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- Senescent osteoblasts drive increased osteoclastogenesis and tumor cell seeding
- Senescent-derived IL-6 drives localized osteoclastogenesis and tumor cell growth

Authors

Xianmin Luo, Yujie Fu, Andrew J. Loza, ..., Roberta Faccio, Gregory D. Longmore, Sheila A. Stewart

Correspondence

sheila.stewart@wustl.edu

In Brief

Luo et al. show that stromal-derived changes are sufficient to increase tumor cell colonization and metastatic growth in the bone. They report that senescent osteoblasts, and, in particular, the senescence-associated secretory phenotype factor IL-6 drives localized osteoclastogenesis and tumor cell growth.
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SUMMARY

More than 85% of advanced breast cancer patients suffer from metastatic bone lesions, yet the mechanisms that facilitate these metastases remain poorly understood. Recent studies suggest that tumor-derived factors initiate changes within the tumor microenvironment to facilitate metastasis. However, whether stromal-initiated changes are sufficient to drive increased metastasis in the bone remains an open question. Thus, we developed a model to induce reactive senescent osteoblasts and found that they increased breast cancer colonization of the bone. Analysis of senescent osteoblasts revealed that they failed to mineralize bone matrix and increased local osteoclastogenesis, the latter process being driven by the senescence-associated secretory phenotype factor, IL-6. Neutralization of IL-6 was sufficient to limit senescence-induced osteoclastogenesis and tumor cell localization to bone, thereby reducing tumor burden. Together, these data suggest that a reactive stromal compartment can condition the niche, in the absence of tumor-derived signals, to facilitate metastatic tumor growth in the bone.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is an ecological disease that emerges from a dynamic interplay between incipient tumor cells and their surrounding stromal environment (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Stromal changes not only impact primary tumor development, but also convert future metastatic sites into a fertile environment (niche) that supports the survival and outgrowth of tumor cells (Psaila and Lyden, 2009; Sceneay et al., 2013 and references therein). An outstanding question that remains is what drives tumor cell seeding and growth within distal sites and can these changes be inhibited or reverted? This question has led to a persuasive body of work demonstrating that primary tumor cells can release factors systemically that mobilize bone-marrow-derived cells to distal target organs to condition the pre-metastatic site (Hiratsuka et al., 2002 and references found in Sceneay et al., 2013). In addition to soluble factors, exosomes released from primary tumor cells, hypoxia within the primary tumor, and primary tumor-driven reductions in immune surveillance can also modulate the pre-metastatic niche and increase metastasis to distal organs (Psaila and Lyden, 2009; Sceneay et al., 2012, 2013 and references therein). However, whether stromal cells naturally residing in the bone are sufficient to initiate changes that facilitate subsequent tumor cell seeding and growth in the absence of systemic signals generated from primary tumor cells has not been explored.
the seeding and/or outgrowth of tumor cells and that this could occur independent of a distantly located primary tumor. To test this, we developed a conditional mouse model that allowed us to spatially and temporally control senescence induction within the mesenchymal compartment. In doing so, we hypothesized that osteoblasts, like closely related fibroblasts, undergo a senescence response that echoes that previously observed in the latter cell type. Our "FASST" (fibroblasts accelerate stromal-supported tumorigenesis) model uses a stromal-specific, estrogen-responsive Cre recombinase (Cre-ERT2) to create senescent osteoblasts in mice by inducing expression of the cell-cycle inhibitor, p27Kip1. We chose to use p27Kip1 in our model because it faithfully recapitulated the senescent phenotype observed in human cells. Indeed, expression of p27Kip1 is sufficient to induce senescence (Alexander and Hinds, 2001) and robust pro-tumorigenic SASP expression in fibroblasts from these mice (unpublished data). Thus, p27Kip1 is an important tool that we have utilized to recapitulate the physiology observed in human tissue. To restrict expression to the mesenchymal compartment, mice carrying the Cre-ERT2 transgene driven by the pro-alpha 2(I)collagen promoter (Zheng et al., 2002) were mated to mice that conditionally express p27Kip1 and a lineage tracing IRES GFP allele from the ROSA26 locus (ROSAlox-stop-lox-p27Kip1IRESGFP) (Lavine et al., 2008). Cre recombinase was activated in mice 4 weeks of age and GFP expression and induction of senescence markers were monitored within the bone. To control for any unanticipated effects of tamoxifen, all mice (Cre+ and Cre–) received tamoxifen. Following Cre activation, we observed mosaic induction of the p27Kip1 transgene (GFP+) within osteoblasts in murine bones (Figure 1A) with variable penetrance. Indeed, in four animals we found that 17%–64% of osteocalcin (OCN)-positive osteoblasts expressed the p27Kip1 transgene (Figures 1B and S1A).

Figure 1. Transgene Activation in Osteoblasts Results in Senescence and SASP Expression
(A) Characterization of transgene activation in FASST mice. Representative immunofluorescence (IF) staining of frozen bone sections obtained from littermate (LM) control or FASST mice was performed with anti-GFP (green) and anti-osteocalcin (OCN) (red) antibodies. IF staining revealed mosaic transgene expression within the p27Kip1 transgene (GFP+) within OCN (+) osteoblasts (see Figure S1A). Scale bar, 100 μm; magnification 10x; inset, 20x (n = 4).
(B) Quantification of transgene activation (GFP+) in five bone sections from four different FASST mice shows a wide variation in transgene activation. GFP-positive counts from the same mouse are coded as a single color.
(C) Representative SA-β-Gal staining in FASST versus littermate control bone sections. Quantification of SA-β-Gal staining in littermate control (LM) or FASST mice. Senescent bone-lining cells are denoted by a black arrowhead. Data are presented as a percentage of total bone-lining cells. Scale bar, 100 μm (n = 3) (*SEM, p < 0.05, two-tailed, Student’s t test).
(D) Representative staining of IL-6 (red) SASP expression in the bones of FASST mice revealed robust activation in GFP+ (green), senescent osteoblasts. No GFP expression was observed in the littermate (LM) mice, and no significant IL-6 expression was noted in the LM controls. Scale bar, 100 μm, magnification 10x; inset, 40x (n = 4). Secondary antibody panel with existing LM and FASST IL-6 stains can be found in Figure S1B for reference.
(E) Quantification of IL-6 expression in GFP+ osteoblasts from bone sections of FASST mice (n = 4 mice, five bone sections each). IL-6 was expressed in ~75% of GFP-positive cells, while ~25% of GFP-positive cells had no detectable IL-6 expression.
FASST bone (Figure 1C). Importantly, the increase in SA-β-Gal-positive cells was limited to bone-lining cells whose location suggested that they were osteoblasts (inset in Figure 1C). To determine if the activation of senescence occurred in GFP+ osteoblasts, we co-stained bone sections from FASST mice for GFP and interleukin-6 (IL-6), a well-characterized SASP factor readily expressed in senescent human and mouse fibroblasts (Coppé et al., 2008, 2010). While lower expression of IL-6 was noted in the littermate control animals, we found that 75.2% of GFP-positive cells expressed increased levels of IL-6 in FASST bones (Figures 1D, 1E, S1B, and S1C). Hence, in this respect the osteoblasts recapitulated the well-documented senescence behavior of fibroblasts.

Breast cancer cells frequently metastasize to the bone (Coleman and Rubens, 1987); thus, we next asked if the presence of senescent osteoblasts were sufficient to support tumor cell recruitment and growth. Because spontaneous models of breast cancer metastasis to the bone do not form overt lesions, we utilized intracardiac (IC) injection to assess the impact of senescent osteoblasts on tumor cell seeding and metastatic tumor burden within the bone. Isogenic NT2.5 murine breast cancer cells (Reilly et al., 2000) stably expressing firefly luciferase (NT2.5iuc) were IC injected into FASST mice or littermate controls. Given the small number of total senescent cells present in the bones of our animals and our goal to determine how senescent stromal cells impact tumor cell colonization and growth, we chose to inject only 10,000 tumor cells, which resulted in a low tumor cell burden in bones. Four weeks after injection, mice were sacrificed and tumor burden was measured in the femur and tibia bones by ex vivo bioluminescent imaging. Strikingly, there was significantly higher tumor burden in bones obtained from FASST mice compared to littermate controls (Figures 2A and 2B). While we cannot access the degree of GFP activation at endpoint in our mice because the vast majority of GFP+ cells are no longer detectable (Figures S1D–S1F), these data are in line with the variable degree of senescence activation we observe in our model. Indeed, as we anticipated, given the variable penetrance of transgene activation in our model, analyses of tumor burdens in individual animals revealed a similar distribution when compared to the degree of GFP activation in FASST mice (Figures 1B and S1A). Together, these data suggest stochastic accumulation of senescent osteoblasts in the bone is sufficient to create a hospitable niche in the absence of signals from primary tumors.

Senescent Osteoblasts Increase Osteoclastogenesis

To establish how senescent osteoblasts increase bone metastasis, we next isolated osteoblasts (OCN-positive cells) (Figure S2A) from untreated FASST mice and analyzed how senescence impacted their function. To induce senescence in vitro, we treated FASST osteoblasts ex vivo with tamoxifen. In contrast to TAM treatment of non-Cre-ERT2 expressing osteoblasts (Figure S2B) and transgenic osteoblasts treated with vehicle alone, tamoxifen treatment of transgenic osteoblasts induced an enlarged, flattened, senescence-like morphology and growth arrest (Figures 3A and S2C). As expected, growth arrest correlated with robust SA-β-Gal staining and a significant increase in the well-characterized SASP factor IL-6 in tamoxifen-treated FASST osteoblasts, relative to vehicle-treated osteoblasts (Figures 3A, S2D, and S2E). SASP is characterized by expression of a wide variety of inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and extracellular matrix remodeling enzymes. While each of these categories is expressed in cells undergoing senescence, the exact factors vary depending on the cell of origin and the tissue under scrutiny (Coppé et al., 2008; Kuilman et al., 2008; Rodier and Campisi, 2011; Rühland et al., 2015). Because cell-cycle inhibitors including p16IN4a and p21 do not induce SASP (Coppé et al., 2011), we wanted to ensure that p27Kip1-induced SASP. Analysis of our senescent osteoblasts revealed that in addition to IL-6, sFRP, and Notch3 were robustly induced (Figure S2E). Importantly, we found that bleomycin treatment, which can activate premature stress induced senescence (SIPS), also led to increased expression of IL-6, sFRP, and Notch3 (Figure S2F). Further, analysis of murine fibroblasts ectopically expressing p27Kip1 revealed that they too underwent robust senescence as evidenced by SA-β-Gal expression and expressed numerous inflammatory cytokines consistent with SASP described in other fibroblasts (unpublished data). Given the individual factors that contribute to the SASP is dependent on the cell of origin, our data indicated that p27Kip1 expression is sufficient to induce senescence and SASP expression in osteoblasts.

To determine how senescence affected osteoblast function, we first examined expression of several osteoblast markers that are indicative of osteogenic function. When grown in osteogenic medium (OM), senescent osteoblasts displayed reduced levels of ALP mRNA compared to non-senescent osteoblasts (Figure 3B). Furthermore, senescent osteoblasts displayed less ALP protein expression and alizarin red S staining than vehicle-treated osteoblasts (Figure 3C).
When we used a second conditional genetic mouse model in which senescence was induced by loss of the telomere-binding protein TRF2 (Denchi and de Lange, 2007), we observed the same phenotypes (Figures S3A–S3G). Together, these data indicate that senescent osteoblasts have significantly impaired mineralization capacity, regardless of how senescence is induced.

Increased osteoclastogenesis can contribute to tumor cell growth in the bone (Weilbaecher et al., 2011), and osteoblasts play an important role in regulating osteoclastogenesis (Long, 2012). Thus, we asked whether senescent osteoblasts increased osteoclastogenesis by co-culturing senescent or non-senescent osteoblasts with normal bone-marrow-derived macrophages. Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining revealed that non-senescent osteoblasts produced very few osteoclasts (less than five per field of view), while senescent osteoblasts stimulated a 4-fold increase in osteoclast formation (Figure 3D).

Senescent Osteoblasts Increase Tumor Cell Seeding and Metastatic Tumor Burden in the Bone

To determine if senescent osteoblasts altered the bone microenvironment, we carried out histomorphometric analysis and...
found that senescent osteoblasts in FASST mice significantly increased the surface area of the bone covered by osteoclasts compared to total bone surface area (OC S/BS) by nearly 2-fold when compared to littermate controls (Figures 4A and 4B). Despite this increase, we failed to detect systemic evidence of bone turnover (e.g., increases in serum CTX levels or morphological bone changes by µCT, data not shown), leading us to postulate that the mosaic appearance of senescent osteoblasts in FASST mice create localized changes in bone homeostasis. This disruption would take the form of SASP-driven increases in local osteoclastogenesis and subsequent release of bone-bound latent growth factors that are known to fuel tumor cell growth in the bone (Weilbaecher et al., 2011). Further, if these local changes were restricted to areas directly surrounding senescent osteoblasts, then they would be sufficient to create focal niches that would promote tumor cell colonization. If true, we would predict that osteoclastogenesis would be enhanced around senescent osteoblasts in FASST mice.

To test this, we asked whether osteoclasts preferentially localize to areas adjacent to senescent versus non-senescent osteoblasts. Bone sections from FASST mice were stained simultaneously with antibodies against GFP (green), OCN (red), and ELF97 TRAP (blue). Scale bar, 100 µm. (D) Definition of binning distances for computational spatial analyses that were overlaid on the image presented in (C). The lines depict (1) cyan line outlines the osteoclast; (2) thick line = 200 units of distance (pixels); and (3) dashed line = 100 units of distance (pixels). Scale bar, 100 µm. (E) Computational spatial analysis of bone sections from FASST mice show increased osteoclastogenesis within 50 units of senescent osteoblasts (within the cyan line in D). Statistical analysis of the frequency of senescent versus non-senescent osteoblasts encountering an osteoclast. Data demonstrate a higher association of osteoclasts is observed around senescent osteoblasts and this associated falls off with distance (n = 3, SEM, *p < 0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

(F) Distribution of osteoclasts “within” 50 units is shown from data in (E). As we noted in Figure 1, the distribution of osteoclasts increasing near senescent osteoblasts corresponds with the variable activation of senescence noted in FASST mice (n = 3, SEM, *p < 0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test).
the border of the osteoclast, Figure 4F), indicating the increased presence of osteoclasts around GFP-positive senescent osteoblasts in vivo.

Next, we wondered whether senescent osteoblasts would also create a favorable niche for tumor cell seeding, thereby explaining the increased tumor growth observed in the bones of FASST mice. To directly test whether tumor cells traffic to regions surrounding senescent osteoblasts (observed in Figure 4C), we injected NT2.5luc cells into FASST mice and sacrificed mice 3 days later, a time point long enough to permit localization to distant tissue sites but before overt tumors are observable. To identify NT2.5luc tumor cells, we stained bone sections of FASST mice with an antibody against EpCAM, a specific marker of epithelial cells not found in the normal bone and, as before, used an antibody against GFP to identify senescent osteoblasts (Figures 5A and S4A). We then determined whether EpCAM-positive tumor cells localized near senescent, GFP-positive osteoblasts more frequently than chance would predict. To establish the degree of tumor cell localization, we utilized a similar computational approach as described above, and the data are presented as normalized to the chance that there is association (greater than one) or repulsion (less than one). These analyses revealed that tumor cells localized around senescent osteoblasts (Figures 5B, 5C, S4A, and S5) significantly more often than would be predicted by chance. Further, this association was robust because we found that, when we excluded the trabecular bone regions from our analysis, an area where tumor cells cannot localize too, it did not impact our findings (Figure S4B). Thus, our data suggest that senescent osteoblasts locally increase tumor cell seeding and/or outgrowth.
While the mechanisms that drive cancer cells to the bone remain poorly understood, it is known that osteoblast-derived CXCL12 can attract tumor cells (Taichman et al., 2002). Analyses of senescent and non-senescent osteoblasts failed to show a difference in CXCL12 expression (data not shown), suggesting that other senescent-derived factors were responsible for the increased tumor cell seeding and growth in the bone. Our model suggests that the stochastic appearance of senescent osteoblasts in the bones of FASST mice drive local increases in osteoclastogenesis, which creates a rich pro-metastatic milieu that facilitates tumor cell seeding and/or outgrowth. Thus, we hypothesized that senescence-induced osteoclastogenesis is a main driver of tumor seeding. Because we failed to observe changes in the osteoclastogenic factors, RANKL and M-CSF (data not shown), we turned our attention to the SASP factor IL-6, which can increase osteoclast differentiation (Udagawa et al., 1995), plays an important role in metastasis (Tawara et al., 2011), and is highly upregulated in senescent osteoblasts (Figures 1D, 3A, and S3S). Indeed, many cancer cells express IL-6, while others stimulate surrounding stromal cells to secrete high levels of IL-6 that drive increases in osteoclastogenesis and tumor cell adaptation and growth in the bone (Tawara et al., 2011 and references therein).

**Senescent-Derived IL-6 Drives Osteoclastogenesis and Tumor Cell Growth in the Bone**

To determine if senescence-derived IL-6 was responsible for the increased osteoclastogenesis observed in FASST mice, we utilized an IL-6-neutralizing antibody. Because IL-6 is required to maintain the senescent phenotype in some settings, we first confirmed that IL-6 neutralization had no impact on the maintenance of senescence or expression of SASP factors in senescent osteoblasts (data not shown and Figures S6A–S6D). Next, we carried out an ex vivo osteoclastogenesis assay. As expected, the presence of senescent osteoblasts significantly increased the number and size of osteoclasts; however, this increase was completely abrogated in the presence of an IL-6-neutralizing antibody (Figure 6A). This finding indicated that increased osteoclastogenesis is driven by high levels of senescent-derived IL-6.

Given our in vitro findings, we next asked if neutralization of senescent-derived IL-6 reduced tumor cell colonization of the bone in FASST mice. FASST or littermate mice were treated with control immunoglobulin G (IgG) or anti-IL-6 IgG, and NT2.5luc tumor cell growth in the bone was assessed following IC injection. As expected, control IgG recapitulated the increased bone colonization and tumor cell outgrowth observed in FASST mice compared to littermate controls. In contrast, treatment with anti-IL-6 led to a striking reduction in tumor burden in the bones of FASST mice that otherwise exhibited significantly higher tumor burden than littermate controls. Given the importance of the osteoblastic niche and putative role of IL-6 in bone metastasis, it was not surprising that we also noted that IL-6 neutralization reduced tumor cell growth in control animals (reduced by 7.3-fold in control and 29.9-fold in FASST mice, p < 0.05, Figure 6B). This finding combined with the tumor cell seeding data (Figure 5) bolsters our hypothesis that senescent osteoblasts increase the amount of productive seeding area within the bone. In fact, control mice clearly develop tumors within the bone, indicating that they are proficient in supporting tumor cell seeding and/or outgrowth. Thus, our data suggest that the activation of senescent osteoblasts in the FASST mice increases the regions within the bone that are capable of supporting tumor cell seeding and growth.

The significant loss in tumor growth within the bone observed in FASST mice following IL-6 antibody treatment raised the important question of how neutralization of IL-6 led to reduced tumor cell growth. IL-6 is mitogenic in many settings (Schafer and Brugge, 2007 and references therein), and thus senescence-associated IL-6 could directly stimulate the growth of tumor cells. This raised the possibility that IL-6 neutralization directly impacted tumor cell growth in our model. To test this possibility, conditioned medium was isolated from non-senescent and senescent osteoblasts and was added to cultures of NT2.5luc cells. As expected, senescent osteoblast-conditioned medium stimulated the growth of NT2.5luc breast cancer cells 40-fold over the growth observed in the presence of conditioned medium obtained from non-senescent osteoblasts (Figure 6C). We next asked whether this increased growth was due to IL-6 by culturing NT2.5luc cells in senescent osteoblast-conditioned medium with an IL-6-neutralizing antibody. We found that neutralization of IL-6 had no impact on the increased growth of NT2.5luc cells observed in the presence of senescent-conditioned medium, indicating that the stimulation of NT2.5luc cell growth by senescent-conditioned medium occurs independently of IL-6 (Figure 6C). Thus, while senescent osteoblasts can directly stimulate tumor cell growth, possibly adding to the observed eventual increased tumor burden, IL-6 does not directly contribute to this increased growth.

Because we failed to show that senescence-derived IL-6 directly stimulated tumor cell growth, we postulated that instead it played a critical role in senescence-driven increases in local osteoclastogenesis that promoted tumor cell seeding. Thus, we next asked if IL-6 neutralization limited the increased osteoclastogenesis we observed near senescent versus non-senescent osteoblasts. To address this possibility, littermate or FASST mice were treated with control IgG or a neutralizing IL-6 antibody prior to the activation of senescence. As expected, treatment with anti-IL-6 led to a significant reduction in osteoclast surface area to bone surface area in FASST mice (Figures 6D and S6E). In contrast, we did not observe a similar decrease in osteoclast surface area to bone surface when littermate control mice were treated with neutralizing IL-6 antibody (Figures 6D and S6E). Given this reduction, we next asked whether osteoclasts directly near senescent osteoblasts were reduced. As in our previous analyses (Figures 4C–4E), bone sections were stained simultaneously to identify senescent (GFP+, OCN+) and non-senescent (GFP−, OCN−) osteoblasts and TRAP+ osteoclasts.

By utilizing the same statistical analyses for spatial processes as we did in Figures 4C–4E, we found that osteoclasts localized near osteoblasts more frequently than chance would predict in control IgG-treated animals (Figures 6E, upper panel). Further, this association was significantly (p < 0.0117) increased near senescent versus non-senescent osteoblasts. However, when mice were treated with anti-IL-6, this increased association was completely abrogated (p = 1.0) (Figure 6E, lower panel),...
demonstrating that IL-6 plays a central role in the increased osteoclastogenesis observed in our model.

**DISCUSSION**

The leading cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality is metastasis and many solid tumor types metastasize to the bone. Indeed, nearly 80% of advanced breast cancer patients develop bone metastases (Coleman and Rubens, 1987). Growing evidence suggests that systemic effects emanating from the primary tumor prepare the metastatic niche for tumor cell arrival and survival (Psaila and Lyden, 2009; Sceney et al., 2013). While these studies strongly support a role for the primary tumor in contributing to the development of a metastatic niche, there has been little investigation into whether local changes within the bone, independent of the actions of the primary tumor, contribute to creating a supportive niche. Our studies show that in the absence of signals emanating from a primary tumor, alterations within the bone niche are sufficient to drive seeding and growth of disseminated tumor cells.

One strength of our model is the mosaic nature of the induction of senescence, which mirrors that observed in human tissues.
including the skin (Dimri et al., 1995). Also, our ability to induce the phenotype in young mice allowed us to alter the bone environment and increase tumor cell seeding within the bone and metastatic outgrowth. It is important to note that p27Kip1 activation, while sufficient to induce senescence (Alexander and Hinds, 2001), has not been implicated in the activation of senescence in humans. In our hands, p27Kip1 functions as a model that potently activates the SASP and thus has allowed us to test the role senescent cells and the associated SASP plays in metastatic seeding and outgrowth in the bone. Using this model, we show that tumor burdens vary in FASST mice, and this parallels the variable penetrance of senescence (GFP+ cells) activation we observe in the model. While we are unable to assess the degree of GFP activation at endpoint in our mice, our tumor cell seeding data indicate that tumor cells initially localize to areas where GF, senescent osteoblasts are present. Together these data support our hypothesis that it is the variable activation of senescence within individual bones that results in the varied tumor penetrance.

Our data further define the mechanism that drives the increased tumor cell seeding in our model. Indeed, we show that senescent-derived IL-6 drives increases in osteoclasts, and we postulate that these create active, fertile regions for disseminated tumor cells to establish metastatic outgrowths. Thus, IL-6 neutralization in our FASST mice leads to a drastic reduction in the increased osteoclast surface area to bone surface area (OC: S/BS) and reduced metastatic tumor burden in the bones. Of note, we see a similar reduction in tumors in littermate mice raising the possibility that IL-6 may function as an important metastatic driver but may not be senescence specific. Because disseminated tumor cells do seed into the bones of littermate mice, albeit at reduced levels compared to FASST mice and both are blocked by IL-6 neutralization, we postulate that the appearance of senescent osteoblasts plays a critical role in metastasis by increasing the productive and fertile seeding areas available to the tumor cells within the bone. Taken together, these data imply that in our mouse, we have increased the available fertile seeding areas within the bone by activating senescence. Thus, it may be in patients that the stochastic nature of stromal-derived alterations increases the probability of a successful seeding event within the bone.

Our findings have significant implications for how and when we think about treating patients with early versus late stage disease. Indeed, our model recapitulates the mosaic appearance of senescent cells found in human tissues (Dimri et al., 1995). This, together with our data demonstrating that the stroma is sufficient to instigate changes that favor tumor cell seeding and growth, forces us to think about targeting the stromal compartment of early-stage patients. Current clinical data indicate that breast cancer cells are present in the circulation as circulating tumor cells (CTC) and the bone as disseminated tumor cells (DTC) in many early-stage patients and that the presence of these cells predicts poor outcome (Hall et al., 2012; Lucci et al., 2012). Because patients with early-stage disease have primary lesions that may be too small to elicit systemic effects, the existence of stromal-initiated changes within the bone could support tumor CTC and/or DTC cell seeding, survival, and/or growth in the bone. Accordingly, if senescent stromal cells within the bone increase the number of cancer cells that successfully seed, it is likely that their presence will negatively impact patient outcome. Thus, this work highlights the need to understand how stromal-initiated changes alter the bone niche so that these changes can be targeted in cancer patients.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice Husbandry and Genotyping**

All mice were housed in accordance with Washington University in St. Louis's Animal Studies Committee. Compound mice contained the pro-alpha 2(I) collagen gene (Col-Cre-ERT2 mice) (Zheng et al., 2002) and ROSA26 locus (ROSAlox-stop-lox-p27<sup>Cre<sup>+) (Lavine et al., 2008). Primer sequences can be found in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Transgene Activation and Intracardiac Injection**

Four-week-old FASST female mice and control littermates were given tamoxifen (5 mg/10 g body weight) intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration twice and an additional dose of tamoxifen at 6 weeks of age. The additional tamoxifen increased the presence of GFP-positive osteoblasts (figures S1D–S1F). 10,000 cells/50 μl PBS NT2.5 cells expressing firefly luciferase (NT2.5Luc) were injected directly into the left cardiac ventricle. Bioluminescence imaging on legs was performed ex vivo 4 weeks later on an IVIS100 or IVIS Lumina (PerkinElmer; Living Image 3.2, 1- to 60-s exposures, binning 4, 8, or 16, FOV 15 cm, f/stop1, open filter) following IP injection of D-luciferin (150 mg/kg; Bio-synth). For analysis, total photon flux (photons/s) was measured from a fixed region of interest using Living Image 2.6.

**Bone Histomorphology and Tartrate-Resistant Acidic Phosphatase Staining**

The tartrate-resistant acidic phosphatase (TRAP) staining was performed on femur or tibia bones using BioQuant software. Details of areas of interest can be found in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Immunofluorescence Staining**

Bone histomorphology slides were stained for tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) immunohistochemistry as described previously (Secco et al., 2009). Triple-staining with antibodies against GFP and OCN.

**Luciferase Labeling of NT2.5 Cells and Culture Conditions**

Mouse mammary tumor cell line NT2.5 was transduced with a FUW-firefly luciferase-EgFP (FUW-FFLuc-eGFP) lentiviral construct creating NT2.5Luc
tcells. To establish the impact of conditioned medium on NT2.5Luc cell growth, conditioned medium was collected from senescent or nongenescent FASST osteoblasts, and NT2.5Luc cell growth was monitored by luciferase activity. For IL-6 neutralization experiments, NT2.5Luc cells were cultured in the conditioned medium from either senescent or nongenescent osteoblasts plus 10 pg/ml IL-6 neutralization antibody (catalog no. 554400, BD Biosciences) or IgG control (catalog no. 40041B, BioLegend). On day 3, NT2.5Luc cell growth was assessed by monitoring luciferase activity.

**IL-6 Neutralization in Mice**

One day prior to tamoxifen injection FASST or littermate control mice received either rat anti-murine IL-6 antibody (500 μg/mouse, BioXCell) or rat anti-murine...
Osteoblast Differentiation Assays and SASP expression was analyzed by RT-PCR. Body (catalog no. 554400, BD Biosciences) or IgG control (catalog no. 40041B, BioLegend) was added to the medium. The antibody was replenished every other day, and mature osteoclasts formation was detected by TRAP staining on day 4.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad prism. Numerical data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Mouse analyses were performed by Student’s t test or Mann-Whitney test as indicated in the figure legends. Description of statistical analyses used in bone analysis of osteoclastogenesis and tumor localization can be found in the description of the computational approach in Experimental Procedures.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and six figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.016.
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