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Background. Early life stress (ELS) is consistently associated with increased risk for subsequent psychopathology. Individual differences in neural response to reward may confer vulnerability to stress-related psychopathology. Using data from the ongoing Duke Neurogenetics Study, the present study examined whether reward-related ventral striatum (VS) reactivity moderates the relationship between retrospectively reported ELS and anhedonic symptomatology. We further assessed whether individual differences in reward-related VS reactivity were associated with other depressive symptoms and problematic alcohol use via stress-related anhedonic symptoms and substance use-associated coping.

Method. Blood oxygen level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) was collected while participants (n = 906) completed a card-guessing task, which robustly elicits VS reactivity. ELS, anhedonic symptoms, other depressive symptoms, coping behavior, and alcohol use behavior were assessed with self-report questionnaires. Linear regressions were run to examine whether VS reactivity moderated the relationship between ELS and anhedonic symptomatology. Structural equation models examined whether this moderation was indirectly associated with other depression symptoms and problematic alcohol use through its association with anhedonia.

Results. Analyses of data from 820 participants passing quality control procedures revealed that the VS × ELS interaction was associated with anhedonic symptoms (p = 0.011). Moreover, structural equation models indirectly linked this interaction to non-anhedonic depression symptoms and problematic alcohol use through anhedonic symptoms and substance-related coping.

Conclusions. These findings suggest that reduced VS reactivity to reward is associated with increased risk for anhedonia in individuals exposed to ELS. Such stress-related anhedonia is further associated with other depressive symptoms and problematic alcohol use through substance-related coping.
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Introduction

Exposure to environmental stress during childhood is associated with increased risk for a broad range of psychopathology, including mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders (Green et al. 2010). However, while ELS is reported for nearly 40% of individuals worldwide (Kessler et al. 2010), a relatively small number of exposed individuals experience subsequent mental health problems. Identifying biological markers of individual differences in relative vulnerability or resiliency promises to uniquely inform the etiology of stress-related psychopathology and contribute to the development of more efficacious strategies for prevention and treatment.

Consistent with cross-species research suggesting that adversity can disrupt hedonic capacity as well as related neural circuit function (Anisman & Matheson, 2005; Rygula et al. 2005; Krishnan et al. 2007; Bogdan et al. 2011; Pechtel & Pizzagalli, 2011; Porcelli et al. 2012), stress-related psychopathology such as depression and substance use disorder is frequently characterized by both blunted reward-related corticostriatal circuit reactivity and anhedonia (Diekhof et al. 2008; Hopper et al. 2008; Sailer et al. 2008; Pizzagalli et al. 2009). By contrast, individuals characterized by positive affect and optimism have increased
corticostrital reactivity to positive stimuli (Sharot et al. 2007) and display more adaptive responses to stress (Tugade & Fredrickson, 2004; Ong et al. 2006). Collectively, these data have led to speculation that robust reward-related neural circuit function may confer relative resilience to stress-related anhedonia and associated psychopathology (Feder et al. 2009); this theory has recently received empirical support in preclinical (Krishnan et al. 2007) and human (Nikolova et al. 2012) studies.

Using data from the ongoing Duke Neurogenetics Study (DNS), which assesses a wide range of behavioral, experiential, and biological phenotypes, the present study examined whether reward-related ventral striatum (VS) reactivity moderates the relationship between retrospectively-reported early life stress (ELS) and anhedonic symptomatology in 820 young adult university students. Further, in light of evidence that deficits in positive affect precede the development of other depressive symptoms (Bijttebier et al. 2012), we assessed whether the interaction between reward-related VS reactivity and ELS is indirectly associated with other depressive symptoms via the mediating role of anhedonia. Second, based on a developing literature linking anhedonic symptoms to substance-related coping, which has, in turn, been linked to problematic substance use (Cooper et al. 1995; Grant et al. 1998) and Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV Axis I and select Axis II disorders (antisocial personality disorder and borderline personality disorder) was assessed with the electronic Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al. 1998) and Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV (SCID; First et al. 1996). These disorders were not exclusionary, as the DNS seeks to establish broad variability in multiple behavioral phenotypes related to psychopathology.

The final sample of the present study included 820 participants who had reward-related VS reactivity data available after data-quality screening. Participants were excluded for the following reasons: incidental structural brain abnormalities (n = 4), significant movement outliers in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data (n = 38; see preprocessing description below), scanner artifacts in fMRI data (n = 6), technical difficulties during fMRI data collection (e.g. coil problems; n = 3), incomplete data collection (e.g. subject fell asleep; n = 9), or poor behavioral performance (i.e. less than 60% appropriate feedback during VS reactivity task; n = 25). One additional participant was excluded from analyses because they did not complete the scale used to assess ELS (Childhood Trauma Questionnaire; n = 1). A total of 86 (9.49%) participants were excluded after fMRI data-quality screening and availability of all self-report questionnaires was taken into account.

Self-report questionnaires

Participants completed a battery of self-report questionnaires to assess past and current experiences and behavior. The following were used for the present analyses: the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ; Bernstein et al. 2003); Life Events Scale for Students (LESS; Clements & Turpin, 1996); the anhedonic depression (AD) and general distress depression (GDD) subscales of the Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire – short form (MASQ-SF; Watson & Clark, 1991); the Positive Affect subscale of the Center for Epidemiological Studies – Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977) the brief COPE Inventory substance use subscale Carver (1997); and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Saunders et al. 1993).

The CTQ is a 28-item, retrospective screening tool used to detect the occurrence and frequency of emotional, physical, and sexual abuse as well as diagnoses of cancer, stroke, diabetes requiring insulin treatment, chronic kidney or liver disease, or lifetime history of psychotic symptoms; (2) use of psychotropic, glucocorticoid, or hypolipidemic medication; and/or (3) conditions affecting cerebral blood flow and metabolism (e.g. hypertension). Diagnosis of current DSM-IV Axis I and select Axis II disorders (antisocial personality disorder and borderline personality disorder) was assessed with the electronic Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al. 1998) and Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV (SCID; First et al. 1996). These disorders were not exclusionary, as the DNS seeks to establish broad variability in multiple behavioral phenotypes related to psychopathology.
emotional and physical neglect before the age of 17 ($\alpha=0.613$ for total scale). The instrument’s five subscales, each representing a type of abuse or neglect, have robust internal consistency and convergent validity with a clinician-rated interview of childhood abuse as well as with therapists’ ratings of abuse (Scher et al. 2001). The MASQ-SF is a 62-item scale that includes four subscales: general distress anxious symptoms, general distress depressive symptoms, anxious arousal and anhedonic depression. The 22-item AD subscale is an instrument assessing low levels of positive affect and other factors that represent depression specifically (i.e. not general negative affect; Hughes et al. 2006). One item was excluded from this questionnaire in our sample (‘thoughts about death or suicide’) to be compliant with the Duke University IRB ($\alpha=0.899$ for anhedonia subscale). The GDD subscale includes 12 items ($\alpha=0.930$ for GDD subscale) reflecting negative affect that occur in both depression and anxiety disorders (Watson et al. 1995). The substance use subscale of brief-COPE is comprised of two items (‘I’ve been using alcohol or other drugs to make myself feel better’ and ‘I’ve been using alcohol or other drugs to help me get through it’; $\alpha=0.920$) that assess how frequently an individual uses drugs or alcohol as a coping mechanism; it is predictive of problematic alcohol use in trauma survivors (Ullman et al. 2005, 2006). The AUDIT is a 10-item scale developed by the WHO to screen for hazardous or dependent alcohol use patterns by assessing the frequency and nature of consumption. While the AUDIT was originally developed to screen for alcohol use problems and high-risk drinking in primary-care settings, evidence suggests that it is a valid assessment for college student populations as well (Kokotailo et al. 2004) and showed good reliability within this sample ($\alpha=0.771$ for total scale).

Last, to further test our previously reported recent life stress x VS reward-related reactivity interaction (Nikolova et al. 2012) in an expanded sample, we used the CES-D Positive Affect subscale ($\alpha=0.829$ for Positive Affect subscale) and Life Events Scale for Students (Kuder–Richardson Formula $20\alpha=0.643$; LESS). In this modified version of the LESS participants are asked to indicate if they have experienced different types of common stressful life events within the past 12 months (e.g. moving home, pregnancy, failing a course). The CES-D is a widely used (Murphy, 2002) 20-item scale designed to measure current depressive symptoms (Radloff, 1977). Based on previous factor analysis studies (Shafer, 2006) and confirmatory factor analysis in the current sample, two subscales were computed for non-anhedonic depression (16 items) and positive affect (four items) (see Supplementary material).

**VS reactivity task**

To elicit VS reactivity, participants completed a blocked design number-guessing paradigm, consisting of three blocks of predominantly positive feedback (80% correct guess; gain feedback), three blocks of predominantly negative feedback (80% incorrect guess; loss feedback) and three control blocks (displaying a yellow circle after each response) (Delgado et al. 2000; Hariri et al. 2006). Blocks are presented in pseudo-random order and are composed of five trials each. During each trial of the positive and negative feedback blocks, participants are given 3 s to guess via button press whether the value (between 1–4 or 6–9) of a card presented face-down is higher or lower than 5 (Supplementary Fig. S1). The numerical value of the card is then presented for 500 ms, followed by an arrow indicating positive (green upward-facing arrow) or negative (red downward-facing arrow) feedback for 500 ms. Finally, a neutral crosshair is presented for 3 s, such that the total trial length is 7 s. One incongruent trial (e.g. a negative-feedback trial within a predominantly positive block) was included within each block to maintain task engagement and motivation and prevent participants from anticipating trial feedback. Three control blocks are interleaved between the six experimental card-guessing blocks, during which participants are instructed to make button presses during the 3-s presentation of an ‘x,’ which is then followed by an asterisk and a yellow circle (presented for 500 ms each). Participants were unaware of the fixed outcome probabilities and were led to believe that their performance would determine their net monetary gain. All subjects received $10 upon completion of the task.

**Blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI data acquisition**

A research-dedicated GE MR750 3 T scanner equipped with high-power, high-duty cycle 50-mT/m gradients at 200 T/m/s slew rate and an eight-channel head coil for parallel imaging at high bandwidth up to 1 MHz was used to acquire brain images at the Duke University of North Carolina Brain Imaging and Analysis Center. A semi-automated, high-order shimming program was used to ensure global field homogeneity. A series of 34 interleaved axial functional slices aligned with the anterior commissure–posterior commissure (AC–PC) plane were acquired for full-brain coverage using an inverse-spiral pulse sequence to reduce susceptibility artifact (TR/TE/flip angle = 2000 ms/30 ms/60°; FOV = 240 mm; $3.75 \times 3.75 \times 4$ mm voxels; interslice skip = 0). Four initial RF excitations were performed (and discarded) to achieve steady-state equilibrium. To allow for spatial
registration of each participant’s data to a standard coordinate system, high-resolution three-dimensional structural images were acquired in 34 axial slices co-planar with the functional scans (TR/TE/flip angle = 7.7 s/3.0 ms/12; voxel size = 0.9 × 0.9 × 4 mm; FOV = 240 mm, interslice skip = 0).

**BOLD fMRI data analysis**

The general linear model of Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (SPM8; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) was used for whole-brain image analysis. Individual subject data were first realigned to the first volume in the time series to correct for head motion before being spatially normalized into the standard stereotactic space of the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template using a 12-parameter affine model. Then the ARTifact Detection Tool (ART) was used to generate regressors accounting for images due to large motion (i.e. >0.6 mm relative to the previous time frame) or spikes (i.e. global mean intensity 2.5 standard deviations (s.d.) from the entire time series). Participants for whom more than 5% of acquisition volumes were flagged by ART (VS: n = 38) were removed from analyses. An ROI mask (i.e. 5 mm spheres centered around MNI coordinates, left: x = −12, y = 8, z = −10; right: x = 12, y = 10, z = −8) was used to ensure adequate VS coverage for the number-guessing task; no participants demonstrated less than 90% coverage of the VS region of interest.

After these preprocessing steps, whole-brain analyses with a statistical threshold of p < 0.05, family-wise error whole-brain corrected with 10 contiguous voxels were employed to identify brain regions activated by our paradigm, rather than voxels specifically correlated with our independent variables of interest that may result from capitalizing on the same data twice (Viviani, 2010). This conservative strategy has been used in previous reports (Bogdan et al., 2012).

**Statistical analyses**

Extracted neuroimaging data values were winsorized (to ±3 s.d.s; left VS: n = 9; right VS: n = 9) to maintain variability while limiting the influence of extreme outliers before being analyzed in PASW Statistics (version 19; SPSS Inc.). A regression-based moderation model was tested using the *process* macro for SPSS (Hayes, 2013) to examine main and interactive effects of ELS (i.e. CTQ score) and reward-related VS reactivity (i.e. positive reward > negative loss) onanhedonia (i.e. MASQ-SF AD scale score). Based on evidence that anhedonia may predict other depressive symptoms (Gorwood, 2008; Bijttebier et al., 2012) as well as substance use problems (Hatzigiakoumis et al., 2011; Mezquita et al., 2014), we implemented structural equation models (SEM). Using MPlus (v. 7.11), we tested whether the interaction between ELS and reward-related VS reactivity was indirectly associated with non-anhedonic depression symptoms (i.e., MASQ-SF GDD scale score) as well as problematic alcohol use (i.e., AUDIT scores) via anhedonia and substance-related coping behavior (i.e., substance use brief COPE subscale scores; Fig. 1a, b). All interaction predictor variables (i.e., CTQ scores and VS reactivity) were mean-centered prior to analyses. Unstandardized indirect effects were computed for each of 5000 bootstrapped samples, and the 95% confidence interval was computed by determining the indirect effects at the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles. Model goodness of fit was assessed using root mean square residual (RMSEA < 0.05), standardized root mean square residual (SRMR < 0.05), and comparative fit index (CFI > 0.90) (Schermelleh-Engel et al., 2003). In an attempt to make the χ² test less dependent on sample size, we used the relative χ², which is calculated by dividing the χ² fit index by the degrees of freedom. Congruent with prior literature (Schumacker and Lomax, 2004), if this ratio was <5 we deemed the model to have good fit.

Sex, age, psychiatric diagnosis (excluding depression and alcohol abuse diagnosis in the depression and problematic alcohol use SEMs respectively), and self-reported ethnicity were entered as covariates in all analyses. Sex was added as a covariate in all models due to previously documented sex differences in VS reactivity to reward stimuli (e.g., Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009). Because previous studies have identified a marked decrease in VS reactivity to reward in stress-exposed individuals (Bogdan et al., 2011; Porcelli et al., 2012; Casement et al., 2014) self-reported recent life stress was also included in all models. Additionally, due to evidence delineating race/ethnic differences in rates of stress and trauma exposure, self-reported race/ethnicity was included in all models (Roberts et al., 2011). Moreover, consistent with recent recommendations (Keller, 2014), all pathways in which interactions were present included the interaction between all mean-centered covariates and...
predictor variables as covariates (e.g. CTQ × sex, VS × sex, etc.). Consistent with our previous report (Nikolova et al. 2012) the moderation models included self-reported depression symptoms as a covariate to ensure that the effect of the interaction between ELS and VS reactivity was specific to anhedonic symptoms. Additionally, a dichotomous age variable (under 21 and over 21 years) was added as a covariate in the problematic alcohol use moderated mediated model to account for legal drinking age.

Ethical standards
The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Results

Sample demographics
The final sample included 820 participants [age: 19.63 ± 1.24 years; 464 (56.58%) female; ethnicity: 373 (45.4%) European/European American, 223 (27.2%) Asian/Asian-American, 88 (10.7%) African/African-American, 52 (6.3%) Hispanic, 84 (10.2%) multiracial or other]. While the majority of participants were healthy (81.82%), some of our sample met criteria for one or more Axis I disorders (18.17%) according to a diagnostic interview (Supplementary Table S1). Based on normative data (Boschen & Oei, 2007) 10.2% and 7.8% of our participants demonstrated scores comparable to those observed in depression clinical samples for the depression and anhedonic depression scales respectively. Additionally 2% of our sample self-reported AUDIT scores equal to or above clinical samples who meet criteria for alcohol dependence (Donovan et al. 2006).

Associations with sample demographics
As in prior studies, women reported greater depression symptoms (Kessler, 2003) while men reported more problematic alcohol use (Hasin et al. 2007) and had higher bilateral reward-related VS reactivity to positive feedback (Spreckelmeyer et al. 2009; Nikolova et al. 2012; Supplementary Table S2). Age was associated with self-reported substance-mediated coping as well as problematic drinking, where those in the legal North Carolina age group (i.e. over 21) reported more substance-related coping and problematic usage. Ethnicity predicted self-report measures of depression, stress, and alcohol use (Supplementary Table S3).

Reward-related VS reactivity and ELS interact to predict anhedonia
As previously reported (Delgado et al. 2000; Hariri et al. 2006; Forbes et al. 2009; Nikolova et al. 2012) the card-guessing paradigm reliably elicited reward-related (i.e. positive > negative feedback) bilateral VS reactivity (Fig. 2a). Consistent with our hypotheses, a significant interaction between VS and ELS was associated with anhedonic symptoms when no covariates were included (left VS: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0057$, $b = -0.6600$, $p = 0.0195$; right VS: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0046$, $b = -0.5460$, $p = 0.0356$). Importantly, this interaction remained significant in the left VS even after accounting for sex, age, ethnicity, other depressive symptoms (as measured by the MASQ GDD scale), diagnosis of any psychiatric disorder, and recent life stress (as measured by the number of events reported on the LESS), as well as two-way interactions between these covariates with VS reactivity and CTQ scores (left VS: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0046$, $b = -0.7659$, $F_{1,780} = 6.52$, $p = 0.0108$; right VS: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0018$, $b = -0.4146$, $F_{1,780} = 2.56$, $p = 0.1099$). Post-hoc analyses revealed that those with relatively reduced left VS reactivity to reward (low VS: $t = 2.16$, $p = 0.0311$; medium VS: $t = 1.17$, $p = 0.23$; high VS: $t = -0.019$, Non-anhedonic depression Early life stress Anhedonia Ventral striatum reactivity (a) Fig. 1. Structural equation models examining the indirect effect of early life stress and left ventral striatum reactivity to reward on (a) non-anhedonic depressive symptoms, and (b) problematic alcohol use through anhedonic symptoms and substance-related coping.
and increased retrospectively reported ELS (Johnson–Neyman significance for CTQ values >41.32) self-report increased anhedonic symptoms (See Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table S4 for regression table).

**Structural equation models**

SEMs demonstrated that ELS and left VS reactivity to reward indirectly predict other depression symptoms and problematic alcohol use via the mediating effects of anhedonia and substance-related coping. Specifically, decreased reward-related left VS reactivity and elevated ELS was associated with increased anhedonia ($b = -0.657$, $p = 0.047$), which, in turn, was associated with elevated levels of non-anhedonic depression symptoms (MASQ-SF GDD: $b = 0.361$, $p < 0.0001$). The SEM demonstrated good fit (normed $\chi^2 = 1.89$, RMSEA = 0.033, SRMR = 0.007, CFI = 0.976) with bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals reflective of a significant indirect effect (Fig. 3). A second model showing good fit (normed $\chi^2 = 2.68115$, RMSEA = 0.045, SRMR = 0.013, CFI = 0.919) demonstrated that relatively higher anhedonic symptoms were also associated with elevated levels of substance-mediated coping ($b = 0.007$, $p = 0.019$) and consequently the likelihood of problematic drinking ($b = 1.781$, $p < 0.0001$). Similarly bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals suggest a significant indirect effect (Fig. 4b). There were no direct effects of the interaction between ELS and left VS reactivity on either general distress depression ($b = 0.249$, $p = 0.214$) or problematic alcohol use ($b = 0.077$, $p = 0.330$), suggesting a key indirect pathway through anhedonia. Both SEMs were also significant without the addition of covariates providing evidence that these results are not contingent upon their inclusion.

**Recent life stress**

In a prior report on a subsample ($n = 200$) of the DNS (Nikolova et al. 2012), we found evidence that recent life stress interacted with right VS reactivity to predict positive affect (as assessed via the CES-D). In this larger sample, left VS reactivity in interaction with recent life stress exposure was significantly positively associated with MASQ-SF anhedonic depression scores ($\Delta R^2 = 0.0047$, $b = -1.5273$, $F_{1,815} = 4.46$, $p = 0.0496$) and positive affect as measured by the CES-D at a trend level ($\Delta R^2 = 0.0038$, $b = 0.2934$, $F_{1,815} = 3.18$, $p = 0.0747$). The direction of these interactions is consistent with our prior report, and is independent of ELS exposure (MASQ anhedonic depression: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0047$, $b = -1.5303$, $F_{1,816} = 3.86$, $p = 0.0496$).
0.0349; CES-D positive affect: $\Delta R^2 = 0.0038$, $b = -0.2928$, $F_{1,815} = 3.57$, $p = 0.059$). However, the strength of these effects were reduced with the inclusion of sex, age, ethnicity, and diagnosis of any psychiatric disorder excluding depression as covariates in the models (MASQ anhedonic symptoms: $p = 0.0633$; CES-D positive affect: $p = 0.1015$) and the further addition of all covariate recent life stress and covariate VS reactivity interactions (MASQ-SF anhedonic symptoms: $p = 0.1171$; CES-D positive affect: $p = 0.6634$). A similar pattern emerged in interaction with right VS reactivity; however, this association was not significant (MASQ anhedonic symptoms: $p = 0.2126$; CES-D positive affect: $p = 0.3644$).

**Discussion**

In this study we examined whether the effects of ELS on anhedonic symptoms were moderated by individual differences in reward-related VS reactivity in young adults. We further examined whether the interaction of VS reactivity and ELS indirectly predicted other depressive symptoms through its effects on anhedonia as well as problematic alcohol use through anhedonia and substance-related coping. Three primary findings emerged. First, after accounting for other depressive symptoms and recent stressful life events among other covariates, a VS × ELS interaction arose;
individuals with relatively blunted VS reactivity to reward and elevated exposure to ELS reported greater anhedonic symptoms (Fig. 2). Second, we found that this interaction indirectly predicted other depressive symptoms as well as problematic alcohol use (Figs 3 and 4) suggesting that susceptibility to stress-related anhedonia may have transdiagnostic implications. Third, we found additional evidence in an expanded sample that even after accounting for ELS, recent stressful life events and reward-related VS reactivity is associated with anhedonia, consistent with our prior report (Nikolova et al. 2012); of note, however, after accounting for covariates this relationship was reduced to a trend and was not present in the right hemisphere as previously reported.

Building upon epidemiologic work linking ELS to psychopathology (Green et al. 2010), the present results suggest that this association may be, at least in part, dependent upon individual differences in reward-related VS reactivity, and mediated through stress-related anhedonia. Consistent with literature suggesting that blunted behavioral and neural reward processing may confer vulnerability to transdiagnostic psychopathology (Feder et al. 2009; Geschwind et al. 2010), the present data suggest that ELS is only associated with increased anhedonic symptoms in individuals with blunted VS reactivity to reward. Prospective longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether such differences in VS reactivity to reward predate exposure to adversity, consistent with a rich literature suggesting that genetically conferred differences in reward responsiveness may leave individuals vulnerable to stress-related anhedonia (Myerson, 1922; Meehl, 1975; Klein, 1987; Bogdan et al. 2013). Alternatively, but not mutually exclusive, individuals who develop stress-related anhedonia may be more susceptible to stress-induced changes in reward-related VS response (Pizzagalli, 2014).

Blunted reward-related VS reactivity indirectly conferred risk for non-anhedonic depressive symptoms through stress-related anhedonia. This finding is congruent with prior epidemiologic work indicating that depression is frequently preceded by ELS (Monroe & Hadjiyannakis, 2002; Heim et al. 2008; Heim, 2009; Heim & Binder, 2012) and research linking major depressive disorder to blunted striatum reactivity to reward (Epstein, 2006; Steele et al. 2007; McCabe et al. 2009). Evidence suggesting that anhedonia precedes the development of depression (Dryman & Eaton, 1991) and that hedonic impairments can be induced by stress (Pizzagalli, 2014) provide further support for this model. Moreover, recent evidence demonstrating that self-reported positive, but not negative, emotion regulation prospectively predicts later depression symptoms in those exposed to high levels of stress (Bijttebier et al. 2012) highlights the key role of reward pathways in stress-related psychopathology.

Importantly, stress-related anhedonia was not exclusively associated with depression; blunted reward-related VS reactivity was also indirectly associated with problematic alcohol use through stress-related anhedonia and substance-related coping behavior. This indirect association is consistent with previous work demonstrating that coping-related substance use, including alcohol, is predictive of later substance problems (Cooper et al. 1995; Grant et al. 2009; Mezquita et al. 2014) as well as a wealth of addiction literature linking substance use disorders to blunted VS reactivity to non-drug-related reward (Koob & Le Moal, 2001; Volkow et al. 2004; Koob & Volkow, 2010). The data presented here build on recent models suggesting childhood adversity increases alcohol-related problems via coping-related substance use (Mezquita et al. 2014) by documenting that VS reactivity to reward moderates this relationship. That this relationship is associated with both depressive symptoms and problematic alcohol use is consistent with recent work suggesting that a comprehensive one-dimensional vulnerability factor best accounts for risk to develop common forms of psychopathology including mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders as well as thought disorders (Lahey et al. 2012; Caspi et al. 2014).

It is important to note that these associations were only observed in left VS after accounting for covariates. Although, some studies have found decreased VS reactivity in response to positive stimuli in depressed patients solely in the left hemisphere (Pizzagalli et al. 2009), others have found diminished reactivity bilaterally (Epstein et al. 2006). There is limited understanding of VS laterality leaving us unable to speculate about this laterality finding.

Our study is not without limitations. First, our sample is composed of relatively high-functioning university students. Although about 18% of our sample met criteria for one or more psychiatric disorders, the majority of participants were healthy thereby limiting variability in dimensional symptom measures. It is particularly important to consider this limitation in the context of alcohol use and exposure to ELS. With regard to alcohol use, epidemiological data suggest that alcohol use is heaviest in young adult years (Fillmore et al. 1991; Naimi et al. 2003) with problematic usage tapering off in the majority of individuals when they reach their mid-20s (Jackson et al. 2001). As such, it is unclear whether the present data are predictive of long-term alcohol use problems that extend beyond the college years. However, given that problematic usage in college is predictive of later alcohol use disorder
These limitations notwithstanding, the present study suggests that blunted VS reactivity to reward may render individuals vulnerable to stress-related anhedonia, which, in turn, confers risk for other depressive symptoms as well as substance-related coping and problem-atic alcohol use. While these findings shed light on potential mechanisms underlying relative risk or resilience for stress-related psychopathology, longitudinal research is needed to more clearly define specific roles of these mechanisms in the onset and course of psychopathology. Last, in light of the independent effects of recent life stress effects from ELS, as well as work demonstrating that acute stress can induce hedonic deficits (Berenbaum & Connelly, 1993; Bogdan & Pizzagalli, 2006) and influence reward-related neural circuitry (Bogdan et al., 2011; Porcelli et al., 2012; Lewis et al., 2014), it will be important to examine how ELS may influence reward-related reactivity following recent/acute stress to predict psychiatrically relevant brain function and behavior.

**Supplementary material**

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715000525.

**Acknowledgements**

The Duke Neurogenetics Study is supported by Duke University and the National Institutes of Health (NIDA R01-DA033369). A.R.H. receives additional support from the National Institutes of Health (NIDA R01-DA031579). N.C.S.F. was supported by NIMH (T32-MH014677). Y.S.N. was supported by HHMI international student fellowship. D.A.A.B. was supported by NIMH (T32-GM008151). R.B. was supported by the Klingenstein Third Generation Foundation and receives additional support from the National Institutes of Health (NIA R01-AG045231). We are grateful to the Duke Neurogenetics Study (DNS).
participants. We also thank F. Ahs, B. Brigidi, J. Carré, C. Davis, K. Faig, E. Goetz, A. Gorka, S. Jacobson, A. Knodt, K. McNealy, J. Minkel, and V. Sochat for assistance with data collection and processing.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References


to alcohol use and misuse. Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research 38, 1770–1779


imaging studies and treatment implications. *Molecular Psychiatry* 9, 557–569.


