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The *Drosophila* Prosecretory Transcription Factor *dimmed* Is Dynamically Regulated in Adult Enteroendocrine Cells and Protects Against Gram-Negative Infection
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**ABSTRACT** The endocrine system employs peptide hormone signals to translate environmental changes into physiological responses. The diffuse endocrine system embedded in the gastrointestinal barrier epithelium is one of the largest and most diverse endocrine tissues. Furthermore, it is the only endocrine tissue in direct physical contact with the microbial environment of the gut lumen. However, it remains unclear how this sensory epithelium responds to specific pathogenic challenges in a dynamic and regulated manner. We demonstrate that the enteroendocrine cells of the adult *Drosophila melanogaster* midgut display a transient, sensitive, and systemic induction of the prosecretory factor *dimmed* (*dimm*) in response to the Gram-negative pathogen *Pseudomonas entomophila* (*Pe*). In enteroendocrine cells, *dimm* controls the levels of the targets *Phm*, *dcat-4*, and the peptide hormone, Allatostatin A. Finally, we identify *dimm* as a host factor that protects against *Pe* infection and controls the expression of antimicrobial peptides. We propose that *dimm* provides “gain” in enteroendocrine output during the adaptive response to episodic pathogen exposure.
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The endocrine system mediates long-range peptide hormone signaling to broadcast environmental changes to target tissues via the circulatory system. Endocrine cells must therefore function as biological sensors that detect physiochemical stimuli and translate them into changes in peptide and amine signals. The diffuse enteroendocrine system of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is notable for both its size and the diversity of its secretory products (Ahlman and Nilsson 2001; Rehfeld 2004). Embedded within the barrier epithelium of the GI tract, enteroendocrine cells are situated uniquely with respect to the complex microbial communities of the gut lumen. Secreted enteroendocrine peptide hormones regulate local processes such as peristalsis and intestinal secretion, as well as long-range effects on metabolism, immune response, and the nervous system (Nässel and Winther 2010; Helander and Fandriks 2012). Thus, enteroendocrine cells coordinate essential aspects of physiological homeostasis at the barrier epithelium.

Studies in mammals have demonstrated the ability of enteroendocrine cells to respond to bacterial challenge with secretion of peptides and changes in gene expression (reviewed in Furness et al. 2013). However, these studies were largely performed in vitro and focused on isolated enteroendocrine cell types. Precisely how the diffuse endocrine system responds to episodic challenge under physiological conditions and the molecular mechanisms that coordinate this adaptive endocrine response remain unknown.

The adult *Drosophila* gut is a useful model to investigate the function and regulation of the diffuse endocrine system. The population of endocrine cells can be readily detected along the entire anterior-posterior axis of the GI tract by the pan-enteroendocrine marker Prospero (Micchelli and Perrimon 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling 2006). The *Drosophila* midgut, like its mammalian counterpart, also expresses a diverse array of secretory peptide hormones that exhibit regional and local diversity along the GI tract (Veenstra 2009; Veenstra and Ida 2014; Beehler-Evans and Micchelli 2015). Many of these peptides are functionally conserved across species (Nässel and Winther 2010; Veenstra 2011). Importantly, the effects of
microbes on the gut epithelium can also be studied in Drosophila. For example, Pseudomonas entomophila (Pe), a pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria isolated from the GI tract of wild Drosophila, is a potent stimulus promoting stem cell mediated regeneration of the adult midgut epithelium (Vodovar et al. 2005; Buchon et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2009; Strand and Michelli 2011). Although Pe has been shown to induce gene expression changes in some epithelial cell types, a response of the enteroendocrine cell population has not yet been described.

**dimm** encodes a NeuroD-related basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor that is expressed in a subpopulation of cells in the central and peripheral nervous system during development (Hewes et al. 2003). In **dimm** mutants, the levels of secretory neuropeptides in the central nervous system are diminished. Misexpression studies show that Dimm functions as a “master” regulator capable of conferring two essential properties of the regulated secretory pathway to cells that do not ordinarily display them; the ability to produce large dense core vesicles that store peptides and the enzymatic machinery necessary to posttranslationally process pro-forms into biologically active signals (Hamanaka et al. 2010). Consistent with this phenotype, genome-wide characterization of Dimm binding sites has led to the identification of a number of potential mediators of this process (Hadzic et al. 2015).

Here, we examine the adult Drosophila diffuse endocrine system and characterize the regulation and function of the prosecretory transcription factor **dimm** in response to pathogenic bacteria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fly stocks and culturing**
The following stocks were used: Wild-type: w^1118^ and Canton-S; w; pros-LacZ, ry / TM3 ry. Sb; Ser; w, UAS-dcr-2; NPFGal4 / CyO; tubGal80^TS^, UAS-GFP / TM6C; w; esgGal4, UAS-GFP, tubGal80^TS^ (esg^TS^); w; UAS-dcr-2; tubGal4 / CyO; UAS-GFP, tubGal80^TS^ / TM6C (tub^TS^); w, UAS-rpr, UAS-hid; y; P[EPgy2]^dimm^ EY14636 (BL21432); y, w; **dimm**^Rev4^ / CyO (Rev4, see Hewes et al. 2003); y, v, P[TRIP1F02903^attP2^ (BL26976, designated "**dimm**^RevAp^"), P[KK112513] VIE-260B (BL269104028, designated "Phm^RNAi^"). For additional information, see FlyBase (http://flybase.org).

Wild-type flies of the genotype w^1118^ / Canton-S were analyzed in all wild-type data with the exception of Figure 1H, where w^1118^ and Canton-S were analyzed individually. The strong hypomorphic genotype **dimm**^Rev4^ / **dimm**^RevAp^ was analyzed in all **dimm** loss of function experiments.

Mated female flies were analyzed in all experiments. Females were collected the day of eclosion and aged 5 to 7 days before mock or Pe treatment. Females were 3 days of age in Supporting Information, Figure S1, C—E, where no mock or Pe treatments were administered. Flies were maintained on standard food media [i.e., regular food (RF); Lee and Michelli 2013] and supplemented with yeast paste every 2—3 days in all RF conditions. Flies were cultured at 25°C and transferred to 29°C at the time of mock or Pe treatment and time points thereafter.

**Antisera**

**Primary antibodies:** Primary antibodies included mouse anti-Allatostatin A (AstA) (1:20, DSHB); guinea pig anti-dCat-4 (CG13248) (1:500), (Park et al. 2011); guinea pig anti-Dimm (1:250), (Allan et al. 2005); chicken anti-GFP (1:10,000, Abcam); rabbit anti-Phm (1:750), (Kolhekar et al. 1997); mouse anti-Pro (1:100, DSHB); mouse anti-βGal (1:100, DSHB); and rabbit anti-βGal (1:2,000, Cappel).

**Secondary antibodies:** Secondary antibodies were used goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488 (1:2,000; Molecular Probes); goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 (1:2,000; Molecular Probes); goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 (1:2,000; Molecular Probes); and goat anti-guinea pig Alexa Fluor 568 (1:2,000; Molecular Probes).

**Histology**

Isolation and whole-mount immunostaining of adult midguts was performed as previously described in detail (Miccilli 2014). In summary, adult female flies were dissected in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Sigma-Aldrich). The midgut was removed and fixed in 0.5× PBS, 4% electron microscopy-grade formaldehyde (Polysciences) overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed in 1× PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST) for a minimum of 2 hr, and then incubated in primary antisera overnight. Samples were subsequently washed for 2 hr in PBST, incubated in secondary antisera for 3 hr, and washed a minimum of 2 hr in PBST. DAPI containing Vectashield mounting media (Vector Laboratories) was used for mounting.

**Bacterial infection**

Flies were infected **ad libitum** with Pseudomonas entomophila (Pe). Infected flies were fed on Tegosept-free food supplemented with 0.5 mL of Pe. Pe bacterial culture was concentrated by centrifugation and resuspended in 5% sucrose. OD_600 was measured and the concentrated Pe was subsequently diluted to the designated experimental OD. Mock-infected flies were placed on Tegosept-free food supplemented with 0.5 mL of 5% sucrose. Flies were shifted to and maintained at 29°C throughout the course of the experiment after mock or Pe treatment. Exposure to mock or Pe food was 24 hr. Flies were returned to RF after treatment. The number of Pe colony-forming units per 0.5 mL of applied volume was estimated by counting the colony-forming units from a serial dilution of OD 20 resuspended Pe culture.

In lethality assays, adult female flies were collected in the first 24 hr of eclosion and aged 5—7 days. Flies were cultured at 25°C at the ratio of 3 males + 20 females per vial. Survival of males was not included in the analysis. Flies were transferred to mock or Pe laced food of the designated OD and cultured at 29°C. Flies were transferred to RF supplemented with yeast paste after 24 hr of Pe exposure. Lethality was assayed daily for 8 days.

**Imaging acquisition and quantification**

Confocal images were collected using a Leica TCS SP5 microscope. Photoshop CS (Adobe) and ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) were used to process images for brightness and contrast. To analyze the percent of Dimm+ midguts, samples were classified as positive when they displayed >2 anti-Dimm+ cells in the superficial side of the entire anterior-posterior length. Dimm+, Pros+ values were obtained by analyzing projected maximum micrographs collected at 40× magnification along the entire anterior-posterior axis of each gut analyzed. Regional boundaries were defined by morphologic constrictions present in the medial portion. ImageJ software was used to measure mean intensity values. Specifically, using ImageJ, we defined particle areas using the anti-Pro channel and then measured mean intensity of the anti-Dimm channel in that area. Dimm levels were normalized for each micrograph. Levels were normalized using the following equation (R - B) / B, where R = mean intensity in the particle area and B = mean intensity of a midgut region outside particle areas. A similar method was used to collect AstA levels (Figure 4P), with the exception that particle areas were defined manually by tracing the AstA+ cytoplasmic area.
**Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis**

Tissue was collected from whole bodies (n = 10 females per treatment per trial) and midguts (n = 20 females per treatment per trial) and was collected and analyzed from two to three infection trials. Head, leg, and wing tissue was excluded from whole body collection. Crop and malpighian tubule tissue was excluded from midgut collection. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. On-column DNase digestion with RNase-Free DNase set (QIAGEN) was performed to remove genomic DNA contamination. The quality and quantity of RNA were assessed using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). cDNA was subsequently treated with RNase H (New England Biolabs) for 30 min at 37°C. qPCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus System and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The 2^(-ΔΔCt) method was used to analyze fold change between mock and Pe treated samples (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

RpL32 was used as a calibrator and verified in our experiments as a reliable standard unaffected by Pe treatment (Figure S3).

**Primer sequences**

Primer sequences are listed in Table S1. The dimm primers generated in this study were designed with the consideration that they span an exon–exon junction and were validated by serial dilution of cDNA and tested for melt curve specificity.

**Statistical analyses and sample sizes**

Graphs and statistical analyses were generated using Prism GraphPad Software. Samples sizes below are listed as n = number of trials, number of samples analyzed in total per treatment.

**For survival analysis:** Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was performed. Figure 1G and Table S2: n = 4 trials, 80 females. Figure 5A and Table S3: n = 4 trials, 80 females.

**For percent expression analysis:** One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons. Percent Dimm+ midguts and Dimm+ cells (Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3): n = 3–4 trials, 18–24 midguts. Percent Phm+ cells (Figure 4E): n = 3 trials, 18 midguts. Percent dCat-4+ cells (Figure 4J): n = 2 trials, 12 midguts. Percent AstA+ cells (Figure 4O): n = 3 trials, 18 midguts.

**For fluorescence intensity:** Dimm (Figure 2B and Figure 3B): n = 1 trial, 3–4 midguts, 35–345 enteroendocrine cells. AstA (Figure 4P): n = 1 trial, 3–11 enteroendocrine cells.

Dimm after regular food (RF) (C, C’) or treatment with Pe (D, D’), (DAPI, blue; anti-Dimm, red; anti-Tsg, green). Inset: representative cell from a Pe exposed midgut expressing npf > GFP (anti-Dimm, red; anti-GFP, green). (E, F’) Confocal micrographs of adult midguts temperature shifted to drive expression of GFP (E, E’) or the proapoptotic genes rpr and hid (F, F’) in esgTS expressing progenitor cells and subsequently exposed to Pe (DAPI, blue; anti-Dimm, red; anti-GFP, green; anti-Dimm, white). Temperature shift to drive transgene expression was performed 3 d before exposure to Pe. RF controls are displayed in Figure S2. Scale bars: 10 μm (A), 50 μm (B, E), and 5 μm (D, inset). (G) Survival of wild-type females after a 24-hr exposure to a range of Pe doses (n = 4 trials, 80 females). (H) Quantification of the percentage of Dimm+ midguts per infection trial, comparing control genotypes and dimm mutants, Pe OD 5, 24 hr. Lines indicate mean values ± SEM.
Pros+ staining is readily detected in individual endocrine cells, as are the diffuse endocrine system along the length of the adult midgut. Enteroendocrine marker Prospero (Pros) was used to visualize cells of a variety of peptide hormones (Nassel and Winther 2010). The pan-factor and enteroendocrine cells are secretory cells known to express Dimm protein, because Dimm induces Dimm in response to challenge exposure. We hypothesized that cells of the diffuse endocrine system would be capable of robust Dimm induction in Pros+ cells (Figure 1, D and D”). Upon induction, Dimm colocalized with nuclear DAPI, consistent with its characterized role as a transcription factor (Hewes et al. 2003; Park et al. 2011; Hadzic et al. 2015) and with markers of specific enteroendocrine cell subtypes (Figure 1D” inset). When two different wild-type genotypes were used, Dimm induction was highly reproducible across independent trials (Figure 1H). Furthermore, adult midguts from dimm mutants did not display Dimm+ staining after exposure to Pe, despite the presence and normal density of Pros+ cells (Figure 1H and Figure S1). These results indicate that the immunoreactivity observed in wild-type enteroendocrine cells after bacterial challenge reflects changes in Dimm protein.

**RESULTS**

**Mature enteroendocrine cells induce Dimm in response to Pe infection**

We hypothesized that cells of the diffuse endocrine system would stain positively for Dimm protein, because dimm is a prossecretory factor and enteroendocrine cells are secretory cells known to express a variety of peptide hormones (Nassel and Winther 2010). The pan-enteroendocrine marker Prospero (Pros) was used to visualize cells of the diffuse endocrine system along the length of the adult midgut. Pros+ staining is readily detected in individual endocrine cells, as are secretory neuropeptides, such as AstA, which is expressed in class I enteroendocrine cells of the posterior midgut (Figure 1, A and B”) (Beehler-Evans and Mitchelli 2015). To test whether Dimm is normally present in wild-type adult enteroendocrine cells under baseline conditions, we examined the colocalization of anti-Dimm in Pros+ cells. Yet, Dimm protein was not detected in any cells of the adult midgut under our experimental conditions (Figure 1, C and C’), despite the fact that identical antiserum has been used to detect Dimm in other tissues (Hewes et al. 2003; Park et al. 2008).

We next examined Dimm protein distribution in the gut after exposure to the Gram-negative bacteria Pe. We first measured the survival of wild-type flies after exposure to Pe ad libitum at different culture densities (Figure 1G). We selected an intermediate value of OD 5 as the experimental exposure, because it was associated with high survival of wild-type flies during the first 48 hr after exposure. We estimate that OD 5 contained 2.3 x 10^6 cells applied per vial (Table S4). In contrast to mock-treated controls, exposure to Pe at OD 5 was sufficient to induce anti-Dimm staining in Pros+ cells (Figure 1, D and D”). Upon induction, Dimm colocalized with nuclear DAPI, consistent with its characterized role as a transcription factor (Hewes et al. 2003; Park et al. 2011; Hadzic et al. 2015) and with markers of specific enteroendocrine cell subtypes (Figure 1D” inset). When two different wild-type genotypes were used, Dimm induction was highly reproducible across independent trials (Figure 1H). Furthermore, adult midguts from dimm mutants did not display Dimm+ staining after exposure to Pe, despite the presence and normal density of Pros+ cells (Figure 1H and Figure S1). These results indicate that the immunoreactivity observed in wild-type enteroendocrine cells after bacterial challenge reflects changes in Dimm protein.

Exposure to Pe can lead to rapid production of new epithelial cells in the gut through a stem cell-mediated regenerative response (Jiang et al. 2009). It is therefore possible that the increase in Dimm signal reflects a part of the differentiation program of newly generated enteroendocrine cells. Alternatively, Dimm induction after Pe exposure could occur homeostatically in extant endocrine cells. To distinguish these possibilities, we examined Dimm immunostaining in the context of an adult midgut epithelium depleted of escargot (esg) expressing progenitor cells. We performed a conditional genetic ablation by initiating transgene expression of proapoptotic genes in adult progenitor cells (UAS-rpr, UAS-hid; esgGal4, UAS-GFP, tubGal80TS). Ablation was confirmed by the absence of GFP+ progenitor cells and this manipulation alone was not associated with accumulation of Dimm (Figure S2). After exposure to Pe, midguts depleted of esg+ cells were capable of robust Dimm induction in Pros+ cells (Figure 1, E–F’). Taken together, we conclude that Dimm protein is normally low under baseline conditions, and is induced in mature enteroendocrine cells following exposure to Pe.
Dimm induction in the diffuse endocrine system is transient and systemic

To further characterize Dimm induction in enteroendocrine cells, we performed a time course analysis ranging from 0 to 48 hr following initial Pe exposure. Four parameters were measured: (1) the percentage of individuals within an experimental group that displayed Dimm staining (percent Dimm+ midguts); (2) the percentage of Dimm+ endocrine cells per midgut (percent Dimm+, Pros+); (3) the anti-Dimm fluorescence intensity of individual enteroendocrine cells within a midgut; and (4) the fold induction of dimm mRNA measured by qPCR (Figure 2). Individuals displayed detectable Dimm+ cells between 3 and 24 hr after initial Pe exposure, with the percentage of positive midguts highest at 12 and 24 hr (Figure 2A). Dimm+ cells were not detectable in midguts from the 48-hr time point. Analysis of the percentage of Dimm+, Pros+ cells within midguts revealed that were not detectable in midguts from the 48-hr time point. Analysis of between 3 and 24 hr after initial exposure highest at 12 and 24 hr (Figure 2A). Dimm+ cells were consistent with percent cell induction and showed induction. Fluorescence intensity of anti-Dimm staining in individual middle midgut were most consistently bright and of high percent trend that the posterior midgut displayed lower percent Dimm+ values (Figure S1). Taken together, we conclude that Dimm induction in enteroendocrine cells is sensitive to low doses of Pe

We next asked whether Dimm induction varies with Pe dose. We exposed flies to Pe ranging from OD 0.001 to OD 10 and quantified the same set of parameters described previously (Figure 3). Although Pe doses of OD 0.001 and OD 1) were associated with very low lethality in wild-type flies (Table S2), these doses were nevertheless sufficient to induce Dimm+ midguts at comparable frequency to OD 10 (Figure 3A). Similarly, Pe dose did not affect the percentage of Dimm+ endocrine cells assayed in different regions of the midgut (Figure 3, D–D’). Thus, the percentage of Dimm+ enteroendocrine cells can reach high values at sublethal doses of Pe. Fluorescence intensity of anti-Dimm staining in individual Pros+ cells also reached high values at the relatively low dose of OD 0.001 (Figure 3B). Finally, examination of dimm mRNA levels by qPCR revealed that increasing Pe dose correlated with increased fold change in transcript (Figure 3C). Two transcripts previously characterized to be responsive to Pe infection, unpairs 3 (upd3) and Diptericin (Dpt) (Vodovar et al. 2005; Jiang et al. 2009), showed a similar trend, suggesting that dose dependent transcriptional induction may be a general aspect of the response to Pe (Figure S3). Taken together, we conclude that independent measures of Dimm protein induction are sensitive to low doses of Pe, and that dimm transcript induction is dose dependent.

Enteroendocrine cells express Dimm target genes in a dimm-dependent manner

The biosynthetic enzyme peptidylglycine alpha-monooxygenase (Phm), and decat-4, which encodes a putative amino acid transporter, are direct transcriptional targets of Dimm in the embryonic central nervous system (Park et al. 2011). We therefore examined these targets in the diffuse endocrine system by immunostaining (Figure 4). After mock treatment, wild-type flies have detectable Phm in Pros+ cells despite a lack of Dimm staining in mock-treated flies (Figure 4A). Phm was also detectable in wild-type endocrine cells after Pe exposure (Figure 4B). However, Phm was not detectable in enteroendocrine cells of dimm mutants under mock- or Pe-infected conditions, despite the presence of Pros+ cells in mutant midguts (Figure 4, C and D and Figure S1, see the Materials and Methods section for description of genotype used). To test whether Phm...
is increased in midguts exposed to Pe, we quantified the percentage of Phm\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells after mock and Pe treatment. The percentage of Phm\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells was not significantly changed in response to Pe exposure (Figure 4E). We conclude that dimm is necessary for detection of Phm protein in adult midgut endocrine cells, and that Phm is detectable at similar levels in endocrine cells independent of Pe exposure.

Analysis of dCat-4 revealed detectable dCat-4 in a portion of wild-type Pros\(^+\) cells after mock and Pe treatment (Figure 4, F and G). In contrast to Phm, the percentage of dCat-4\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells was significantly increased as an effect of Pe (Figure 4J). dimm mutants produced detectable levels of dCat-4 protein; however, the percentage of dCat-4\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells did not increase as significantly as an effect of Pe in the absence of dimm (Figure 4, H, I, and J). We conclude that dCat-4 is a regulated target during the midgut response to Pe, and that dimm is not absolutely necessary for dCat-4 expression, but is necessary for dCat-4 induction in response to Pe. Taken together, we conclude that two previously identified direct transcriptional targets also show dimm dependent expression and induction in midgut endocrine cells.

**dimm is required for increased levels of AstA hormone after Pe infection**

We hypothesized that Dimm induction in enteroendocrine cells could help support changes in peptide hormone levels after Pe. To test this possibility, we examined the protein AstA after mock and Pe treatment. AstA was detectable in both wild-type and dimm mutants under mock conditions (Figure 4, K and M). AstA also was detectable in both genotypes after Pe exposure (Figure 4, L and N). We noted a visual increase in the intensity of AstA staining in samples exposed to Pe, and therefore quantified the percentage of AstA\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells, as well as the fluorescence intensity of AstA antibody staining in individual cells (Figure 4, O and P). We observed that wild-type midguts significantly increased the percentage and levels of AstA after exposure to Pe. dimm mutants displayed a similar trend of AstA induction in response to Pe, however both the percentage of AstA\(^+\), Pros\(^+\) cells and the fluorescence intensity of individual enteroendocrine cells were less pronounced than that of wild-type flies. We conclude that AstA is a regulated peptide during the midgut response to Pe and that dimm is not absolutely necessary for AstA expression, but is necessary for normal AstA induction in response to Pe exposure. We note that in contrast to Phm and dcat-4, profiling studies in other tissues have not identified peptide hormones as direct targets (Park et al. 2011; Hadzic et al. 2015). Therefore, it remains unclear whether AstA is a direct transcriptional target in the gut or whether this regulation is indirect.

**dimm is a host factor that protects Drosophila against Pe infection**

To test the functional requirement for dimm, we first examined the survival of dimm mutants after Pe challenge. Before treatment, dimm
mutants displayed reductions in mass and midgut area (Figure S1, C and D). However, no significant effect on the density of Pros+ cells was detected (Figure S1E). After Pe exposure, survival of dimm mutants was significantly decreased compared with wild-type controls (Figure 5A and Table S3). Similar results were also observed following conditional expression of RNAi targeting dimm or Pmm (Figure S4).

To more specifically examine the involvement of dimm in the host immune response, we next compared wild-type and dimm mutant flies for their expression of a subset of antimicrobial peptides known to be involved in the innate immune response to Pe (Vodovar et al. 2005). The AMPs Diptericin (Dpt), drosocin (dro), and Attacin A (AttA) were highly induced in wild-type flies exposed to Pe (Figure 5B). However, dimm mutant flies displayed a significantly lower fold change in response to Pe for each AMP measured. Taken together, we conclude that dimm is a protective host factor that is necessary for the induction of antimicrobial peptides following infection by the Gram-negative pathogen Pe.

**DISCUSSION**

We used the Drosophila midgut to investigate how the diffuse enteroendocrine system responds to pathogenic infection by a Gram-negative bacterium. Our studies establish an important new link between pathogenic infection and the coordinated induction of a prosecretory program in cells of the diffuse endocrine system. They also suggest a molecular model explaining how changes in the lumenal environment might result in a dynamically altered organismal physiology (Figure 6). Mature enteroendocrine cells respond to the pathogenic bacterium Pe with induction of dimm, a prosecretory basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor. This response shows a defined signature in several variables, including time, dose and region of enteroendocrine induction. In the diffuse endocrine system, dimm is necessary for normal levels of the important peptide hormone AstA and an enzyme necessary for the processing of peptide hormones more generally. Thus, Dimm may function to provide “gain” in the adaptive response of the diffuse endocrine system (Mills and Taghert 2012). Finally, we show that dimm is an essential host factor that protects the organism against pathogenic challenge and controls the induction of antimicrobial peptides. Future studies will determine the extent to which changes in the diffuse endocrine system directly regulate immune function or whether these processes occur in parallel.
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