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ABSTRACT

Carfilzomib, a selective proteasome inhibitor, was approved in 2012 for the treatment of relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. Safety data for single-agent carfilzomib have been analyzed for 526 patients with advanced multiple myeloma who took part in one of four phase II studies (PX-171-003-A0, PX-171-003-A1, PX-171-004, and PX-171-005). Overall analyses of adverse events and treatment modifications are presented, as well as specific analyses of adverse events by organ system. Overall, the most common adverse events of any grade included fatigue (55.5%), anemia (46.8%), and nausea (44.9%). In the grouped analyses, any grade adverse events were reported in 22.1% for any cardiac (7.2% cardiac failure), 69.0% for any respiratory (42.2% dyspnea), and 33.1% for any grouped renal impairment adverse event (24.1% increased serum creatinine). The most common non-hematologic adverse events were generally Grade 1 or 2 in severity, while Grade 3/4 adverse events were primarily hematologic and mostly reversible. There was no evidence of cumulative bone marrow suppression, either neutropenia or thrombocytopenia, and febrile neutropenia occurred infrequently (1.1%). Notably, the incidence of peripheral neuropathy was low overall (13.9%), including patients with baseline peripheral neuropathy (12.7%). Additionally, the incidence of discontinuations or dose reductions attributable to adverse events was low. These data demonstrate that single-agent carfilzomib has an acceptable safety profile in heavily pretreated patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. The tolerable safety profile allows for administration of full-dose carfilzomib, both for extended periods and in a wide spectrum of patients with advanced multiple myeloma, including those with pre-existing comorbidities.

Introduction

The American Cancer Society estimates that there will be approximately 22,350 new cases of and 10,710 deaths from multiple myeloma (MM) in the United States in 2013.1 Despite multiple treatment options, MM remains largely incurable with median survival of approximately seven years2 and a poor outcome for patients who have become refractory to current treatments, with median event free and overall survival of five and nine months, respectively.3 Existing agents, including the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and the immunomodulatory agents thalidomide and lenalidomide, have improved outcomes in patients with relapsed and refractory (RR) MM4,5 including increased survival rates.6 As MM remains incurable and there is a lack of adequate treatment for patients who have failed these agents, MM treatments with greater efficacy and improved safety profiles are needed, especially for patients with advanced disease.5 Carfilzomib, a selective proteasome inhibitor, was granted approval in 2012 in the United States for RRMM based on efficacy results from the single-arm trial PX-171-003-A178,2,7 and combined safety data from 4 phase II studies (PX-171-003-A0 [003-A0], PX-171-003-A1 [003-A1], PX-171-004 [004], and PX-171-005 [005])8 and was followed by the approval of pomalidomide, an immunomodulatory agent, in 2013.7 Symptoms in MM are diverse, and patients can range from asymptomatic to severely disabled with multiple complications.8,9 Clinical features of active MM include hypercalcemia, renal insufficiency, anemia, and bone lesions, as well as hyperviscosity, amyloidosis, and recurrent bacterial infections,10 particularly respiratory infections, including pneumonia, one of the most common causes of death in patients with MM.11 Symptoms and comorbidities are increased in patients with relapsed and refractory disease, and treatment of these late-stage patients is particularly complicated due to the heterogeneity of the disease and patients’ characteristics.5,12

An important consideration in RRMM is the collective side effect profile associated with MM treatments. In particular, bortezomib and thalidomide are each associated with toxicities that may limit long-term use and/or use in selected patients.4,5,10,11Thrombosis, for example, can be observed in all stages of MM but there is an increased risk with immunomodulatory drugs when combined with dexamethasone, as well as with the combination of melphalan and prednisone.12 Additionally, immune-mediated inflammatory diseases are associated with myelosuppression.9,16,18 Bortezomib is commonly associated with gastrointestinal (GI) adverse events (AEs), reactivation of herpes zoster, bone marrow sup-
pression including thrombocytopenia, and dose-limiting peripheral neuropathy (PN) (up to 30% Grade 1/2 and 7-15% Grade 3/4). The PN often leads to discontinuation, and can be debilitating and occasionally irreversible. Thalidomide is even more strongly implicated in PN, and a recent analysis of patients with newly diagnosed MM revealed that although thalidomide improved efficacy when added to melphalan-prednisone, it negatively impacted safety. Maintenance therapy, as well as consolidation strategies, with many of these drugs are being investigated as important ways to improve and prolong responses in patients with MM, and these extended treatment periods may draw increased attention to tolerability and cumulative toxicities when considering long-term treatment options.

Carfilzomib was initially evaluated in 2 phase I studies (PX-171-001 and PX-171-002) investigating two different dosing schedules: 5 consecutive days of a 14-day cycle and 2 consecutive days/week for 3 weeks of a 28-day cycle. Consecutive day dosing demonstrated promising antitumor activity. However, single-agent carfilzomib administered using the 2 consecutive day dosing schedule (PX-171-002) was better tolerated and was chosen for further exploration in phase II studies. Here we present combined safety data for single-agent carfilzomib in 526 patients with advanced MM who took part in one of the 4 phase II studies (Figure 1).

Methods

This analysis was based on 4 phase II studies, the methods of which have been presented elsewhere: 003-A0, 003-A1 (NCT00511238), 004 (NCT00530816), 005 (NCT00721734).

Patients

Patients 18 years of age or over with histologically confirmed MM by serum M-protein (≥1 g/dL) or urine M-protein (≥200 mg/24 h) were eligible. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
Within the previous six months (3 months for 005) were excluded.

carfilzomib was administered intravenously (IV) over 2-10 minutes on Days (D) 1, 2, 8, 9, 15, and 16 in 28-day cycles. To ameliorate fever, chills, shortness of breath, and/or rigors observed in phase I studies in a small number of patients, dexmethasone (4 mg) PO or IV was administered prior to all doses of carfilzomib in Cycle 1, prior to all doses during the first dose escalation, and at the discretion of the investigator in later cycles. The planned dose regimen was 20/27 mg/m² (starting dose of 20 mg/m² in Cycle 1 escalating to 27 mg/m² in Cycle 2) for all studies except 005 (15/20/27 mg/m² in Cycles 1-3 n=50). Dose reduction guidelines were provided for prolonged hematologic AEs (Grade 3 neutropenia, Grade 4 thrombocytopenia, and lymphopenia) and for Grade 3 or over non-hematologic toxicities. Conditions that did not require dose reduction were: Grade 3 nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea; Grade 3 fatigue; alopecia of any grade; Grade 3 or higher hyperglycemia attributed to dexamethasone (003-A1, 004, and 005).

Overall analysis
All patients who received one dose or more of carfilzomib were included in this safety analysis. Carfilzomib exposure was analyzed using summary statistics; summaries of dose modifications, patients’ demographics and disease characteristics, and patient disposition were tabulated. Safety data included incidence, severity, duration, and outcome of AEs. AEs were coded using Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 8.1 terminology and graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v.3.0.31 Treatment-emergent AEs were defined as AEs that started on or after the first day of carfilzomib administration or conditions that were present at baseline but worsened in severity following treatment. Treatment-related AEs included those that were possibly or probably related to treatment.

Analysis of adverse events by organ system
Analyses were performed using grouped terms for hematologic, cardiac, renal, GI, PN, pulmonary, and hepatic events based on frequency and severity of AEs (Online Supplementary Methods). All reported events of tumor lysis syndrome (TLS) were analyzed (Online Supplementary Methods). Treatment-related AEs were not investigated for these analyses.
Results

Overall analysis

Patients’ characteristics

A total of 526 patients across all 4 phase II studies were included in the analysis; 72.4% were Caucasian, 57.4% were male, and the median age was 64 years (range 37-87; Table 1). Median time from MM diagnosis to study treatment was 4.8 years. Of the total population, 23.4% of patients had known unfavorable characteristics as defined in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis (including either t(4;14), t(14;16), deletion (17p;13) by cytogenetics/FISH or deletion (13q;14) by cytogenetics) based on the mSMART criteria, and 29.6% had stage III disease as according to the International Staging System. The patient population was heavily pre-treated with a median of 4 prior regimens. Greater than 75% of the population had received prior treatment with both lenalidomide and bortezomib; 51.7% and 69.6% of the population was refractory to bortezomib and lenalidomide, respectively.

Drug exposure, dose modifications, and discontinuations

Across the studies, patients received the following carfilzomib doses: 1.7% 15 mg/m², 2.7% 15/20 mg/m², 5.1% 15/20/27 mg/m², 37.6% 20 mg/m², and 52.9% 20/27 mg/m². The approved dose of 20/27 mg/m² was received by 82.7% of the patients assigned to receive that dose. A total of 526 patients across all 4 phase II studies were included in the analysis; 72.4% were Caucasian, 57.4% were male, and the median age was 64 years (range 37-87; Table 1). Median time from MM diagnosis to study treatment was 4.8 years.

Table 3. Shift of laboratory values from baseline normal or baseline Grade 1 to Grade 3 or Grade 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>003-A0 (n=46)</th>
<th>003-A1 (n=266)</th>
<th>004 (n=164)</th>
<th>005 (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, n (%)</td>
<td>4/8.7</td>
<td>5/1.9</td>
<td>4/2.4</td>
<td>2/4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 3</td>
<td>0/1.0</td>
<td>1/0.6</td>
<td>1/1.2</td>
<td>2/1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 4</td>
<td>4/8.7</td>
<td>15/5.6</td>
<td>1/0.6</td>
<td>1/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 3</td>
<td>1/2.1</td>
<td>10/3.8</td>
<td>2/1.2</td>
<td>1/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 3</td>
<td>0/1.0</td>
<td>1/0.6</td>
<td>1/1.2</td>
<td>2/1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils, n (%)</td>
<td>1/2.2</td>
<td>3/1.1</td>
<td>2/1.2</td>
<td>1/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 3</td>
<td>0/1.0</td>
<td>1/0.4</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine, n(%)</td>
<td>1/2.2</td>
<td>3/1.1</td>
<td>2/1.2</td>
<td>1/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 3</td>
<td>0/1.0</td>
<td>1/0.4</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 to Grade 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increased blood creatinine (both 1.1%), and cardiac arrest (1.0%).

Table 4. Peripheral neuropathy adverse event summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>003-A0 (n=46)</th>
<th>003-A1 (n=266)</th>
<th>004 (n=164)</th>
<th>005 (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to PN history*</td>
<td>45/366</td>
<td>237/2284</td>
<td>116/483</td>
<td>48/463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalidomide</td>
<td>6/100</td>
<td>178/330</td>
<td>71/318</td>
<td>33/318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortezomib</td>
<td>10/100</td>
<td>9/90</td>
<td>18/134</td>
<td>18/134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10/100</td>
<td>9/90</td>
<td>18/134</td>
<td>18/134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenalidomide</td>
<td>4/8.7</td>
<td>14/5.3</td>
<td>7/4.9</td>
<td>6/12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary disease</td>
<td>6/15.2</td>
<td>33/12.4</td>
<td>26/7.3</td>
<td>7/3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy peripheral</td>
<td>4/17</td>
<td>11/5.3</td>
<td>5/3.7</td>
<td>37/13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>3/6.5</td>
<td>9/6.7</td>
<td>7/6.0</td>
<td>22/6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral sensory neuropathy</td>
<td>11/4.3</td>
<td>8/4.0</td>
<td>7/3.4</td>
<td>21/6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral motor neuropathy</td>
<td>0/0.8</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
<td>2/0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents number of patients with at least 1 occurrence.

General safety and tolerability

Most patients experienced a treatment-emergent AE, regardless of relationship to study drug; the most common AEs of any grade were fatigue (55.5%), anemia (46.8%), and nausea (44.9%) (Table 2). The most common Grade 3 or over AEs were thrombocytopenia (23.4%), anemia (22.4%), lymphopenia (18.1%), and pneumonia (10.5%). Overall, Grade 3/4 non-hematologic AEs were uncommon...
(<10% Grade 3 and <1% Grade 4). Excluding disease progression reported as an AE, the most common serious AEs (SAEs) were pneumonia (9.9%), acute renal failure (4.2%), pyrexia (3.4%), CHF (3.4%), dyspnea (2.1%), hypercalcemia (2.1%), and pathological fracture (2.1%). Many of these events are also representative of progressive disease, which confounds attribution to use of the drug or to advanced MM. The most common AEs that were possibly or probably related to study treatment included fatigue (41.4%), nausea (35.2%), thrombocytopenia (28.3%), anemia (26.8%), diarrhea (22.4%), and dyspnea (20.5%). Across the 4 studies, there were 57 deaths on study or within 30 days of last dose. Disease progression was the primary cause of death in 24 of the 37 patients. Seven deaths were deemed by the Investigator to be at least possibly related to carfilzomib and included: cardiac arrest (n=2), hepatic failure (n=1), dyspnea (n=1), multi-organ failure (n=1), cardiac disorder (n=1), and unknown (n=1). By sponsor assessment, 5 patients (1.0%) died due to a cardiac AE and an additional 3 patients with a primary cause of death due to disease progression had a cardiac component associated with their death, for a total of 8 (1.5%) cardiac-related deaths, all of which were possibly related to carfilzomib.

**Hematologic analysis**

Overall, 370 patients (70.3%) experienced a hematologic AE. Across the studies, incidences of hematologic AEs of any grade were 37.8% for thrombocytopenia, 25.9% for lymphopenia, 22.6% for neutropenia, and 46.8% for anemia. Less than or equal to 1.1% of patients required a dose reduction for neutropenia, 22.6% for neutropenia, and 46.8% for anemia. Less than or equal to 1.1% of patients required a dose reduction or discontinuation for any of the 4 hematologic AE groupings analyzed. Median platelet counts decreased, reaching a Grade less than 1 nadir at Day 8, and patients, 3.7% for patients with normal neutrophil counts no evidence of cumulative thrombocytopenia (<10% Grade 3 and <1% Grade 4). E xcluding disease progression reported as an AE, the most common serious AEs (SAEs) were pneumonia (9.9%), acute renal failure (4.2%), pyrexia (3.4%), CHF (3.4%), dyspnea (2.1%), hypercalcemia (2.1%), and pathological fracture (2.1%). Many of these events are also representative of progressive disease, which confounds attribution to use of the drug or to advanced MM. The most common AEs that were possibly or probably related to study treatment included fatigue (41.4%), nausea (35.2%), thrombocytopenia (28.3%), anemia (26.8%), diarrhea (22.4%), and dyspnea (20.5%). Across the 4 studies, there were 57 deaths on study or within 30 days of last dose. Disease progression was the primary cause of death in 24 of the 37 patients. Seven deaths were deemed by the Investigator to be at least possibly related to carfilzomib and included: cardiac arrest (n=2), hepatic failure (n=1), dyspnea (n=1), multi-organ failure (n=1), cardiac disorder (n=1), and unknown (n=1). By sponsor assessment, 5 patients (1.0%) died due to a cardiac AE and an additional 3 patients with a primary cause of death due to disease progression had a cardiac component associated with their death, for a total of 8 (1.5%) cardiac-related deaths, all of which were possibly related to carfilzomib.

**Non-hematologic analysis by organ system**

**Peripheral neuropathy**

The majority of patients (34.8%) had a prior history of PN (Table 4). Of these, 42.6% were attributed to bortezomib and 43.3% to thalidomide, with 25.9% and 21.1% resulting in prior therapy discontinuations, respectively. Overall, 47.1% of patients had discontinued a previous treatment due to PN. At baseline in the carfilzomib studies, 378 (71.9%) patients had active PN (all Grades 1 or 2). In spite of this, PN aggregate grouping AEs across all studies were reported infrequently (13.9% overall); 41 patients (7.8%) experienced Grade 1 PN, 25 (4.8%) Grade 2 PN, and 7 (1.5%) Grade 3 PN. No Grade 4 or over PN was reported and all of the Grade 3 PN occurred in patients with Grade 1 or 2 at baseline. Moreover, the majority of the 378 patients with Grade 1 or 2 baseline PN (530 of 378, 87.3%) did not report AEs related to PN at any time on study. One patient (0.2%) discontinued treatment due to neuropathic pain and 4 (0.8%) required a dose reduction due to a neuropathy AE. In addition, the majority of PN AEs occurred before Cycle 6 (calculated as a percentage of the actual number of patients treated in a given cycle), suggesting a lack of cumulative toxicity (Online Supplementary Table S1).

**Cardiac**

Overall, 73.6% of patients had a past medical history of cardiovascular events and 70.0% had baseline cardiac risk factors (the latter defined as a patient who reported use of at least one cardiovascular or anti-diabetic medication prior to study entry). Aggregated cardiac failure events (including CHF; pulmonary edema, and decreased ejection fraction) were reported in 38 patients (7.2%), regardless of causality (Table 5). The overall mortality rate, including due to disease progression, was the same (7%) in patients who had baseline cardiac risk factors as it was for patients without these risk factors. Any cardiac disorder AE was reported by 22.1% of patients. Hypertension (mainly Grade 1–2) was reported in 14.5% of patients, more than half of whom had a history of hypertension. In response to a cardiac-related AE, 6 patients (1.1%) had a carfilzomib dose reduction. Cardiac events leading to treatment discontinuation were noted in 23 patients (4.4%) and included CHF (1.5%), cardiac arrest (1.0%), and myocardial ischemia (0.6%). The rate of cardiac AEs did not increase in later cycles (Online Supplementary Table S1) and cardiac disorder AEs within one day of dosing occurred in 62 patients (11.8%).

**Pulmonary**

The most commonly reported respiratory AEs were dyspnea (42.2%) and cough (26.0%). The majority of dyspnea events were Grade 1 or Grade 2; Grade 3 events were reported by 25 patients (4.8%), and no Grade 4 dyspnea events were reported. One patient (0.2%) died with a Grade 5 event (in the setting of concurrent congestive heart failure). Dyspnea resolved for 67.9% of patients; 60.7% did not require any change in carfilzomib therapy, 6 patients (1.1%) required dose reductions, and 7 patients

---

### Table 5. Special analysis of grouped-term organ system adverse events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouped adverse event, n, (%)</th>
<th>Any AE</th>
<th>≥Grade 3</th>
<th>SAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any cardiac</td>
<td>116 (22.1)</td>
<td>50 (9.5)</td>
<td>41 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrhythmia</td>
<td>70 (13.3)</td>
<td>12 (2.3)</td>
<td>11 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>38 (7.2)</td>
<td>30 (5.7)</td>
<td>26 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>18 (3.4)</td>
<td>7 (1.3)</td>
<td>5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>9 (1.7)</td>
<td>3 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory</td>
<td>363 (69.0)</td>
<td>54 (10.3)</td>
<td>34 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>222 (42.2)</td>
<td>26 (4.9)</td>
<td>11 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>137 (24.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>67 (12.7)</td>
<td>55 (10.5)</td>
<td>52 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any grouped renal impairment</td>
<td>174 (33.1)</td>
<td>38 (7.2)</td>
<td>32 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased serum</td>
<td>127 (24.1)</td>
<td>14 (2.7)</td>
<td>7 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute renal failure</td>
<td>28 (5.3)</td>
<td>23 (4.4)</td>
<td>22 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>20 (3.8)</td>
<td>6 (1.1)</td>
<td>7 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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(1.3%) discontinued treatment. The rate of dyspnea did not increase in later cycles (Online Supplementary Table S1). Overall, 269 patients (51.1%) experienced a respiratory AE within one day of dosing, approximately half (139 patients, 25.9%) were dyspnea. Overall, AEs in the respiratory system organ class led to dose reduction in 10 patients (1.9%). Other pulmonary AEs of clinical importance included pleural effusion (4%), pulmonary hypertension (2%), pulmonary embolism (1%), hemoptysis (0.6%), and pneumonitis (0.4%). No interstitial lung disease or pulmonary fibrosis was reported. The most commonly reported respiratory SAE was dyspnea in 11 patients (2.1%), which resolved or stabilized in all but one patient. At least one respiratory infection AE was reported for 18.5% of patients, with pneumonia being the most common: 67 patients (12.7%) as well as the most commonly reported SAE (52 patients, 9.9%). Respiratory infection AEs were reported as the primary cause of death in 2 patients.

**Renal**

At baseline, 23.8% of patients had moderate to severe renal dysfunction (CrCl <50 mL/min) and 39.4% had mild renal dysfunction (CrCl ≥50 to <80 mL/min). Overall, 86.8% of the 515 patients evaluable for creatinine values did not have worsening of renal function during the course of treatment. At least one episode of worsening renal function was reported in 68 patients (13.2%). The worsening renal function was considered transient in 31 patients, and median duration of the worsening was 1.4 weeks. The remaining 37 patients (7.2% of the total population) experienced non-transient worsening, for which 8 of 37 patients discontinued treatment due to an AE related to renal dysfunction. For all patients with worsening renal function, the median time to first episode was 44.5 days (approximately Cycle 2) and the incidence of first episodes of worsening was evenly distributed over time across earlier and later time points, suggesting a lack of cumulative toxicity. Overall, 174 patients (33.1%) had at least one grouped renal impairment AE and the most common AEs included: increased blood creatinine (24.1%), acute renal failure (5.5%), renal failure (3.8%), increased blood urea (2.7%), and decreased renal CrCl (1.1%). Nearly half of these patients (48%) experienced a renal AE in association with disease progression. Overall, 35 patients (7.2%) experienced Grade 3/4 grouped renal impairment AEs; 31 of those were Grade 3. Included in the Grade 3/4 grouped renal AEs were renal failure (1.1%), acute renal failure (4.4%), and renal impairment (0.2%). Shifts of serum creatinine from normal or Grade 1 to Grade 3 or 4 ranged from 0 to 2.2% across the phase II studies (Table 3). Of the 174 patients who reported any renal event, 50% required no change in carfilzomib therapy, 12.1% discontinued carfilzomib, and 10.9% required a dose reduction. Patients discontinuing treatment included 6 patients (1.1%) each due to a renal AE or increased serum creatinine.

Data concerning GI and hepatic AEs, TLS, and herpes virus infection are included in the Online Supplementary Results.

**Discussion**

These results demonstrate that single-agent carfilzomib has a favorable safety profile in heavily pre-treated patients with RRMM. The most common treatment-related AEs were both non-hematologic (fatigue and nausea) and hematologic (thrombocytopenia and anemia), while the most commonly reported non-hematologic AEs were predominantly Grade 1 or 2 in severity. While Grade 3 or 4 treatment-emergent AEs occurred in 80.2% of patients, they were primarily hematologic in nature. Carfilzomib was tolerable as indicated by the lack of cumulative toxicities and the low proportion of patients who had to discontinue or reduce their dose due to an AE. While cross-trial comparisons are inherently flawed, it is notable that 9% of patients completed the planned bortezomib therapy of 8 cycles of 21 days plus 3 cycles of 35 days (1.3 mg/m²) in the APEX trial compared with 19.0% of patients who started at least 12 28-day cycles of carfilzomib. Similarly, 57% of patients in the APEX trial and 22% in the SUMMIT trial discontinued bortezomib due to an AE compared with 14.3% for carfilzomib. Results from the ongoing phase III ENDEAVOR (NCT01568866) and CLARION (NCT01818752) trials, in which patients are being treated with either carfilzomib or bortezomib, will provide more information on any differences between the two proteasome inhibitors.

To further emphasize the long-term tolerability profile of carfilzomib, patients from the phase II studies, along with several patients from phase I studies, were able to enter an extension study (PX-171-010) following completion of their primary study. As of June 2012, 89 patients with MM had enrolled and the total duration of carfilzomib treatment (primary study + 010 study) was a median of 89 weeks with no evidence of unique or late-onset cumulative toxicity.

Hematologic abnormalities, most notably anemia, are a common comorbidity for patients with advanced-stage MM. These AEs, particularly thrombocytopenia and neutropenia, are caused by the disease itself, occur more commonly, and are a more serious concern following MM treatment with other drugs, especially in combination with alkylating agents. The hematologic safety profile of carfilzomib, particularly the lack of evidence of cumulative thrombocytopenia and low rates of febrile neutropenia, compares favorably with other MM therapies including pomalidomide and bortezomib, providing further evidence of its acceptable safety profile in heavily pretreated patients with MM. In addition, the hematologic AEs reported for carfilzomib were infrequently dose limiting, the Grade 3/4 hematologic AEs were generally reversible, and serious clinical sequelae were rare.

As with hematologic abnormalities, PN is common in patients with late-stage MM. PN caused by MM has been considered secondary to plasma cell dyscrasia or following direct compression. PN has become a more worrisome condition because many treatment options cause PN or exacerbate existing PN. Pre-clinically, carfilzomib is more selective for the proteasome than bortezomib and, unlike bortezomib, does not induce neurodegeneration in vitro via a proteasome-independent mechanism. PN due to off target effects, has been singled out as the most significant dose-limiting toxicity for bortezomib and resulted in dose reductions in 12% and discontinuations in 5-8% of patients in an analysis of phase II studies. While subcutaneous administration of bortezomib appears to somewhat decrease the incidence of new-onset PN, without negatively impacting efficacy, the rates of PN (38%) reported with subcutaneous bortezomib in patients not
previously exposed to bortezomib is higher than that noted in the carfilzomib studies presented here. In the case of thalidomide, it is estimated that 70% of patients treated with this agent for 12 months will develop PN and approximately 15% of patients will need to interrupt thalidomide treatment due to PN, with incidence rates of 25-83%.

As noted for carfilzomib, 0.2% of patients discontinued due to PN and dose reductions were required in 0.8% of patients. The frequency of Grade 3 or over PN observed with carfilzomib (1.5%) is lower than rates reported for bortezomib (9-13%). In addition, the incidence of PN AEs did not increase in later treatment cycles, supporting long-term tolerability of carfilzomib. Overall, carfilzomib induces a low rate of new-onset PN, does not exacerbate existing PN, and is not dose limiting, allowing for longer duration of treatment for MM. These findings support pre-clinical studies demonstrating selectivity of proteasome inhibition by carfilzomib with minimal neutrotoxic off-target effects.

As with PN and hematologic abnormalities, pulmonary complications and cardiac events are common in patients with late-stage MM. Dyspnea can be a serious complication of both the disease itself as well as from MM treatments, and is common in the treatment of MM. Cardiac disease in patients with MM has been shown to be caused by multiple comorbidities, including age-related cardiovascular risk, chronic anemia, amyloidosis, A-V shunt with bony lesions, hyperviscosity, and prior antibiotic exposure. While approximately 20% of patients reported treatment-related dyspnea, the majority of events were low grade and transient. In those cases where dyspnea presented on the day of or the day after dosing, it occurred more frequently in earlier cycles. Importantly, most cases resolved without dose reduction or discontinuation. Identifying the etiology of this AE is somewhat complicated due to the non-specific nature of this low-grade, transient symptom observed on study that can be related to a number of underlying disorders. With regard to cardiac events, the data for carfilzomib are comparable to results reported for current MM therapies in the RRMM patient population. While it is difficult to make cross-trial comparisons, it is important to note that the rate of cardiac failure AEs observed in these studies (7.2%) was similar to the 5% reported for bortezomib in the APEX trial. The extent to which the cardiac events reported here were due to patients’ baseline comorbidities, toxicity from prior treatments, effects of MM, carfilzomib itself, or a combination of these factors, cannot be determined in these single-arm trials.

Renal events are another AE commonly seen in patients with MM and are a major manifestation of MM. In contrast to some MM therapies, renal events that occurred following carfilzomib administration infrequently led to dose modifications or treatment discontinuation. Patients in the carfilzomib studies who had baseline renal dysfunction, including patients on hemodialysis, received the same carfilzomib doses as patients without renal impairment at baseline. Importantly, these results are comparable to what is seen with bortezomib but different from lenalidomide and may also be different from pomalidomide, which is excreted renally like lenalidomide. Although the majority of patients entered these studies with renal dysfunction at baseline, Grade 3/4 renal AEs were uncommon. In addition, nearly half of all renal AEs were associated with disease progression. Worsening of renal function from baseline was also uncommon and was transient in almost half of those patients who experienced it.

Adverse events less commonly associated with MM but of clinical interest were also evaluated in this cross-study analysis, including GI events, TLS, herpes virus infections, and hepatic events. A discussion of the findings for these AEs can be found in the Online Supplementary Methods.

The AE profile presented here for carfilzomib was derived from a late-stage, heavily pre-treated patient population with RRMM. The patients in these studies had a median age of 64 years, similar to the median age of patients with MM. Comorbidities in general increase with age, and it is suggested that the severity of comorbidities in MM negatively affect survival outcomes in a progressive manner. In addition, it is important to note that aging is associated with reduced organ function, including renal and cardiac function. Rates and causes of death were consistent with other studies on causes of death in MM and with overall survival analyses performed across trials of heavily pre-treated patients with end-stage MM. Although it is difficult to compare studies, it is important to note that the rate of on-study deaths with carfilzomib (7%) is comparable to the rate seen with lenalidomide in the MM-014 study (10%; same on-study definition as carfilzomib) as well as the rate seen with bortezomib in the SUMMIT study (5%; on study definition of within 20 days of study end). Likewise, the proportion of on-study deaths due to a cardiac cause in these carfilzomib studies is similar to historical data reported in a retrospective review of more than 3000 patients with newly diagnosed MM. Moreover, there was no difference in mortality following carfilzomib treatment between patients with cardiac risk factors at baseline and those without cardiac risk factors.

Overall, the results of the phase II safety analyses presented here demonstrate the general tolerability of carfilzomib in a large, well-characterized group of patients with RRMM. The lack of cumulative toxicities observed in patients treated with carfilzomib indicate the potential for full doses of carfilzomib to be used for extended periods in a wide spectrum of patients with advanced MM, including those with pre-existing comorbidities (particularly PN). The safety results presented here combined with the robust and durable responses of carfilzomib, including overall response rate of 23.7% and a clinical benefit rate of 37.0% in the pivotal 003-A1 study with prolonged duration of response of 7.8 and 8.3 months, respectively, and a median overall survival of 15.6 months, indicate that carfilzomib will help meet the current unmet medical need of the patient population with RRMM.
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