cytoplasmic CDC20 (NES-CDC20), increased GSC invasiveness compared to control (ANOVA, p = 0.005; n = 5).

(B) Lysates of 293 cells transfected with GFP-CDC20 together with the myc-SOX2 expression plasmid or control vector were immunoprecipitated using myc antibody and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies.

(legend continued on next page)
previously reported observation that CDC20 knockdown does not significantly alter mitotic transition until CDC20 levels drop below a critical threshold (Wolthuis et al., 2008). In other experiments, CDC20 overexpression had little to no effect on the cell-cycle distribution or proliferation of GSCs (Figures S3C–S3F). These results support the hypothesis that CDC20 control of GSC invasiveness and self-renewal can be separated from CDC20 regulation of the cell cycle.

Next, we studied whether CDC20-APC control of GSC function might be a consequence of decreased cellular survival. Importantly, we found that the degree of CDC20 and ANAPC2 knockdown achieved did not significantly alter cell survival in GSCs (Figure S3G). Additionally, CDC20 RNAi did not significantly increase caspase-3 activity in GSCs, and ANAPC2 RNAi caused a mild increase in caspase-3 activity in only one of two GSC lines (Figures S3H and S3I). In other experiments, short-term treatment with APC inhibitor ProTAME revealed minimal knockdown of CDC20 levels, and no cell death in two GSC lines (Figure S3J; data not shown). These results suggest alterations in cell survival were not significantly contributing to the invasion and self-renewal phenotypes observed with CDC20-APC manipulations.

To understand the mechanism of CDC20-APC in GSC invasiveness, we turned to the question of where in the cell CDC20 operates to mediate invasiveness. Previous reports demonstrated that specific subcellular pools of CDC20 dictate distinct biological responses in neural development (Kim et al., 2009; Puram et al., 2011). CDC20 localizes to both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments in GSCs (Figure 1G; Kallo et al., 1998). To localize CDC20 to distinct subcellular locations, we generated viruses that express mutant CDC20 fusion proteins carrying either a nuclear localization sequence (GFP-NLS-CDC20) or nuclear export sequence (GFP-NES-CDC20), the latter localizing CDC20 to the cytoplasm (Figure 2A). Expression of nuclear CDC20 enhanced GSC invasiveness, whereas expression of cytoplasmic CDC20 did not significantly alter invasive capacity, suggesting CDC20-APC stimulates a nuclear program to drive invasion (Figure 2A).

To elucidate the signal transduction pathway downstream of CDC20-APC, we considered nuclear proteins implicated in GSC invasiveness and self-renewal. The stem cell regulatory gene SOX2 has received recent attention in the glioblastoma field due to its critical roles in glioblastoma self-renewal, invasion, and tumor propagation (Alonso et al., 2011; Gangemi et al., 2009). We first tested whether a physical interaction exists between CDC20 and SOX2. Remarkably, epitope-tagged CDC20 and SOX2 were found in a complex in transfected 293 cells (Figure 2B). Moreover, we found CDC20 endogenously interacts with SOX2 in two distinct GSC lines (Figures 2C and 2D). APC subunit CDC27 also was found in an endogenous complex with SOX2, suggesting CDC20-APC interacts with SOX2 (Figure 2C; data not shown). To determine whether CDC20 binds directly to SOX2, we performed GST pull-down assays using recombinant GST-SOX2 fusion proteins and in vitro translated CDC20, which revealed a robust direct interaction (Figure 2E). Deletion mapping indicated the WD40 repeat domain of CDC20 interacts directly with SOX2 in vitro (Figures 2E and 2F). Reciprocal GST pull-down assays using GST-fusion proteins carrying the WD40 repeat domain of CDC20 (GST-CDC20(WD40)) and in vitro translated deletion mutants of SOX2 revealed CDC20(WD40) binds to SOX2 aa 1–200 and aa 124–317, suggesting SOX2 aa 124–200 are required for CDC20 binding (Figures 2G and 2H). Indeed, the SOX2 deletion mutant lacking aa 110–200 failed to bind CDC20(WD40) (Figures 2G and 2H). These data indicate CDC20-APC endogenously interacts with SOX2 in GSCs likely via direct binding between SOX2 aa 124–200 and the WD40 repeat domain of CDC20, suggesting a mechanistic link between CDC20-APC and SOX2.

Differentiation of human GSCs in culture led to a dramatic decrease in CDC20 protein levels, suggesting that, as with SOX2, CDC20 is enriched in the GSC state (Figure 3A). To test whether CDC20-APC regulates SOX2 in GSCs, we subjected GSCs to CDC20 knockdown (Figures 3B and S4A). Intriguingly, CDC20 RNAi decreased SOX2 protein levels in GSCs, and co-expression of RNAi-resistant CDC20-Res with CDC20 RNAi reversed this decrease, suggesting CDC20 specifically promotes SOX2 protein expression (Figures 3B, 3C, and S4A). Conversely, CDC20 overexpression in two GSC lines increased SOX2 protein (Figures 3D and S4B). In other experiments, both ANAPC2 knockdown and APC inhibitor ProTAME decreased SOX2 protein in two GSC lines, suggesting collectively that CDC20 collaborates with the APC to maintain SOX2 levels (Figures 3E–3G and S4C).

Next, we turned to the question of how CDC20-APC regulates SOX2 protein levels, and we examined the effect of APC inhibitor ProTAME on SOX2 protein over time in GSCs (Figure 3G; data not shown). SOX2 protein levels began to decrease about 4 hr after ProTAME exposure (Figure 3G; data not shown), but SOX2 mRNA demonstrated little to no change after ProTAME treatment over a similar time frame (Figures S4D and S4E). We therefore examined the possibility that CDC20-APC controls SOX2 protein stability. Consistent with this hypothesis, treatment with proteasome inhibitor MG132 reversed the decrease
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in SOX2 protein triggered by both ProTAME and CDC20 RNAi in two GSC lines (Figures 3H, 3I, and S4F). Similar results were seen using a different proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, in the setting of ProTAME, suggesting CDC20-APC stabilizes SOX2 protein (data not shown).

To determine the biochemical consequences of CDC20-APC control of SOX2, we established a SOX2 transcriptional activity reporter using a lentiviral GFP T2A luciferase expression vector driven by the SOX2-responsive human SOX2 regulatory region 2 enhancer (hSRR2) (Figure 3J; Sikorska et al., 2008). We confirmed GSCs infected with this SOX2 reporter virus exhibited a hSRR2-specific GFP and luciferase signal compared to control reporter-infected cells; COS-1 cells, which do not express SOX2, did not exhibit a hSRR2-dependent signal (Figure S4G).

CDC20 knockdown in GSCs substantially decreased the hSRR2-driven luciferase signal compared to control RNAi, suggesting CDC20 promotes SOX2-mediated transcription (Figure 3J). Accordingly, CDC20 knockdown and APC inhibitor ProTAME decreased the mRNA levels of SOX2 target gene Nestin (NES) in GSCs (Figures 3K and S4H; Berezovsky et al., 2014). Together, these data indicate CDC20-APC positively regulates SOX2 transcriptional activity in GSCs.

To determine the biological consequences of CDC20 regulation of SOX2, we performed epistasis experiments using the Matrigel invasion assay. We first confirmed that SOX2 knockdown decreases GSC invasiveness in three GSC lines (Figures 3L, S4I, and S4J; Alonso et al., 2011). SOX2 RNAi did not significantly affect cellular survival or health by the propidium iodide exclusion, MTS, and caspase-3 activity assays, consistent with a prior report (Figures S4L and S4M; data not shown; Gangemi et al., 2009). Whereas CDC20 overexpression increased GSC invasiveness, the combination of CDC20 overexpression and SOX2 RNAi decreased invasiveness to a level similar to that of SOX2 RNAi alone (Figure 3L). In a second GSC line, SOX2 RNAi also inhibited the ability of CDC20 overexpression to enhance invasiveness (Figure S4K). Conversely, SOX2 overexpression partially but significantly reversed the CDC20 RNAi-induced invasion phenotype (Figure 3M), together suggesting that SOX2 acts downstream of CDC20 to drive invasiveness. The increase in GSC self-renewal triggered by CDC20 overexpression also was inhibited by SOX2 knockdown in two GSC lines (Figure 3N; data not shown), indicating SOX2 functions downstream of CDC20 to control self-renewal. To test whether the binding of CDC20 to SOX2 is critical for GSC invasion, structure-function experiments were performed in the setting of SOX2 RNAi (Figures 3O and S4N). Using a SOX2 cDNA carrying seven base mismatches in the sequence targeted by SOX2 RNAi (SOX2-Res), we generated lentiviruses that express full-length SOX2.
SOX2-Res and mutant SOX2-ResΔ110–200, the latter of which does not bind CDC20 in vitro (Figures 2G and 2H). Whereas expression of full-length SOX2-Res rescued the SOX2 RNAi-triggered deficit in invasion, SOX2-ResΔ110–200 did not, suggesting the binding of SOX2 to CDC20 is important for GSC invasion (Figure 3O).

To examine the relevance of CDC20-APC in GSC tumorigenicity in vivo, we used two GSC lines stably expressing GFP T2A luciferase, enabling GFP immunofluorescence as well as bioluminescence imaging (BLI) in live animals to monitor tumor burden (Figures 4A and 4B). GSCs infected with CDC20 RNAi or control virus were injected into the brains of NOD-SCIDγ mice. BLI performed over several months revealed CDC20 knockdown inhibited brain tumor formation (Figures 4A and 4B). GFP immunofluorescence in brain sections of injected mice demonstrated infiltrative tumors corresponding to the BLI signal (Figure 4B; data not shown). In other experiments, we infected a third GSC line with CDC20 RNAi or control virus, injected these cells into the brains of NOD-SCID mice, and sacrificed mice 3 months later to assess tumorigenicity by immunofluorescence (Figures 4C and S5). Control-infected GSCs formed brain tumors in all eight animals, while CDC20 RNAi-infected GSCs formed tumors in only two of six animals, suggesting again that CDC20 is critical for the tumor-initiating potential of GSCs (Figure 4C). In complementary experiments, GSCs stably expressing luciferase were infected with CDC20-expressing or control virus, injected into NOD-SCID mice, and assessed for brain tumor growth by BLI, which showed that CDC20 overexpression enhances tumor growth in vivo (Figure 4D). Together, these experiments indicate CDC20 drives the in vivo tumorigenicity of human GSCs.

We interrogated the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) to investigate whether CDC20 expression correlates with clinical outcomes in glioblastoma patients. Consistent with prior reports, we found CDC20 mRNA is significantly elevated in glioblastomas compared to normal brain (Figure 5A; Bie et al., 2011; Marucci et al., 2008). We then assessed CDC20 expression in the four TCGA-based molecular subtypes—Proneural, Mesenchymal, Classical, and Neural—and found the Proneural subtype demonstrated significantly higher CDC20 expression compared to the other subtypes (Figure 5B; Verhaak et al., 2010). We stratified the glioblastoma patients with valid survival data into high (2-fold change or greater compared to normal brain) and low CDC20 mRNA groups, and we observed that CDC20 expression in the entire population was not significantly associated with overall survival (OS) (Figure 4C). We then performed Kaplan-Meier survival analyses on patients with high or low CDC20 mRNA expression within each subtype (Figure 4D). Although CDC20 expression did not correlate with OS within the Mesenchymal, Classical, or Neural subtypes, patients with high CDC20-expressing Proneural tumors exhibited a substantially shorter OS (median 53.9 weeks) compared to that of patients with low CDC20-expressing tumors (median 219.6 weeks) (Figure 4D). We confirmed this association using a Cox proportional hazard model to identify an optimal cutoff for CDC20 expression in relation to OS, which also indicated a significant correlation between high CDC20 expression and shorter OS specifically in the Proneural subtype (Figures S6A and S6B).

Somatic mutations in the isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 gene (IDH1) are found in a subset of Proneural patients with longer OS than patients with IDH1 wild-type (WT) tumors (Hartmann et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2009). We asked whether CDC20 expression might interact with IDH1 mutation status or represent an independent prognostic marker in Proneural glioblastomas (Figures S6C and S6D). When IDH1 MUT tumors were included, Proneural tumor patients with high CDC20 expression again had a poorer prognosis (Figure S6C). When IDH1 MUT tumors were excluded, the number of Proneural tumor patients with low CDC20 expression was small (six patients with four censored), but the OS of patients with high and low CDC20 tumors was not appreciably different, suggesting an interaction between IDH1 mutation and CDC20 expression (Figure S6C). We then examined gene expression data for Proneural tumors only, and we found IDH1 MUT tumors exhibit significantly lower CDC20 expression compared to that of IDH1 WT tumors (Figure S6D). Together, these data indicate CDC20 expression is prognostic of OS in Proneural glioblastomas and, in a limited subset analysis, appears to interact with IDH1 mutation status.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have demonstrated CDC20-APC operates through SOX2 to control human GSC invasion and self-renewal. Additionally, we have found CDC20 is critical for human GSC tumorigenicity in vivo. Interrogation of the TCGA revealed high CDC20 expression was associated with decreased OS in Proneural subtype glioblastomas.

CDC20-APC has been studied intensively in the cell-cycle field and is viewed as a promising target in several human cancers (Wang et al., 2015). As proof of concept, conditional Cdc20 knockout in mouse models of skin cancer and fibrosarcoma caused mitotic arrest and apoptotic tumor regression (Mangado et al., 2010). Intriguingly, the essential role of CDC20 in GSC invasiveness and self-renewal appears to be separable from CDC20’s known role in cell-cycle regulation; the CDC20 manipulations used herein did not obviously affect proliferation or cell-cycle parameters, consistent with the previous finding that only a minimal level of CDC20 is needed for mitotic transition (Wolthuis et al., 2008). More recently, CDC20 knockdown was shown to sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiation therapy (Wan et al., 2014). Our results reinforce the rationale for the development of CDC20-APC inhibitors in glioblastoma, not only to reduce tumor burden through cell-cycle and cell-death mechanisms but also to disrupt key functional properties of GSCs.

As with SOX2, CDC20 protein is enriched in human GSCs compared to glioblastoma cells differentiated in vitro. This finding, which remains to be validated in human tumor samples ex vivo, raises interesting questions about how CDC20 is regulated in the GSC state. Downstream of CDC20, regulation of SOX2 appears to occur at two—not necessarily mutually exclusive—levels: CDC20 binding to SOX2 and CDC20-APC control of SOX2 protein stability. SOX2 binding to CDC20 appears to be important for SOX2 control of GSC invasiveness (Figures 2H and 3O). It is possible that CDC20 binding enhances SOX2
Figure 4. CDC20 Drives GSC Tumorigenicity In Vivo

(A) B36 GSCs stably infected with CMV-driven GFP T2A luciferase lentivirus were transduced with CDC20 RNAi or control LacZ RNAi (LacZi) lentivirus and injected into the right putamen of NOD-SCIDγ mice. Injected mice were subjected to live BLI. (Top) Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 5 animals per condition). CDC20 knockdown decreased GSC tumorigenicity compared to control (unpaired t test, *p < 0.01, #p < 0.05). (Bottom) Representative animals subjected to BLI are shown.

(B) B49 GSCs stably infected with CMV-driven GFP T2A luciferase lentivirus were treated as in (A) and injected into the brains of NOD-SCIDγ mice. Injected mice were subjected to live BLI. (Top) Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 5 animals per condition). CDC20 knockdown decreased GSC tumorigenicity compared to control (unpaired t test, *p < 0.05). (Bottom) Injected mice were sacrificed at 5 months. Representative coronal brain sections subjected to GFP immunofluorescence to visualize tumor are shown. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μM.

(C) B18 GSCs infected with CDC20i.2 or control SHC002 (Scr) virus were injected into the brains of NOD-SCID as in (A); 3 months after injection, animals were sacrificed and brains were processed for immunohistochemistry using antibodies against NES and GFAP. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342. The number of animals harboring a brain tumor in each treatment group is indicated. CDC20 knockdown decreased GSC tumorigenicity compared to control (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.015).

(D) B36 GSCs stably infected with CMV-driven GFP T2A luciferase lentivirus were transduced with GFP-CDC20-expressing or control vector lentivirus and injected into the brains of NOD-SCIDγ mice as in (A). Injected mice were subjected to live BLI. (Left) Data presented are mean ± SEM (n = 4 animals per condition). CDC20 overexpression increased tumor formation compared to control (unpaired t test, p < 0.04). (Right) Representative animals subjected to BLI are shown.

See also Figure S5.
Figure 5. High CDC20 Expression Is Associated with Decreased OS in Proneural Subtype Glioblastomas

(A) Box plot (median and middle 50% of data represented in each box) for CDC20 mRNA expression in TCGA glioblastoma (n = 473) and normal brain tissue samples (n = 10). CDC20 expression is higher in glioblastoma samples compared to normal tissue (unpaired t test, p = 9.62 × 10⁻¹⁴).

(B) Box plot for normalized CDC20 gene expression (compared to normal samples) demonstrates the highest level of CDC20 expression in the Proneural subtype compared to other subtypes (Holm’s adjustment for multiplicity, p < 0.0001).

(C) Kaplan-Meier curves showing OS of 466 newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients from the TCGA based on CDC20 expression. High CDC20 represents 2-fold or greater expression and low CDC20 represents less than 2-fold expression compared to mean CDC20 expression in normal brain samples (log-rank test, p = 0.390).

(D) Kaplan-Meier curves showing OS of TCGA patients separated by molecular subtype based on CDC20 expression. Data were analyzed as in (C). High CDC20 expression was associated with decreased OS only in patients with Proneural tumors (log-rank test, p = 0.002).

See also Figure S6.
function, perhaps through the CDC20-APC-dependent recruitment of transcriptional activators (Turnell et al., 2005). The exact mechanistic link between CDC20-APC and SOX2 protein stability remains an important open question. SOX2 regulation by the ubiquitin-proteasome system has only recently begun to be examined in the context of non-cancerous cells, such as embryonic stem cells (ESCs). Whereas SOX2 acetylation and methylation increase SOX2 degradation, phosphorylation of murine SOX2 at Thr118 (Thr116 in human SOX2) by AKT stabilizes SOX2 protein, raising the possibility that CDC20-APC might affect SOX2 stability by altering SOX2 post-translational modifications (Baltus et al., 2009; Fang et al., 2014; Jeong et al., 2010). Alternatively, CDC20-APC may act indirectly on SOX2 by ubiquitinating and destroying a critical E3 ligase, which targets SOX2. Only two E3 ligases that target and degrade SOX2 have been reported so far. One is FZR1 (also CDH1), an alternative co-activator of the APC, which is responsible for G1 maintenance (Fukushima et al., 2013). However, we found little to no change in SOX2 protein levels in the setting of CDH1 RNAi in GSCs, and ANAPC2 RNAi and APC inhibitor ProTAME, which inhibit both CDH1-APC and CDC20-APC, decreased SOX2 protein, suggesting a dominant role for CDC20-APC in SOX2 protein regulation in GSCs (Figures 3E–3G; data not shown). More recently, WWP2 was identified as a SOX2 ubiquitin ligase in ESCs (Fang et al., 2014). Whether WWP2 or other E3 ligases contribute to SOX2 stability in glioblastoma remain to be determined.

Our results have several intriguing implications for CDC20-APC’s role in the transcriptional networks governing glioblastoma molecular subtypes as well as non-cancerous stem/progenitor cells. Interestingly, we have found in the TCGA dataset that CDC20 expression is particularly elevated in the Proneural subtype. Since SOX2 is a known Proneural signature gene, the finding that CDC20-APC promotes SOX2-dependent transcription raises the intriguing hypothesis that CDC20-APC stimulates Proneural signature gene transcription (Verhaak et al., 2010). The CDC20-APC/SOX2 mechanism therefore might be particularly relevant for the biology underlying this molecular subtype. As a prognostic marker, CDC20 expression appears to interact with IDH1 mutation, suggesting a potential mechanistic link between IDH1 mutant status and low CDC20 expression. But the exact relationship between CDC20 expression and survival in the bulk tumor data of the TCGA and the CDC20-APC/SOX2 mechanism in GSCs require further investigation. For instance, in contrast to bulk tumor, human GSCs cluster into predominantly two molecular subtypes—Proneural and Mesenchymal (Bhat et al., 2013). The molecular subtyping of the human GSC lines utilized in this study suggests the control of core GSC functions by the CDC20-APC/SOX2 signaling axis is generalizable and independent of GSC subtype (Figure S1A). Additionally, current mRNA and genome-based bulk tumor datasets may not reflect the SOX2 protein regulatory mechanisms reported herein, which will require interrogation of proteomic datasets. More speculatively, the CDC20-APC/SOX2 pathway may play a role in the transcriptional program in other cellular contexts, including the regulation of neural stem cells and, potentially, the maintenance of pluripotency in embryonic or induced pluripotent stem cells (Lewitzky and Yamanaka, 2007; Pevny and Nicolas, 2010).
Xenotransplantation
Animals were used in accordance with a protocol approved by the Animal Studies Committee of the Washington University School of Medicine per the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH). Per animal, 250,000 cells (unless otherwise noted) were injected stereotactically into the right putamen of approximately 6-week-old male NOD-SCID 
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